

# SOME LINEAR OPERATORS IN THE $L^p$ SPACES

LEE PENG-YEE

**1. Introduction.** Let  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , denote the space of all functions  $f$  (real or complex) such that  $f$  and  $|f|^p$  are variationally integrable (see **2**, p. 40, for definition) with respect to a pair  $\mathbf{h}$  of interval functions in an elementary set  $E$ . In what follows, we fix both  $\mathbf{h}$  and  $E$  and assume that  $\mathbf{h} = \{h_l, h_r\}$  is variationally integrable and  $h_s \geq 0$  ( $s = l, r$ ) in  $E$ . Further, let  $L^\infty$  denote the space of all functions  $f$  (real or complex) which are  $\mathbf{h}$ -measurable (cf. **2**, p. 95) and bounded almost everywhere, i.e. except in a set  $X$  satisfying (cf. **2**, p. 47)  $V(\mathbf{h}; E; X) = 0$ . If we regard  $f$  and  $g$  as identical when  $f = g$  almost everywhere, then it has been pointed out in (**3**, § 1) that  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p &= [(V) \int_E |f|^p d\mathbf{h}]^{1/p} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty), \\ \|f\|_\infty &= \inf\{N; |f| \leq N \text{ almost everywhere}\}, \end{aligned}$$

are Banach spaces. Note that with the help of (**3**, p. 315, Lemma 3), the continuity of  $\mathbf{h}$  in (**2**, pp. 133–134, Theorems 53.4 and 53.5) can be dropped, and hence is not required in proving that  $L^p$  are Banach spaces.

In (**3**, Theorem 1), we gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the weak convergence of the sequence

$$F(f; y) = (V) \int_E fg(\cdot, y) d\mathbf{h}$$

of linear *functionals* in  $L^p$ . In this note, we consider linear *operators* from  $L^p$  into the spaces of bounded functions and of continuous functions. Furthermore, we define two new function spaces, namely  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$ , which are akin to the  $L^p$  spaces, and consider linear *operators* from  $L^p$  into  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$  and conversely.

**2. Linear operators from  $L^p$  into  $B$  or  $C$ .** Let  $p, q$  denote a pair of numbers having the following relation: when  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ; when  $p = 1$ ,  $q = \infty$ ; and when  $p = \infty$ ,  $q = 1$ . Then, as in Lebesgue theory, we have the following result.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $f \in L^p$  and  $g \in L^q$ , then both  $fg$  and  $|fg|$  are variationally integrable with respect to  $\mathbf{h}$  in  $E$  and*

$$|(V) \int_E fg d\mathbf{h}| \leq (V) \int_E |fg| d\mathbf{h} \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

If  $f \geq 0$ ,  $g \geq 0$ , the integrability of  $fg$  and  $|fg|$  follows from (**2**, p. 133, Theorem 53.4; **3**, p. 315, Lemma 3). In general, if  $f$  and  $g$  are real, we divide

---

Received December 21, 1967, and in revised form, May 28, 1968.

$E$  into four subsets, namely  $X(f \geq 0, g \geq 0)$ ,  $X(f < 0, g < 0)$ ,  $X(f \geq 0, g < 0)$ ,  $X(f < 0, g \geq 0)$ . Then  $fg$  and  $|fg|$  are integrable in each subset and therefore in  $E$ . When  $f$  and  $g$  are complex, we split them into real and imaginary parts, and hence the result. The remainder of the lemma follows from (2, pp. 61, 133, Theorems 31.2 (31.12) and 53.3 (53.10)).

For convenience, we restate here two other lemmas given in (3).

LEMMA 2. If  $g \in L^q$ , and

$$F(f) \equiv (V) \int_E fg \, d\mathbf{h}$$

is defined for all  $f \in L^p$ , then  $F$  is a continuous linear functional in  $L^p$ . Moreover,  $\|F\| = \|g\|_q$ .

LEMMA 3. In order that  $F(f)$  should be defined for all  $f \in L^p$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $g \in L^q$ .

Let  $B$  and  $C$  be the Banach spaces of bounded functions and of continuous functions, respectively, all in  $E$ , with norms defined to be  $\sup\{|f(x)|; x \in E\}$ .

THEOREM 1. In order that for every  $y \in E$  and for every  $f \in L^p$

$$F(f; y) \equiv (V) \int_E fg(\cdot, y) \, d\mathbf{h}$$

should be defined and  $F(f; \cdot) \in B$ , it is necessary and sufficient that

- (i) for every  $y \in E$ ,  $g(\cdot, y) \in L^q$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup\{\|g(\cdot, y)\|_q; y \in E\} < \infty$ .

The necessity of (i) follows from Lemma 3.

To prove (ii), we first show that  $F$  is a continuous linear operator from  $L^p$  into  $B$ . By Lemma 2, it is linear. In view of (1, p. 79, Theorem 2, or 7, p. 265, Lemma 6), to prove its continuity it suffices to show that  $\|F(f; \cdot)\|_B$  is lower semi-continuous in  $L^p$ .

Let  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ . Then, in view of (2, p. 137, Theorem 54.2),

$$|F(f; y)| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |F(f_j; y)| \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F(f_j; \cdot)\|_B$$

for every  $y \in E$ , so that  $\|F(f; \cdot)\|_B \leq \liminf \|F(f_j; \cdot)\|_B$ .

Since we have proved that  $F$  is a continuous linear operator from  $L^p$  into  $B$ , there is an  $N > 0$  such that

$$\|F(f; \cdot)\|_B \leq N\|f\|_p,$$

i.e.,

$$\sup\{|F(f; y)|; y \in E\} \leq N\|f\|_p$$

for all  $f \in L^p$ . Then by Lemma 2

$$\sup\{\|g(\cdot, y)\|_q; y \in E\} \leq N.$$

Hence, we obtain (ii).

The sufficiency of the conditions (i) and (ii) follows from Lemmas 1 and 3.

**THEOREM 2.** *In order that for every  $y \in E$  and for every  $f \in L^p$*

$$F(f; y) \equiv (V) \int_E fg(\cdot, y) d\mathbf{h}$$

*should be defined and  $F(f; \cdot) \in C$ , it is necessary and sufficient that (i)–(iii) of the following be satisfied when  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and (i)–(iv) when  $p = \infty$ :*

- (i) *For every  $y \in E$ ,  $g(\cdot, y) \in L^q$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\sup\{\|g(\cdot, y)\|_q; y \in E\} < \infty$ ;*
- (iii) *For each elementary set  $E_1 \subseteq E$  and for each  $z \in E$ ,*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow z} F(\text{ch}(E_1); y) = F(\text{ch}(E_1); z),$$

*where  $\text{ch}(E_1)$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E_1$ ;*

- (iv) *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there are  $\eta > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $\text{ch}(X)$  is  $\mathbf{h}$ -measurable and  $V(\mathbf{h}; E; X) < \eta$  and  $|y - z| < \delta$ ,*

$$|F(\text{ch}(X); y) - F(\text{ch}(X); z)| < \epsilon.$$

The necessity of (i) and (ii) follows as in Theorem 1, and that of (iii) and (iv) by setting  $f = \text{ch}(E_1)$  and  $\text{ch}(X)$ , respectively. By using (3, Theorem 1), we obtain the sufficiency.

We remark that conditions (iii) and (iv) can be replaced by the following condition:

- (v) *For each  $X \subseteq E$  such that  $\text{ch}(X)$  is  $\mathbf{h}$ -measurable and for each  $z \in E$ ,*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow z} F(\text{ch}(X); y) = F(\text{ch}(X); z).$$

The necessity of (v) follows by setting  $f = \text{ch}(X)$ . Since (v) implies (iii) and (iv), hence the sufficiency.

**3. The spaces  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$ .** Let  $\mathbf{h}$  be defined as in § 1, and let  $\phi$  be a real-valued monotone decreasing function variationally integrable with respect to  $\mathbf{h}$ , and with  $\inf\{\phi(x); x \in E\} > 0$ . We denote by  $\Lambda$  the space of all functions  $f$  in  $L^1$  such that

$$\|f\|_\Lambda = (V) \int_E |f| \phi d\mathbf{h} < \infty.$$

It was pointed out by the referee that when  $E = [0, 1]$ ,  $\phi(x) = \alpha x^{\alpha-1}$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ), and  $h_s(u, v) = v - u$  ( $s = l, r$ ), the space  $\Lambda$  is different from the space  $\Lambda(\alpha)$  defined by Lorentz (4). For example, if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f(x) = (1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $f \in \Lambda$  but  $f \notin \Lambda(\frac{1}{2})$ .

Further, we denote by  $\Omega$  the space of all functions  $f$  in  $L^1$  such that

$$\|f\|_\Omega = \sup \left\{ \frac{(V) \int_E |f| \text{ch}(E_1) d\mathbf{h}}{(V) \int_E \phi \text{ch}(E_1) d\mathbf{h}}; E_1 \subseteq E \right\} < \infty,$$

where  $E_1$  is an arbitrary elementary set in  $E$ . Then  $f \in \Omega$  if and only if there is

an  $N > 0$  such that  $|f| \leq N\phi$  almost everywhere in  $E$ . The sufficiency is easy. To prove the necessity, since  $f \in \Omega$ , there is an  $N > 0$  such that

$$(V) \int_E |f| \text{ch}(I) \, d\mathbf{h} \leq N(V) \int_E \phi \text{ch}(I) \, d\mathbf{h},$$

where  $I$  is an arbitrary interval open on the right. By (2, p. 63, Theorem 31.3 (31.20)),

$$\begin{aligned} (V) \int_E \{|f| \text{ch}(I)/\phi\} \, d\mathbf{h}^* &= (V) \int_E |f| \text{ch}(I) \, d\mathbf{h} \\ &\leq N(V) \int_E \phi \text{ch}(I) \, d\mathbf{h} \\ &= N(V) \int_E \text{ch}(I) \, d\mathbf{h}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}^*$  is variationally equivalent to  $\{\phi h_l, \phi h_r\}$  in  $E$ . By (2, p. 78, Exercise 34.2 and Theorem 35.1 (35.3)), it follows that  $|f|/\phi \leq N$  except possibly in a set  $X$  satisfying  $V(\mathbf{h}; E; X) = 0$ . We have also used (2, p. 49, Theorem 28.1).

We remark that when  $\phi$  is bounded,  $\Lambda$  reduces to the  $L^1$  space and  $\Omega$  to  $L^\infty$ . As usual, two functions which are equal almost everywhere are regarded as identical.

LEMMA 4.  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$  are Banach spaces.

We shall only prove that  $\Lambda$  is complete. The proof for  $\Omega$  is similar. We may assume without loss of generality that  $E = [a, b]$ . First, we observe that

$$(V) \int_{a+\delta}^b |f| \phi \, d\mathbf{h}$$

is continuous in  $L^1$  and monotone increasing as  $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ . Then

$$\|f\|_\Lambda = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} (V) \int_{a+\delta}^b |f| \phi \, d\mathbf{h}$$

is lower semicontinuous in  $L^1$ . Note that this includes both cases when  $\phi(a) < \infty$  and when  $\phi(a) = \infty$ .

Let  $\{f_j\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Lambda$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_j - f_k\|_1 &= (V) \int_a^b |f_j - f_k| \, d\mathbf{h} \\ &\leq \phi(b)^{-1} (V) \int_a^b |f_j - f_k| \phi \, d\mathbf{h} \\ &= \phi(b)^{-1} \|f_j - f_k\|_\Lambda \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j, k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L^1$  is complete, there is  $f \in L^1$  such that  $\|f_j - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . By the lower semicontinuity of  $\|f\|_\Lambda$  in  $L^1$ , it follows that  $f \in \Lambda$ , and that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $j_0$  such that whenever  $j \geq j_0$

$$\|f_j - f\|_\Lambda \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j - f_k\|_\Lambda \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence,  $\Lambda$  is complete.

LEMMA 5. *If  $f \in \Lambda$  and  $g \in \Omega$ , then both  $fg$  and  $|fg|$  are variationally integrable with respect to  $\mathbf{h}$  in  $E$  and*

$$|(V) \int_E fg \, d\mathbf{h}| \leq (V) \int_E |fg| \, d\mathbf{h} \leq \|f\|_\Lambda \|g\|_\Omega.$$

It is evident that for every elementary set  $E_1 \subseteq E$ ,

$$(V) \int_E |g| \, \text{ch}(E_1) \, d\mathbf{h} \leq \|g\|_\Omega (V) \int_E \phi \, \text{ch}(E_1) \, d\mathbf{h}.$$

Then the required inequality is true for any step function  $f$ . Let  $f \in \Lambda$ . In view of (3, Lemma 4), there is a sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of step functions such that  $\|f_j - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . By (2, pp. 135, 86, Theorems 54.1 and 37.1 (37.10)), there is a subsequence  $\{f_{j(n)}\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{j(n)} = f$$

for almost all  $x$  in  $E$ , and both  $fg$  and  $|fg|$ , as limits of  $f_{j(n)}g$  and  $|f_{j(n)}g|$ , respectively, are integrable with respect to  $\mathbf{h}$  in  $E$ . It follows that

$$(V) \int_E |fg| \, d\mathbf{h} \leq \|g\|_\Omega (V) \int_E \phi |f| \, d\mathbf{h} = \|g\|_\Omega \|f\|_\Lambda.$$

LEMMA 6. *In order that  $F(f)$  in Lemma 2 should be defined for all  $f \in \Lambda$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $g \in \Omega$ .*

The sufficiency follows from Lemma 5. To prove the necessity, let  $X_j$  be the set of  $x$  for which  $|g| \leq j\phi$  almost everywhere in  $E$ . Then by (2, p. 82, Theorem 36.1)

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (V) \int_E fg \, \text{ch}(X_j) \, d\mathbf{h} = (V) \int_E fg \, d\mathbf{h}.$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is complete and  $F_j(f) = F(f \, \text{ch}(X_j))$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , are continuous linear functionals in  $\Lambda$  with  $\|F_j\| = \|g \, \text{ch}(X_j)\|_\Omega$ , it follows from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (see, for example, 1, p. 80, Theorem 5) that

$$\sup\{\|g \, \text{ch}(X_j)\|_\Omega; j \geq 1\} < \infty.$$

Hence  $g \in \Omega$ .

**4. Linear operators from  $L^p$  into  $\Lambda$  or  $\Omega$ .** We write

$$F_1(f; y) = (V) \int_E fg(\cdot, y) \, d\mathbf{h}, \quad F_2(f; x) = (V) \int_E fg(x, \cdot) \, d\mathbf{h},$$

when the integrals exist.

THEOREM 3. *Let  $g(x, y) \geq 0$  be variationally integrable (see 2, p. 104, for definition) with respect to  $\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h} = \{h, h_l, h_l h_l, h_l h_r, h_r h_r\}$  in  $(E, E)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every  $f \in L^p$ ,  $F_1(f; y)$  as a function of  $y$  is defined almost everywhere in  $E$ , and  $F_1(f; \cdot) \in \Omega$ ;*
- (ii) *For every  $f \in \Lambda$ ,  $F_2(f; x)$  as a function of  $x$  is defined almost everywhere in  $E$ , and  $F_2(f; \cdot) \in L^q$ ;*
- (iii)  *$g(x, \cdot) \in \Omega$  for almost all  $x \in E$ ,  $g(\cdot, y) \in L^q$  for almost all  $y \in E$ , and*

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|F_2(\text{ch}(E_1); \cdot)\|_q}{(V) \int_E \phi \, \text{ch}(E_1) \, d\mathbf{h}}; E_1 \subseteq E \right\} < \infty.$$

Assume that (i) holds. Let  $f \in L^p, f^* \in \Lambda$ , and let

$$f_j = \begin{cases} f & (|f| < j), \\ j & (|f| \geq j); \end{cases} \quad f_j^* = \begin{cases} f^* & (|f^*| < j), \\ j & (|f^*| \geq j). \end{cases}$$

Since the product  $f_j f_j^*$  is bounded and  $(\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h})$ -measurable in  $(E, E)$ , in view of the two-dimensional analogue of (2, p. 99, Theorem 40.2, second part), we find that the double integral

$$(V) \int_{(E, E)} f_j(x) f_j^*(y) g(x, y) d\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h}$$

exists. Then by (2, p. 106, Theorem 44.1 (Fubini's theorem)),  $F_2(f_j^*; x)$  exists for almost all  $x$  in  $E$  and also

$$\begin{aligned} |(V) \int_E f_j F_2(f_j^*; \cdot) d\mathbf{h}| &= |(V) \int_{(E, E)} f_j f_j^* g d\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h}| \\ &= |(V) \int_E f_j^* F_1(f_j; \cdot) d\mathbf{h}| \\ &\leq (V) \int_E |f^* F_1(|f|; \cdot)| d\mathbf{h} \\ &\leq \|f^*\|_\Lambda \|F_1(|f|; \cdot)\|_\Omega < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If  $f \geq 0, f^* \geq 0$ , then  $f_j f_j^*$  is monotone increasing in  $j$ , in view of the above inequalities and the two-dimensional analogue of (2, p. 82, Theorem 36.1), we find that the double integral

$$(V) \int_{(E, E)} f(x) f^*(y) g(x, y) d\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h}$$

exists. For general  $f$  and  $f^*$ , we split them as in the proof of Lemma 1; hence, the double integral exists for  $f \in L^p$  and  $f^* \in \Lambda$ . Then, again, by Fubini's theorem,  $F_2(f^*; x)$  exists for almost all  $x$  in  $E$  and

$$(V) \int_E f F_2(f^*; \cdot) d\mathbf{h}$$

exists for all  $f \in L^p$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that  $F_2(f^*; \cdot) \in L^q$  for all  $f^* \in \Lambda$ . Hence, (i) implies (ii).

Assume that (ii) holds. By Lemma 6, the condition that  $g(x, \cdot) \in \Omega$  for almost all  $x$  in  $E$  is necessary. From an argument similar to the one above, it follows that  $F_1(f; y)$  exists for all  $f \in L^p$  and  $g(\cdot, y) \in L^q$  for almost all  $y$ .

Further, let  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda$ . Following the proof of (2, p. 137, Theorem 54.2), with  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q$  replaced by  $\|\cdot\|_\Lambda$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$ , we find that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (V) \int_E f_j g(x, \cdot) d\mathbf{h} = (V) \int_E f g(x, \cdot) d\mathbf{h}$$

for almost all  $x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (V) \int_E |F_2(f; \cdot)|^q d\mathbf{h} &= (V) \int_E \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |F_2(f_j; \cdot)|^q d\mathbf{h} \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (V) \int_E |F_2(f_j; \cdot)|^q d\mathbf{h}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\|F_2(f; \cdot)\|_q \leq \liminf \|F_2(f_j; \cdot)\|_q$ . Hence, we have proved that  $F_2$  is a continuous linear operator from  $\Lambda$  into  $L^q$ .

Now put  $f = \text{ch}(E_1) \in \Lambda$ . It follows that there is an  $N > 0$  such that

$$\|F_2(\text{ch}(E_1); \cdot)\|_q \leq N \|\text{ch}(E_1)\|_\Lambda$$

for every  $E_1 \subseteq E$ . Hence, (ii) implies (iii).

Assume that (iii) holds. The first part of (i) follows from Lemma 1. Again, we can show that for every  $f \in L^p$  and for every  $E_1 \subseteq E$ , the double integral

$$(V) \int_{(E, E)} f(x) \operatorname{ch}(E_1; y) g(x, y) d\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h}$$

exists. Then, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} (V) \int_E |F_1(f; \cdot)| \operatorname{ch}(E_1) d\mathbf{h} &\leq (V) \int_E F_1(|f|; \cdot) \operatorname{ch}(E_1) d\mathbf{h} \\ &= (V) \int_E |f| F_2(\operatorname{ch}(E_1); \cdot) d\mathbf{h} \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|F_2(\operatorname{ch}(E_1); \cdot)\|_q \\ &\leq N \|f\|_p (V) \int_E \phi \operatorname{ch}(E_1) d\mathbf{h} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $N > 0$ . Since  $E_1$  is arbitrary,  $F_1(f; \cdot) \in \Omega$ . Hence, (iii) implies (i).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $g$  be defined as in Theorem 3. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every  $f \in L^q$ ,  $F_1(f; y)$  as a function of  $y$  is defined almost everywhere in  $E$ , and  $F_1(f; \cdot) \in \Lambda$ ;*
- (ii) *For every  $f \in \Omega$ ,  $F_2(f; x)$  as a function of  $x$  is defined almost everywhere, in  $E$ , and  $F_2(f; \cdot) \in L^p$ ;*
- (iii)  *$g(x, \cdot) \in \Lambda$  for almost all  $x$  in  $E$ ,  $g(\cdot, y) \in L^p$  for almost all  $y$  in  $E$ , and*

$$\|F_2(\phi; \cdot)\|_p < \infty.$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.

We remark that the conditions imposed on  $g$  in Theorems 3 and 4 are essential for proving the existence of the double integrals involved and so for the application of Fubini's theorem. We also remark that special cases of Theorems 3 and 4 when  $q = 1$  and  $\phi = 1$  have been investigated by Tatchell (7) and by Sunouchi and Tsuchikura (6) for the Lebesgue integral. Sunouchi and Tsuchikura assumed that  $g$  is measurable in the plane, but Tatchell did not. The analogue of Theorem 3 for sequence spaces has been proved by Sargent (5).

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires* (Monogr. Mat., Vol. 1, 1932, Warsaw).
2. R. Henstock, *Theory of integration* (Butterworths, London, 1963).
3. Lee Peng-Yee, *A note on some generalizations of the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 41 (1966), 313–317.
4. G. G. Lorentz, *Some new functional spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), 37–55.
5. W. L. C. Sargent, *Some sequence spaces related to the  $l^p$  spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 116–171.
6. G. Sunouchi and T. Tsuchikura, *Absolute regularity for convergent integrals*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 4 (1952), 153–156.
7. J. B. Tatchell, *On some integral transformations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 3 (1953), 257–266.

*University of Auckland,  
Auckland, New Zealand*