

CORRIGENDUM

Strong leader, fragile party: how even a weak party can protect a powerful leader? – CORRIGENDUM

Takuya Furusawa 

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1468109924000124>, Published online by Cambridge University Press:
24 March 2025

The author regrets that there are errors found in some of the equations in this article:

- On p. 230, in the sentence “(b) If the elite accepts the offer, then E receives x_1 , and A receives $1 - x_1 + b$. The game moves on to the next decision-making step,” “E” and “A” should be replaced with “the elite” and the incumbent, respectively.
- On p. 231, in the sentence “Even strong (high p) elites face poverty when they lose in a rebellion attempt,” “high p ” should be replaced with high p_t .
- The formula at the top of page 232 should be numbered (*).
- For the sake of consistency with the above correction, in the sentence on p. 233, “Let us ignore that k is the function of p_t and denote the solutions of Equation (3),” “Equation (3)” should be replaced with “Equation (*).”
- On p. 233, the sentence “That means, we ignore that k is the function of p_t and denote the solutions of Equation (2),” should read “Equation (2) in Appendix,” as Equation (2) does not appear in the main text.
- On p. 233, in the sentence “a tolerant and decentralised regime with $k^h(p_t)$ and an intolerant and centralised regime with $k^l(p_t)$, such that $k^h(p_t)k^l(p_t)$ for all p_t (see Figure 3),” “ $k^h(p_t)k^l(p_t)$ ” should read “ $k^h(p_t) > k^l(p_t)$.”
- In Proposition 5, “ $\hat{p}_1 > \hat{p}_2$ if the elite is deterrable in Period 2” should read “ $\hat{p}_1 > \hat{p}_2$ if the elite is not deterrable in Period 2.”

The author apologises for these errors, and wishes to correct them through this notice.

Cite this article: Furusawa T (2025). Strong leader, fragile party: how even a weak party can protect a powerful leader? – CORRIGENDUM. *Japanese Journal of Political Science* 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1468109925100091>