



# Positive Solutions of Impulsive Dynamic System on Time Scales

Da-Bin Wang

*Abstract.* In this paper, some criteria for the existence of positive solutions of a class of systems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales are obtained by using a fixed point theorem in cones.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a time scale, *i.e.*,  $\mathbf{T}$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $T > 0$  be fixed and  $0, T$  be points in  $\mathbf{T}$ . An interval  $(0, T)_{\mathbf{T}}$  denote time scales interval, that is,  $(0, T)_{\mathbf{T}} := (0, T) \cap \mathbf{T}$ . Other types of intervals are defined similarly.

The theory of impulsive differential equations is emerging as an important area of investigation, since it is a lot richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulse effects. Moreover, such equations may exhibit several real world phenomena in physics, biology, engineering, *etc.*, (see [11, 20, 24, 31–33, 37, 38]). At the same time, the boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations and impulsive difference equations have received much attention [2, 18, 19, 25, 26, 29–31, 39]. Recently, the theory of dynamic equations on time scales has become important (see, for example, [1, 7, 8, 17, 21]). There are also some papers ([3–6, 22]) about dynamic equations on time scales that should be cited here. In [3], R. P. Agarwal et al. considered a class of singular second-order dynamic equations with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions that includes those problems related to the negative exponent Emden–Fowler equation. Some sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive solutions were obtained by using perturbation and variational techniques. In [22], a monotone sequence of solutions of linear problems converging uniformly and quadratically to a solution of a class of second order, nonlinear, three-point, time scale boundary value problems was obtained by means of the method of upper and lower solutions and the generalized quasilinearization technique. To enlarge the field of applications of the dynamic equations on time scales and to have more theoretical opportunities M. U. Akhmet and M. Turan ([5, 6]) proposed to generalize the transition operator and to investigate differential equations on time scales with transition condition and differential equations on variable time scales with transition condition. In [4], Agarwal et al. studied the Wirtinger-type inequalities for the Lebesgue  $\Delta$ -integral on an arbitrary time scale.

---

Received by the editors November 24, 2008; revised February 20, 2009.

Published electronically June 25, 2011.

This work is supported by the Excellent Young Teacher Training Program of Lanzhou University of Technology (Q200907).

AMS subject classification: 39A10, 34B15.

Keywords: time scale, positive solution, fixed point, impulsive dynamic equation.

Naturally, some authors have focused their attention on the BVPs of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales [9, 10, 12, 14–16, 27, 28, 36]. In particular, for the first order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} y^\Delta(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)) = f(t, y(t)), & t \in J := [a, b], \quad t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ y(t_k^+) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ y(a) = \eta, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{T}$  is a time scale that has at least finitely-many right-dense points,  $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{T}$ ,  $p$  is regressive and right-dense continuous,  $f: \mathbf{T} \times R \rightarrow R$  is a given function,  $I_k \in C(R, R)$ . The paper [9] obtained the existence of one solution to the problem (1.1) by using the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type.

In [15], Geng et al. considered the following impulsive periodic boundary value problem on time scales  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} y^\Delta(t) = f(t, y(t)), & t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \text{Imp}(y)(t_k) := I_k(y(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ y(0) = y(\sigma(T)), \end{cases}$$

where  $f: J \times (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  is continuous in the second variable,  $I_k: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  is continuous,  $t_k \in (0, T)_{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < T$ ,  $\text{Imp}(y)(t_k) = y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-)$ . The existence of extremal solutions to the problem (1.2) was obtained by virtue of the method of lower and upper solutions coupled with monotone iterative technique.

In [36], the author considered the following first-order impulsive periodic boundary value problem on time scales  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)) = f(t, x(\sigma(t))), & t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = x(\sigma(T)). \end{cases}$$

The existence of positive solutions to the problem (1.3) was obtained by means of the well-known Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem [13].

However, to the best of our knowledge, there is little work concerning the system of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales.

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the following system of impulsive dynamic equations on time scale:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) + P(t)x(\sigma(t)) = F(t, x(\sigma(t))), & t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = x(\sigma(T)), \end{cases}$$

where ( $\mathcal{T}$  stands for the transpose)

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\mathcal{T}, & P(t) &= \text{diag}[p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots, p_n(t)], \\ F &= (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^\mathcal{T}, & I_k &= (I_k^1, I_k^2, \dots, I_k^n)^\mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $p_i: [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is right-dense continuous (that is  $p_i \in \mathcal{R}^+$ , where  $\mathcal{R}^+$  will be defined in section 2),  $f_i: J \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $I_k^i: [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous;  $t_k \in (0, T)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < T$ , and for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_k + h)$  and  $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} x(t_k + h)$  represent the right and left limits of  $x(t)$  at  $t = t_k$ . For each  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the norm of  $x$  is defined as  $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ .

The main results in this paper are proved by means of a fixed point theorem [23] that is different from those used in [9, 15, 36]. Note that papers [34, 35] discussed problem (1.4) and obtained some results about the existence of solution or positive solution to problem (1.4) when  $n = 1$  and  $I_k = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Moreover, for the case of  $n = 1$ , problem (1.4) reduces to the problem (1.3).

In the remainder of this section, we state a fixed point theorem [23].

**Theorem 1.1** ([23]) *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a cone  $K$ . Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $X$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Let  $\Phi: K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$  be a completely continuous operator such that*

- (i)  $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  (or  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ), and
- (ii) *there exists  $\psi \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \neq \Phi x + \lambda\psi$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$  (or  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ ) and  $\lambda > 0$ .*

*Then  $\Phi$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

**Remark 1.2** In Theorem 1.1, the use of (ii) gives better results than using the common assumption  $\|\Phi x\| \geq \|x\|$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$  (or  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ ).

## 2 Some Results on Time Scales

In this section, we state some fundamental definitions and results concerning time scales, so that the paper is self-contained. For more details, one can refer to [1, 7, 8, 17, 21].

**Definition 2.1** Assume that  $x: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and fix  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  (if  $t = \sup \mathbb{T}$ , we assume  $t$  is not left-scattered). Then  $x$  is called delta differentiable at  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  if there exists a  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $t$  such that

$$|x(\sigma(t)) - x(s) - \theta|\sigma(t) - s|| \leq \varepsilon|\sigma(t) - s|, \quad s \in U.$$

In this case,  $\theta$  is called the delta derivative of  $x$  at  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  and is denoted by  $\theta = x^\Delta(t)$ .

If  $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$ , then we define the delta integral by

$$\int_a^t f(s)\Delta s = F(t) - F(a).$$

**Definition 2.2** A function  $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense points in  $\mathbb{T}$ , and its left-sided limits exist at left-dense points in  $\mathbb{T}$ . The set of rd-continuous  $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be denoted by  $C_{rd}$ .

**Lemma 2.3** If  $f \in C_{rd}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{T}^k$ , then  $\int_t^{\sigma(t)} f(s)\Delta s = \mu(t)f(t)$ , where  $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$  is the graininess function.

**Lemma 2.4** If  $f^\Delta \geq 0$ , then  $f$  is increasing.

**Lemma 2.5** Assume that  $f, g: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are delta differentiable at  $t$ , then

$$(fg)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f(\sigma(t))g^\Delta(t) = f(t)g^\Delta(t) + f^\Delta(t)g(\sigma(t)).$$

**Definition 2.6** A function  $p: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is regressive provided

$$1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{T}^k.$$

The set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.7** We define the set  $\mathcal{R}^+$  of all positively regressive elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  by

$$\mathcal{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{T}\}.$$

**Definition 2.8** If  $p \in \mathcal{R}$ , then the delta exponential function is given by  $e_p(t, s) = \exp(\int_s^t g(\tau)\Delta\tau)$ , where

$$g(\tau) = \begin{cases} p(\tau), & \text{if } \mu(\tau) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\mu(\tau)} \text{Log}(1 + p(\tau)\mu(\tau)), & \text{if } \mu(\tau) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where Log is the principal logarithm.

**Lemma 2.9** If  $p \in \mathcal{R}$ , then

- (i)  $e_p(t, t) \equiv 1$ ;
- (ii)  $e_p(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(s, t)}$ ;
- (iii)  $e_p(t, u)e_p(u, s) = e_p(t, s)$ ;
- (iv)  $e_p^\Delta(t, t_0) = p(t)e_p(t, t_0)$ , for  $t \in \mathbf{T}^k$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ .

**Lemma 2.10** If  $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ , then  $e_p(t, t_0) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ .

### 3 Main Results

Throughout the rest of this paper, we will always assume that the points of impulse  $t_k$  are right-dense for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

We define

$$PC = \{x \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : x^k \in C(J_k, \mathbb{R}^n), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \text{ and there exist } x(t_k^+) \text{ and } x(t_k^-) \text{ with } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

where  $x^k$  is the restriction of  $x$  to  $J_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}]_{\mathbf{T}} \subset (0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbf{T}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $J_0 = [0, t_1]_{\mathbf{T}}$ ,  $J_{m+1} = \sigma(T)$ .

Let

$$X = \left\{ x(t) : x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in PC, x(0) = x(\sigma(T)) \right\}$$

with the norm  $\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|_0$ , where  $|x_i|_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} |x_i(t)|$ . Then X is a Banach space.

**Definition 3.1** A function  $y \in PC \cap C^1(J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, R)$  is said to be a solution of PBVP (1.4) when  $n = 1$  if and only if  $y$  satisfies the dynamic equation

$$y^\Delta(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)) = f(t, y(\sigma(t))) \text{ everywhere on } J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\},$$

the impulsive conditions

$$y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and the periodic boundary condition  $y(0) = y(\sigma(T))$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([36]) Suppose  $h: [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R$  is rd-continuous, then  $y$  is a solution of

$$y(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)h(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k)I_k(y(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_p(s, t)e_p(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_p(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \sigma(T), \\ \frac{e_p(s, t)}{e_p(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq \sigma(T), \end{cases}$$

if and only if  $y$  is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y^\Delta(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)) = h(t), & t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ y(0) = y(\sigma(T)). \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.3** When  $\mathbb{T} = R$ , Lemma 3.2 is reduced to [25, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 3.4** For  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $G_i(t, s)$  be defined by

$$G_i(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_{p_i}(s, t)e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \sigma(T), \\ \frac{e_{p_i}(s, t)}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq \sigma(T), \end{cases}$$

then

$$A_i \triangleq \frac{1}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \leq G_i(t, s) \leq \frac{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \triangleq B_i.$$

Define  $A \triangleq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ ,  $B \triangleq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ , and let

$$K = \{x(t) : x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in X : x_i(t) \geq \delta |x_i|_0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

where  $\delta = \frac{A}{B} \in (0, 1)$ . Obviously,  $K$  is a cone in  $X$ .

We define an operator  $\Phi: K \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$(\Phi x)(t) = ((\Phi_1 x)(t), (\Phi_2 x)(t), \dots, (\Phi_n x)(t))^T,$$

where

$$(\Phi_i x)(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t, t_k) I_k^i(x(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T.$$

By Lemma 3.2, it is easy to see that fixed points of  $\Phi$  are the solutions to the system (1.4).

**Lemma 3.5**  $\Phi: K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

**Proof** Suppose  $x \in K$ ; it is easy to see that  $\Phi x \in X$ . Then for all  $x \in K$ , by Lemma 3.4 we get

$$|\Phi_i x|_0 \leq B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + B_i \sum_{k=1}^m I_k^i(x(t_k)).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G_i(t, t_k) I_k^i(x(t_k)) \\ &\geq A_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + A_i \sum_{k=1}^m I_k^i(x(t_k)) \\ &= \frac{A_i}{B_i} \left[ B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + B_i \sum_{k=1}^m I_k^i(x(t_k)) \right] \geq \delta |\Phi_i x|_0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\Phi: K \rightarrow K$ . Furthermore, with similar arguments as in [36], we can prove that  $\Phi: K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous. ■

**Notation** Let

$$F^a = \lim_{x \in K, \|x\| \rightarrow a} \sup \frac{\int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x) \Delta s}{\|x\|}, \quad F_a = \lim_{x \in K, \|x\| \rightarrow a} \inf \frac{\int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x) \Delta s}{\|x\|}$$

and

$$I^a = \lim_{x \in K, \|x\| \rightarrow a} \sup \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m I_j(x)}{\|x\|}, \quad I_a = \lim_{x \in K, \|x\| \rightarrow a} \inf \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m I_j(x)}{\|x\|},$$

where  $a$  denotes either 0 or  $\infty$ .

Now we state our main results.

**Theorem 3.6** Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $0 < F^0, I^0 < \frac{1}{2B}$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $\frac{1}{2A} < F_\infty, I_\infty < \infty$ .

Then system (1.4) has at least one positive solution.

**Proof** Since  $0 < F^0, I^0 < \frac{1}{2B}$ , we may choose  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x) \Delta s \leq \frac{\rho_1}{2B}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(x) \leq \frac{\rho_1}{2B} \text{ for } 0 \leq \|x\| \leq \rho_1.$$

Set  $\Omega_1 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \rho_1\}$ , then  $\Omega_1$  is a bounded open subset of  $X$  and  $0 \in \Omega_1$ . Thus, if  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , then from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi x\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\Phi_i x|_0 \leq B \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |f_i(s, x(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s + B \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k^i(x(t_k))| \\ &= B \int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + B \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(x(t_k)) \leq B \cdot \frac{\rho_1}{2B} + B \cdot \frac{\rho_1}{2B} = \rho_1 = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(3.2) \quad \|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\| \text{ for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

On the other hand, in view of  $\frac{1}{2A} < F_\infty, I_\infty < \infty$ , there exists  $\eta > \rho_1$  such that for  $\|x\| \geq \eta$

$$\int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x) \Delta s \geq (F_\infty - \varepsilon)\|x\|, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(x) \geq (I_\infty - \varepsilon)\|x\|,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is chosen so that  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}(F_\infty + I_\infty - \frac{1}{A})$ . Let  $\rho_2 = \frac{\eta}{\delta}$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \rho_2\}$ . Obviously,  $\Omega_2$  is an open subset of  $X$  with  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Choose  $\psi = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , then we can claim that for any  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad x \neq \Phi x + \lambda \psi.$$

In fact, if not, there exist  $\bar{x} \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$  and  $\bar{\lambda} > 0$  such that  $\bar{x} = \Phi \bar{x} + \bar{\lambda} \psi$ .

Then  $\|\bar{x}\| = \|\Phi \bar{x} + \bar{\lambda} \psi\|$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2 = \|\bar{x}\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\Phi_i \bar{x} + \bar{\lambda}|_0 \\ &\geq A \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |f_i(s, \bar{x}(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s + A \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k^i(\bar{x}(t_k))| + n\bar{\lambda} \\ &= A \int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, \bar{x}(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + A \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(\bar{x}(t_k)) + n\bar{\lambda} \\ &\geq A\rho_2(F_\infty + I_\infty - 2\varepsilon) + n\bar{\lambda} > \rho_2 + n\bar{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, it follows from Lemma 3.5, (3.2), and (3.3) that  $\Phi$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$  with  $\rho_1 \leq \|x^*\| \leq \rho_2$  which is a positive solution of system (1.4). ■

**Remark 3.7** Using the following  $(h_1^*)$  instead of  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ , the conclusion of Theorem 3.6 is true.

$$(h_1^*) F^0 + I^0 < \frac{1}{B} \text{ and } F_\infty + I_\infty < \frac{1}{A}.$$

**Theorem 3.8** Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(H_3) F^0 = I^0 = 0;$$

$$(H_4) F_\infty = I_\infty = \infty.$$

Then system (1.4) has at least one positive solution.

Since the proof similar to that of Theorem 3.6, we omit it here.

**Theorem 3.9** Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(H_5) 0 < F^\infty, I^\infty < \frac{1}{2B};$$

$$(H_6) \frac{1}{2A} < F_0, I_0 < \infty.$$

Then system (1.4) has at least one positive solution.

**Proof** Since  $0 < F^\infty, I^\infty < \frac{1}{2B}$ , we may choose  $\rho_3 > 0$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x) \Delta s \leq \frac{\|x\|}{2B}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(x) \leq \frac{\|x\|}{2B} \text{ for } \|x\| \geq \rho_3.$$

Set  $\Omega_3 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \rho_3\}$ , then  $\Omega_3$  is a bounded open subset of  $X$  and  $0 \in \Omega_3$ . Thus, if  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_3$ , then from (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi x\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\Phi_i x|_0 \leq B \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |f_i(s, x(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s + B \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k^i(x(t_k))| \\ &= B \int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + B \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(x(t_k)) \leq B \cdot \frac{\|x\|}{2B} + B \cdot \frac{\|x\|}{2B} = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(3.5) \quad \|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\| \text{ for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_3.$$

On the other hand, in view of  $\frac{1}{2A} < F_0, I_0 < \infty$ , there exists  $0 < \rho_4 < \rho_3$  such that for  $0 \leq \|x\| \leq \rho_4$ ,

$$\int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, x) \Delta s \geq (F_0 - \varepsilon_0) \|x\|, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(x) \geq (I_0 - \varepsilon_0) \|x\|,$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is chosen so that  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2}(F_0 + I_0 - \frac{1}{A})$ .

Let  $\Omega_4 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \rho_4\}$ . Obviously,  $\Omega_4$  is an open subset of  $X$  with  $\bar{\Omega}_4 \subset \Omega_3$ . Choose  $\psi = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , then we can claim that for any  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_4$  and  $\mu > 0$

$$(3.6) \quad x \neq \Phi x + \mu\psi.$$

In fact, if not, there exist  $\bar{x} \in K \cap \partial\Omega_4$  and  $\bar{\mu} > 0$  such that  $\bar{x} = \Phi\bar{x} + \bar{\mu}\psi$ . Then  $\|\bar{x}\| = \|\Phi\bar{x} + \bar{\mu}\psi\|$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{x}\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\Phi_i\bar{x} + \bar{\mu}|_0 \\ &\geq A \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |f_i(s, \bar{x}(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s + A \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k^i(\bar{x}(t_k))| + n\bar{\mu} \\ &= A \int_0^{\sigma(T)} F(s, \bar{x}(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + A \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(\bar{x}(t_k)) + n\bar{\mu} \\ &\geq A(F_0 - \varepsilon_0)\|\bar{x}\| + A(I_0 - \varepsilon_0)\|\bar{x}\| + n\bar{\mu} > \|\bar{x}\| + n\bar{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1 it follows from Lemma 3.5, (3.5), and (3.6) that  $\Phi$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_3 \setminus \Omega_4)$  with  $\rho_4 \leq \|x^*\| \leq \rho_3$  which is a positive solution of system (1.4). ■

**Remark 3.10** Using the following  $(h_2^*)$  instead of  $(H_5)$  and  $(H_6)$ , the conclusion of Theorem 3.9 is true.

$$(h_2^*) \quad F^\infty + I^\infty < \frac{1}{B} \text{ and } F_0 + I_0 < \frac{1}{A}.$$

**Theorem 3.11** Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(H_7) \quad F^\infty = I^\infty = 0;$$

$$(H_8) \quad F_0 = I_0 = \infty.$$

Then system (1.4) has at least one positive solution.

Since the proof similar to that of Theorem 3.9, we omit it here.

## 4 Example

**Example 4.1** Let  $T = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ . We consider the following PBVP on  $T$

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) + x(\sigma(t)) = F(t, x(\sigma(t))), & t \in [0, 3]_T, t \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x(\frac{1}{2}^+) - x(\frac{1}{2}^-) = I(x(\frac{1}{2})), \\ x(0) = x(3), \end{cases}$$

where  $n = 2$ ,  $P(t) = \text{diag}[p_1(t), p_2(t)] \equiv \text{diag}[1, 1]$ ,  $T = 3$ , and

$$f_1(t, x) = (1 + t)x_1^2, \quad f_2(t, x) = (1 + t)x_2^3, \quad I^1(x) = x_1^2, \quad I^2(x) = x_2^3.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $F^0 = I^0 = 0$ ,  $F_\infty = I_\infty = \infty$ . Therefore, together with Theorem 3.8, it follows that system (4.1) has at least one positive solution.

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, *Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications*. Results Math. **35**(1999), no. 1–2, 3–22.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Multiple nonnegative solutions for second order impulsive differential equations*. Appl. Math. Comput. **114**(2000), no. 1, 51–59. doi:10.1016/S0096-3003(99)00074-0
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, V. Otero-Espinar, K. Perera, and D. R. Vivero, *Multiple positive solutions in the sense of distributions of singular BVPs on time scales and an application to Emden-Fowler equations*. Adv. Difference Equ. **2008**, Art. ID 796851, 1–13.
- [4] ———, *Wirtinger's inequalities on time scales*. Canad. Math. Bull. **51**(2008), no. 2, 161–171. doi:10.4153/CMB-2008-018-6
- [5] M. U. Akhmet and M. Turan, *The differential equations on time scales through impulsive differential equations*. Nonlinear Anal. **65**(2006), no. 11, 2043–2060. doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.12.042
- [6] ———, *Differential equations on variable time scales*. Nonlinear Anal. **70**(2009), no. 3, 1175–1192. doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.02.020
- [7] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales. An introduction with applications*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [8] ———, *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
- [9] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, *On first order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales*. J. Difference Equ. Appl. **10**(2004), no. 6, 541–548. doi:10.1080/10236190410001667986
- [10] M. Benchohra, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, *Existence results for second order boundary value problem of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **296**(2004), no. 1, 65–73. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.02.057
- [11] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, and S. K. Ntouyas, *Impulsive differential equations and inclusions*. Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications, 2, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York, 2006.
- [12] H. Chen and H. Wang, *Triple positive solutions of boundary value problems for p-Laplacian impulsive dynamic equations on time scales*. Math. Comput. Modelling **47**(2008), no. 9–10, 917–924. doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2007.06.012
- [13] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*. Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 5, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [14] F. Geng, D. Zhu, and Q. Lu, *A new existence result for impulsive dynamic equations on timescales*. Appl. Math. Lett. **20**(2007), no. 2, 206–212. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2006.03.013
- [15] F. Geng, Y. Xu, and D. Zhu, *Periodic boundary value problems for first-order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales*. Nonlinear Anal. **69**(2008), no. 11, 4074–4087. doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.10.038
- [16] J. R. Graef and A. Ouahab, *Extremal solutions for nonresonance impulsive functional dynamic equations on time scales*. Appl. Math. Comput. **196**(2008), no. 1, 333–339. doi:10.1016/j.amc.2007.05.056
- [17] S. Hilger, *Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus*. Results Math. **18**(1990), no. 1–2, 18–56.
- [18] Z. He and J. Yu, *Periodic boundary value problem for first-order impulsive functional differential equations*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **138**(2002), no. 2, 205–217. doi:10.1016/S0377-0427(01)00381-8
- [19] Z. He and X. Zhang, *Monotone iterative technique for first order impulsive differential equations with periodic boundary conditions*. Appl. Math. Comput. **156**(2004), no. 3, 605–620. doi:10.1016/j.amc.2003.08.013
- [20] J. J. Jiao, L.-S. Chen, J. J. Nieto, and A. Torres, *Permanence and global attractivity of stage-structured predator-prey model with continuous harvesting on predator and impulsive stocking on prey*. Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) **29**(2008), no. 5, 653–663. doi:10.1007/s10483-008-0509-x
- [21] B. Kaymakçalan, V. Lakshmikantham, and S. Sivasundaram, *Dynamical systems on measure chains*. Mathematics and its Applications, 370, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [22] R. A. Khan, J. J. Nieto, and V. Otero-Espinar, *Existence and approximation of solution of three-point boundary value problems on time scales*. J. Difference Equ. Appl. **14**(2008), no. 7, 723–736. doi:10.1080/10236190701840906

- [23] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive solutions of operator equations*. P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1964.
- [24] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov, and P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of impulsive differential equations*. Series in Modern Applied Mathematics, 6, World Scientific, Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [25] J. Li, J. J. Nieto, and J. Shen, *Impulsive periodic boundary value problems of first-order differential equations*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **325**(2007), no. 1, 226–236. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.04.005
- [26] J.-L. Li and J.-H. Shen, *Existence of positive periodic solutions to a class of functional differential equations with impulses*. *Math. Appl.* **17**(2004), no. 3, 456–463.
- [27] ———, *Existence results for second-order impulsive boundary value problems on time scales*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**(2009), no. 4, 1648–1655. doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.02.047
- [28] H. Liu and X. Xiang, *A class of the first order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**(2008), no. 9, 2803–2811. doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.08.052
- [29] J. J. Nieto, *Impulsive resonance periodic problems of first order*. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **15**(2002), no. 4, 489–493. doi:10.1016/S0893-9659(01)00163-X
- [30] ———, *Periodic boundary value problems for first-order impulsive ordinary differential equations*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **51**(2002), no. 7, 1223–1232. doi:10.1016/S0362-546X(01)00889-6
- [31] J. J. Nieto and D. O'Regan, *Variational approach to impulsive differential equations*. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **10**(2009), no. 2, 680–690. doi:10.1016/j.nonrwa.2007.10.022
- [32] L. Di Piazza and B. Satco, *A new result on impulsive differential equations involving non-absolutely convergent integrals*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **352**(2009), no. 2, 954–963. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.11.048
- [33] S. T. Zavalishchin and A. N. Sesekin, *Dynamic impulse systems. Theory and applications*. Mathematics and Its Applications, 394, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [34] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, *Existence of solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**(2007), no. 3, 883–888. doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.06.046
- [35] ———, *Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales*. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **54**(2007), no. 6, 861–871. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2007.03.009
- [36] D.-B. Wang, *Positive solutions for nonlinear first-order periodic boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales*. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56**(2008), no. 6, 1496–1504. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2008.02.038
- [37] G. Zeng, F. Wang, and J. J. Nieto, *Complexity of a delayed predator-prey model with impulsive harvest and Holling type II functional response*. *Adv. Complex Syst.* **11**(2008), no. 1, 77–97. doi:10.1142/S0219525908001519
- [38] H. Zhang, L. Chen, and J. J. Nieto, *A delayed epidemic model with stage-structure and pulses for pest management strategy*. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **9**(2008), no. 4, 1714–1726. doi:10.1016/j.nonrwa.2007.05.004
- [39] N. Zhang, B. Dai, and X. Qian, *Periodic solutions for a class of higher-dimension functional differential equations with impulses*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**(2008), no. 3, 629–638. doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.11.024

Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu 730050, P. R. China  
e-mail: wangdb@lut.cn