

IRREDUCIBILITY OF BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS OF HIGHER ORDER

P. J. McCARTHY

The Bernoulli polynomials of order k , where k is a positive integer, are defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1}\right)^k e^{xt} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^m}{m!}.$$

$B_m^{(k)}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m with rational coefficients, and the constant term of $B_m^{(k)}(x)$ is the m th Bernoulli number of order k , $B_m^{(k)}$. In a previous paper **(3)** we obtained some conditions, in terms of k and m , which imply that $B_m^{(k)}(x)$ is irreducible (all references to irreducibility will be with respect to the field of rational numbers). In particular, we obtained the following two results.

THEOREM A. *Let p be an odd prime and let $k \leq p$ and $t > 0$. Then $B_{m(p-1)p^t}^{(k)}(x)$ is irreducible for $1 \leq m \leq p$.*

THEOREM B. *For any integer $k \geq 1$ there is an integer $T(k)$ such that for all $t \geq T(k)$, $B_{2^t}^{(k)}(x)$ is irreducible.*

In viewing Theorem A, one is led to wonder what the situation is when $k > p$. In this paper we shall give at least a partial answer to this question. We shall show that a result like Theorem B holds for all primes. Furthermore, we shall obtain an explicit bound for the $T(k)$ of Theorem B.

First, however, we must introduce some terminology and notation. Let p be any prime. A polynomial with rational coefficients will be called a p -Eisenstein polynomial if it satisfies the conditions of the Eisenstein irreducibility criterion with p as the prime involved in the conditions. Such a polynomial is irreducible.

If k is a positive integer and p is a prime, write

$$k = a_1 p^{j_1} + a_2 p^{j_2} + \dots + a_r p^{j_r}, \quad 0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r,$$

$$0 \leq a_i \leq p - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

and set $j_p(k) = j_1$ and $r_p(k) = r$. We shall make use of the following result of Carlitz **(2)**, Theorem A).

THEOREM C. *If k is a positive integer and p is a prime, then the denominator of $p^{r_p(k)} B_m^{(k)}$ is prime to p for all m .*

We shall now turn to the principal result of this paper.

Received January 15, 1962.

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime, let $1 \leq m < p$, and let $n = m(p - 1)p^t$. Further, let $T_p^*(1) = 0$ and for $k \geq 2$,*

$$T_p^*(k) = \max[T_p^*(k - 1), r_p(k - 1)] + j_p(k - 1).$$

Then, for all $t \geq T_p^(k)$, $pB_n^{(k)}(x)$ is a p -Eisenstein polynomial.*

Proof. For $k = 1$ the result follows from the work of Carlitz (1, §2). We now assume that $k > 1$ and that $B_n^{(k-1)}(x)$ is irreducible for all $t \geq T_p^*(k - 1)$. Let $t \geq T_p^*(k)$. We have (4, p. 145)

$$B_n^{(k)}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{k - 1}\right) B_n^{(k-1)}(x) + (x - k + 1) \frac{n}{k - 1} B_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(x).$$

Since $t \geq r_p(k - 1) + j_p(k - 1)$, it follows from Theorem C that both

$$\frac{n}{k - 1} B_n^{(k-1)}(x) \text{ and } \frac{n}{k - 1} B_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(x)$$

have coefficients whose denominators are prime to p . Hence

$$pB_n^{(k)}(x) \equiv pB_n^{(k-1)}(x) \pmod{p}.$$

Since $t \geq T_p^*(k - 1)$, $pB_n^{(k-1)}(x)$ is a p -Eisenstein polynomial. Since the leading coefficient of $pB_n^{(k)}(x)$ is p , this polynomial is also a p -Eisenstein polynomial.

If we now define $T_p^{**}(k)$ to be the smallest non-negative integer such that for all $t \geq T_p^{**}(k)$, $pB_n^{(k)}(x)$ is a p -Eisenstein polynomial, then it is clear that if we redefine $T_p^*(k)$ by $T_p^*(1) = 0$ and for $k > 2$,

$$T_p^*(k) = \max[T_p^{**}(k - 1), r_p(k - 1)] + j_p(k - 1),$$

the result of Theorem 1 continues to hold.

COROLLARY. *With p , m , and n as in Theorem 1, there is, for each positive integer k , a smallest non-negative integer $T_p(k)$ such that for all $t \geq T_p(k)$, $B_n^{(k)}(x)$ is irreducible.*

Suppose that $k < p$. Then $r_p(k - 1) = 1$ and $j_p(k - 1) = 0$. Hence $T_p(k) = 1$. Thus, Theorems A and B are corollaries of Theorem 1. We now consider in more detail the case $p = 2$.

THEOREM 2. *Let k be a positive integer. For all $t \geq k$, $B_{2^t}^{(k)}(x)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $T'(1) = 1$ and for $k > 1$,

$$T'(k) = T'(k - 1) + j_2(k - 1).$$

We shall show that $T'(k) \geq T_2^*(k)$. This is true when $k = 1$. Suppose that $k > 1$ and that $T'(k - 1) \geq T_2^*(k - 1)$.

We first show that $T'(k) \geq r_2(k)$ for $k \geq 1$. This is true for $k = 1$, and we assume that $k > 1$ and that $T'(k - 1) \geq r_2(k - 1)$. If k is odd, then $j_2(k - 1) > 0$, and so $T'(k) \geq r_2(k - 1) + 1 = r_2(k)$. If k is even, then $j_2(k - 1) = 0$,

and so $T'(k) = T'(k-1) \geq r_2(k-1)$. But $r_2(k) \leq r_2(k-1)$, so $T'(k) \geq r_2(k)$ in this case also. Thus, for all $k > 1$, $T'(k) = T'(k-1) + j_2(k-1) \geq \max [T_2^*(k-1), r_2(k-1)] + j_2(k-1) = T_2(k)$.

We see, therefore, that $B_{2^t(k)}(x)$ is irreducible for all $t \geq T'(k)$. But, $T'(k) = T'(1) + j_2(1) + \dots + j_2(k-1) = 1 + (\text{the number of times 2 divides } (k-1)!) \leq k$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. This result is the best possible that this particular proof can yield, since for all $t \geq 1$, $T'(2^t) = 2^t$.

The estimate $T_2(k) \leq k$ given by Theorem 2 is a very rough one indeed. We have determined that $T_2(1) = T_2(2) = T_2(4) = 0$ and $T_2(3) = 2$. In determining $T_2(k)$, the following facts are helpful. First, $B_{k-1}(x)$ is reducible (4, p. 147). Second, $B_2(k)(x)$ is irreducible if and only if $3k$ is not a perfect square (3, p. 317). Third, consulting the table on (4, p. 459), we find that $240B_4(k)(x) = 240x^4 - 480kx^3 + 120k(3k-1)x^2 - 120k^2(k-1)x + k(15k^3 - 30k^2 + 5k + 2)$: this is a 5-Eisenstein polynomial if k is not divisible by 5, and a 3-Eisenstein polynomial if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. It would not be surprising if $B_{2^t(k)}(x)$ is irreducible except when $k = 2^t + 1$ and $t > 0$. This is in accord with the more general conjecture that $B_{2m(k)}(x)$ is irreducible except when $k = 2m + 1$.

REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, *Note on irreducibility of the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials*, Duke Math. J., 19 (1952), 475-481.
2. ———, *A note on Bernoulli numbers of higher order*, Scripta Math., 22 (1956), 217-221.
3. P. J. McCarthy, *Some irreducibility theorems for Bernoulli polynomials of higher order*, Duke Math. J., 27 (1960), 313-318.
4. N. E. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, Berlin (1924).

University of Kansas