

VERTICALITY OF (-1) -LINES IN SCROLLS OVER SMOOTH SURFACES

ANTONIO LANTERI

ABSTRACT. Let S be a smooth surface contained as an ample divisor in a smooth complex projective threefold X , which is a \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle, and assume that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(S)$ induces $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)$ on the fibres of X . The following fact is proven. The restriction to S of the bundle projection of X is exactly the reduction morphism of the pair (S, \mathcal{L}_S) , provided that this one is not a conic bundle. The proof is very simple and does not involve any consideration on the nefness of the adjoint bundle $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$. Some applications of the proof are given.

Statement of the results Let $p : X \rightarrow S^\sim$ be a \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth complex projective surface S^\sim and let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X satisfying the following conditions:

- i) \mathcal{L} induces $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)$ on every fibre f of p , and
- ii) the complete linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ contains a smooth surface S .

If i) holds, the polarized threefold (X, \mathcal{L}) is said to be a scroll over S^\sim . If also ii) holds, it is known (e.g. see [6, Lemma I-A], or [5, (6.5)]) that S is a meromorphic non-holomorphic section of p , i.e. S meets the general fibre of p at a single point, but must contain some fibres. This means that the morphism $p|_S : S \rightarrow S^\sim$ contracts some (-1) -curves of S , which, due to i), are (-1) -lines of the polarized surface (S, L) , where $L = \mathcal{L}_S$. Assume that (S, L) is not a conic bundle. Then, as is known, all the (-1) -lines of (S, L) are disjoint and the birational morphism $r : S \rightarrow S'$ contracting all of them gives rise to a smooth surface S' containing an ample line bundle L' such that $K_S \otimes L = r^*(K_{S'} \otimes L')$. The pair (S', L') is usually referred to as the reduction of (S, L) . The above description implies that the reduction morphism r factors through $p|_S$. The main result I prove in this note is that in fact $r = p|_S$. In other words,

THEOREM 1. *Let X, \mathcal{L}, S and L be as before. If (S, L) is not a conic bundle, then $p|_S$ contracts all the (-1) -lines of (S, L) .*

This result is well known at least when \mathcal{L} is very ample and perhaps also when \mathcal{L} is ample and spanned by its global sections. Actually in these cases, it could be proved by using general results in adjunction theory [8, secs. 2, 3]. When \mathcal{L} is merely ample and condition ii) is fulfilled (e.g. it can happen that S is the unique member of $|\mathcal{L}|$) it could be proved again by using the general theory. Actually, by [4], [1], we know that $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$

Received by the editors June 28, 1989 and, in revised form, October 1, 1989.

AMS subject classification: 14C20, 14J30.

Keywords and phrases: ample line bundle, scroll, quadric bundle, adjunction theory.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

is nef apart from some simple exceptions. Continuing the proof from this point on is not difficult, though it will take about as much space as the proof I give here, which is very direct, elementary and does not involve any consideration on the nefness of $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$.

Note that Th. 1 immediately extends to higher dimensions in the following form.

THEOREM 1'. *Let $p: Y \rightarrow S^\sim$ be a \mathbf{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a smooth projective surface S^\sim and let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on Y such that: $j) \mathcal{L}$ induces $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(1)$ on every fibre of p and $jj)$ there is a smooth surface S , which is the transverse intersection of $n - 2$ smooth elements of $|\mathcal{L}|$. Then $p|_S$ is just the reduction morphism of (S, \mathcal{L}_S) .*

A slight modification of the argument proving Theorem 1, allows me to prove also

THEOREM 2. *Let X, \mathcal{L}, S and L be as before and assume that (S, L) is a conic bundle over some smooth curve $B \neq \mathbf{P}^1$. Then (X, \mathcal{L}) has the structure of a quadric bundle over B .*

As a consequence of Theorem 2 and of [7, Thm. IV] we have the following fact (in the special setting of very ample line bundles e.g. see [3, sec. 1]).

COROLLARY. *Let X be a smooth complex projective threefold and let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X satisfying *ii)*. Then (X, \mathcal{L}) is a quadric bundle over a smooth curve $B \neq \mathbf{P}^1$ iff (S, \mathcal{L}_S) is a conic bundle over B .*

Note again that the sufficient condition for (X, \mathcal{L}) to be a quadric bundle given by the above statement does not involve any consideration on the nefness of $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$. This fact can be useful in some instances.

PROOFS

(1.1) **PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** By contradiction, assume that $p|_S$ does not contract a (-1) -line E . Then, since all (-1) -lines of (S, L) are disjoint, $E^\sim = p(E)$ is a (-1) -line of (S^\sim, L^\sim) , where L^\sim is the ample line bundle on S^\sim defined by

$$(1.1.1) \quad K_S \otimes L = p^*(K_{S^\sim} \otimes L^\sim).$$

Consider the rational \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle $F = p^*E^\sim$ and note that E is a section of F . Moreover

$$(1.1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_F = \mathcal{O}_F(E).$$

In particular, due to the ampleness of \mathcal{L} , E is an ample, hence a very ample, divisor in F [2, p. 380]. Now note that

$$(1.1.3) \quad K_X = \mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes p^*(K_{S^\sim} \otimes L^\sim).$$

Actually, by adjunction, $K_{X_f} = K_f = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-2)$, so that $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$ restricts trivially to the fibres of X . Hence $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = p^*D$, for some line bundle D on S^\sim . On the other hand, by

restricting $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$ to S , adjunction gives $K_S \otimes L = p_{|S}^*D$, and by comparing this with (1.1.1) we get (1.1.3). Note also that

$$(p^*(K_{S^\sim} \otimes L^\sim))_F = O_F \quad \text{and} \quad O_X(F) = O_F(-f),$$

since E^\sim is a (-1) -line of (S^\sim, L^\sim) . Then, by restricting (1.1.3) to F , by adjunction and by (1.1.2) we get

$$K_F = (K_X \otimes O_X(F))_F = (\mathcal{L}^{-2})_F \otimes O_F(-f) = O_F(-2E - f).$$

Therefore $c_1(F)^2 = 4E^2 + 4$. On the other hand it must be $c_1(F)^2 = 8$, since F is a rational \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle, and this implies $E^2 = 1$, contradicting the very ampleness of E on F . ■

(1.2) PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let $\pi: S \rightarrow B$ be the ruling projection of the conic bundle (S, L) . Note that all irreducible components of the reducible fibres of π are (-1) -lines, but S should also contain some (-1) -line transversal to the fibres of π . In this case however it would be $B = \mathbf{P}^1$, contradiction. Let L^\sim be the ample line bundle on S^\sim defined by (1.1.1). Since $p_{|S}$ contracts only (-1) -lines contained in the fibres of π , we have that (S^\sim, L^\sim) is again a conic bundle over B and π factors through $p_{|S}$ and the ruling projection $\pi^\sim: S^\sim \rightarrow B$ of (S^\sim, L^\sim) . Moreover the composite map $\pi^\sim \circ p: X \rightarrow B$ fibres X over B with general fibre a rational \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle F . We have to show that (F, L_F) is a quadric of \mathbf{P}^3 polarized by its hyperplane bundle. To see this note that (1.1.3) does not depend on the assumption of Theorem 1. Furthermore we have $O_X(F)_F = O_F$, since F is a fibre of $\pi^\sim \circ p$; in addition $(p^*(K_{S^\sim} \otimes L^\sim))_F = O_F$, since $K_{S^\sim} \otimes L^\sim$ restricts trivially to the fibres of π^\sim . Then, by adjunction, we get from (1.1.3)

$$K_F = (K_X \otimes O_X(F))_F = (K_X)_F = (\mathcal{L}^{-2})_F.$$

Since \mathcal{L}_F is ample, and thus very ample [2, p. 380], this shows that F is a Del Pezzo surface of index 2, and this means exactly that (F, L_F) is a quadric of \mathbf{P}^3 polarized by its hyperplane bundle. This completes the proof. ■

(1.3) PROOF OF COROLLARY. We only sketch the proof of the if part, the converse being trivial. Since S is a ruled surface it follows from [7, Thm. IV] that either (X, \mathcal{L}) admits a reduction (X', \mathcal{L}') belonging to a precise list, or (X, \mathcal{L}) is a scroll over a smooth surface. In the former case one immediately checks that (X', \mathcal{L}') and hence (X, \mathcal{L}) is a quadric bundle. In the latter case the assertion follows from Theorem 2. ■

REFERENCES

1. T. Fujita, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*. Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10**, 1987. Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985, 167–178.
2. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1977.
3. P. Ionescu, *Embedded projective varieties of small invariants*. Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Bucharest 1982, 142–186. Lect. Notes Math. 1056. Springer Verlag, New York, 1984.
4. P. Ionescu, *Generalized adjunction and applications*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **99** (1986), 457–472.

5. A. Lanteri, D. Struppa, *Projective manifolds whose topology is strongly reflected in their hyperplane sections*. *Geometriae Dedicata*, **21** (1986) 357–374.
6. A. J. Sommese, *On manifolds that cannot be ample divisors*. *Math. Ann.*, **221** (1976), 55–72.
7. A. J. Sommese, *Ample divisors on threefolds*. *Algebraic Threefolds, Proc. Varenna 1981*. *Lect. Notes Math.* **947**, 229–240. Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
8. A. J. Sommese, *On the adjunction theoretic structure of projective varieties*. *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Göttingen 1985*. *Lect. Notes Math* **1194**, 175–213. Springer Verlag, New York, 1986.

Dipartimento di Matematica
“F. Enriques”-Università
Via C. Saldini 50
I-20133 Milano, Italy