

## CW DECOMPOSITIONS OF EQUIVARIANT CW COMPLEXES

M. CENCELJ AND N. MRAMOR KOSTA

We discuss conditions which ensure that a  $G$ -CW complex is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a CW complex with cellular action with respect to some CW decomposition of the compact Lie group  $G$ . For  $G = SU(2)$ , we prove that for every  $G$ -CW complex  $X$ , there exists a CW complex  $Y$  which is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to  $X$ , such that the action  $G \times Y \rightarrow Y$  is a cellular map.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group. A  $G$ -cell of dimension  $n$  is a space of the form  $G/H \times D^n$ , where  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $D^n$  is an  $n$ -cell. A  $G$ -CW complex  $X$  (or an *equivariant CW complex* in the terminology of [9]) is constructed by iterated attaching of  $G$ -cells. It is the union of  $G$ -spaces  $X^{(n)}$  such that  $X^{(0)}$  is a disjoint union of  $G$ -cells of dimension 0, that is, orbits  $G/H$ , and  $X^{(n+1)}$  is obtained from  $X^{(n)}$  by attaching  $G$ -cells of dimension  $n+1$  along equivariant attaching maps  $G/H \times \partial D^{n+1} \rightarrow X^{(n)}$ . The space  $X^{(n)}$ , which is called the  $n$ -skeleton of  $X$ , is thus the union of all  $G$ -cells of dimension at most  $n$  (the topological dimension of  $X^{(n)}$  is in general greater than  $n$ ). For basic facts about  $G$ -complexes see the original papers [5] and [3] or the exposition in [9].

For discrete groups  $G$  it is well known that every  $G$ -CW complex is also a CW complex with a cellular action of  $G$  (this follows for example from [9, Proposition 1.16, p. 102]). For non-discrete groups, Illman [4] gave an example showing that a  $G$ -CW complex  $X$  does not always admit a CW decomposition, compatible with the given  $G$ -CW decomposition, and proved that there always exists a homotopy equivalent CW complex  $Y$  which is finite if  $X$  is a finite  $G$ -complex.

In this paper we consider the following problem. Given a  $G$ -CW complex  $X$ , does there exist a  $G$ -space  $Y$ ,  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to  $X$ , with a CW decomposition such that the action  $\rho: G \times Y \rightarrow Y$  is a cellular map with respect to some decomposition of  $G$ . The existence of such a  $Y$  is interesting from the point of view of equivariant homology and cohomology. For example, Greenlees and May showed that for some groups  $G$  the generalised Tate cohomology defined in [1] can be calculated from the CW decomposition

---

Received 19th April, 2001

Partially supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia Research Grant No. J1-0885-0101-98.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/02 \$A2.00+0.00.

of  $Y$ . Also, the Borel equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*(X) = H^*(EG \times_G X)$  of a  $G$ -CW complex  $X$  can be computed using the cellular cohomology of the CW complex  $Y$  which is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to  $EG \times_G X$ .

In general, it is not known to the authors if, for a given group  $G$ , every  $G$ -CW complex is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a CW complex  $Y$  with the required properties. For  $G = S^1$ , Greenlees and May [1, Lemma 14.1] gave a construction of  $Y$  for any  $X$ . In case of non-Abelian groups, the construction of  $Y$  is more difficult, since the fixed point sets  $(G/H)^K$  of actions of subgroups  $K < G$  on the orbits  $G/H$  are in general nontrivial. In [7], the two non-Abelian 1-dimensional compact Lie groups, the orthogonal group  $O(2)$  and the continuous quaternionic group  $N_{SU(2)}T$ , are considered but the construction of  $Y$  rests on a property of these two groups which is satisfied only for a few particular groups  $G$ . In this paper we consider the 3-dimensional group  $G = SU(2)$ .

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, two conditions on the set of isotropy subgroups of a  $G$ -CW complex  $X$  which enable the construction of a  $G$ -homotopy equivalent CW complex  $Y$  by induction on the  $G$ -skeletons of  $X$  are stated. We show that the class of  $G$ -CW complexes with finitely many isotropy types satisfies these two conditions. We also show that if a group  $G$  has the property that the set of all closed subgroups satisfies these two conditions, then every  $G$ -CW complex has a  $G$ -homotopy equivalent CW complex with a cellular action of  $G$ . In Section 3, the actions of subgroups of the group  $SU(2)$  on orbits of  $SU(2)$  are analysed. We show that a set of closed subgroups of  $SU(2)$  satisfies the two conditions of Section 2 and as a result obtain our main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Any  $SU(2)$ -CW complex  $X$  is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a CW complex  $Y$  which is an  $SU(2)$ -space with a cellular action of  $SU(2)$ .*

Finally, in Section 4 some other examples are discussed.

## 2. REPRESENTATIVE FAMILIES OF SUBGROUPS

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,  $X$  a  $G$ -CW complex, and  $\mathcal{H}$  the family of isotropy subgroups of the action.

For a  $G$ -CW complex  $X$ , a *representative family*  $\mathcal{K}$  of isotropy subgroups is a family of closed subgroups of  $G$  such that each isotropy subgroup of  $X$  is conjugate to a member of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We shall call a representative family *good* with respect to a given CW decomposition of  $G$  if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) For each  $H \in \mathcal{K}$ , there is a CW decomposition of  $G/H$  with respect to which the action  $\mu: G \times G/H \rightarrow G/H$  is cellular.
- (2) For each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , the fixed point set  $(G/H)^K$  is a subcomplex of the CW complex  $G/H$ .

Let us first prove that the existence of a good representative family suffices for the construction of a  $G$ -homotopy equivalent CW complex  $Y$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group with a given CW decomposition,  $X$  a  $G$ -CW complex and  $\mathcal{K}$  a good representative family of isotropy subgroups. Then there exists a CW complex  $Y$  with a cellular action of  $G$  and a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence  $h: X \rightarrow Y$ .*

**PROOF:** Following [1, Lemma 14.1], we shall construct a CW complex  $Y$  and a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  by induction on the  $G$ -skeletons  $X^{(i)}$  of  $X$ .

Since every isotropy subgroup of  $X$  is conjugate to a member of  $\mathcal{K}$ , the 0-skeleton  $X^{(0)}$  is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of orbits  $G/H_i$ , where  $H_i \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is good, every orbit  $G/H$  has a CW decomposition satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Let  $Y_0$  be  $X^{(0)}$  with this CW decomposition on every  $G$ -cell  $G/H_i$ . Because of condition (1), the action  $\mu: G \times Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is cellular, and because of condition (2),

$$(Y_0)^K = \coprod (G/H_i)^K$$

is a subcomplex of  $Y_0$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . The  $G$ -homotopy equivalence on the 0-skeleton is the identity  $h_0 = \text{id}: X^{(0)} \rightarrow Y_0$ .

By induction we assume that there exist a CW complex  $Y_{n-1}$  with a cellular action of  $G$ , such that for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  the fixed point set  $(Y_{n-1})^K$  is a subcomplex, and a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence

$$h_{n-1}: X^{(n-1)} \rightarrow Y_{n-1}.$$

For any  $G$ -cell  $e_\nu^n \in X^{(n)}$ , the attaching  $G$ -map  $G/H_\nu \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow X^{(n-1)}$  is determined by its restriction

$$\varphi_\nu: S^{n-1} \rightarrow (X^{(n-1)})^{H_\nu}.$$

Let  $\psi_\nu$  be a non-equivariant cellular approximation of the composition

$$h_{n-1} \circ \varphi_\nu: S^{n-1} \rightarrow (Y_{n-1})^{H_\nu}.$$

Since the action of  $G$  on  $Y_{n-1}$  is cellular, the natural  $G$ -extension

$$\tilde{\psi}_\nu: G/H_\nu \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow Y_{n-1}$$

of  $\psi_\nu$  is also cellular, and the space

$$Y_n = \coprod_{e_\nu^n \in X^{(n)}} (G/H_\nu \times D^n) \cup_{\coprod \tilde{\psi}_\nu} Y_{n-1}$$

is a CW complex with a cellular action of  $G$ . For each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , the fixed point set  $(Y_n)^K$  is obtained by gluing the subcomplexes  $(G/H_\nu)^K \times D^n$ , corresponding to the  $n$ -cells, and the subcomplex  $(Y_{n-1})^K$  along a cellular map. So  $(Y_n)^K$  is a subcomplex of  $Y_n$ . The  $G$ -homotopy  $h_n$  is obtained so that  $h_{n-1}$  is extended  $G$ -cell by  $G$ -cell over the whole space  $Y_n$ . In the direct limit, we obtain the desired CW complex  $Y$  and  $G$ -homotopy equivalence  $h$ . □

For example, for any compact Lie group  $G$ , every  $G$ -CW complex which consists of a free part and a part which is fixed by the action is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a CW complex with a cellular action of  $G$ . More generally,

**PROPOSITION 2.** *For every  $G$ -CW complex with a finite representative family of isotropy groups  $\mathcal{K}$  which satisfies condition (1) there exists a  $G$ -homotopy equivalent CW complex  $Y$  with a cellular action of  $G$ .*

**PROOF:** By assumption, condition (1) is satisfied. The following lemma shows that condition (2) is also satisfied, so the family  $\mathcal{K}$  is good. □

**LEMMA 1.** *If for a given  $H \in \mathcal{K}$  the collection of fixed point sets  $(G/H)^K, K \in \mathcal{K}$ , is a finite family of subsets of  $G/H$ , then the orbit  $G/H$  has a CW-decomposition with respect to which every fixed point set  $(G/H)^K, K \in \mathcal{K}$ , is a subcomplex.*

**PROOF:** For every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  the orbit  $(G/H)$  is a smooth  $K$ -manifold, and the fixed point set  $(G/H)^K$  is a submanifold [9, p. 42] which is nontrivial only if  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $H$ . The family  $\{(G/H)^K, K \in \mathcal{K}\}$  is a finite family of smooth submanifolds of  $G/H$  which, by the differentiable slice theorem (compare for example [2, Theorem I.5]), intersect transversally. By [6, 10.11,10.14], this implies that there exists a CW decomposition of  $G/H$  such that each  $(G/H)^K, K \in \mathcal{K}$ , is a subcomplex. □

**COROLLARY** *If there exists a good representative family of all closed subgroups of a compact Lie group  $G$ , then every  $G$ -CW complex  $X$  has a  $G$ -homotopy equivalent CW complex  $Y$  with a cellular action of  $G$ .*

**PROOF:** This follows immediately from Proposition 1. □

### 3. A GOOD REPRESENTATIVE FAMILY FOR $SU(2)$

Let  $G$  be  $SU(2) \cong Sp(1)$ . An element  $x \in G$  can be represented in the form

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -\bar{z}_2 & \bar{z}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

or as the unit quaternion  $q = z_1 + jz_2$ . The centre  $Z_G$  is generated by  $-I \in SU(2)$  (or  $-1 \in Sp(1)$ ), the only element of order 2. The projection  $G \rightarrow G/Z_G \cong SO(3)$  associates to a unit quaternion written in polar form as  $q = (\cos \varphi, je)$  the rotation with axis  $e \in \mathbb{R}^3$  through the angle  $\varphi$ .

The isomorphism classes of closed subgroups of  $SU(2)$  are known. Since there are no non-Abelian 2-dimensional Lie groups, the dimension of a proper closed subgroup is at most 1. The 0- and 1-dimensional subgroups are ([10, p. 155]: [8, p. 404]):

1. the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ , which is a maximal torus;
2. the normaliser  $NT = N_{SU(2)}T$  of a maximal torus;

3. a cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ ;
4. the quaternionic group

$$\langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$$

or a generalised quaternionic group

$$\langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle;$$

5. the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , which is a lift of the tetrahedral subgroup of  $SO(3)$ ;
6. the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ , which is a lift of the icosahedral subgroup of  $SO(3)$ ;
7. a lift of the octahedral subgroup of  $SO(3)$ , which is an extension of the symmetric group  $S_4$ .

Let the representative family  $\mathcal{K}$  consist of the following closed subgroups of  $SU(2)$ .

1. The conjugacy class of maximal tori is represented by the group of real rotations

$$SO(2) = \left\{ a_t = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

2. The conjugacy class of normalisers of maximal tori is represented by  $NSO(2)$  which is generated by  $SO(2)$  and the element

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. The cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  are represented by subgroups  $C_n < SO(2)$ , generated by rotations  $a_{2\pi/n}$ . In a group of rank 1, a cyclic group of order  $n$  is completely determined by the maximal torus in which it lies, and so, since the maximal tori are all conjugate, every cyclic subgroup of order  $n$  is conjugate to  $C_n$ .

4. The generalised quaternionic groups are represented by subgroups  $G_{2n} < NSO(2)$ , where the generator  $x$  is the rotation  $a_{\pi/n}$  and  $y$  is  $u$ . Let us show that there is only one conjugacy class of groups isomorphic to  $G_{2n}$  in  $SU(2)$ . Every subgroup  $H = \langle x, y \rangle \cong G_{2n}$  of  $SU(2)$  is contained in the normaliser  $NT$  of some maximal torus  $T$ , more precisely in  $NT = T \cdot y$ , where  $T$  is the maximal torus through  $x$ . Since all normalisers of maximal tori are conjugate, we can assume that  $H < NSO(2)$ . In this case,  $x \in SO(2)$ , and  $y$  is in the non-identity component of  $NSO(2)$ . All elements of the non-identity component of  $NSO(2)$  are of the form

$$u(t) = \begin{bmatrix} i \cos t & i \sin t \\ i \sin t & -i \cos t \end{bmatrix}$$

and are of order 4. For every  $t$ , the group  $H(t) = \langle a_{2\pi/n}, u(t) \rangle \cong G_{2n}$ , and is conjugate to  $G_{2n}$  by the element  $u(t/2)$ .

5. The remaining three groups have only one conjugacy class each in  $SU(2)$ , since their projections to  $SO(3)$ , the symmetry groups of the tetrahedron, octahedron or icosahedron, have only one conjugacy class each in  $SO(3)$ . Two copies,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , of the same symmetry group in  $SO(3)$  are conjugate by the matrix describing the change of basis which takes the polyhedron fixed by  $H_1$  to the polyhedron fixed by  $H_2$ . An obvious choice for the representative of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is  $NG_4$ . For the remaining two groups, any choice of representatives is good.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The family  $\mathcal{K}$  is a good representative family of conjugacy classes of all closed subgroups of  $SU(2)$  with respect to the standard decomposition of  $SU(2)$  into one 0-cell and one 3-cell.*

PROOF: Let us first prove that the representative family  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies condition (1).  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $G = SU(2)$  is given the standard CW decomposition into one 0-cell and one 3-cell and  $H < G$  is a closed subgroup, then for any CW decomposition of the orbit  $G/H$ , the action  $\mu : G \times G/H \rightarrow G/H$  is cellular.*

PROOF: Choose  $e^0 = I \in SU(2)$ . For any closed subgroup  $H$ , the quotient  $G/H$  is a connected manifold of dimension 2 or 3. For any CW decomposition of  $G/H$ , the 0, 1 and 2 skeletons of  $G \times G/H$  consist of cells of the form  $e^0 \times f_v^j$ , where  $f_v^j$  is a  $j$ -cell of  $G/H$ , and  $j = 0, 1$  or  $2$ . Since multiplication by  $e^0 = I$  is the identity,

$$\mu(e^0 \times f_v^j) = f_v^j \subset (G \times G/H)^{(j)}.$$

For  $j \geq 3$ , the  $j$ -skeleton of  $G \times G/H$  is mapped to  $G/H = (G/H)^{(3)}$ .  $\square$

This implies that it suffices to find a CW decomposition for every orbit  $G/H$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{K}$ , such that all fixed point sets  $(G/H)^K$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , are subcomplexes. In order to prove this we shall show that for every  $H \in \mathcal{K}$  the family  $\{(G/H)^K, K \in \mathcal{K}\}$  of fixed point sets is a finite family of subsets of  $G/H$ . By Lemma 1 it follows that the family  $\mathcal{K}$  is good.

The fixed point set of the action of  $K$  on  $G/H$  can be described as

$$(G/H)^K = \{gH \mid g^{-1}Kg < H\}.$$

It is nontrivial only if  $K$  is subconjugate to  $H$ . So, for every  $H \in \mathcal{K}$ , it suffices to consider the subgroups  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  which are subconjugate to  $H$ .

If  $H$  is a finite group, it has only finitely many subconjugate groups, so the family of fixed point sets  $(G/H)^K$  is finite. It remains to consider the two 1-dimensional groups in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The only nontrivial groups  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  subconjugate to  $SO(2)$  are  $SO(2)$  and the cyclic groups  $C_n$ . A short computation shows that for every  $n \neq 2$ ,

$$(G/SO(2))^{C_n} = NSO(2)/SO(2) = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

If  $n = 2$ , then  $C_2$  is the centre  $Z_G$ , and  $(G/SO(2))^{C_2} = G/SO(2)$ . The family  $\{(G/SO(2))^K, K \in \mathcal{K}\}$  therefore has two members: the whole space  $G/SO(2)$  and  $NSO(2)/SO(2)$ .

A nontrivial group  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  subconjugate to  $NSO(2)$  is either a cyclic group  $C_n$ , a quaternionic group  $G_{2n}$ ,  $SO(2)$ , or the whole group  $NSO(2)$ . For  $K = C_n, n \neq 2, 4$ , every subgroup of  $NSO(2)$  conjugate to  $C_n$  must be contained in  $SO(2)$ , since every element  $u(t)$  of the non-unit component of  $NSO(2)$  has order 4. So  $K = C_n$ . Any conjugation  $c_g : G \rightarrow G$  which maps  $C_n$  into  $NSO(2)$  must therefore map the generator of  $C_n$  to an element of  $C_n$ . So

$$(G/NSO(2))^{C_n} = (G/NSO(2))^{SO(2)} = NSO(2)/NSO(2)$$

is a point. The group  $C_4$  is conjugate to every cyclic subgroup of  $NSO(2)$  generated by an element  $u(t)$ , and

$$(G/NSO(2))^{C_4} = \{gNSO(2) \mid g^{-1}a_{\pi/2}g = u(t) \text{ for some } t\}.$$

For  $n = 2$ ,  $(G/NSO(2))^{C_2} = G/NSO(2)$ . The only subgroup of  $NSO(2)$  conjugate to  $G_{2n}$  is  $G_{2n}$ , and any conjugation  $c_g : G \rightarrow G$  which maps  $G_{2n}$  into  $NSO(2)$  must preserve the subgroup  $C_n$ , and it must map  $u$  into some element  $u(t)$ . A simple computation shows that this is true for every  $g \in NSO(2)$ . On the other hand, it is not true if  $g \notin NSO(2)$ , since no such element preserves rotations. So,  $(G/NSO(2))^{G_{2n}} = NSO(2)/NSO(2)$  is a point for all  $n$ . The remaining finite three subgroups in  $\mathcal{K}$  are not isomorphic to any subgroup of  $NSO(2)$ . The family  $(G/NSO(2))^K, K \in \mathcal{K}$ , therefore has three members.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Since we have found a representative family for the family of all closed subgroups of  $SU(2)$  which is good with respect to the standard CW decomposition of the group  $SU(2)$ , the theorem follows from the Corollary.  $\square$

#### 4. SOME EXAMPLES

In this section, we give several examples concerning a question posed in [7]. In [7] it is proved that for  $G = O(2)$  or  $G = N_{SU(2)}T$ , a  $G$ -CW complex is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a CW complex with cellular action of  $G$ . The proof rests on the following property of these two 1-dimensional groups. The natural projection  $\pi$  from the set of all closed subgroups  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  to the set of all conjugacy classes of closed subgroups  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  has a section  $\nu : \mathcal{C}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that if  $(H) < (K)$  then  $\nu((H)) < \nu((K))$ , where the notation  $(H) < (K)$  means that  $H$  is subconjugate to  $K$ . The question of necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a  $\nu$  is posed.

Let us first show that this condition is not satisfied in  $SU(2)$ . Let us pick any representative  $T$  for the maximal torus. A representative for the conjugacy class of  $G_4$  must contain the representative  $H_4 < T$  for  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ . Once a representative for  $G_4$  is chosen,

it determines the representative for  $NG_4 \cong SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , which also contains  $H_4 < T$  but no cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}/n \cong H_n < T$ ,  $n > 4$ . Specifically, it does not contain the representative for the conjugacy class  $\mathbb{Z}/6$ . On the other hand,  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  has elements of order 6, so it contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}/6$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}/6$  is subconjugate to  $NG_4$ , but the representatives  $H_6$  and  $NH_4$  for the conjugacy classes of these two groups in  $SU(2)$  cannot be chosen so that  $H_6 < NH_4$ .

A similar argument shows that, although the tetrahedral group contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}/3$ , we cannot choose a representative for the conjugacy class of  $\mathbb{Z}/3$  which would be contained in the conjugacy class of the tetrahedral group in  $SO(3)$ .

It follows that no compact Lie group containing either  $SU(2)$  or  $SO(3)$  has a section with the required properties.

Here is an example of a finite group which does not have a section with the required properties. The authors would like to thank Aleš Vavpetič for pointing out this example. Let  $S_7$  be the symmetric group on 7 letters. We have the following subgroups.

1.  $H_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ , generated by one transposition,
2.  $H_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3$  generated by a 3-cycle,
3.  $H_4 = \mathbb{Z}/4$  generated by a 4-cycle,
4.  $H_6 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$  generated by a transposition and a disjoint 3-cycle,
5.  $H_8 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$  generated by a transposition and a disjoint 4-cycle,
6.  $H_{12} = \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$  generated by a 3-cycle and a disjoint 4-cycle.

Assume that  $\langle(123), (4567)\rangle$  is the representative of  $H_{12}$ . Since  $H_3 < H_{12}$ , we must pick  $\langle(123)\rangle$  for  $H_3$ , and for the same reason,  $\langle(4567)\rangle$  for  $H_4$ . Since  $H_3 < H_6$ , the representative for  $H_6$  must contain the 3-cycle  $(123)$ , and the transposition generating  $H_2$  must be some  $(ab)$ , where  $a, b \in \{4, \dots, 7\}$ . Since  $H_2 < H_6$ , where  $\langle(ab)\rangle$  is the representative for  $H_2$ , then for  $H_8$  the only possibility is  $\langle(ab), (4567)\rangle$ . But  $(ab)$  is not disjoint to  $(4567)$ , and so  $\langle(ab), (4567)\rangle$  is not isomorphic to  $H_8$ .

Furthermore, it obviously follows that no finite group containing  $S_7$  has the required section.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.P.C. Greenlees and J.P. May, *Generalized Tate cohomology*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1995).
- [2] W.Y. Hsiang, *Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1975).
- [3] S. Illman, 'Equivariant singular homology and cohomology for actions of compact Lie groups', in *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Compact Transformation Groups, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, 1971*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. **298** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972), pp. 403–415.
- [4] S. Illman, 'Restricting the transformation group in equivariant CW complexes', *Osaka J. Math.* **27** (1990), 191–206.

- [5] T. Matumoto, 'On  $G$ -CW complexes and a theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead', *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A Math.* **18** (1971), 109–125.
- [6] J.R. Munkres, *Elementary differential topology*, Annals of Mathematical Studies **54** (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1966).
- [7] J.A. Pérez, 'Substitutional lemma for  $G$ -spaces of 1-dimensional groups', *Glasgow Math. J.* **38** (1996), 215–220.
- [8] I. Suzuki, *Group Theory I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982).
- [9] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1987).
- [10] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups and representation theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **766** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1979).

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics,  
Faculty of Computer and Information Science and Faculty of Education  
University of Ljubljana  
Jadranska 19  
SI-1000 Ljubljana  
Slovenia  
e-mail: neza.mramor-kosta@fmf.uni-lj.si  
matija.cencelj@fmf.uni-lj.si