

LATTICE COVERINGS AND THE DIAGONAL GROUP

G. RAMHARTER

Let M be any bounded set in n -dimensional Euclidean space. Then almost all n -dimensional lattices L with determinant 1 have the following property: There exists a diagonal transformation D with determinant 1 (depending on L) such that L does not cover space with DM . Moreover, if M has non-empty interior, the exceptional (null-) set contains at least enumerably many diagonally non-equivalent lattices.

1. Let L denote the space of lattices in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with determinant 1, equipped with the usual measure and topology (see [11] Section 17, Section 19), and let \mathcal{D} be the group of nonsingular diagonal $n \times n$ -matrices. The main purpose of this note is to prove the following result.

PROPOSITION. *Let M be a bounded set however large in \mathbb{R}^n . Then all lattices $L \in L$ except those from a null-set in L (in the sense of the measure introduced) have the following property: L can be made a non-covering lattice for DM by applying a suitable diagonal transformation $D \in \mathcal{D}$ (depending on L) with $|\det D| = 1$.*

We will obtain this as a corollary of the Theorem to be stated below.

Received 28 May 1986.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/87
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

Also it will become clear from (1) that our assertion is certainly not trivial at least for sets M with non-empty interior. The present result can be regarded as a metrical contribution to the following general inhomogeneous problem of the "first type" (in Bambah's terminology [1] p.120; see also [7] p.208, and [11] p.407): Given some class \mathcal{M} of (measurable) sets in \mathbb{R}^n and a subgroup G of the general linear group on \mathbb{R}^n , determine the infimum $\delta(M)$ of all positive numbers δ with the property that, for any $M \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\text{vol}(M) \leq \delta$ and any lattice $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists a transformation $G \in G$ such that the Minkowski sum $GM + L$ does not cover \mathbb{R}^n . Taking in particular (in view of our present objective) the diagonal group \mathcal{D} , we reformulate this by introducing the function

$$\delta_M(L) = \sup\{\text{vol}(DM) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}, L \text{ not a covering lattice for } DM\}.$$

For bounded M this quantity is positive, possibly infinite (Note that \mathbb{R}^n is not covered by $DM + L$ if and only if L is strictly admissible for some translate of DM). Then obviously

$$\delta(M) = \inf\{\delta_M(L) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}, L \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

This should be compared with the corresponding covering problem of the second type, involving the quantity

$$\Theta_M(L) = \inf\{\text{vol}(DM) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}, L \text{ a covering lattice for } DM\}.$$

D.B. Sawyer [15] proved that for arbitrary lattice $L \in \mathcal{L}$ one has

$$\sup_K \Theta_K(L) = n^n/n!$$

where the sup is taken over all n -dimensional convex bodies K , not necessarily θ -symmetric (Indeed, by the affine invariance of this class of sets, this need only be proved for the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n).

We remark that there is an analogous packing problem in connection with the diagonal group which was suggested by Mordell in 1936 and has attracted much interest since (see for example [11] Section 24, [7] p.191 f.). It is concerned with the function

$$\rho_K(L) = \sup\{\text{vol}(DK) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}, L \text{ a packing lattice for } DK\}.$$

If K is restricted to the class \mathcal{K} of 0 -symmetrical bounded convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then trivially $\rho_K(L) \leq 1$, by Minkowski's theorem. The question is to decide whether the values of this function are bounded away from 0 when K and L are varying over \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} . Rogers [14] confirmed this by finding explicit positive lower bounds in all dimensions. These estimates can be improved when further conditions are imposed on the bodies considered. This was done by Hlawka [10] and Davenport for the classes of parallelepipeds DB ($D \in \mathcal{D}$, B the unit cube) and ellipsoids DS (S the unit sphere), respectively.

A conjecture by Gruber claiming that $\rho_B(L)$ takes the value 1 (which is the greatest possible value) at almost all $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is still open for $n \geq 3$ (for metrical and topological results in this direction see [8, 12]).

2. Here we obtain an affirmative answer to the inhomogeneous version of this conjecture. The following Theorem clearly implies the above Proposition.

THEOREM. *Let M be any bounded (measurable) set in \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior and let \mathcal{L}' denote the set of lattices $L \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\delta_M(L) < \infty$. Then*

- (1) \mathcal{L}' contains an enumerable set of diagonally inequivalent lattices; moreover, $\delta(M) = \inf\{\delta_M(L) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}'\}$ is a finite positive number.
- (2) \mathcal{L}' is a null-set in \mathcal{L} .

Proof. We find it convenient to consider the Minkowski sets $B_p: (|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p} < 1$, that is the (open) unit balls of the p -norms ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). In particular, letting p tend to ∞ , we obtain the unit cube $B = B_\infty$. By Ω_i ($i=1, \dots, 2^n$) we denote the (open) coordinate orthants, listed in any order. We introduce the auxiliary function

$$\alpha_p(L) = \max_{i=1, \dots, 2^n} \sup\{\text{vol}(DB_p \cap \Omega_i) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}, L \text{ admissible for } DB_p \cap \Omega_i\}.$$

We remark that the functions $\alpha_p(L)$ and $\delta_B(L)$, $B = B_p$, can be described in terms of a semiregular continued fraction expansion for two-dimensional lattices (see [13]). We collect some basic relations between the above functions. First, it follows immediately from the definition that $\delta_M(L)$ is diagonally invariant with respect to both M and L : for any set M and any $L \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$(3a) \quad \delta_{DM}(L) = \delta_M(L) \quad (D \in \mathcal{D}),$$

$$(3b) \quad \delta_M(DL) = \delta_M(L) \quad (D \in \mathcal{D}, |\det D| = 1).$$

By use of (3a), it is easily proved that for any bounded set M with non-empty interior (as specified in the Theorem) the inequalities

$$(4a, b) \quad c \delta_B(L) \leq \delta_M(L) \leq c' \delta_B(L)$$

hold with some positive constants c, c' independent of L . Here c (respectively c') may be taken as the ratio $\text{vol}(M)/\text{vol}(DB)$ where $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is any diagonal matrix such that a translate of M can be inscribed in DB (respectively DB is contained in some translate of $\text{int}M$). Next we show that

$$(5a, b) \quad n!n^{-n} \alpha_1(L) \leq \delta_B(L) \leq 2^{n-1} \alpha_\infty(L).$$

The first inequality (5a) is easily obtained on comparing the volumes of a (lattice point free) simplex of the form $DT(T: x_1 + \dots + x_n < 1,$

$x_1, \dots, x_n > 0)$ and a maximal inscribed translate of a parallelepiped of the form $D'B$ ($D, D' \in \mathcal{D}$). For the proof of (5b) take any lattice point free parallelepiped of the form $DB + z, z \in R^n$. Eventually enlarge it by moving appropriate facets outward until at least one facet contains a lattice point in its relative interior (the volume will not be decreased by this process). By passing to a suitable translate P' , if necessary, we may assume that the boundary of P' contains the origin 0 . Now P' is the disjoint union of its non-empty intersections P'_i (2^{n-1}

in number) with the open orthants, and its intersections with the coordinate planes (which do not contribute to the volume). P'

being lattice point free, the same is true for the subsets P_i . Now (5b) follows from the fact that these subsets are all of the form $D_i^B \cap \Omega_i$, $D_i \in \mathcal{D}$.

We proceed to the proof of (1). First we exhibit an enumerable class of lattices L^* generated by real number fields for which $\delta_M(L^*)$ is finite. By (4b) and (5b) it is enough to show that $\alpha_\omega(L^*)$ is finite for these lattices. Let A be an $n \times n$ -matrix whose elements a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n} form a basis of a totally real number field of degree n , the k -th column consisting of the conjugates of a_{1k} ($k=1, \dots, n$). Then $L^* = A^* \mathbb{Z}^n$, $A^* = A / |\det A|^{1/n}$, is in \mathcal{L} . For the calculation of $\alpha_\omega(L^*)$ it suffices to consider, in each orthant Ω_i , the (enumerable) system of lattice point free (open) parallelepipeds P_{ij} of the form $D_j^B \cap \Omega_i$ each of whose facets not contained in a coordinate plane has a lattice point in its relative interior. It is known [3-6] that under the above assumptions this system is periodic in the following sense: For each $i=1, \dots, 2^n$, there exists a finite subsystem of parallelepipeds $P_{i1}, \dots, P_{im(i)}$, say, such that any P_{ij} is representable as DP_{ik} with some $k \in \{1, \dots, m(i)\}$, $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $|\det D| = 1$. Therefore $\alpha_\omega(L^*) = \max_{i,k} \{\text{vol}(P_{ik})\}$, but this is clearly finite. Thus we have proved that $\delta_M(L^*)$ is finite for any lattice of the type described.

Finally, Hlawka's result [10] ensures the existence of an (explicit) positive lower bound, depending only on the dimension, for the values of $\rho_B(L)$. Together with the inequality (4a) and the trivial estimate $\delta_B(L) \geq \rho_B(L)$ this implies that $\inf_L \delta_B(L)$ is positive, which completes the proof of (1).

We turn to the proof of (2). Consider the following conditions for lattices $L \in \mathcal{L}$:

- (a) $L \setminus \{0\}$ has no points in common with the coordinate planes;

- (b) there exists a sequence of diagonal transformations $D_i \in \mathcal{D}$ with $|\det D_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), depending on L , such that the lattices $L_i = D_i L$ have bases A_i converging (elementwise) to a nonsingular matrix AQ where Q is an $n \times n$ -permutation matrix and A contains an $(n-m) \times m$ rectangular block ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) of zeros below the diagonal;
- (c) the homogeneous minimum $\inf\{|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n| \mid \underline{x} \in L \setminus \{0\}\}$ is equal to o .

It follows from a result of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [2] that (c) implies (b). On the other hand, it is well-known that almost all lattices in L satisfy conditions (a) and (c). Accordingly, for the verification of (2), it will suffice to prove that $\delta_M(L) = \infty$ if L has properties (a) and (b).

We do this by showing that $\alpha_1(L_i)$ tends to ∞ , as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\underline{a}_1^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{a}_n^{(i)}$ be the columns of $A = (a_{kj}^{(i)})$. After renumbering the coordinate axes, if necessary, we may suppose that Q is the identity matrix. Then, for each pair of indices $k, j, k = m+1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m$, the elements $a_{kj}^{(i)}$ tend to o , as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let E_i denote the $(n-1)$ -dimensional lattice plane generated by the points $0, \underline{a}_1^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{a}_{n-1}^{(i)}$. There is a unique vector $\underline{e}^{(i)}$ orthogonal to E_i and normalized by the conditions $|\underline{e}^{(i)}| = 1, d_i = (\underline{e}^{(i)} \underline{a}_n^{(i)}) > o$. Let $h(i)$ be the index of the orthant which contains the point $\underline{e}^{(i)}$. Since $\underline{e}^{(i)}$ belongs to the orthogonal complement of the subspace generated by $\underline{a}_1^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{a}_m^{(i)}$, the components $\underline{e}_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) tend to o , as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The points $\underline{a}_1^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{a}_n^{(i)}$ define a cell of L_i , hence the open strip H_i bounded by the lattice planes E_i and $E_i + \underline{a}_n^{(i)}$ is lattice point free. The same is true a fortiori for the simplex $T_i = H_i \cap \Omega_{h(i)}$. Now

$$\text{vol}(T_i) = d_i^n (n! |e_1^{(i)} e_2^{(i)} \dots e_n^{(i)}|)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad (i \rightarrow \infty),$$

since the sequence d_i has a positive limit and at least one of the components of $\underline{e}^{(i)}$ tends to zero (note that, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, the

vectors $\underline{a}_j^{(i)}$ tend to a limit $\neq \underline{0}$, and that all components of the unit vectors $\underline{e}^{(i)}$ are non-zero by (a), and remain bounded). It follows that

$$\alpha_1(L_i) \rightarrow \infty \quad (i \rightarrow \infty) .$$

Using successively the relations (5a), (3b) and (4a), we obtain

$$n!n^{-n}\alpha_1(L_i) \leq \delta_B(L_i) = \delta_B(D_i L) = \delta_B(L) \leq c^{-1}\delta_M(L) .$$

Since this holds for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we end up with $\delta_M(L) = \infty$, as required. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

References

- [1] R.P. Bambah, "Geometry of Numbers, packing and covering and discrete geometry", *Math. Student* 39 (1971), 117-129.
- [2] B.J. Birch, and H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, "On the inhomogeneous minimum of the product of linear forms", *Mathematika* 3 (1956), 25-39.
- [3] B. Bullig-Bergmann, "Ein periodisches Verfahren zur Berechnung eines Systems von Grundeinheiten in den total reellen kubischen Körpern", *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 12 (1938), 369-414.
- [4] B. Bullig-Bergmann, "Zur Kettenbruchtheorie im Dreidimensionalen", *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 13 (1940), 321-343.
- [5] B. Bullig-Bergmann, "Zur Kettenbruchtheorie im n-Dimensionalen", *Math. Ann.* (1941), 1-31.
- [6] B. Bullig-Bergmann, "Periodische Ketten linearer Transformationen", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* (1953), 108-124.
- [7] P.M. Gruber, "Geometry of Numbers", in *Contributions to Geometry*, (Proceedings of the Geometry Symposium in Siegen 1978, J. Tölke and J.M. Wills, Eds., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1979), 186-225.
- [8] P.M. Gruber, & G. Ramharter, "Beiträge zum Umkehrproblem für den Minkowskischen Linearformensatz", *Act. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 39 (1-3) (1982), 135-141.
- [9] J. Hammer, *Unsolved problems concerning lattice points*, (Research Notes in Mathematics 15 Pitman, London, San Francisco, Melbourne, 1977).

- [10] E. Hlawka, "Über Gitterpunkte in Parallelepipeden", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 187 (1950), 246-252.
- [11] C.G. Lekkerkerker, *Geometry of Numbers*, (Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen and North-Holland, Amsterdam, London, 1969).
- [12] G. Ramharter, "Über ein Problem von Mordell in der Geometrie der Zahlen", *Monatsh. Math.* 92 (1981), 143-160.
- [13] G. Ramharter, "Inhomogeneous and asymmetric minima of star sets and the diagonal group", submitted to *J. Indian Math. Soc.*
- [14] C.A. Rogers, "On a theorem of Siegel and Hlawka", *Ann. of Math.* 53 (1951), 531-540.
- [15] D.B. Sawyer, "Lattice points and the diagonal group", *J. London Math. Soc.* 41 (1966), 466-468.
- [16] D.B. Sawyer, "Lattice points in rotated star sets", *J. London Math. Soc.* 43 (1968), 131-142.

Institut für Analysis,
Techn. Universität Wien,
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10,
A-1040 Vienna,
Austria.