

ON THE ALGEBRA OF MULTIPLIERS

ROSHDI KHALIL

A commutative Banach algebra is called symmetric if, regarded as a function algebra on its maximal ideal space, it is closed under complex conjugation. Varopoulos, [5], proved the asymmetry of the tensor algebra $C(T) \hat{\otimes} C(T)$, where T is the unit circle. It is the object of this paper to prove the asymmetry of the Schur multipliers of the space $L^2(T, m) \hat{\otimes} L^2(T, m)$, where m is the Lebesgue measure. In the second part of the paper we study the Hankel multipliers of the space $l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z)$ and give an application to it.

1. The asymmetry of $M(L^2(T) \hat{\otimes} L^2(T))$. Let $C(T)$ denote the space of continuous functions on T and $A(T)$ be the space of those functions in $C(T)$ that have absolutely convergent Fourier series. Consider the mapping $F: C(T) \rightarrow C(T \times T)$ defined by $F(f)(x, y) = f(x + y)$. If $\| \cdot \|_m$ denotes the multiplier norm in $M(L^2(T) \hat{\otimes} L^2(T))$, then we have

THEOREM 1.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in A(T)$
- (ii) $F(f) \in C(T) \hat{\otimes} C(T)$.

Further $\|f\|_{A(T)} = \|F(f)\|_m$.

Proof. For the equivalence of (i) and (ii) one can consult [7]. To prove the isometric property of F on $A(T)$, let $f \in A(T)$, so

$$f(t) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} a_r e^{irt} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_r| < \infty.$$

Hence

$$F(f)(x, y) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} a_r e^{irx} \cdot e^{iry}.$$

Since $\|e^{irx}\|_{\infty} = 1$ for all r , it follows that $\|F(f)\|_m \leq \|f\|_{A(T)}$.

To show the other inequality define a mapping

$$P: C(T \times T) \rightarrow C(T)$$

such that $P(\varphi)(x) = \int_T \varphi(x - y, y) dy$. Clearly $P \circ F: C(T) \rightarrow C(T)$ is just the identity mapping. Let $F(f) \in C(T) \hat{\otimes} C(T)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i \otimes \mathcal{V}_i$

Received January 23, 1979. The author would like to thank Professor S. Drury for stimulating discussions and support during the preparation of this work.

be any representation of $F(f)$. Then

$$P(F(f)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i^* \mathcal{V}_i.$$

It follows that

$$\|P(F(f))\|_{A(T)} \leq \|F(f)\|_{\tau\tau}.$$

However the function $1 \otimes 1 \in L^2(T) \hat{\otimes} L^2(T)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(f)\|_{\tau\tau} &= \|F(f) \cdot 1 \otimes 1\|_{\tau\tau} \\ &\leq \|F(f)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \cdot \|1 \otimes 1\|_{\tau\tau} \\ &= \|F(f)\|_{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|P(F(f))\|_{A(T)} \leq \|F(f)\|_{\mathcal{M}}$. This completes the proof.

Now, we need the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be any two elements in the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$. Assume, further, that $\text{supp}\phi_1 \subseteq \Omega_1 = X_1 \times Y_1$, $\text{supp}\phi_2 \subseteq \Omega_2 = X_2 \times Y_2$, where $X_1 \cap X_2 = Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$, the empty set. Then there exists a function $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$ such that*

$$\phi|_{\Omega_i} = \phi_i, i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \max_{i=1,2} \|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Proof. Define the following function ϕ on $T \times T$

$$\phi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi_1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega_1 \\ \phi_2 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega_2 \end{cases}$$

and $\phi \equiv 0$ on the complement of $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$. We claim that the function ϕ is the required function. First, since $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, it follows that $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$. It remains to estimate the multiplier-norm of ϕ . To do so, let $f \otimes g$ be any atom in the unit ball of $L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2$. Since

$$f \otimes g = \frac{f}{\|f\|_2} (\|f\|_2 \cdot \|g\|_2)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{g}{\|g\|_2} (\|f\|_2 \cdot \|g\|_2)^{1/2},$$

we can assume that $\|f\|_2 = \|g\|_2 \leq 1$. Further since the support of ϕ is contained in $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, we let $\text{supp}(f) \subset X_1 \cup X_2$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subset Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Set $f_i = f|_{X_i}$ and $g_i = g|_{Y_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $f = f_1 + f_2$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$.

Further $\|f\|_2^2 = \|f_1\|_2^2 + \|f_2\|_2^2$ and $\|g\|_2^2 = \|g_1\|_2^2 + \|g_2\|_2^2$, since

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^2 X_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^2 Y_i = \emptyset.$$

Now, consider

$$\phi \cdot f \otimes g = \phi_1 \cdot f_1 \otimes g_1 + \phi_2 \cdot f_2 \otimes g_2.$$

Since $\|\phi_i\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1, i = 1, 2$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i \cdot f_i \otimes g_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j^{(i)} \otimes v_j^{(i)} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u_j^{(i)}\|_2 \cdot \|v_j^{(i)}\|_2 &\leq \|f_i\|_2 \cdot \|g_i\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Again, as above, we can assume that $\|f_i\|_2 = \|g_i\|_2$ and $\|u_j^{(i)}\|_2 = \|v_j^{(i)}\|_2$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u_j^{(i)}\|_2^2 &\leq \|f_i\|_2^2 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|v_j^{(i)}\|_2^2 &\leq \|g_i\|_2^2, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now define the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} z_j &= u_j^{(1)} + u_j^{(2)} \\ w_j &= v_j^{(1)} + v_j^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Then

$$\phi \cdot f \otimes g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (z_j \otimes w_j) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(X_1 \times Y_1) \cup (X_2 \times Y_2)},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_E$ denotes the characteristic function of the set E . But since

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_j\|_2^2 &= \|u_j^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|u_j^{(2)}\|_2^2 \\ \|w_j\|_2^2 &= \|v_j^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|v_j^{(2)}\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi \cdot f \otimes g\|_{rr} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|z_j\|_2 \|w_j\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|u_j^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|u_j^{(2)}\|_2^2)^{1/2} \cdot (\|v_j^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|v_j^{(2)}\|_2^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|u_j^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|u_j^{(2)}\|_2^2) \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|v_j^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|v_j^{(2)}\|_2^2) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (\|f_1\|_2^2 + \|f_2\|_2^2)^{1/2} \cdot (\|g_1\|_2^2 + \|g_2\|_2^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_2 \cdot \|g\|_2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \otimes g$ was an arbitrary atom in the unit ball of $L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2$, it follows that $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we prove

THEOREM 1.2. *The space $\mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$ is not symmetric.*

Proof. To prove the asymmetry of a space it is enough to produce an element in such a space which has independent powers, [7].

Let P be a Cantor independent set which is not Helson in T . The existence of P is illustrated in [4]. Take ν to be a non-negative measure concentrated on $P \cup (-P)$. Then ν has mutually singular convolution powers, and if we choose $\|\nu\|_{M(T)} = 1$, we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r \nu^r \right\|_{M(T)} = \sum_{r=1}^n |\lambda_r|,$$

for all $\lambda_r \in C$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since discrete measures on T are dense in $M(T)$ in the weak- $*$ topology [1], then we can find a sequence $(\nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of finitely supported discrete measures (the support of each ν_n is a finite subgroup of T) such that

$$\hat{\nu}_n(j) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(j)$$

for all $j \in Z$. That P is not Helson enables us to choose ν such that $\|\hat{\nu}\|_\infty$ is as small as we like and $\hat{\nu}$ to be real. If E_n denotes the support of ν_n , then we can find a sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of real functions on T such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n\|_{A(E_n)} &\leq 1 \quad (n \geq 1), \\ \|f_n\|_\infty &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \sup_n \left\| \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_r f_n^r \right\|_{A(E_n)} &= \sum_{r=1}^s |\lambda_r|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_r \in C$.

Now, let $(X_n^{(i)})_{n=1}^\infty$ $i = 1, 2$, be two sequences of sets in T such that $X_n^{(i)} \cap X_m^{(i)} = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$, $i = 1, 2$ and $X_n^{(i)}$ has the same cardinality as E_n . Identify, then, $X_n^{(i)}$ with E_n for every $n \geq 1$, and $i = 1, 2$. If $F: C(T) \rightarrow C(T \times T)$ is the function defined in Theorem 1.1, then set $\phi_n = F(f_n)$, $n \geq 1$. A simple application of Lemma 1.1 implies that $\phi_n \in \mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} &\leq 1 \quad (n \geq 1); \\ \|\phi_n\|_\infty &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \sup_n \left\| \sum_{r=0}^s \lambda_r \phi_n^r \right\|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \sum_{r=0}^s |\lambda_r|; \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_r \in C$. Using Lemma 1.2 repeatedly we construct a sequence of real functions $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} &\leq 1 \quad (n \geq 1); \\ \text{supp } \psi_n &= \bigcup_{j=1}^n X_j^{(1)} \times X_j^{(2)}; \\ \psi_n|_{X_n^{(1)} \times X_n^{(2)}} &= \phi_n, \\ \|\psi_n\|_\infty &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the sequence $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to a function $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$. Furthermore

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup_n \|\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{r=0}^s \lambda_r \psi^r \right\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{r=0}^s |\lambda_r|.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

As a corollary of the previous theorem we have

THEOREM 1.3. *The space $\mathcal{M}(L^2 \hat{\otimes} L^2)$ is not separable.*

Proof. The functions $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in Theorem 1.2 have the property that

$$\|\psi_n - \psi_m\|_{\mathcal{M}} \geq \alpha > 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq m.$$

This proves the claim.

2. The Hankel multipliers of $l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z)$. Let $f \in l^{\infty}(Z)$ and ϕ be a function on $Z \times Z$ defined by $\phi(r, s) = f(r + s)$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z))$, then ϕ will be called a *Hankel multiplier* of $l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z)$. It is the purpose of this section to characterize the Hankel multipliers of $l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z)$.

Let $M(T)$ denote the space of all complex valued regular bounded Borel measures on T . Set $B(Z)$ to be the set of functions $f \in l^{\infty}(Z)$ such that $f = \hat{\nu}$ for some $\nu \in M(T)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\phi \in l^{\infty}(Z \times Z)$ be defined by: $\phi(r, s) = f(r + s)$ for some $f \in l^{\infty}(Z)$ then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z))$.
- (ii) $f \in B(Z)$.

Furthermore, $\|f\|_{B(Z)} = \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let ν be any element in $M(T)$. It is well known, [1], that there exists a sequence of discrete measures in $M(T)$ such that:

$$\hat{\nu}_n(j) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(j) \text{ for all } j, \text{ and } \|\nu_n\|_{M(T)} \leq \|\nu\|_{M(T)}.$$

For any discrete measure ν , we have

$$\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \delta_{t_j}, \quad \hat{\nu}(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e^{-ir t_j}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|\hat{\nu}\|_{B(Z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| < \infty,$$

where δ_{t_j} is the unit mass at the point t_j . Now, let

$$\phi(r, s) = \hat{\nu}(r + s) = f(r + s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e^{-i(r+s)t_j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e^{-ir t_j} e^{-is t_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $f_j(r) = \alpha_j e^{-ir t_j}$ and $g_j(s) = e^{-is t_j}$, we see that $\phi \in l^\infty(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^\infty(Z)$. Further

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|\phi\|_{\tilde{V}(Z)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| = \|f\|_{B(Z)}.$$

For $\phi(r, s) = f(r + s)$, where f is any function in $B(Z)$, we have

$$\phi(r, s) = \lim_n f_n(r + s),$$

where $f_n(r + s) = \hat{\nu}_n(r + s)$ for some discrete measure ν_n and $\|f_n\|_{B(Z)} \leq \|f\|_{B(Z)}$. Hence the function ϕ is the pointwise limit of a uniformly bounded sequence of elements in $l^\infty \hat{\otimes} l^\infty$. It follows, [5],

$$\phi \in \tilde{V}(Z) = l^1(Z) \check{\otimes} l^1(Z)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\|_{\tilde{V}(Z)} \leq \|f\|_{B(Z)}.$$

Hence, [3], $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z))$. Further

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|\phi\|_{\tilde{V}(Z)} \leq \|f\|_{B(Z)}.$$

Conversely (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $F: l^\infty(Z) \rightarrow l^\infty(Z \times Z)$ be the mapping $f(u)(r, s) = u(r + s)$, and E be the set of functions ϕ in $\mathcal{M}(l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z))$ such that $\phi = F(u)$ for some u in $l^\infty(Z)$. It follows, [3], that $E \subseteq \tilde{V}(Z)$. Hence if $\phi_n \neq \phi|_{Z_n \times Z_n}$, then

$$\phi_n \in l^\infty(Z_n) \hat{\otimes} l^\infty(Z_n).$$

Let $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \otimes g_i$ be a representation of ϕ_n in $l^\infty(Z_n) \hat{\otimes} l^\infty(Z_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(r, s) &= (F(u))_n(r, s) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(r) \cdot g_i(s) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(\alpha) \cdot g_i(\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

for all α and β in Z such that $\alpha + \beta = r + s$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a mapping P_n on E as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_n : E &\rightarrow l^\infty(Z), \\ P_n(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2n + 1} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i * g_i. \end{aligned}$$

The function $P_n(\phi)$ is independent of the representation of ϕ_n , for

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(\phi)(k) &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (f_i * g_i)(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(k-j)v_i(j) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n \phi_n(k-j, j). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A(Z)$ be the space $l^2(Z) * l^2(Z)$ which is, by the Plancherel theorem, the same space as $FL^1(T)$, the Fourier transforms of $L^1(T)$. Then $P_n(\phi) \in A(Z) \subseteq (BZ)$. Further, if $\|\cdot\|_{\tau\tau}$ denotes the norm in $l^2(Z_n) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z_n)$ and 1_{Z_n} is the characteristic function of Z_n , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n(\phi)\|_{A(Z)} &\leq (2n+1)^{-1} \cdot \|\phi_n\|_{\tau\tau} \\ &\leq (2n+1)^{-1} \cdot \|\phi_n \cdot 1_{Z_n} \otimes 1_{Z_n}\|_{\tau\tau} \\ &\leq (2n+1)^{-1} \cdot \|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} \cdot \|1_{Z_n} \otimes 1_{Z_n}\|_{\tau\tau} \\ &\leq \|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} (**). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\phi = F(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(\phi)(k) &= P_n(F(u))(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n \phi_n(k-j, j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n u(k) \\ &= u(k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $P_n(F(u)) \rightarrow u$ pointwise. Since $(P_n(F(u)))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $A(Z)$ which converges pointwise to u , we obtain that $u \in B(Z)$. Furthermore, relation $(**)$ implies that

$$\|u\|_{B(Z)} \leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

A similar result was proved by Varopoulos [5], where he proved the isometry of $B(Z)$ and its image under F in the tensor algebra norm.

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we estimate the multiplier norm of the matrix ψ , as an element in $\mathcal{M}(l^2(Z) \hat{\otimes} l^2(Z))$, where

$$\psi(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < i + j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.3.1. $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}} \sim C \cdot \log n$, where C is a constant independent of n .

Proof. Let f be a function defined on Z as follows:

$$f(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\psi(i, j) = f(i + j)$. Since f has a finite support in Z , then $f \in B(Z)$. Let $f = \hat{\nu}$ for some $\nu \in M(T)$. By the Riesz-representation theorem, there exists a continuous linear functional $S : C(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $S(h) = \int_T h d\nu$ and $\|S\| = \|\nu\|_{M(T)}$, where

$$\|S\| = \sup_h \frac{|S(h)|}{\|h\|}, \quad h \in C(T).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\|\Psi\|_m = \|f\|_{B(Z)} = \|\nu\|_{M(T)} = \|S\|.$$

Hence it is enough to estimate the norm of S . Further, since the trigonometric polynomials are dense in $C(T)$ under the supremum norm, it is enough to take h , in the definition of $\|S\|$, to be a trigonometric polynomial. Setting

$$\hat{\nu}(r) = \int_T e^{ir t} d\nu(t) = f(r),$$

we see that

$$S(e^{ir t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < r \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus if $h(t) = \sum_{j=-k}^k \alpha_j e^{ij t}$, then

$$S(h) = \begin{cases} \sum_1^n \alpha_j & \text{if } k > n \\ \sum_1^k \alpha_j & \text{if } k < n. \end{cases}$$

Consider the following function in $C(T)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_n(t) &= \sum_{r=1}^n e^{ir t} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n \cos rt + i \sum_{r=1}^n \sin rt \\ &= (D_n - \frac{1}{2})(t) + \tilde{D}_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where D_n is the Dirichlet kernel and \tilde{D}_n is the conjugate kernel to D_n . A classical result in harmonic analysis, [2], asserts that $\|D_n\|_1 \approx \alpha \log n$ and $\|\tilde{D}_n\|_1 \approx \log n$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the norm in $L^1(T)$. Hence

$\|\tilde{D}_n\|_1 \approx c \log n$ for some constant c independent of n . Next we observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = (\tilde{D}_n * h)(0),$$

from which we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |S(h)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right| \\ &= |(\tilde{D}_n * h)(0)| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{D}_n\|_1 \cdot \|h\|_\infty \\ &\leq c \log n \cdot \|h\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|S\| = \sup_h \frac{|S(h)|}{\|h\|_\infty} \leq c \log n.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

REFERENCES

1. C. S. Herz, *Spectral synthesis for the cantor set*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. US *42* (1956), 42–43.
2. Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, N.Y. (1968).
3. R. Khalil, Ph.D. Thesis (1978).
4. W. Rudin, *Fourier–Stieltjes transforms on independent sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. *66* (1960), 199–202.
5. N. Th. Varapoulos, *On a problem of A. Bearling*, J. Fun. Anal. *2* (1968), 24–30.
6. ———, *Tensor algebra over discrete spaces*, J. Fun. Anal. *3* (1968), 321–335.
7. J. H. Williamson, *A theorem on algebras of measures on topological groups*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. *11* (1959), 195–206.

Kuwait University,
Kuwait