

INTERPOLATION PROBLEM FOR ℓ^1 AND A UNIFORM ALGEBRA

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

(Received 18 May 1999; revised 12 December 2000)

Communicated by P. G. Dodds

Abstract

Let A be a uniform algebra and $M(A)$ the maximal ideal space of A . A sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $M(A)$ is called ℓ^1 -interpolating if for every sequence (α_n) in ℓ^1 there exists a function f in A such that $f(a_n) = \alpha_n$ for all n . In this paper, an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence is studied for an arbitrary uniform algebra. For some special uniform algebras, an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence is equivalent to a familiar ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence. However, in general these two interpolating sequences may be different from each other.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46J10, 46J15.

Keywords and phrases: uniform algebra, ℓ^1 -interpolation, maximal ideal space, pseudo-hyperbolic distance.

1. Introduction

Let A be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space X and $M(A)$ the maximal ideal space of A . Throughout this paper we assume that $\{a_n\}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct points in $M(A)$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, a sequence $\{a_n\}$ is called ℓ^p -interpolating if for every sequence (α_n) in ℓ^p there exists a function f in A such that $f(a_n) = \alpha_n$ for all n .

For $A = H^\infty(D)$, the set of all bounded analytic functions on the unit disc D in \mathbb{C} , an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence was studied by Carleson [2] and Izuchi [4]. Carleson [2] determined an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence when $\{a_n\}$ is in D , Izuchi [4] studied the general situation. Recently, Hatori [3] showed that an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence is equivalent to an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence when $\{a_n\}$ is in D . In this paper we study

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education (Japan).

© 2002 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/2002 \$A2.00 + 0.00

an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence for an arbitrary uniform algebra A when $\{a_n\}$ is in $M(A)$. For $\{a_n\}$ in $M(A)$ put

$$J = \{f \in A; f = 0 \text{ on } \{a_n\}\}, \quad J_k = \{f \in A; f = 0 \text{ on } \{a_n\}_{n \neq k}\}$$

and

$$\rho_k = \sup\{|f(a_k)|; f \in J_k, \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

For a, b in $M(A)$

$$\sigma(a, b) = \sup\{|f(a)|; f(b) = 0, \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

When $A = H^\infty(D)$ and $\{a_n\}$ is in D ,

$$\sigma(a_k, a_n) = \left| \frac{a_k - a_n}{1 - \bar{a}_k a_n} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_k = \prod_{n \neq k} \left| \frac{a_k - a_n}{1 - \bar{a}_k a_n} \right|.$$

In general, we do not know whether

$$\rho_k = \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

However, under some mild condition (Hypothesis I in Section 4), we can show that

$$\rho_k \geq \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

In general, $\rho_k > 0$ if and only if $J_k \supsetneq J$. Hence $\rho_k > 0$ if and only if there exists a function f_k in A such that $f_k(a_n) = \delta_{nk}$. In this paper, for $\{a_n\}$ in $M(A)$ we assume that $\rho_k > 0$ for all k .

In Section 2, for an arbitrary uniform algebra we show that $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \rho_k > 0$. In Section 3, we define a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence and give a necessary and sufficient condition to characterize it. In Section 4, we show that if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$, then $\{a_n\}$ is always a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence and under some mild condition it is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence. In some sense, this type of theorem for an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence was conjectured in [1]. In Section 5, we apply the results from the previous sections to concrete uniform algebras. In Section 6, we comment on an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence.

2. ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence

In this section we show that $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \rho_k > 0$. The argument in the ‘only if’ part of Lemma 1 is similar to the one which was used by Hatori [3] when $A = H^\infty(D)$.

LEMMA 1. $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in A such that $f_n(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$ ($n \geq 1, k \geq 1$) and $\sup_n \|f_n + J\| < \infty$.

PROOF. Suppose $M = \sup_n \|f_n + J\| < \infty$ and $f_n(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$. Let ε be an arbitrary positive constant. For each n there exists g_n in J such that $\|f_n + g_n\| \leq M + \varepsilon$. If $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^1$, put

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (f_n + g_n).$$

Then f belongs to A and $f(a_n) = \alpha_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose $S = \{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence. Then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in A such that $f_n(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$. For $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^1$, put

$$T(\alpha_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n f_n|_S,$$

then by hypothesis there exists a function f such that $T(\alpha_n) = f|_S$. Since $A|_S$ is algebraically isomorphic to the quotient algebra A/J , we use the quotient norm of A/J in $A|_S$. By the closed graph theorem, T is bounded from ℓ^1 to $A|_S$ and so

$$\|f_k + J\| = \|f_k|_S\| \leq \|T\|$$

because $T(\{\delta_{nk}\}) = f_k|_S$. □

LEMMA 2. Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence in A such that $f_n(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$. Then

$$\|f_n + J\| = 1/\rho_n \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

PROOF. Since $(\rho_n f_n)(a_k) = \rho_n \delta_{nk}$, $\|\rho_n f_n + J\| \geq 1$. By definition of ρ_n , for each $l \geq 1$ there exists $g_l \in A$ such that $\|g_l\| = 1$, $g_l(a_n) = 0$ for $n \neq k$ and

$$\rho_k - 1/l \leq g_l(a_k) \leq \rho_k.$$

Put $G_l = g_l/g_l(a_k)$, then $G_l \in A$ and

$$\frac{1}{\rho_k} \leq \|G_l\| = \frac{1}{|g_l(a_k)|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_k - 1/l}.$$

Moreover, $G_l(a_k) = 1$, $G_l(a_n) = 0$ for $n \neq k$ and so $G_l \in f_k + J$. Since $\|f_k + J\| \leq (\rho_k - 1/l)^{-1}$ for any $l \geq 1$, $\|\rho_k f_k + J\| \leq 1$. □

THEOREM 1. Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. Then $\{a_n\}$ is a ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \rho_k > 0$.

PROOF. The proof follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. □

3. Finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence

We say that $\{a_n\}$ is a *finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence* if there exists a finite positive constant γ which satisfies the following: For any finite $l \geq 1$ and for any (α_n) in the unit ball of ℓ^1 , there exists a function F_l in A such that

$$F_l(a_n) = \alpha_n \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq l$$

and $\|F_l\| \leq \gamma$.

For $\{a_n\}$ in $M(A)$ and $1 \leq k \leq l < \infty$, put

$$J^l = \{f \in A; f(a_n) = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq n \leq l\},$$

$$J_k^l = \{f \in A; f(a_n) = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq n \leq l, n \neq k\}$$

and

$$\rho_{k,l} = \sup\{|f(a_k)|; f \in J_k^l, \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

Then $\rho_{k,l} \geq \rho_{k,l+1}$ and $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} \geq \rho_k$.

LEMMA 3. $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if for each $l \geq 1$ there exists a sequence $\{f_{l,n}\}_{n=1}^l$ in A such that $f_{l,n}(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$ and $\sup_l \sup_{1 \leq n \leq l} \|f_{l,n} + J^l\| < \infty$.

PROOF. (α_n) denotes an element in the unit ball of ℓ^1 . Suppose

$$M = \sup_l \sup_{1 \leq n \leq l} \|f_{l,n} + J^l\| < \infty$$

and $f_{l,n}(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$, then for any finite $l \geq 1$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^l \alpha_n f_{l,n} + J^l \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^l |\alpha_n| \right) M.$$

If $\gamma = M + 1$, then for any $l \geq 1$ there exists $g_l \in J^l$ such that $\left\| \sum_{n=1}^l \alpha_n f_{l,n} + g_l \right\| \leq \gamma$. Set $F_l = \sum_{n=1}^l \alpha_n f_{l,n} + g_l$, then $F_l(a_n) = \alpha_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq l$ and $\|F_l\| \leq \gamma$. Suppose $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence. Since $\{a_n\}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct points in $M(A)$, for each $l \geq 1$ there exists a sequence $\{f_{l,n}\}_{n=1}^l$ in A such that $f_{l,n}(a_k) = \delta_{nk}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Put

$$T^l(\alpha_n) = \sum_{n=1}^l \alpha_n f_{l,n} + J^l;$$

then $\|T^l(\alpha_n)\| \leq \|T^l\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^l |\alpha_n| \right)$. If $\|T^l\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists (α_n) in the unit ball of ℓ^1 such that $\|T^l(\alpha_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by hypothesis $\|T^l(\alpha_n)\| \leq \gamma < \infty$ for all l . This contradiction implies that $M = \sup_l \|T^l\| < \infty$. This shows that for any $l \geq 1$ and any $k \geq 1$ with $k \leq l$,

$$\|f_{l,k} + J^l\| = \|T^l(\{\delta_{kn}\})\| \leq M. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 4. For $l = 1, 2, \dots$ and $1 \leq k \leq l$, $\|f_k + J^l\| = 1/\rho_{k,l}$.

Proof is almost the same as the proof of Lemma 2.

THEOREM 2. Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. Then $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} > 0$.

PROOF. The statement of the theorem follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4. □

4. Uniformly separated sequence

When $A = H^\infty(D)$ and $\{a_n\}$ is in D , for any $k \geq 1$

$$\rho_k = \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l}.$$

When $\{a_n\}$ is in $M(A)$, Izuchi [4] showed essentially that $\inf_k \rho_k > 0$ implies $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. However, this is not true in general. If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - \rho_n) < \infty$, then $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. In fact, $\rho_n \leq \sigma(a_k, a_n)$ for $n \neq k$ and so $\prod_{n=1}^\infty \rho_n \leq \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n)$ for any $k \geq 1$. When $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - \rho_n) < \infty$, $0 < \prod_{n=1}^\infty \rho_n$ and so $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. In this section, we study these three quantities.

LEMMA 5. (1) For any $l \geq 1$, $\rho_{k,l} \geq \prod_{n \neq k}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n)$. Hence for any $k \geq 1$

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} \geq \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

(2) For $1 \leq n \leq l$ and $n \neq k$, $\rho_{k,l} \leq \sigma(a_k, a_n)$. Hence for any $k \geq 1$

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} \leq \inf_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

PROOF. (1) Fix any positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$. For each n with $l \geq n \geq 1$ and $n \neq k$, there exists $F_n^\varepsilon \in A$ such that $\|F_n^\varepsilon\| \leq 1$, $F_n^\varepsilon(a_n) = 0$ and $\sigma(a_k, a_n) \geq |F_n^\varepsilon(a_k)| \geq \sigma(a_k, a_n) - \varepsilon$. Then $F^\varepsilon = \prod_{n \neq k}^l F_n^\varepsilon$ belongs to $J_{l,k}$, $\|F^\varepsilon\| \leq 1$ and

$$\rho_{l,k} \geq |F^\varepsilon(a_k)| \geq \prod_{n \neq k}^l \{\sigma(a_k, a_n) - \varepsilon\}.$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{l,k} \geq \prod_{n \neq k}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n)$ for any $l \geq 1$ and hence

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} \geq \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

(2) is clear by the definitions of $\rho_{k,l}$ and $\sigma(a_k, a_n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq l$ and $n \neq k$. □

THEOREM 3. *Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$.*

- (1) *If $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$, then $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence.*
- (2) *If $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence, then $\inf_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.*

PROOF. (1) By Lemma 5 (1), $\inf_k \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} > 0$ and so, by Theorem 2, $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence.

(2) By Theorem 2 $\inf_k \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} > 0$ and so, by Lemma 5 (2), $\inf_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. □

HYPOTHESIS I. *Let A be a uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. If g_l is a function in A and $\|g_l\| \leq 1$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots$, then there exist a subsequence $\{g_{l(j)}\}_j$ of $\{g_l\}_l$ and a function g in A such that $\|g\| \leq 1$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_{l(j)}(a_n) = g(a_n)$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

HYPOTHESIS II. *Let A be a uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. For any a, b in $\{a_n\}$ with $a \neq b$, if the function f in A satisfies $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ and $\|f\| \leq 1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist two functions g and h in A such that $\|g\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, $\|h\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, $g(a) = 0$, $h(b) = 0$ and $f = gh$.*

LEMMA 6. *Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. If $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis I, then $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} = \rho_k$ for any $k \geq 1$, and hence a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence.*

PROOF. $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} \geq \rho_k$ for any $k \geq 1$. If $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} > \varepsilon > 0$, then for each l there exists $g_l \in J_k^l$ such that $\|g_l\| \leq 1$ and $|g_l(a_k)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis, there exists $g \in J_k$ such that $\|g\| \leq 1$ and $|g(a_k)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} \leq \rho_k$ and so $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k,l} = \rho_k$. This together with Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 also imply that a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence. □

LEMMA 7. *Assume Hypothesis II. If f is a function in $J_{k,l}$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $f = \prod_{n \neq k}^l f_n$, $f_n(a_n) = 0$ ($n \neq k$) and $\|f_n\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{l-1}$.*

PROOF. We may assume $k = 1$. Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. By Hypothesis II, $f = g_2g_3$, $\|g_j\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ ($j = 2, 3$) and $g_2(a_2) = g_3(a_3) = 0$. Since $f(a_4) = 0$, $g_2(a_4) = 0$ or $g_3(a_4) = 0$. We may assume $g_2(a_4) = 0$. By Hypothesis II, $g_2 = g_{22}g_{24}$, $\|g_{2j}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2$ ($j = 2, 4$), and $g_{22}(a_2) = g_{24}(a_4) = 0$. Hence there exist h_2, h_3, h_4 such that $f = h_2h_3h_4$, $\|h_j\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2$ ($j = 2, 3, 4$) $h_2(a_2) = h_3(a_3) = h_4(a_4) = 0$. This argument implies the proof. □

LEMMA 8. *Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. If $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis II, then for $1 \leq k \leq l$, $\rho_{k,l} = \prod_{k \neq n}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n)$. Moreover, if $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis I, then $\rho_k = \prod_{k \neq n} \sigma(a_k, a_n)$.*

PROOF. By (1) of Lemma 5 it is sufficient to show that $\rho_{k,l} \leq \prod_{k \neq n}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n)$. If $0 < \delta < \rho_{k,l}$, then there exists $f \in J_{k,l}$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$ such that

$$\rho_{k,l} - \delta \leq |f(a_k)| \leq \rho_{k,l}.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Lemma 7, f can be factorized as $f = \prod_{n \neq k}^l f_n$, $\|f_n\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{l-1}$ and $f_n(a_n) = 0$ for $n \neq k$. Hence

$$\prod_{n \neq k}^l |f_n(a_k)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{(l-1)(l-1)} \prod_{n \neq k}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{k,l} - \delta \leq \prod_{n \neq k}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n)$. Since δ is arbitrary, $\rho_{k,l} \leq \prod_{n \neq k}^l \sigma(a_k, a_n)$. \square

THEOREM 4. *Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$.*

- (1) *Under Hypothesis II, $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.*
- (2) *Under Hypotheses I and II, $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.*

PROOF. Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Lemma 8 imply the theorem. \square

When $A = H^\infty(D)$ and $\{a_n\}$ is in D , $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypotheses I and II. Let A be a disc algebra. Then if $\{a_n\}$ is in D , then $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis II (see Section 5). On the other hand, it is easy to see that there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in D which does not satisfy Hypothesis I.

5. Special uniform algebras

When $A = H^\infty(D)$ and $\{a_n\}$ in D , Hatori [3] showed that $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. Since it is clear that $\{a_n\}$ in D satisfies Hypotheses I and II, this is a corollary of (2) of Theorem 4. Corollary 3 is also a result of Hatori [3]. We give another proof of it. Hatori [3] also shows this type of theorem for a Hardy space H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) on a finite open Riemann surface and generalizes a theorem of Shapiro and Shields [7].

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be a uniform closed algebra between the disc algebra \mathcal{A} and $H^\infty(D)$, and let $\{a_n\}$ be in D . Suppose that f/z belongs to A for f in A with $f(0) = 0$. Then $\{a_n\}$ is a finite ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.*

PROOF. If $f \in A$ and $f(a) = 0$ for some $a \in D$, then $f(z)/(z - a)$ belongs to A (see [5]). Hence

$$\frac{1 - \bar{a}z}{z - a} f(z) \text{ belongs to } A$$

and $(z - a)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ is a unimodular function in \mathcal{A} . Therefore, $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis II and so (1) of Theorem 4 implies the corollary. \square

COROLLARY 2. Let $A = H^\infty(D^m)$ and let $\{a_n\}$ be in D^m . Suppose $a_n = (a_n^1, a_n^2, \dots, a_n^m)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |a_n^l|) < \infty$ for $1 \leq l \leq m$. Then $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 6, the ‘if’ part is proved. We will prove the ‘only if’ part. Put

$$B_k = B_k(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \prod_{l=1}^m \prod_{n \neq k} \frac{-a_n^l z_l - a_n^l}{|a_n^l| (1 - \bar{a}_n^l z_l)},$$

then B_k belongs to $H^\infty(D^m)$ because $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |a_n^l|) < \infty$ for $1 \leq l \leq m$. If $F_k = B_k/B_k(a_k)$, then $F_k(a_n) = \delta_{nk}$ and

$$\|F_k + J\| = |B_k(a_k)|^{-1} \|B_k + J\| = |B_k(a_k)|^{-1};$$

thus $\rho_k = |B_k(a_k)|$. Theorem 1 implies that $\inf_k |B_k(a_k)| = \inf_k \rho_k > 0$. Since

$$\sigma(a_k, a_n) = \max \left(\left| \frac{a_k^1 - a_n^1}{1 - \bar{a}_n^1 a_k^1} \right|, \dots, \left| \frac{a_k^m - a_n^m}{1 - \bar{a}_n^m a_k^m} \right| \right)$$

(see [1, page 162]),

$$|B_k(a_k)| \leq \prod_{k \neq n} \sigma(a_k, a_n).$$

This proves the corollary. \square

COROLLARY 3. Let R be a finite open Riemann surface and $A = H^\infty(R)$ the set of all bounded analytic functions on R . Then $\{a_n\}$ in R is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.

PROOF. It is known [8] that $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence if and only if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. If $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence, then $\inf_k \rho_k > 0$ by Theorem 1 and so by [8, Theorem 5.9] $\{a_n\}$ is a ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence. \square

Let $D_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z - c_n| < r_n\}$, $c_n > 0$ as $D_n \cap D_m = \emptyset$ ($n \neq m$), $D_n \subset D \setminus \{0\}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty r_n/c_n < \infty$. $U = D \setminus \bigcup_n D_n$ is called a Zalcman domain [9]. When $A = H^\infty(U)$ and $\{a_n\}$ is in U , if $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$, then $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence by (1) of Theorem 3 and Lemma 6 because $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis I but $\{a_n\}$ is not necessarily an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence by [6].

6. ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence

When $\{a_n\}$ in $M(A)$ satisfies Hypothesis I, it is interesting to give a sufficient condition or a necessary condition for an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence. Berndtsson, Chang and Lin [1] give the following problem: Let $A = H^\infty(Y)$ and let $\{a_n\} \subset Y$ be a bounded domain $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$. Is $\{a_n\}$ an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence? In Proposition 1, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - \rho_n) < \infty$ and so by the remark above Lemma 5, $\inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \sigma(a_k, a_n) > 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. Suppose $\{a_n\}$ satisfies Hypothesis I. If $\rho_n \geq 2(n' + 1)(n' + 2)/((n' + 1)^2 + (n' + 2)^2)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and some $t > 1$, then $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence.*

PROOF. By Hypothesis I there exists a sequence $\{F_n\}$ in A such that $\|F_n\| \leq 1$, $F_n(a_k) = 0$ if $k \neq n$ and $|F_n(a_n)| = \rho_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Izuchi [4, Theorem 1] has essentially proved the theorem. We use the notation from [4, Theorem 1]. Set $\rho_n = 2(1 - \delta_n)/(1 + (1 - \delta_n)^2)$ with $0 < \delta_n \leq 1/(n' + 2)$; this is possible by the hypothesis on ρ_n . If $\varepsilon_n = 1/n^p$, then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon_n < \infty$ and so $\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + \varepsilon_n) < \infty$. Then

$$\delta_n < 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2\varepsilon_n}}.$$

By the proof of [4, Theorem 1], there exists a sequence $G_n \in A$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |G_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 + \varepsilon_n) < \infty \text{ on } X.$$

Hypothesis I implies that $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence. □

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be an arbitrary uniform algebra and let $\{a_n\}$ be in $M(A)$. Suppose $\{f_k\}_k$ is a sequence in A such that $f_k(a_n) = \delta_{nk}$. Then $\{a_n\}$ is an ℓ^p -interpolating sequence if and only if*

$$\sup_{\phi \in A^* \cap J^\perp, \|\phi\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\phi(f_n)|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $A^* \cap J^\perp = \{\phi \in A^*; \phi = 0 \text{ on } J\}$. For $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$ we assume that

$$\sup_{\phi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\phi(f_n)|^q \right)^{1/q} = \sup_{\phi} \sup_n |\phi(f_n)| = \sup_n \|f_n + J\|.$$

PROOF. Suppose that

$$\sup_{\phi \in A^* \cap J^\perp, \|\phi\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(f_n)|^q \right)^{1/q} = \gamma_q < \infty.$$

For any $\phi \in A^* \cap J^\perp$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ and any $l < \infty$,

$$\left| \phi \left(\sum_{n=1}^l \alpha_n f_n \right) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^l |\alpha_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^l |\phi(f_n)|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

and so

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \tilde{f}_n \right\| \leq \gamma_q \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\tilde{f}_n = f_n + J$. Thus if $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^p$ then $\tilde{f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \tilde{f}_n$ belongs to A/J . Then $f(\alpha_n) = \alpha_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and so $\{\alpha_n\}$ is an ℓ^p -interpolating sequence. Conversely, suppose $S = \{\alpha_n\}$ is an ℓ^p -interpolating sequence. For $(\alpha_n) \in \ell^p$, set

$$T(\alpha_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \tilde{f}_n |S;$$

then there exists a function f such that $T(\alpha_n) = f |S$. Since T turns out to be bounded from ℓ^p to A/J (see Lemma 1), for $\phi \in A^*/J^\perp$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ we have

$$|\phi(f)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \phi(f_n) \right| \leq \|T\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Hence $\sup_{\phi \in A^* \cap J^\perp, \|\phi\| \leq 1} (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(f_n)|^q)^{1/q} < \infty$. □

Hatori [3] is interested in when an ℓ^1 -interpolating sequence is an ℓ^∞ -interpolating sequence. He showed that if $A = H^\infty(R)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ in R , then $\{\alpha_n\}$ is such a sequence (see Corollary 3). In general, Proposition 2 gives a necessary and sufficient condition for this to happen.

References

- [1] B. Berndtsson, S.-Y. A. Chang and K.-C. Lin, 'Interpolating sequences in the polydisc', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1) **302** (1987), 161–169.
- [2] L. Carleson, 'An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions', *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 921–930.

- [3] O. Hatori, 'The Shapiro-Shields theorem on finite connected domains', *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* **1049** (1998), 21–29 (in Japanese).
- [4] K. Izuchi, 'Interpolating sequences in the maximal ideal space of H^∞ . II', in: *Operator theory and complex analysis (Sapporo, 1991)* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992) pp. 221–233.
- [5] K. Izuchi and Y. Izuchi, 'Algebras of bounded analytic functions containing the disk algebra', *Canad. J. Math.* **38** (1986), 87–108.
- [6] J. Narita, 'Interpolating sequences on plane domains', *Kodai Math. J.* **13** (1990), 311–316.
- [7] H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, 'On some interpolation problems for analytic functions', *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 513–532.
- [8] E. L. Stout, 'Bounded holomorphic functions on finite Riemann surfaces', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1965), 255–285.
- [9] L. Zalcman, 'Bounded analytic functions on domains of infinite connectivity', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (1969), 241–269.

Department of Mathematics

Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060-0810

Japan

e-mail: nakazi@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

