

STAR CENTER POINTS OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

LOUIS RAYMON and DAVID E. TEPPER*

(Received 3 July 1973; revised 12 December 1973)

Communicated by E. Strzelecki

1. Introduction

Let

$$(1) \quad w = f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

be regular and univalent in the unit disk D and map D onto a region R . A point $w_0 \in R$ is called a *star-center point* of $f(z)$, or of R , if $tf(z) + (1-t)w_0 \in R$ for $z \in D$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. In this paper we consider only functions of the form (1) where $w = 0$ is a star-center point, i.e., those functions that are starlike with respect to the origin.

Given $w = f(z)$ as in (1), we define the *index of starlikeness* of $w = f(z)$ to be

$$\delta = \sup\{r \mid f(z) \text{ is a star-center point of } f(D) \text{ whenever } |z| \leq r\}.$$

We denote by S_δ the class of all starlike functions whose index is equal to δ , $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$.

From the definition it follows that S_0 and S_1 are the classes of normalized starlike and convex univalent functions respectively. In this note we obtain estimates for $|a_n|$, $|f(x)|$ and $\operatorname{Re}[zf'(z)/f(z)]$ when $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$.

NOTATION: Let D_r denote the disk $|z| < r$. Let $\phi(z, a, \alpha) = e^{i\alpha}(z-a)(1-\bar{a}z)^{-1}$, where $-\pi < \alpha \leq \pi$ and $|a| < 1$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to belong to the class S_δ .

* Supported in part by a National Science Foundation Grant.

LEMMA 1. Let $w = f(z)$ be of the form (1). Then $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$ if and only if the function

$$(3) \quad F(z) = tf(z) + (1-t)f(\delta z\phi(z, a, \alpha))$$

is subordinate to $w = f(z)$ in D for $-\pi < \alpha \leq \pi$, $|a| < 1$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

PROOF. If $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$, then the subordination holds because $|\phi(z, a, \alpha)| < 1$.

Suppose the subordination holds. For $\delta = 0$ the result is well-known, so we may suppose $\delta > 0$. Given z_0 , $|z_0| < \delta$, we show $f(z_0)$ is a star-center point of $f(D_r)$ for $r > \delta^{-1}|z_0|$. For each z , $|z| > \delta^{-1}|z_0|$, let

$$(4) \quad A = \delta^{-1}\bar{z}^{-1}\bar{z}_0, \alpha = \text{Arg}(1 - A\bar{z})(1 - Az)^{-1}, a = -(A - z)(1 - A\bar{z})^{-1}.$$

Then $z_0 = \delta z\phi(z, a, \alpha)$ and (3) yields $tf(z) + (1-t)f(z_0) = f(\zeta)$ for some ζ in D . By well-known properties of subordination, $|\zeta| < |z|$, (see [1, p. 227]).

LEMMA 2. The function $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$, $\delta > 0$, if and only if

$$(5) \quad \text{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(\zeta)} > 0$$

for $|\zeta| < \delta$, $|\zeta| < |z| < 1$.

PROOF. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $|\zeta| + \varepsilon < \delta$, and $r > 0$ so that $|\zeta|\delta^{-1} < r < 1$. Since $f(D_\delta)$ is a convex set, (5) holds for $|z| = |\zeta| + \varepsilon$. By Lemma 1, (5) also holds for $|z| = r$. Using the, minimum modulus principle for harmonic functions (5) follows upon letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow 1$.

LEMMA 3. The function $w = f(z)$, εS_δ if and only if

$$(6) \quad G(z, \phi) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(\delta z\phi(z, a, \alpha))}$$

has positive real part for $|z| < 1$, $|a| < 1$, $-\pi < \alpha \leq \pi$.

PROOF. For $\delta = 0$ the result is well-known. If $\delta > 0$, then given z_0 , $|z_0| < \delta$ and z , $|z| > \delta^{-1}|z_0|$, we use (4) to find a function $\phi(z, a, \alpha)$ that satisfies the equation $\delta z\phi(z, a, \alpha) = z_0$. For $\zeta = z_0$, (6) follows from (5).

3. Distortion theorems

THEOREM 1. If $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, then

$$(7) \quad \frac{1 - |z|}{(1 - \delta|z|)(1 + |z|)} \leq \text{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{(1 + \delta|z|)(1 - |z|)},$$

equality holding in the cases $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$.

PROOF. By a well-known theorem (Nehari (1952); page 173),

$$(8) \quad [\operatorname{Re} G(0, \phi)] \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} \leq \operatorname{Re} G(z, \phi) \leq [\operatorname{Re} G(0, \phi)] \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|},$$

which becomes

$$(9) \quad \left[\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 + e^{i\alpha} a \delta} \right] \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(\delta z \phi(z, a, \alpha))} \leq \left[\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 + e^{i\alpha} a \delta} \right] \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}.$$

Letting $\alpha = -\arg a + \pi$ and $z = a$ on the left side of (9) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1 - \delta |a|} \frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{af'(a)}{f(a)},$$

which is equivalent to the left side of (7). The right hand side of (7) is obtained similarly.

It is interesting to note that for $\delta = 1$, we obtain the well-known result that $\operatorname{Re} [zf'(z)/f(z)] \geq (1 + |z|)^{-1}$; see (Strohäcker (1933)) or more recently (Suffridge (1940));

THEOREM 2. *If $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$, then*

$$(10) \quad |z| \frac{(1 - \delta |z|)^{(1-\delta)/(1+\delta)}}{(1 + |z|)^{2/1+\delta}} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| \frac{(1 + \delta |z|)^{(1-\delta)/(1+\delta)}}{(1 - |z|)^{2/1+\delta}},$$

equality holding in the cases $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$.

PROOF. Using the identity

$$(11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial |z|} \log \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| = \frac{1}{|z|} \left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|,$$

(10) is obtained upon integrating (7).

4. Coefficient estimates

We wish to give coefficient estimates for the expansion (1) when $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$. If $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$, then

$$(12) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-\delta z)} > 0$$

for $z \in D$. This is so because (5) holds for all ζ , $|\zeta| < \delta$. If z is any point in D (5) holds for $\zeta = Rz$ where $-\delta < R < \delta$. Letting $R \rightarrow -\delta$ we obtain (12). Equation (12) also holds when $\delta = 0$. If we let

$$(13) \quad F(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-\delta z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n,$$

then $|c_n| \leq 2 \operatorname{Re} c_0 = 2(1 + \delta)^{-1}$; (see (Robertson (1945))). We have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *If $w = f(z) \in S_\delta$, then*

$$(14) \quad |a_n| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k(1 + \delta) + 1 - (-\delta)^k}{k(1 + \delta) + 1 + (-\delta)^{k+1}},$$

equality holding in the cases $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$.

PROOF. Equation (13) gives the following relationship between the coefficients of $w = f(z)$ and $F(z)$

$$(15) \quad (n - c_0(1 - (-\delta)^n)a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k a_{n-k}(1 - (-\delta)^{n-k}),$$

equality holding in the cases $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$.

Let

$$P_k = k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \rho^j,$$

$$Q_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (k-j)\rho^j, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Let $S_1 = (1 - \rho)$, and define S_n recursively by

$$S_n = S_{n-1} + \frac{1 - \rho^n}{(1 - \rho)^{n-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_k}{Q_k}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots.$$

Set

$$T_n = \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{-1}} \frac{Q_n}{2} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{P_k}{Q_k}.$$

We will prove the following identity;

$$(16) \quad S_n = T_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Assuming (16) for $n = m - 1$, we have

$$S_m = \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{m-3}} \frac{Q_{m-1}}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{P_k}{Q_k} + \frac{1 - \rho^m}{(1 - \rho)^{m-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} P_k/Q_k$$

$$= T_m \left[(1 - \rho)Q_{m-1}/P_m + 2 \frac{(1 - \rho^m)}{(1 - \rho)} P_m \right] = T_m.$$

Since (16) is easily verified for $n = 1$, (16) follows.

Let $\rho = -\delta$. We will prove that

$$(17) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{n-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_k}{Q_k}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

These estimates are obtained from (15) by induction when we use $c_0 = (1 + \delta)^{-1}$, the bounds $|c_n| \leq 2(1 + \delta)^{-1}$ and the estimates for $|a_{n-1}|, |a_{n-2}|$, etc.

For $n = 2$, (15) gives $a_2 = c_1(1 + \delta)(2 - c_0(1 - \delta^2))^{-1}$. Hence $|a_2| \leq 2(1 + \delta)^{-1}$, which gives (14) for $n = 2$. Assume now that (15) holds for $k \leq n - 1$. Then (15) gives

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n - c_0(1 - \rho^n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k a_{n-k} (1 - \rho^{n-k}).$$

Hence,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |a_k| (1 - \rho^k)}{n(1 - \rho) - (1 - \rho^n)} = \frac{2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |a_k| (1 - \rho^k)}{(1 - \rho)^2 Q_{n-1}}.$$

By the induction hypothesis and (16) we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2S_{n-1}}{(1 - \rho)^2 Q_{n-1}} = \frac{2T_{n-1}}{(1 - \rho)^2 Q_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{n-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k / Q_k,$$

and (17) is satisfied.

We now show that (17) is equivalent to (14). Note that

$$P_k = k + (1 - \rho^k)/(1 - \rho),$$

and

$$(1 - \rho)Q_k = k - \rho(1 - \rho^k)/(1 - \rho).$$

Hence,

$$(18) \quad \frac{P_k}{1(-\rho)Q_k} = [k(1 - \rho) + 1 - \rho^k][k(1 - \rho) + \rho + \rho^{k+1}]^{-1}.$$

If we combine (17) and (18) we obtain (14).

We conclude with an example. It has been suggested that if $w = f(z) \in S_\beta$, then there may exist some $\beta > 0$, depending on δ , such that

$$\inf_{z \in D} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \beta.$$

The functions

$$f_\beta(z) = \frac{1}{2\beta} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\beta \right]$$

serve as a counterexample in the following sense. As β varies in the interval $[0, 1]$, $f_\beta(z)$ has an index that decreases with respect to β in the interval $[0, 1]$. Furthermore

$$\inf_{z \in D} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'_\beta(z)}{f_\beta(z)} = 0$$

for all β , $1 \leq \beta \leq 2$.

References

- Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping* (1952), (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952, MR 13, 640.)
 M. S. Robertson (1945), 'Star center points of multivalent functions', *Duke Math. J.* **12**, 669–684; MR 7–379.
 T. J. Suffridge (1940), 'Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk', *Duke Math. J.* **37**, 775–777; MR 42–852.
 E. Strohäcker (1933), 'Beitrage zur Theorie der Schlichten Funktionen', *Math. Z.* **37**, 336–380; Zbl. 7–214.

Temple University, Philadelphia, Penna., U.S.A.
 The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N. J. U.S.A.