

## QUASI-INJECTIVE MODULES SATISFYING CERTAIN RELATIVE FINITENESS CONDITIONS

TATSUO IZAWA

(Received 28 August 28 1986)

Communicated by R. Lidl

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Akira Hattori*

### Abstract

We study the endomorphism ring of a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  such that  $R$  satisfies certain finiteness conditions relative to  $Q$ . And we are concerned with a module  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ , where  $S$  is the endomorphism ring of  $Q_R$ .

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): primary 16 A 52; secondary 16 A 65.

### 1. Introduction

Endomorphism rings of  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-(quasi-)injective modules over an associative ring with identity have been studied mainly by Faith and Năstăsescu (refer to [4], [10], [2], and so on). An injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  is said to be  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-injective if the lattice of all  $Q$ -closed right ideals of  $R$ , that is,  $C_Q(R) = \{I_R \subseteq R_R \mid R/I \text{ is } Q\text{-torsionless}\}$  is noetherian (respectively artinian). Faith has shown in [4] that the endomorphism ring of a finitely generated  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-injective right  $R$ -module is a right perfect (respectively a left artinian) ring. Moreover, Năstăsescu has shown in [10] that (1) the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(Q_R)$  of a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  which has a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $Q'$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(Q/Q', Q) = (0)$  (in particular, of a finitely generated  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-injective right  $R$ -module

$Q$ ), is a semi-primary (respectively a left artinian) ring, and (2) if  $Q$  is a noetherian or artinian,  $\Delta$ -injective right  $R$ -module, then  $\text{End}(Q_R)$ , the endomorphism ring of  $Q_R$ , is a left artinian ring and  $\text{Biend}(Q_R)$ , the biendomorphism ring of  $Q_R$ , is a right artinian ring.

In the present paper we shall generalize those results to the case where  $Q_R$  is quasi-injective. For this purpose we shall introduce the concepts of  $Q$ -noetherian,  $Q$ -artinian and  $Q$ -finitely generated modules with respect to any right  $R$ -module  $Q$ . And we shall show that when  $Q$  is a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module with  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  and  $M$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module such that  $Q$  is  $M$ -injective, then (1) if  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian,  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is coprofect (Theorem 4.1), (2) if  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -artinian,  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is noetherian (Theorem 4.4), and (3) if  $R_R$  is both  $Q$ -noetherian and  $Q$ -artinian,  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  has finite length (Theorem 4.6). As these applications, we shall show that when  $Q$  is a quasi-injective,  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module with  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$ , then (1) if  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian, then  $S$  is a semi-primary ring (Theorem 4.2), (2) if  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -artinian, then  $S$  is a left noetherian ring (Corollary 4.5), and (3) if  $R_R$  is both  $Q$ -noetherian and  $Q$ -artinian, then  $S$  is a left artinian ring (Corollary 4.8). In addition, we shall show that if  $Q$  is a noetherian or artinian, quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -artinian, then  $\text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring and  $\text{Biend}(Q_R)$  is a right artinian ring (Theorems 4.12 and 4.13). In the sequel, in Section 5 we shall be concerned with endomorphism rings of (quasi-)projective, quasi-injective modules satisfying some finiteness conditions.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity and  $\text{Mod-}R$  the category of all unital right  $R$ -modules. For  $M, Q \in \text{Mod-}R$ ,  $M$  is said to be  $Q$ -torsion if  $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q) = (0)$ , and said to be  $Q$ -torsionless if  $M$  is embeddable in a direct product of copies of  $Q$ . An  $R$ -submodule  $L$  of  $M$  is said to be a  $Q$ -closed submodule of  $M$  if  $M/L$  is  $Q$ -torsionless. The set of all  $Q$ -closed submodules of  $M$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_Q(M)$  throughout this paper. It is well known that  $L \in \mathcal{C}_Q(M)$  if and only if  $L = \text{Ann}_M(\text{Ann}_{M^*}(L))$ , where  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ . We set  $\tau_Q(M) = \text{Ann}_M(M^*) = \{x \in M \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)\}$  for  $M, Q \in \text{Mod-}R$ . Clearly,  $\tau_Q(M)$  is the smallest  $Q$ -closed submodule of  $M$ . By setting  $L \wedge N = L \cap N$  and  $(L \vee N)/(L+N) = \tau_Q(M/(L+N))$  for  $L, N \in \mathcal{C}_Q(M)$ , we can give a lattice structure to  $\mathcal{C}_Q(M)$ . We set  $\Psi(Q) = \{M \in \text{Mod-}R \mid Q \text{ is } M\text{-injective}\}$  for any  $Q \in \text{Mod-}R$ . If  $Q \in \Psi(Q)$ ,  $Q$  is said to be quasi-injective, and if  $\Psi(Q) = \text{Mod-}R$ ,  $Q$  is injective. The following result is well known.

LEMMA 2.1.  $\Psi(Q)$  is closed under taking submodules, homomorphic images and direct sums.

If  $\mathcal{C}_Q(R) = \{I_R \subseteq R_R \mid I = \text{Ann}_R(X) \text{ for some subset } X \text{ of } Q\}$  satisfies the ACC (respectively DCC), then  $Q_R$  is said to be a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-module for any  $Q \in \text{Mod-}R$ . If an (a quasi-)injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  is a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-module,  $Q$  is said to be  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-(quasi-)injective. For  $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ , let  $\text{End}(M_R)$  denote the endomorphism ring of  $M_R$  and  $\text{Biend}(M_R)$  the biendomorphism ring of  $M_R$ , that is,  $\text{Biend}(M_R) = \text{End}({}_S M)$ , where  $S = \text{End}(M_R)$ . Any homomorphism will be written on the side opposite to the scalars. For  $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ ,  $M^n$  denotes the direct sum of  $n$ -copies of  $M_R$ . The ACC (respectively DCC) denotes the ascending (respectively descending) chain condition.

### 3. $Q$ -noetherian modules and $Q$ -artinian modules

Let  $E$  be an injective right  $R$ -module and  $\mathcal{F} = \{I_R \subseteq R_R \mid \text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) = (0)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Gabriel topology on  $R$  associated with a hereditary torsion theory defined by  $E$ . In [11], [8] and [1], Năstăsescu-Niță-Albu have defined and studied  $\mathcal{F}$ -noetherian and  $\mathcal{F}$ -artinian modules and rings. In this section we shall define and study  $Q$ -noetherian and  $Q$ -artinian modules in case  $Q_R$  is not necessarily an injective module.

DEFINITIONS. Let  $M, Q \in \text{Mod-}R$ .

(1)  $M$  is said to be  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian) if, for each ascending (respectively descending) chain

$$M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \cdots \quad (\text{respectively } M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq M_3 \supseteq \cdots)$$

of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ , there exists an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $M_{i+1}/M_i$  (respectively  $M_i/M_{i+1}$ ) is  $Q$ -torsion for all  $i \geq k$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian) if  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian).

(2) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-empty set of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ ,  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $Q$ -maximal (respectively  $Q$ -minimal) element in  $\mathcal{A}$  if, for each  $N' \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $N \subseteq N'$  (respectively  $N' \subseteq N$ ),  $N'/N$  (respectively  $N/N'$ ) is  $Q$ -torsion.

(3)  $M$  is said to be  $Q$ -finitely generated if there exists a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $M/M'$  is  $Q$ -torsion.

If  $Q$  is a cogenerator in  $\text{Mod-}R$ , each  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian) module is exactly a noetherian (respectively artinian) module. When  $Q$  is an injective right  $R$ -module cogenerating a hereditary torsion theory associated with a Gabriel topology  $\mathcal{F}$ , these definitions are identified with those of  $\mathcal{F}$ -noetherian,  $\mathcal{F}$ -artinian,  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal,  $\mathcal{F}$ -minimal and  $\mathcal{F}$ -finitely generated modules in the sense of Năstăsescu-Niță-Albu.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ . If  $M$  is  $Q$ -torison, then  $M$  is both  $Q$ -noetherian and  $Q$ -artinian.*

PROOF. Let  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots$  be any ascending chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is  $Q$ -torsion,  $M/M_k$  is  $Q$ -torsion, too, for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $M/M_k \in \Psi(Q)$  by Lemma 2.1, every  $R$ -submodule of  $M/M_i$ , in particular  $M_{k+1}/M_k$  is  $Q$ -torsion by [6, Lemma 2.1]. Hence  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian. Similarly,  $M$  is  $Q$ -artinian.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let us consider the following conditions.*

- (1)  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian.
- (2) Each non-empty set of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$  has a  $Q$ -maximal element.
- (3)  $C_Q(M)$  is a noetherian lattice.
- (4) Each  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is  $Q$ -finitely generated. Then we have the implications, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). In addition, if  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ , all four conditions are equivalent.

PROOF. The implications, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) can be proved in the same manner as in the proof of [2, Proposition 6.1]. Next, assume that  $Q$  is  $M$ -injective.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Suppose that  $M$  has a submodule  $N$  which is not  $Q$ -finitely generated. Choose  $x_1 \in N$  with  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $N/x_1R$  is not  $Q$ -torsion, and so in particular  $N/x_1R \neq (0)$ . Hence there exists  $x_2 \in N$  such that  $x_1R \subsetneq x_1R + x_2R$  and  $(x_1R + x_2R)/x_1R$  is not  $Q$ -torsion. For, since  $N/x_1R \in \Psi(Q)$  by Lemma 2.1,  $\tau_Q(N/x_1R)$  is  $Q$ -torsion by [6, (2) of Lemma 2.1], and hence  $\tau_Q(N/x_1R) \subsetneq N/x_1R$ . Hence for each  $x_2 + x_1R \notin \tau_Q(N/x_1R)$ , there exists  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(N/x_1R, Q)$  such that  $f(x_2 + x_1R) \neq 0$ . So the restriction of  $f$  onto  $(x_1R + x_2R)/x_1R$  is not a zero map. Hence  $(x_1R + x_2R)/x_1R$  is not  $Q$ -torsion. And then,  $N/(x_1R + x_2R)$  is not  $Q$ -torsion. Continuing the same argument, we are able to find a strictly ascending chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ ,

$$x_1R \subsetneq x_1R + x_2R \subsetneq x_1R + x_2R + x_3R \subsetneq \dots$$

such that  $N_{k+1}/N_k$  is not  $Q$ -torsion for all  $k \geq 1$ , where  $N_k = x_1R + x_2R + \dots + x_kR$ . Let us put  $N'_i/N_i = \tau_Q(M/N_i)$  for each integer  $i$ . Then we get an ascending chain of elements of  $C_Q(M)$ ,  $N'_1 \subseteq N'_2 \subseteq N'_3 \subseteq \dots$ . Suppose  $N'_i = N'_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ . Then  $N_{i+1}/N_i \subseteq N'_{i+1}/N_i = N'_i/N_i$ . By using Lemma 2.1 and [6, Lemma 2.1], since  $N'_i/N_i$  is  $Q$ -torsion, so is also  $N_{i+1}/N_i$ . This is a contradiction. Consequently, we have  $N'_i \subsetneq N'_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , and which contradicts the assumption (3).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . Since  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$  is  $Q$ -finitely generated, then there exist

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in N$  such that  $N/(x_1R + \dots + x_nR)$  is  $Q$ -torsion. On the other hand, there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $x_1R + \dots + x_nR \subseteq M_k$ , so  $N/M_{k+j}$  is  $Q$ -torsion for all  $j \geq 0$ . Since  $N/M_{k+j} \in \Psi(Q)$  by Lemma 2.1,  $M_{k+j+1}/M_{k+j}$  is  $Q$ -torsion according to [6, (1) of Lemma 2.1]. Hence  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let us consider the following conditions.*

- (1)  $M$  is  $Q$ -artinian.
- (2) Each non-empty set of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$  has a  $Q$ -minimal element.
- (3)  $C_Q(M)$  is an artinian lattice.

Then the implications (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) hold. In addition, if  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ , all three conditions are equivalent.

PROOF. The implications, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) can be proved in the same manner as in the proof of [2, Proposition 6.2]. Next, assume that  $Q$  is  $M$ -injective.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq L_3 \supseteq \dots$  be a descending chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . Put  $L'_i/L_i = \tau_Q(M/L_i)$  for each integer  $i$ . Then we have the descending chain of elements of  $C_Q(M)$ ,  $L'_1 \supseteq L'_2 \supseteq L'_3 \supseteq \dots$ . By the assumption (3), there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $L'_k = L'_{k+1} = L'_{k+2} = \dots$ . For each  $i \geq k$ ,  $L_i/L_{i+1} \subseteq L'_i/L_{i+1} = L'_{i+1}/L_{i+1} = \tau_Q(M/L_{i+1})$ . Since  $M/L_{i+1} \in \Psi(Q)$  by Lemma 2.1,  $\tau_Q(M/L_{i+1})$  is  $Q$ -torsion, and hence so is also  $L_i/L_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq k$ , by using [6, Lemma 2.1].

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let  $M, Q \in \text{Mod-}R$ , and let*

$$(0) \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} M'' \rightarrow (0)$$

*be an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules. Then, if  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian), so are also  $M'$  and  $M''$ . If  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ , and if both  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian), so is also  $M$ .*

PROOF. (I)  $Q$ -noetherian case. The first part of the statement can be proved by the standard discussion. Next, suppose that  $M \in \Psi(Q)$  and both  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $Q$ -noetherian. Let  $L$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ .  $\varphi(L)$  has a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $N = \sum_{i=1}^n z_iR$  such that  $\varphi(L)/N$  is  $Q$ -torsion. Choose  $x_i \in L$  such that  $\varphi(x_i) = z_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Put  $K = \sum_{i=1}^n x_iR$ . On the other hand,  $L \cap \psi(M')$  has a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $H = \sum_{j=1}^m y_jR$  such that  $(L \cap \psi(M'))/H$  is  $Q$ -torsion. Then, since  $L \cap \varphi^{-1}(N) = (L \cap \psi(M')) + K$ , we have the exact sequence as follows:

$$(0) \rightarrow ((L \cap \psi(M')) + K)/(H + K) \rightarrow L/(H + K) \rightarrow \varphi(L)/N \rightarrow (0).$$

Since  $L/(H + K) \in \Psi(Q)$  by Lemma 2.1, we have the exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} (0) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\varphi(L)/N, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L/(H + K), Q) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(((L \cap \psi(M')) + K)/(H + K), Q) \rightarrow (0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $((L \cap \psi(M')) + K)/(H + K)$  is a homomorphic image of  $(L \cap \psi(M'))/H$ ,  $((L \cap \psi(M')) + K)/(H + K)$  is  $Q$ -torsion, too. Hence

$$\text{Hom}_R(\varphi(L)/N, Q) = \text{Hom}_R(((L \cap \psi(M')) + K)/(H + K), Q) = (0);$$

so  $\text{Hom}_R(L/(H + K), Q) = (0)$ . Therefore  $L$  is  $Q$ -finitely generated. Hence  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian.

(II)  $Q$ -artinian case. The first part can be proved by the standard discussion. Next, suppose that  $M \in \Psi(Q)$  and both  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $Q$ -artinian. let  $L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq L_3 \supseteq \dots$  be a descending chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . Then for the descending chain,  $L_1 \cap \psi(M') \supseteq L_2 \cap \psi(M') \supseteq L_3 \cap \psi(M') \supseteq \dots$ , there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $(L_i \cap \psi(M'))/(L_{i+1} \cap \psi(M'))$  is  $Q$ -torsion for all  $i \geq k$ . And, for the descending chain  $\varphi(L_1) \supseteq \varphi(L_2) \supseteq \varphi(L_3) \supseteq \dots$ , there exists an integer  $k'$  such that  $\varphi(L_i)/\varphi(L_{i+1})$  is  $Q$ -torsion for all  $i \geq k'$ . Let  $n = \max\{k, k'\}$ . Then for all  $i \geq n$ , let us consider the exact sequence as follows:

$$(0) \rightarrow ((L_i \cap \psi(M')) + L_{i+1})/L_{i+1} \rightarrow L_i/L_{i+1} \rightarrow \varphi(L_i)/\varphi(L_{i+1}) \rightarrow (0).$$

Then we have the exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} (0) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\varphi(L_i)/\varphi(L_{i+1}), Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L_i/L_{i+1}, Q) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(((L_i \cap \psi(M')) + L_{i+1})/L_{i+1}, Q) \rightarrow (0), \end{aligned}$$

because  $L_i/L_{i+1} \in \Psi(Q)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} ((L_i \cap \psi(M')) + L_{i+1})/L_{i+1} &\cong (L_i \cap \psi(M'))/((L_i \cap \psi(M')) \cap L_{i+1}) \\ &= (L_i \cap \psi(M'))/(L_{i+1} \cap \psi(M')), \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Hom}_R(\varphi(L_i)/\varphi(L_{i+1}), Q) = \text{Hom}_R(((L_i \cap \psi(M')) + L_{i+1})/L_{i+1}, Q) = (0)$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(L_i/L_{i+1}, Q) = (0)$ , that is,  $L_i/L_{i+1}$  is  $Q$ -torsion for all  $i \geq n$ . Therefore  $M$  is  $Q$ -artinian.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ . If  $R$  is a  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) ring, and if  $M$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated right  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian).*

**PROOF.** Since  $R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian), every cyclic right  $R$ -module is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) by Proposition 3.4. By the assumption there exists a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $M/M'$  is  $Q$ -torsion. Put  $M' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i R$ . Then  $x_i R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian).

Since  $Q$  is  $M$ -injective,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k x_i R \in \Psi(Q)$  for all integer  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , by Lemma 2.1. Let us consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow x_1 R \rightarrow x_1 R \oplus x_2 R \rightarrow x_2 R \rightarrow (0).$$

Since  $x_1 R$  and  $x_2 R$  both are  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian),  $x_1 R \oplus x_2 R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) by Proposition 3.4. By the similar discussion, if  $x_1 R + \dots + x_{k-1} R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian), the exact sequence

$$(0) \rightarrow x_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_{k-1} R \rightarrow x_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_{k-1} R \oplus x_k R \rightarrow x_k R \rightarrow (0)$$

implies that  $x_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_k R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) by Proposition 3.4. Thus, we can conclude that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k x_i R$  for each  $k$ , in particular,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian). Next, since the map  $\psi: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i R \rightarrow M'$  defined by  $\psi(x_1 r_1, x_2 r_2, \dots, x_n r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i r_i$ , is an  $R$ -epimorphism,  $M'$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) by Proposition 3.4. On the other hand,  $M/M'$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) by Lemma 3.1. Hence the exact sequence

$$(0) \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M' \rightarrow (0)$$

implies that  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (resp.  $Q$ -artinian) by Proposition 3.4, as desired.

**4. Quasi-injective module  $Q$  such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian ( $Q$ -artinian)**

Let  $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_M(R) = \{I_R \subseteq R_R \mid I = \text{Ann}_R(X) \text{ for some subset } X \text{ of } M\}$  is noetherian (respectively artinian), then  $M_R$  is said to be a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-module. If an (a quasi-)injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  is a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-module, then  $Q_R$  is said to be a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-(quasi-)injective module. According to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, an injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  such that  $R_R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian) is exactly a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-injective module. And, a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian) is  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-quasi-injective. However, a  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\Delta$ )-quasi-injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  does not necessarily satisfy the condition for  $R$  to be  $Q$ -noetherian (respectively  $Q$ -artinian). In this section we are concerned with a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module  $Q$  such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian or  $Q$ -artinian.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian and  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$ . If  $M_R$  is  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) and  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ , then  ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is coprofect.*

**PROOF.** Since  $M$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated right module over a  $Q$ -noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $M$  is  $Q$ -noetherian by Corollary 3.5. Hence  $\mathcal{C}_Q(M)$  is a noetherian lattice by Lemma 3.2. Therefore  ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is coprofect by [6, Theorem 4.1] or [2, Corollary 4.3].

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective,  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -noetherian. Then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a semi-primary ring.*

**PROOF.** In this case  $C_Q(Q)$  is a noetherian lattice. Hence  $S$  is a semi-primary ring by [2, Corollary 4.5].

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *If  $Q$  is a quasi-injective,  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right module over a right noetherian ring  $R$ , then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a semi-primary ring.*

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian and  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$ . If  $M$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module and  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ , then  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is noetherian.*

**PROOF.** Since  $M_R$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated module over a  $Q$ -artinian ring  $R$ ,  $M_R$  is  $Q$ -artinian by Corollary 3.5. Hence  $C_Q(M)$  is an artinian lattice by Lemma 3.3. According to [6, Theorem 4.3] or [2, Corollary 4.3],  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is noetherian if and only if  $C_Q(M)$  is artinian, as desired.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective,  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian. Then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left noetherian ring.*

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is both  $Q$ -noetherian and  $Q$ -artinian and  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$ . If  $M$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module and  $M \in \Psi(Q)$ , then  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  has finite length.*

**PROOF.** By Theorems 4.1 and 4.4,  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  is coproper and noetherian. Therefore  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  has finite length.

**COROLLARY 4.7.** *Let  $Q$  be a  $\Delta$ -injective right  $R$ -module with  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$ . If  $M$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module, then  ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$  has finite length.*

**COROLLARY 4.8.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective,  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated) right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is both  $Q$ -noetherian and  $Q$ -artinian. Then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring. In particular, if  $Q$  is a  $Q$ -finitely generated (in particular, finitely generated)  $\Delta$ -injective right  $R$ -module, then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.*

REMARK. The latter part of Corollary 4.8 is due to Faith [3, Corollary 6.4] and Năstăsescu [10, Proposition 1.5].

THEOREM 4.9. *Let  $U$  be a right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is both  $U$ -noetherian and  $U$ -artinian. If  $Q$  is a quasi-injective,  $U$ -torsionless,  $U$ -finitely generated right  $R$ -module such that  $U$  is  $Q$ -injective, then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.*

PROOF. Since  $Q$  is a  $U$ -finitely generated right module over a  $U$ -noetherian and  $U$ -artinian ring  $R$  and since  $U$  is  $Q$ -injective, then  $Q_R$  is both  $U$ -noetherian and  $U$ -artinian by Corollary 3.5. So  $C_U(Q)$  is a noetherian and artinian lattice by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. On the other hand, since  $Q_R$  is  $U$ -torsionless, every  $Q$ -closed submodule of  $Q$  is also a  $U$ -closed submodule of  $Q$ . Hence  $C_Q(Q)$  is a noetherian and artinian lattice, too. Thus, since  $Q_R$  is quasi-injective,  $Q_R$  has a  $Q$ -composition series by [6, Theorem 2.6]. Therefore, according to [6, Theorems 2.8 and 3.4], we have  $\text{len}_S S = Q - \text{len } Q_R = n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , as desired.

COROLLARY 4.10 (NĂSTĂSESCU [10, PROPOSITION 1.5]). *Let  $U$  be a  $\Delta$ -injective right  $R$ -module. If  $Q$  is a quasi-injective,  $U$ -torsionless,  $U$ -finitely generated right  $R$ -module, then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.*

PROOF. Since  $U_R$  is  $\Delta$ -injective,  $R$  is a both  $U$ -noetherian and  $U$ -artinian ring according to Miller-Teply's theorem in [7]. Hence the result follows directly from Theorem 4.9.

LEMMA 4.11. *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian, and let us put  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$ . Then  $T$  is a semi-primary ring and  $Q_T$  is a  $\Delta$ -injective module.*

PROOF. In this case  $Q_R$  is  $\Delta$ -quasi-injective by Lemma 3.3. Hence  ${}_S Q$  has finite length according to [4, Proposition 8.1], where  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$ . Therefore  $T = \text{End}({}_S Q)$  is a semi-primary ring. And, since  $Q_R$  is finendo and quasi-injective,  $Q_T$  is injective (refer to [3, Proposition 19.18]). Since  $S = \text{End}(Q_T)$ , it follows that  $Q_T$  is  $\Delta$ -injective by [4, Corollary 7.5].

THEOREM 4.12. *If  $Q$  is a noetherian, quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian, then we have the following assertions.*

- (1)  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.
- (2)  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$  is a right artinian ring.

PROOF. (1) Since  $Q_R$  is noetherian, so is also  $Q_T$ . In particular,  $Q_T$  is finitely generated. On the other hand,  $Q_T$  is  $\Delta$ -injective by Lemma 4.11, and  $S = \text{End}(Q_T)$ . Hence  $S$  is a left artinian ring by Corollary 4.8.

(2) In this case  $Q_R$  is  $\Delta$ -quasi-injective, and so  ${}_S Q$  has finite length by [4, Proposition 8.1]. In particular,  ${}_S Q$  is finitely generated. Thus,  $Q_T$  is a finendo, faithful, injective module. So  $Q_T$  is compactly faithful by [3, Proposition 19.15], that is  $T_T \hookrightarrow Q_T^n$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $Q_T$  is noetherian,  $Q_T^n$ , and hence  $T_T$  is noetherian. On the other hand, since  $T$  is a semi-primary ring by Lemma 4.11,  $T$  is a right artinian ring.

**THEOREM 4.13.** *If  $Q$  is an artinian, quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian, then we have the following assertions.*

- (1)  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.
- (2)  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$  is a right artinian ring.

**PROOF.** In this case  $\mathcal{C}_Q(R)$  is an artinian lattice by Lemma 3.3. Since  $\text{Ann}_R(Q) = \bigcap_{x \in Q} \text{Ann}_R(x)$ , there exist a finite number of elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in Q$  such that  $\text{Ann}_R(Q) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}_R(x_i)$ . Hence, if we put  $\bar{R} = R/\text{Ann}_R(Q)$ ,  $\bar{R}_R \hookrightarrow x_1 R \oplus x_2 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_n R$ . Since  $x_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_n R$  is an artinian right  $R$ -module,  $\bar{R}_R$  is artinian, too. Hence  $\bar{R}$  is a right artinian ring. Since  $Q_R$  is artinian,  $Q_{\bar{R}}$  is an artinian module over a right artinian ring  $\bar{R}$ . Hence  $Q_{\bar{R}}$  is also noetherian by [9, Corollary 1.3]. Thus,  $Q_R$  is noetherian. Therefore the results follow directly from Theorem 4.12.

**COROLLARY 4.14 (FAITH-NĂSTĂSESCU).** *Let  $Q$  be a  $\Delta$ -injective right  $R$ -module with  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  and  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$ . If  $Q_R$  is either noetherian or artinian, then  $S$  is a left artinian ring and  $T$  is a right artinian ring.*

**COROLLARY 4.15.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian ring. If  $Q$  is a noetherian (or an artinian), quasi-injective right  $R$ -module, then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring and  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$  is a right artinian ring.*

## 5. Endomorphism rings of quasi-projective, quasi-injective modules

**THEOREM 5.1.** *If  $Q$  is a finitely generated projective, quasi-injective right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian, then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.*

**PROOF.** According to Corollary 4.5,  $S$  is a left noetherian ring. On the other hand, since  $Q_R$  is  $\Delta$ -quasi-injective in this case,  ${}_S Q$  has finite length by [4, Theorem 8.1]. Hence  $T = \text{End}({}_S Q)$  is a semi-primary ring. And, since  $Q_T$  is finitely generated projective and  $S = \text{End}(Q_T)$ ,  $S$  is a semi-primary ring, too, by [5, Proposition 4.5]. Hence  $S$  is a left artinian ring.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $R$  be a left noetherian ring. If  $Q$  is a finitely generated projective, quasi-injective, finendo right  $R$ -module, then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.*

**PROOF.** In this case  $S$  is a left noetherian ring and  ${}_S Q$  is finitely generated. Hence  ${}_S Q$  is noetherian. And, since  $Q_R$  is finendo and quasi-injective,  $Q_T$  is injective by [3, Proposition 19.18], where  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$ . Hence  $Q_T$  is  $\Delta$ -injective according to [4, Proposition 8.1]. Thus,  $Q_T$  is a finitely generated  $\Delta$ -injective module with  $S = \text{End}(Q_T)$ . Therefore  $S$  is a left artinian ring by Corollary 4.8.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-projective, quasi-injective, artinian right  $R$ -module. Then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring.*

**PROOF.** Since  $Q_R$  is quasi-projective and artinian,  $S$  is a semi-primary ring by [2, Corollary 4.14]. On the other hand, since  $Q_R$  is quasi-injective and artinian,  $S$  is a left noetherian ring by [2, Corollary 4.4.] or [6, Corollary 4.4]. Hence  $S$  is a left artinian ring.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-projective, quasi-injective, noetherian right  $R$ -module. Then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a right artinian ring.*

**PROOF.** Since  $Q_R$  is quasi-injective and noetherian,  $S$  is a semi-primary ring by [2, Corollary 4.5]. On the other hand, since  $Q_R$  is quasi-projective and noetherian,  $S$  is a right noetherian ring by [2, Corollary 4.12]. Hence  $S$  is a right artinian ring.

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *Let  $Q$  be a quasi-projective, quasi-injective, noetherian or artinian, right  $R$ -module such that  $R$  is  $Q$ -artinian. Then  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left and right artinian ring.*

**PROOF.** First, suppose that  $Q_R$  is noetherian. Then  $S$  is a right artinian ring by Theorem 5.4, while  $S$  is a left artinian ring by Theorem 4.12. Next, consider the case where  $Q_R$  is artinian. As has been shown in the proof of Theorem 4.13,  $Q_R$  is necessarily noetherian. Hence the result is due to the first case.

*Note.* In connection with Theorems 4.12 and 4.13, it should be noticed that in general, if  $Q$  is a quasi-injective right  $R$ -module having the right artinian biendomorphism ring  $T$ ,  $Q$  is necessarily injective as a right  $T$ -module. Indeed, since  $Q$  is a faithful right module over a right artinian ring  $T$ ,  $Q_T$  is compactly faithful according to a result of Beachy [12, Proposition 1] (see also Vámos [13]). On the other hand, since any quasi-injective module  $Q_R$  remains quasi-injective

as a module over  $T = \text{Biend}(Q_R)$ ,  $Q_T$  is compactly faithful and quasi-injective. Therefore  $Q_T$  is injective by [3, Proposition 19.15], as desired.

The author would like to express his thanks to the referee who has suggested the above comment.

### Addendum

We are able to strengthen Corollary 4.5. Under the same assumption as in Corollary 4.5 we can conclude that  $S = \text{End}(Q_R)$  is a left artinian ring. For, since  $R$  is also  $Q$ -noetherian by [4, Theorem 7.1], it follows by Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 that  $S$  is both semi-primary and left noetherian. Hence  $S$  is left artinian. Thus, our Corollary 4.8 is needless.

### References

- [1] T. Albu and C. Năstăsescu, 'Décompositions primaires dans les catégories de Grothendieck commutatives I', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **280** (1976), 172–194.
- [2] T. Albu and C. Năstăsescu, *Relative finiteness in module theory* (Marcel Dekker Inc., 1984).
- [3] C. Faith, *Algebra II : Ring theory* (Springer-Verlag, 1975).
- [4] C. Faith, *Injective modules and injective quotient rings* (Marcel Dekker Inc., 1982).
- [5] T. Izawa, 'Maximal quotient rings of endomorphism rings of  $E(R_R)$ -torsionfree generators', *Canad. J. Math.* **33** (1981), 585–605.
- [6] T. Izawa, 'Composition series relative to a module', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **35** (1985), 15–33.
- [7] R. W. Miller and M. L. Teply, 'The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory', *Pacific J. Math.* **83** (1979), 207–220.
- [8] C. Năstăsescu, 'La structure des modules par rapport à une topologie additive', *Tôhoku Math. J.* **26** (1974), 173–201.
- [9] C. Năstăsescu, 'Conditions de finitude pour les modules', *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **24** (1979), 745–758.
- [10] C. Năstăsescu, 'Conditions de finitude pour les modules II', *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **25** (1980), 615–630.
- [11] C. Năstăsescu and C. Niță, 'Objets noethérien par rapport à une sous-catégorie épaisse d'une catégorie abélienne', *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **9** (1965), 1459–1468.
- [12] J. A. Beachy, 'On quasi-artinian rings', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **3** (1971), 449–452.
- [13] P. Vámos, 'The dual of the notion of "finitely generated"', *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 643–646.

Department of Mathematics  
Shizuoka University  
Ohya 836, Shizuoka 422  
Japan