

STRONGLY q -ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONAL PROPERTIES OF THE LARGEST PRIME FACTOR

M. AMRI, M. MKAOUAR[✉] and W. WANNES

(Received 22 June 2015; accepted 15 July 2015; first published online 17 November 2015)

Abstract

Let $P(n)$ denote the largest prime factor of an integer $n \geq 2$. In this paper, we study the distribution of the sequence $\{f(P(n)) : n \geq 1\}$ over the set of congruence classes modulo an integer $b \geq 2$, where f is a strongly q -additive integer-valued function (that is, $f(aq^j + b) = f(a) + f(b)$, with $(a, b, j) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $0 \leq b < q^j$). We also show that the sequence $\{\alpha P(n) : n \geq 1, f(P(n)) \equiv a \pmod{b}\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11A63; secondary 11L03, 11N05.

Keywords and phrases: largest prime factor, q -additive function, uniform distribution modulo 1.

1. Introduction

For a positive integer n , let $P(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n , with the usual convention that $P(1) = 1$. The distribution of the largest prime factor in congruence classes has been previously considered by Ivić [6] and Oon [13] for a fixed modulus k . Using a similar approach to that of Ivić [6], Banks *et al.* [1] obtained new bounds that are nontrivial for a wide range of values of the modulus k . In particular, if k is not too large relative to x , they derived the expected asymptotic formula

$$\#\{n \leq x : P(n) \equiv l \pmod{k}\} \sim \frac{x}{\varphi(k)}$$

with an explicit error term that is independent of l . Moreover, by bounding the exponential sum $\sum_{n \leq x} e(\alpha P(n))$ for a fixed irrational real number α , they deduced that the sequence $\{\alpha P(n) : n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1. This result is reminiscent of the classical theorem of Vinogradov [15] that, for a fixed irrational real number α , the sequence $\{\alpha p : p \text{ prime}\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

The main goal of this paper is to give asymptotic expansions for the cardinality of

$$\mathcal{A}(x, a, b) = \#\{n \leq x : f(P(n)) \equiv a \pmod{b}\},$$

where f is a strongly q -additive function, $b \geq 2$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, we prove the uniform distribution modulo 1 of $\alpha P(n)$ when $f(P(n)) \equiv a \pmod{b}$. In Section 2, we define the basic notions which are standard in this area (see, for example, [1, 10]) and give some preliminary results. In Section 3, we give an asymptotic formula for the number of elements of $\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)$ and we prove that the sequence $\{\alpha P(n) : n \geq 1, f(P(n)) \equiv a \pmod{b}\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

Throughout this paper, p always denotes a prime number and φ denotes the Euler function. For any real x , we define $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$. The notations (a, b) and $[a, b]$ refer respectively to the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of a and b . We denote by $|\mathcal{E}|$ the number of elements of a set \mathcal{E} . We recall that the notation $U \ll V$ is equivalent to the statement that $U = O(V)$ for positive functions U and V and the implied constants in the symbols ‘ O ’ and ‘ \ll ’ are absolute. We also use the symbol ‘ o ’ with its usual meaning, that is, the statement $U = o(V)$ is equivalent to $U/V \rightarrow 0$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Digital functions and strongly q -additive functions. Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer. Then we can represent every positive integer n in a unique way as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu} n_j q^j \quad \text{and} \quad n_j \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}.$$

This is the q -ary representation of n with q the base and $\{0, \dots, q - 1\}$ the set of digits.

A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(n) = \sum_{0 \leq k < q} \alpha_k |n|_k$, with

$$|n|_k = |\{0 \leq j \leq \nu : n_j = k\}| \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{q-1} \in \mathbb{R},$$

is called a digital function. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called strongly q -additive if $f(aq^i + b) = f(a) + f(b)$, where $(a, b, i) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $0 \leq b < q^i$. In particular, $f(0) = 0$ and

$$f(n) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu} f(n_j) = \sum_{1 \leq k < q} f(k) |n|_k.$$

A simple example of a strongly q -additive function is the sum of digits function,

$$s_q(n) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu} n_j = \sum_{1 \leq k < q} k |n|_k.$$

Strongly q -additive functions, particularly their asymptotic distribution, have been extensively discussed in the literature (see, for example, [2, 3, 10–12]).

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of digital functions $f = \sum_{0 \leq k < q} \alpha_k |\cdot|_k$ such that the real sequence a_0, \dots, a_{q-1} is not an arithmetic progression modulo 1 whose common difference r is an integer multiple of $1/(q - 1)$ (that is, $r(q - 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$) and let \mathcal{F}_0 be the set of functions $f = \sum_{0 \leq k < q} \alpha_k |\cdot|_k$ such that the sequence a_0, \dots, a_{q-1} is an arithmetic progression modulo 1. It is easily seen that $s_q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

For $f(n) = \sum_{0 \leq k < q} a_k |n|_k \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_0$, we define real numbers $\lambda_q(f)$ by

$$\lambda_q(f) = \begin{cases} c_{1,q} \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{0 \leq j < i < q} \|a_i - a_j - (i - j)t\|^2 & \text{if } f \notin \mathcal{F}_0, \\ c_{2,q} \|(q - 1)(a_1 - a_0)\|^2 & \text{if } f \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap \mathcal{F}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\|y\|$ denotes the distance from the real number y to the nearest integer, and $c_{1,q}$ and $c_{2,q}$ are constants depending only on q (defined in [10, page 27]). It was established in [10] that $\lambda_q(f) > 0$ and the theorems of Hadamard–de La Vallée Poussin and Vinogradov (see [4, 5, 15]) were extended to the case of prime numbers satisfying a digital constraint. The method is based on the following estimate of exponential sums.

THEOREM 2.1 [10, Théorèmes 1 and 2]. *Suppose that $q \geq 2$ and $f \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_0$. Then, for all $x \geq 2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) e(f(n) + \beta n) \ll x^{1 - \lambda_q(f)} (\log x)^4,$$

where $\lambda_q(f)$ is defined in (2.1) and the implied constant depends only on q .

We can see a generalised version of Theorem 2.1 in [12].

Let \mathcal{F}_q^+ be the set of strongly q -additive functions f such that

$$f = \sum_{1 \leq k < q} a_k | \cdot |_k \quad \text{with } a_1, \dots, a_{q-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}) = 1.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^+$ and let $d = d_{f,b,q} \geq 1$ be the greatest divisor of $(b, q - 1)$ such that $(f(1), d) = 1$ and, for all integers n ,

$$f(n) \equiv f(1) s_q(n) \equiv f(1) n \pmod{d}. \tag{2.2}$$

By using the result of Martin *et al.* (see [10, Proposition 5]), we see that for all $j \in J_2 = \{0 \leq j < b : j \text{ is not a multiple of } b/d\}$,

$$\sum_{p \leq N} e\left(\frac{j}{b} f(p) + rp\right) \ll N^{1 - \sigma_{f,b,q}} (\log N)^3, \tag{2.3}$$

where the implied constant depends only on q .

Let $\pi(x; l, m)$ denote the number of primes less than or equal to x which are congruent to $l \pmod{m}$ for some real $x > 0$ and positive coprime integers l, m . Using elementary means and the above result, Martin *et al.* [10] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2 [10, Théorème 4]. *Let $q, b \geq 2, f \in \mathcal{F}^+$ and $d = d_{f,b,q}$ be the integer defined in (2.2). Let $c = f^*(1)$ be the multiplicative inverse of $f(1)$ modulo d . Then, for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$|\{p \leq x : f(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}\}| = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{if } (a, d) > 1, \\ \frac{d}{b} \pi(x; ac, d) + O((\log x)^3 x^{1 - \sigma_{f,b,q}}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the implied constant depends only on q .

2.2. Auxiliary estimates. As usual, we say that a positive integer n is y -smooth if $P(n) \leq y$. Let

$$\psi(x, y) = |\{n \leq x : n \text{ is } y\text{-smooth}\}|.$$

The following estimate is a simplified version of [14, Theorem 1 of Ch. III.5].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $u = \log x / \log y$, where $x \geq y > 0$. If $u \geq 1$, then*

$$\psi(x, y) \ll x \exp(-u/2). \tag{2.4}$$

In what follows, we denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all prime numbers and by $\mathcal{P}[w, x]$ the set of primes p such that $w \leq p \leq x$. Given $x \geq y > 0$ and $m \geq 1$, we put

$$L_m = \max\{y, P(m)\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_m = \mathcal{P}[L_m, x/m].$$

LEMMA 2.4 [1, Lemma 3]. *Let $x \geq y > 0$. For any arithmetical functions h and g satisfying $\max\{|h(k)|, |g(k)|\} \leq 1$ for all positive integers k ,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} h(P(n))g(n) = \sum_{m \leq x/y} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} h(p)g(mp) + O(\psi(x, y)).$$

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $q, b \geq 2$ be integers, x a real number, $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^+$ and $d = d_{f,b,q}$ the integer defined in (2.2). Then, for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a constant $K_0 > 0$ such that for any $K < K_0$,*

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)| = \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{b\varphi(d)} + O(x \exp(-K \log^{1/3} x)) & \text{if } (a, d) = 1, \\ O(x \exp(-K \log^{1/3} x)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. For every positive integer k , we consider the functions $g(k) = 1$ and

$$h(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(k) \equiv a \pmod{b}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any real parameters x, y to be chosen later, with $0 < y < x$, Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)| &= \sum_{n \leq x} h(P(n))g(P(n)) = \sum_{m \leq x/y} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} h(p)g(mp) + O(\psi(x, y)) \\ &= \sum_{m \leq x/y} \mathcal{N}(m, a, b) + O(\psi(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(m, a, b) = |\{p \in \mathcal{P}_m : f(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}\}|$. In view of Theorem 2.2, if $(a, d) > 1$,

$$\sum_{m \leq x/y} \mathcal{N}(m, a, b) = 0.$$

In the other case, for any m with $mL_m \leq x$,

$$\mathcal{N}(m, a, b) = \pi_f(x/m) - \pi_f(L_m) + O(1),$$

where $\pi_f(x) = \sum_{p \leq x, f(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}} 1$, and the sum is empty otherwise. In this case, since $(a, d) = 1$, Theorem 2.2 shows that there exists a constant $\sigma_{f,q,b} > 0$ such that

$$\pi_f(x) = \frac{d}{b} \pi(x; ac, d) + O(x^{1-\sigma_{f,q,b}} (\log x)^3). \tag{3.2}$$

We observe that the error term in (3.2) is an increasing function of x . Thus,

$$N(m, a, b) = \frac{d}{b} \left(\pi\left(\frac{x}{m}; ac, d\right) - \pi(L_m; ac, d) \right) + O\left(\left(\log \frac{x}{m} \right)^3 \left(\frac{x}{m} \right)^{1-\sigma_{f,q,b}} \right). \tag{3.3}$$

For any integers u, v such that $(u, v) = 1$, the following estimate holds (see [8]):

$$\pi(x; u, v) = \frac{1}{\varphi(v)} \text{Li}(x) + O(x \exp(-c_1 \sqrt{\log x})), \tag{3.4}$$

where c_1 is a positive constant. We note that an improved version of (3.4) can be found in [9]. So, (3.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N(m, a, b) &= \frac{d}{b\varphi(d)} \left(\text{Li}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \text{Li}(L_m) \right) + O\left(\left(\log \frac{x}{m} \right)^3 \left(\frac{x}{m} \right)^{1-\sigma_{f,q,b}} \right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{x}{m} \exp\left(-c_1 \sqrt{\log \frac{x}{m}}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)| = \frac{d}{b\varphi(d)} \sum_{m \leq x/y} \left(\text{Li}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \text{Li}(L_m) \right) + O(\psi(x, y) + R_1 + R_2),$$

where

$$R_1 = \sum_{m \leq x/y} \left(\log \frac{x}{m} \right)^3 \left(\frac{x}{m} \right)^{1-\sigma_{f,q,b}}, \quad R_2 = \sum_{m \leq x/y} \frac{x}{m} \exp\left(-c_1 \sqrt{\log \frac{x}{m}}\right).$$

The same arguments as applied in (3.1) with $h(k) = 1$ lead to the identity

$$[x] = \sum_{n \leq x} 1 = \sum_{m \leq x/y} \left(\text{Li}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \text{Li}(L_m) \right) + O(\psi(x, y) + R_2).$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)| = \frac{dx}{b\varphi(d)} + O(\psi(x, y) + R_1 + R_2). \tag{3.5}$$

By elementary estimates,

$$R_1 = O(x(\log x)^3 y^{-\sigma_{f,q,b}}), \quad R_2 = O(x \log x \exp(-c_1 \sqrt{\log y})).$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have $\psi(x, y) = O(x \exp(-\log x / (2 \log y)))$. For positive real numbers x, y , we define the functions θ_i with $1 \leq i \leq 3$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1(x, y) = (\log x)^3 y^{-\sigma_{f,q,b}}, \\ \theta_2(x, y) = \log x \exp(-c_1 \sqrt{\log y}), \\ \theta_3(x, y) = \exp(-\log x / (2 \log y)). \end{cases}$$

For a fixed real number x , sufficiently large, we obtain

$$\theta_1(x, y) = \theta_3(x, y) \quad \text{for } y = y_0 = \exp\left(\frac{6 \log \log x + \sqrt{(6 \log \log x)^2 + 8\sigma_{f,q,b} \log x}}{4\sigma_{f,q,b}}\right),$$

$$\theta_2(x, y) = \theta_3(x, y) \quad \text{for } y = y_1 = \exp(C \log^{2/3} x + O(\log^{1/3} x \log \log x)),$$

with $C = (4c_1)^{-2/3}$, where the constant c_1 is defined in (3.4). Since $\theta_3(x, y)$ is an increasing function on y ,

$$\theta_1(x, y_0) = \theta_3(x, y_0) \leq \theta_3(x, y_1) = \theta_2(x, y_1).$$

So, by choosing $y = y_1$, we have proved that the error term in (3.5) is

$$O(x \log x \exp(-K_0 \log^{1/3} x)),$$

where $K_0 = 1/(2C)$ is a positive constant. The proof is completed. □

Next, we will prove the uniform distribution modulo 1 of $\{\alpha P(n) : n \in \mathcal{A}\}$ with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(a, b) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, f(P(n)) \equiv a \pmod{b}\}$. We note that it is shown in [10] that the sequence $\{\alpha p : p \text{ prime}, f(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if α is irrational.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $q, b \geq 2$ be integers, $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^+$, $d = d_{f,b,q}$ the integer defined in (2.2), $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(a, d) = 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the sequence $\{\alpha P(n) : n \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.*

PROOF. If α is rational, then the sequence $\{\alpha P(n) : n \in \mathcal{A}\}$ contains only a finite number of terms modulo 1 and consequently is not uniformly distributed modulo 1.

Now, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. By Weyl’s criterion (see [7, Theorem 5.6]), it suffices to prove that for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}^*$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(x, a, b)} e(\alpha h P(n)) = o(1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

To estimate the sum, we apply Lemma 2.4 to the functions $g(k) = 1$ and

$$h(k) = \begin{cases} e(\alpha h k) & \text{if } f(k) \equiv a \pmod{b}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $0 < y < x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(x, a, b)} e(\alpha h P(n)) &= \sum_{m \leq x/y} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} h(p)g(mp) + O(\psi(x, y)) \\ &= \sum_{m \leq x/y} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ f(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} e(\alpha h p) + O(\psi(x, y)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By the orthogonality formula,

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ f(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} e(\alpha h p) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} e\left(\frac{j}{b}(f(p) - a) + \alpha h p\right). \tag{3.7}$$

We split the summation (3.7) over j into two parts according as $j \in J_1$ and $j \in J_2$, where $J_1 = \{0 \leq j < b : j \text{ is a multiple of } b/d\}$ and $J_2 = \{0, \dots, b - 1\} \setminus J_1$. We write

$$S_i = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m \leq x/y} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} e\left(\frac{j}{b}(f(p) - a) + \alpha hp\right).$$

Estimation of S_1 . For all $j \in J_1$, we can write $j = ub/d$ with $0 \leq u < d$. From (2.2),

$$\sum_{p \leq x} e\left(\frac{j}{b}f(p) + \alpha hp\right) = \sum_{p \leq x} e\left(p\left(\frac{uf(1)}{d} + \alpha h\right)\right).$$

Since α is irrational, so is $(u/d)f(1) + \alpha h$. Thanks to [15], $((u/d)f(1) + \alpha h)p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1. We deduce from Weyl’s criterion that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} e\left(p\left(\frac{uf(1)}{d} + \alpha h\right)\right) = o(\pi(x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

which gives, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{m \leq x/y} \sum_{j \in J_1} \left| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} e\left(\frac{j}{b}f(p) + \alpha hp\right) \right| = o\left(\sum_{m \leq x/y} \pi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)\right) = o\left(\frac{x \log(x/y)}{\log y}\right). \tag{3.8}$$

Estimation of S_2 . For all $j \in J_2$, we have from (2.3) that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} e\left(\frac{j}{b}f(p) + \alpha hp\right) \ll x^{1-\sigma_{f,q,b}} (\log x)^3.$$

The same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 give

$$S_2 = O(xy^{-\sigma_{f,q,b}} (\log x)^3). \tag{3.9}$$

Assembling (3.6)–(3.9) and (2.4) yields

$$\left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(x,a,b)} e(\alpha h P(n)) \right| \ll x \left(y^{-\sigma_{f,q,b}} (\log x)^3 + \frac{\log(x/y)}{\log y} + \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{2 \log y}\right) \right).$$

Now, from Theorem 3.1,

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, a, b)| \sim \frac{dx}{b\varphi(d)} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

and, by choosing $y = \exp((\log x)^{2/3})$, we complete the proof. □

COROLLARY 3.3. *For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the sequence $(\alpha f(P(n)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.*

PROOF. If α is rational, then the sequence $(\alpha f(P(n)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains only a finite number of terms modulo 1 and is not uniformly distributed modulo 1. Conversely, by Weyl's criterion (see [7, Theorem 5.6]), it suffices to prove that for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}^*$,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} e(\alpha h f(P(n))) = o(1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.4, as in (3.6), we write

$$\sum_{n \leq x} e(\alpha h f(P(n))) = \sum_{m \leq x/y} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} e(\alpha h f(p)) \right) + O(\psi(x, y)).$$

Now, we use [10, Théorème 3], which asserts that for every irrational α and $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the sequence $(\alpha f(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1. So,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} e(\alpha h f(p)) = o(\pi(x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Applying (3.10) in (3.11) and using (2.4),

$$\sum_{n \leq x} e(\alpha h f(P(n))) \ll x \left(\frac{\log(x/y)}{\log y} + \exp\left(-\frac{\log x}{2 \log y}\right) \right). \quad (3.11)$$

By choosing $y = \exp((\log x)^{2/3})$, we complete the proof. \square

References

- [1] W. Banks, G. Harman and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Distributional properties of the largest prime factor', *Michigan Math. J.* **53** (2005), 665–681.
- [2] R. Bellman and H. N. Shapiro, 'A problem in additive number theory', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **49** (1948), 333–340.
- [3] J. Coquet, 'Sur les fonctions Q-multiplicatives et Q-additives', Thèse 3ème cycle, Orsay, 1975.
- [4] C. J. de La Vallée Poussin, 'Recherches analytiques sur la théorie des nombres premiers', *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles* **21** (1896), 183–256 and 281–397.
- [5] J. Hadamard, 'Sur la distribution des zéros de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ et ses conséquences arithmétiques', *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **24** (1896), 199–220.
- [6] A. Ivić, 'On sums involving reciprocals of the largest prime factor of an integer II', *Acta. Arith.* **75** (1995), 229–251.
- [7] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences* (John Wiley, New York, 1974).
- [8] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1909).
- [9] E. Landau, 'Über die ζ -function und die L-funktionen', *Math. Z.* **20** (1924), 105–125.
- [10] B. Martin, C. Mauduit and J. Rivat, 'Théorème des nombres premiers pour les fonctions digitales', *Acta. Arith.* **165** (2014), 11–45.
- [11] C. Mauduit and A. Sárközy, 'On the arithmetic structure of sets characterized by sum of digits properties', *J. Number Theory* **61** (1996), 25–38.
- [12] M. Mkaouar, N. Ouled Azaiz and J. Thuswaldner, 'Sur les chiffres des nombres premiers translétés', *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **51** (2014), 237–267.
- [13] S. M. Oon, 'Pseudorandom properties of prime factors', *Period. Math. Hungar.* **49** (2004), 45–63.
- [14] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [15] I. M. Vinogradov, *The Method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers* (Dover, Mineola, NY, 2004).

M. AMRI, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisie
e-mail: m.amri@fss.rnu.tn

M. MKAOUAR, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisie
e-mail: mohamed.mkaouar@fss.rnu.tn

W. WANNES, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisie
e-mail: w.wannes@fss.rnu.tn