

STOCHASTIC STABILITY OF ANOSOV DIFFEOMORPHISMS

KAZUHISA KATO

§ 0. Introduction

R. Bowen [1] introduced the notion of pseudo-orbit for a homeomorphism f of a metric space X as follows: A (double) sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of points x_i in X is called a δ -pseudo-orbit of f iff

$$d(fx_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \delta$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where d denotes the metric in X . We say f is stochastically stable if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that every δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of f is ε -traced by some $x \in X$, i.e.,

$$d(f^i x, x_i) \leq \varepsilon$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. He proved in [1] that if a compact hyperbolic set A for a diffeomorphism f of a compact manifold M has local product structure then the restriction $f|_A$ of f to A is stochastically stable, using stable and unstable manifolds.

In this paper we prove first that an Anosov diffeomorphism f of a compact manifold M is topologically stable, in the set of all continuous maps of M into M , in a sense (Theorem 1). Next, making use of Theorem 1 we give another proof for Bowen's result, in the case of f an Anosov diffeomorphism (Theorem 2). The idea of this paper is inspired by a result of A. Morimoto [2], which says that a topologically stable homeomorphism f of a manifold M with $\dim M \geq 3$ is stochastically stable. The method of the proof follows that of P. Walters [3].

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor A. Morimoto for several useful conversations and his advices.

§ 1. Preparatory lemmas

M will always denote a compact C^∞ manifold without boundary.

Received February 18, 1977.

DEFINITION 1. A C^1 diffeomorphism f of M is called an Anosov diffeomorphism if there exist a Riemannian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on M and constants $C > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that the tangent bundle of M can be written as the Whitney sum of two continuous subbundles, $TM = E^s \oplus E^u$, and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(1.1) \quad Tf(E^\sigma) = E^\sigma \quad (\sigma = s, u).$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Tf^n(v)\| &\leq C\lambda^n \|v\|, & v \in E^s, n \geq 0, \\ \|Tf^{-n}(v)\| &\leq C\lambda^n \|v\|, & v \in E^u, n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

f will always denote an Anosov diffeomorphism of M . We can find a Riemannian metric for which we can take $C = 1$, and fix it (cf. [3]). Let $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous vector fields with the norm

$$\|v\| = \sup_{x \in M} \|v(x)\|, \quad v \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(M)$ denote the subspace of all $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with $v(x) \in E_x^\sigma$ for every $x \in M$ ($\sigma = s, u$). Clearly $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \mathfrak{X}^s(M) \oplus \mathfrak{X}^u(M)$ (direct sum). We define a linear operator $f_\# : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$f_\#(v) = Tf \circ v \circ f^{-1}, \quad v \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the metric on M induced by $\|\cdot\|$, and for each $x \in M$ $\exp_x : TM_x \rightarrow M$ denote the exponential map with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\text{Map}(M)$ denote the metric space of all continuous maps of M into M with the metric

$$d(\phi, \psi) = \sup_{x \in M} d(\phi x, \psi x), \quad \phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M).$$

For $\delta > 0$ we put $\text{Map}(M, \delta) = \{\phi \in \text{Map}(M) : d(\phi, \text{id}) \leq \delta\}$, and $\sum_\delta = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : d(x, y) \leq \delta\}$.

The following lemma is due to P. Walters [3].

LEMMA 1. *There exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\tau_1 > 0$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1.3) *For every $(x, y) \in \sum_{\delta_1}$ there exists a linear isomorphism $L_{(x,y)} : TM_x \rightarrow TM_y$ such that $L_{(x,y)}(E_x^\sigma) = E_y^\sigma$ ($\sigma = s, u$), and $L_{(x,y)}$ is continuous with respect to $(x, y) \in \sum_{\delta_1}$.*

(1.4) *For every $(x, y) \in \sum_{\delta_1}$ there exists a continuous map $\gamma_{(x,y)} : TM_x(\tau_1) \rightarrow TM_y$ such that*

$$\exp_x(v) = \exp_y(L_{(x,y)}(v) + \gamma_{(x,y)}(v)), \quad v \in TM_x(\tau_1)$$

and $\gamma_{(x,y)}$ is continuous with respect to $(x, y) \in \Sigma_{\delta_1}$, where $TM_x(\tau_1) = \{v \in TM_x : \|v\| \leq \tau_1\}$.

$$(1.5) \quad x = \exp_y(\gamma_{(x,y)}(0)), \quad (x, y) \in \Sigma_{\delta_1}.$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|L_{(x,y)}\| \text{ and } \|(L_{(x,y)})^{-1}\| \text{ converge uniformly to 1 as } d(x, y) \rightarrow 0.$$

(1.7) For every $(x, y) \in \Sigma_{\delta_1}$ there exists $K(x, y) \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma_{(x,y)}(v) - \gamma_{(x,y)}(v')\| \leq K(x, y) \|v - v'\|, \quad v, v' \in TM_x(\tau_1)$$

and $K(x, y)$ converges uniformly to 0 as $d(x, y) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. See Lemma 1 [3].

DEFINITION 2. For $\phi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_1)$ we define continuous linear maps $J_\phi, R_\phi: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$, a continuous map $\gamma_\phi: \mathfrak{X}(M)(\tau_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$, and a constant $K(\phi) \geq 0$ as follows: For $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $x \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\phi(v)(x) &= L_{(\phi x, x)}(v(\phi x)), \\ R_\phi(v)(x) &= (L_{(x, \phi x)})^{-1}(v(\phi x)). \end{aligned}$$

For $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\tau_1)$ and $x \in M$

$$\gamma_\phi(v)(x) = \gamma_{(\phi x, x)}(v(\phi x)),$$

where $\mathfrak{X}(M)(\tau_1) = \{v \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : \|v\| \leq \tau_1\}$.

$$K(\phi) = \sup_{x \in M} K(\phi x, x).$$

By Lemma 1 we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. For $\phi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_1)$, $v, v' \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\tau_1)$ and $x \in M$

$$(1.8) \quad J_\phi(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(M)) \subset \mathfrak{X}^\sigma(M), \quad R_\phi(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(M)) \subset \mathfrak{X}^\sigma(M) \quad (\sigma = s, u),$$

$$(1.9) \quad \exp_{\phi x} v(\phi x) = \exp_x (J_\phi(v) + \gamma_\phi(v))(x),$$

$$(1.10) \quad \exp_x \gamma_\phi(0) = \phi(x),$$

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\gamma_\phi(v) - \gamma_\phi(v')\| &\leq K(\phi) \|v - v'\|, \\ K(\phi) &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } d(\phi, \text{id}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.12) \quad \|J_\phi\|, \|R_\phi\| \longrightarrow 1 \text{ as } d(\phi, \text{id}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

LEMMA 3. If $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_1)$ and a subset S of M satisfy

$$\psi\phi(x) = x$$

for every $x \in S$, then

$$(1.13) \quad R_\psi J_\phi(v)(\phi x) = v(\phi x) ,$$

$$(1.14) \quad J_\phi R_\psi(v)(x) = v(x)$$

for every $x \in S$ and $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Proof. By Definition 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\phi R_\psi(v)(x) &= L_{(\phi x, x)}(R_\psi(v)(\phi x)) \\ &= L_{(\phi x, x)}(L_{(\phi x, \psi\phi x)})^{-1}(v(\psi\phi x)) \\ &= v(x) , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.14). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\psi J_\phi(v)(\phi x) &= (L_{(\phi x, \psi\phi x)})^{-1}(J_\phi(v)(\psi\phi x)) \\ &= (L_{(\phi x, x)})^{-1}L_{(\phi x, x)}(v(\phi x)) \\ &= v(\phi x) , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.13).

LEMMA 4. *There exists $\tau_2 > 0$ satisfying the following conditions: For every $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\tau_2)$ there exists $s(v) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} f \exp_{f^{-1}x} v(f^{-1}x) &= \exp_x (f_\#(v) + s(v))(x) , \quad x \in M, \\ s(0) &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.16) \quad \|s(v) - s(v')\| \leq C(\tau_2) \|v - v'\|$$

for every $v, v' \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\tau_2)$, where $C(\tau_2) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau_2 \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. See Lemma 2 [3].

LEMMA 5. *There exist constants $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1$ and $\alpha > 0$ satisfying the following conditions: For every $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_2)$ there exist a constant $\mu(\phi, \psi) > 0$ and a continuous linear map $P = P_{\phi, \psi}: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that if a subset S of M satisfies*

$$\psi\phi(x) = x$$

for every $x \in S$, then

$$(1.17) \quad (I - R_\psi f_\#)P(v)(\phi x) = v(\phi x)$$

for every $x \in S$, and

$$(1.18) \quad \|P\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda},$$

$\mu(\phi, \psi) \longrightarrow 1$ as $d(\phi, \text{id}), d(\psi, \text{id}) \longrightarrow 0$.

Proof. There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(1.19) \quad \|v_s\| + \|v_u\| \leq \alpha \|v_s + v_u\|$$

for every $v_\sigma \in \mathcal{X}^\sigma(M)$ ($\sigma = s, u$). For $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_1)$ we put

$$(1.20) \quad \mu(\phi, \psi) = \text{Max} \{ \|J_\phi\|, \|R_\psi\| \}.$$

Then, by (1.12) there exists $0 < \delta_2 \leq \delta_1$ and λ_1 such that

$$(1.21) \quad \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda < \lambda_1 < 1$$

for every $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_2)$.

By (1.1) and (1.8) we can define as follows: $f_\#^\sigma = f_\#|_{\mathcal{X}^\sigma(M)}$, $J_\phi^\sigma = J_\phi|_{\mathcal{X}^\sigma(M)}$ and $R_\psi^\sigma = R_\psi|_{\mathcal{X}^\sigma(M)}$ ($\sigma = s, u$). By (1.2), (1.20) and (1.21) we have

$$\|R_\psi^s f_\#^s\| \leq \|R_\psi^s\| \|f_\#^s\| \leq \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda < 1.$$

Therefore, the Neumann series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (R_\psi^s f_\#^s)^n$ is convergent. Putting $P_s = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (R_\psi^s f_\#^s)^n$ we have

$$(1.22) \quad \|P_s\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda}.$$

Similarly, since $\|(f_\#^u)^{-1} J_\phi^u\| \leq \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda < 1$ the Neumann series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty ((f_\#^u)^{-1} J_\phi^u)^n$ is convergent. Putting $P_u = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty ((f_\#^u)^{-1} J_\phi^u)^n$ we have

$$(1.23) \quad \|P_u\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda}.$$

Now we put $P = P_s + P_u$. By (1.19), (1.22) and (1.23) we get

$$\|P\| \leq \alpha \text{Max} \{ \|P_s\|, \|P_u\| \} \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda},$$

which proves (1.18). Next, we shall prove (1.17). By (1.13) and (1.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - R_\psi^u f_\#^u)P_u(v)(\phi x) \\ &= P_u(v)(\phi x) + R_\psi^u f_\#^u (f_\#^u)^{-1} J_\phi^u \left[\sum_{n=0}^\infty ((f_\#^u)^{-1} J_\phi^u)^n(v) \right](\phi x) \\ &= P_u(v)(\phi x) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty ((f_\#^u)^{-1} J_\phi^u)^n(v)(\phi x) \\ &= v(\phi x) \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{X}^u(M)$ and $x \in S$. Clearly, $(I - R_{\psi}^* f_{\frac{\delta}{4}}^*)P_s = I$.

Thus, we have proved (1.17).

§2. Proof of Theorem 1

THEOREM 1. *An Anosov diffeomorphism f of M is topologically stable in the following sense: For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ satisfying the following conditions: If $g, \tilde{g} \in \text{Map}(M)$ with $d(f, g), d(f\tilde{g}, \text{id}) \leq \delta$ and a subset S of M satisfy*

$$\tilde{g}g(x) = x$$

for every $x \in S$, then there exists $h \in \text{Map}(M)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad hg(x) = fh(x)$$

for every $x \in S$, and

$$(2.2) \quad d(h, \text{id}) \leq \varepsilon .$$

Proof. First, take $\varepsilon_0 \leq \text{Min}\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \varepsilon\}$ so small that for every $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_2)$

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\alpha\mu(\phi, \psi)}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda} C(\varepsilon_0) \leq \frac{1}{4} .$$

This is possible since $C(\varepsilon_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$. Next, take $0 < \delta \leq \delta_2$ so small that for every $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta)$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\alpha\mu(\phi, \psi)}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda} \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\alpha\mu(\phi, \psi)}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda} K(\phi) \leq \frac{1}{4} .$$

This is possible since $K(\phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $d(\phi, \text{id}) \rightarrow 0$.

For $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta)$ we define a continuous map $\Phi : \mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$\Phi(v) = P_{\phi, \psi} R_{\psi}(s(v) - \gamma_{\phi}(v)) , \quad v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0) .$$

To find a fixed point of Φ we shall first show that the Lipschitz constant of $\Phi \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Take two elements $v, v' \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0)$. By (1.11), (1.16), (1.18), (1.20), (2.3) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(v) - \Phi(v')\| \\ & \leq \|P\| \|R_\psi\| (\|s(v) - s(v')\| + \|\gamma_\phi(v) - \gamma_\phi(v')\|) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha\mu(\phi, \psi)}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda} (C(\varepsilon_0) \|v - v'\| + K(\phi) \|v - v'\|) \\ & \leq (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}) \|v - v'\| = \frac{1}{2} \|v - v'\|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall show $\Phi(\mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0)) \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0)$. By (1.10), (1.15), (1.18), (1.20) and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(v)\| & \leq \|\Phi(0)\| + \|\Phi(v) - \Phi(0)\| \\ & \leq \|P\| \|R_\psi\| \delta + \frac{1}{2} \|v\| \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha\mu(\phi, \psi)}{1 - \mu(\phi, \psi)\lambda} \delta + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0)$. Thus, Φ is a contraction of a complete metric space $\mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0)$. Therefore, Φ has a unique fixed point $v_0 = v_0(\phi, \psi) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)(\varepsilon_0)$, i.e.

$$(2.6) \quad v_0 = P_{\phi, \psi} R_\psi (s(v_0) - \gamma_\phi(v_0)).$$

We put $h (= h_{\phi, \psi}) = \exp v_0$.

Now assume that $g, \tilde{g} \in \text{Map}(M)$ with $d(f, g), d(f\tilde{g}, \text{id}) \leq \delta$ and a subset S of M satisfy that $\tilde{g}g(x) = x$ for every $x \in S$. Putting $\phi = gf^{-1}$ and $\psi = f\tilde{g}$ we see that $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta)$ and $\psi\phi(fx) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S$. By Definition 2, (1.14), (1.17) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\phi(v_0)(fx) - f_\#(v_0)(fx) \\ & = J_\phi(v_0)(fx) - J_\phi R_\psi f_\#(v_0)(fx) \\ & = J_\phi(I - R_\psi f_\#)(v_0)(fx) \\ & = J_\phi(I - R_\psi f_\#) P R_\psi (s(v_0) - \gamma_\phi(v_0))(fx) \\ & = L_{(\phi f x, f x)} [(I - R_\psi f_\#) P R_\psi (s(v_0) - \gamma_\phi(v_0))(\phi f x)] \\ & = L_{(\phi f x, f x)} [R_\psi (s(v_0) - \gamma_\phi(v_0))(\phi f x)] \\ & = L_{(\phi f x, f x)} (L_{(\phi f x, \psi \phi f x)})^{-1} ((s(v_0) - \gamma_\phi(v_0))(\psi \phi f x)) \\ & = s(v_0)(fx) - \gamma_\phi(v_0)(fx) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in S$. Thus we have

$$(2.7) \quad (J_\phi(v_0) + \gamma_\phi(v_0))(fx) = (f_\#(v_0) + s(v_0))(fx)$$

for every $x \in S$. By (1.9), (1.15) and (2.7), for every $x \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 hg(x) &= \exp_{\phi,fx} v_0(\phi fx) \\
 &= \exp_{fx} (J_{\phi}(v_0) + \gamma_{\phi}(v_0))(fx) \\
 &= \exp_{fx} (f_{\#}(v_0) + s(v_0))(fx) \\
 &= f \exp_{f^{-1}fx} v_0(f^{-1}fx) \\
 &= fh(x) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.1). Clearly, $d(h, \text{id}) = \|v_0\| \leq \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon$, which proves (2.2).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. Let $g \in \text{Map}(M)$ be a homeomorphism of M with $d(f, g) \leq \delta$. Clearly, we see that $d(fg^{-1}, \text{id}) \leq \delta$ and $g^{-1}g(x) = x$ for every $x \in M$. By Theorem 1 there exists $h \in \text{Map}(M, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$hg(x) = fh(x)$$

for every $x \in M$. Thus, Theorem 1 is a generalization of P. Walters' result (Theorem 1 [3]), except the uniqueness of the semiconjugacy h with $d(h, \text{id}) \leq \varepsilon$.

§3. Proof of Theorem 2

THEOREM 2. *An Anosov diffeomorphism f of M is stochastically stable.*

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we put $\delta_0 = \delta(\varepsilon/2)$, where $\delta(\varepsilon/2)$ is as in Theorem 1, and $\delta = \delta_0/3$. For every δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of f , we shall find $x \in M$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad d(f^i x, x_i) \leq \varepsilon, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

CLAIM 1. *For every positive integer k and δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of f , there exists $z \in M$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad d(f^i z, x_i) \leq \varepsilon, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k .$$

Proof. There exists a $(\frac{2}{3}\delta_0)$ -pseudo-orbit $\{x'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} d(x'_i, x_i) &\leq \varepsilon/2, & i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \\ x'_i &\neq x'_j, & 0 \leq i \neq j \leq k + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x'_i) \neq f(x'_j)$ ($0 \leq i \neq j \leq k + 1$) and $d(fx'_i, x'_{i+1}) \leq \frac{2}{3}\delta_0$, we can find $\phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(M, \delta_0)$ such that

$$\phi f(x'_i) = x'_{i+1}, \quad \psi(x'_{i+1}) = f(x'_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k .$$

Put $S = \{x'_0, \dots, x'_k\}$, $g = \phi f$ and $\tilde{g} = f^{-1}\psi$. Then we see that $d(f, g) = d(\phi, \text{id})$, $d(f\tilde{g}, \text{id}) = d(\psi, \text{id}) \leq \delta_0$, and $\tilde{g}g(x'_i) = f^{-1}\psi\phi f(x'_i) = x'_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. By Theorem 1, there exists $h \in \text{Map}(M, \varepsilon/2)$ such that $hg(x'_i) = fh(x'_i)$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, we have

$$(3.4) \quad f^i h(x'_0) = h(x'_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Putting $z = h(x'_0)$, by (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^i z, x_i) &\leq d(f^i h(x'_0), x'_i) + d(x'_i, x_i) \\ &\leq d(h(x'_i), x'_i) + \varepsilon/2 \\ &\leq d(h, \text{id}) + \varepsilon/2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.2).

CLAIM 2. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a δ -pseudo-orbit of f . For every positive integer k there exists $z = z_k \in M$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad d(f^i z, x_i) \leq \varepsilon, \quad |i| \leq k.$$

Proof. Take a positive integer k and fix it. Putting $y_i = x_{-k+i}$ we see that $\{y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a δ -pseudo-orbit. By Claim 1 there exists $z' \in M$ such that $d(f^i z', y_i) \leq \varepsilon$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$. Putting $z = f^k(z')$ we get $d(f^i z, x_i) = d(f^{i+k} z', y_{i+k}) \leq \varepsilon$, $|i| \leq k$, which proves (3.5).

By the compactness of M we can find a subsequence $\{z_{k_\nu}\}$ of $\{z_k\}$ such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} z_{k_\nu} = x$ for some $x \in M$. Take $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and fix it. By (3.5) we have that $d(f^i z_{k_\nu}, x_i) \leq \varepsilon$ for every ν with $|i| \leq k_\nu$. Therefore we obtain $d(f^i x, x_i) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} d(f^i z_{k_\nu}, x_i) \leq \varepsilon$, which proves (3.1).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Bowen, ω -limit sets for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, J. Diff. Eq. **18** (1975), 333–339.
 [2] A. Morimoto, Stochastically stable diffeomorphisms and Takens conjecture, to appear.
 [3] P. Walters, Anosov diffeomorphisms are topologically stable, Topology **9** (1970), 71–78.

Kochi University