

# MULTIPLIERS OF DIFFERENCE SETS

MORRIS NEWMAN

**Introduction.** Let  $\lambda, k, v$  be integers such that  $0 < \lambda < k < v$ . Then the set of integers

$$D = \{d_i\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

is a *difference set* with parameters  $v, k, \lambda$  if each non-zero residue modulo  $v$  occurs precisely  $\lambda$  times and zero occurs precisely  $k$  times among the  $k^2$  numbers

$$d_i - d_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

It is immediate that  $\lambda(v - 1) = k(k - 1)$ .

The integer  $t$  is a *multiplier* of  $D$  if there is an integer  $a$  such that the numbers  $\{td_i\}$  coincide modulo  $v$  with the numbers  $\{d_i + a\}$ , apart from order. A well-known theorem of M. Hall and H. Ryser (see **2, 3**) states that if  $q$  is a prime such that

$$q|k - \lambda, \quad q > \lambda, \quad (q, v) = 1$$

then  $q$  is a multiplier of  $D$ . The proof of this theorem is a complicated affair depending on polynomials in the indeterminates  $x, x^{-1}$ , and double modulus arguments. The purpose of this paper is to prove the Hall-Ryser theorem by arguments involving incidence matrices alone. One valuable feature of this approach is that the undesirable assumption  $q > \lambda$  can be eliminated in some cases.

The referee points out that the authors' approach through circulant matrices that follows is closely related to the work of R. H. Bruck (**1**), which uses elements in the group ring of a cyclic group.

Let  $P$  be the  $v \times v$  permutation matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let  $C$  be the matrix

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^k P^{d_i}.$$

---

Received November 24, 1961. This paper was prepared while the author was a participant in the Combinatorial Mathematics Symposium sponsored by Rand during the summer of 1961.

Then  $P$  is the cycle of length  $v$ , and  $C$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad CC' = C'C = nI + \lambda J, \quad CJ = JC = kJ,$$

where  $J$  is the  $v \times v$  matrix all of whose entries are 1, and

$$n = k - \lambda.$$

(Notice that  $P^v = I, I + P + P^2 + \dots + P^{v-1} = J$ .)

Define

$$C_q = \sum_{i=1}^k P^{qi}.$$

Then the matrix  $C_q$  also satisfies (1) since  $(q, v) = 1$  and  $\{qi\}$  must also be a difference set. The matrices  $C$  and  $C_q$  commute (since they are each polynomials in  $P$ , or *circulants*) and in fact all matrices considered here will be *circulants* and so will commute.

The matrix  $C$  is non-singular since  $k > \lambda > 0$  ( $CC'$  has the eigenvalue  $n v - 1$  times and  $k^2$  once since  $J$  has the eigenvalue  $0$   $v - 1$  times and  $v$  once) and so we can define

$$(2) \quad M = C^{-1}C_q.$$

Then

$$MM' = M'M = I$$

since  $CC' = C_q C_q'$ . Multiplying in (1) by  $C^{-1}$  we find that

$$(3) \quad C^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} C' - \frac{\lambda}{nk} J$$

and substituting (3) into (2) we find that

$$M = \frac{1}{n} \{C' C_q - \lambda J\}.$$

Put

$$(4) \quad T = nM = C' C_q - \lambda J.$$

Then

$$(5) \quad TT' = T'T = n^2 I, \quad JT = TJ = nJ.$$

Since  $q$  is prime it is clear that

$$(6) \quad C_q = C^q + qR,$$

where  $R$  is an integral *circulant*.

Substituting (6) into (4) and making use of (1) we find that

$$T = nC^{q-1} + \lambda(k^{q-1} - 1)J + qC'R.$$

Since  $q|n$ ,  $q|\lambda(k^{q-1} - 1)$ , this implies that  $T = qS$ , where  $S$  is an integral *circulant*. By (5),  $S$  satisfies

$$(7) \quad SS' = S'S = \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)^2 I, \quad SJ = JS = \frac{n}{q} J.$$

Furthermore by (4)

$$C'C_q = \lambda J + qS.$$

If we now assume that  $q > \lambda$  then  $S$  can have no negative entries.

Suppose that

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} c_i P^i, \quad c_i \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} c_i^2 = \frac{n^2}{q^2}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} c_i = \frac{n}{q}.$$

Since  $c_i \geq 0$  this is only possible if

$$S = \frac{n}{q} P^a$$

where  $a$  is an integer such that  $0 \leq a \leq v - 1$ . But then

$$M = \frac{1}{n} T = \frac{q}{n} S = P^a$$

and the conclusion follows from the relationship

$$C_q = P^a C.$$

We now drop the assumption that  $q > \lambda$ . We can prove:

**THEOREM.** *If  $n = q$  then  $q$  is always a multiplier of  $D$ . If  $n = 2q$  and  $(v, 7) = 1$  then  $q$  is always a multiplier of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that  $S = (n/q)P^a$ , for some integer  $a$  satisfying  $0 \leq a \leq v - 1$ . Suppose that  $n = q$ . Then (7) becomes

$$SS' = S'S = I, \quad SJ = JS = J,$$

the solutions of which are clearly  $S = P^a, 0 \leq a \leq v - 1$ . Now suppose that  $n = 2q$ . Then (7) becomes

$$SS' = S'S = 4I, \quad SJ = JS = 2J,$$

the solutions of which are  $S = 2P^a, 0 \leq a \leq v - 1$  and possibly

$$(8) \quad S = P^a(P^{a_1} + P^{a_2} + P^{a_3} - I), \quad 0 \leq a \leq v - 1, \quad v > a_1 > a_2 > a_3 > 0.$$

We shall show that (8) is a solution only if  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , when  $S$  (or  $S'$ ) becomes

$$P^a(P^{4v/7} + P^{2v/7} + P^{v/7} - I).$$

We notice first that  $v$  is odd. For if  $v$  is even, then  $n = 2q$  is a square (see

**3)**, which implies that  $q = 2$  since  $q$  is prime. But then  $(q, v) = 2$ , a contradiction. Next a necessary and sufficient condition that  $S$  given by (8) satisfy

$$SS' = S'S = 4I$$

is that the sets

$$\{a_1, a_2, a_3, v - a_1, v - a_2, v - a_3\}$$

and

$$\{a_1 - a_2, a_1 - a_3, a_2 - a_3, v - a_1 + a_2, v - a_1 + a_3, v - a_2 + a_3\}$$

coincide, apart from order. Comparing  $a_3$  with each element of the latter set we find three possibilities:

- (i)  $a_1 = a_2 + a_3,$
- (ii)  $a_1 = 2a_3,$
- (iii)  $a_2 = 2a_3.$

Condition (i) implies immediately that  $v$  is even, which cannot happen. Condition (ii) implies that

$$a_1 = 6v/7, \quad a_2 = 5v/7, \quad a_3 = 3v/7;$$

and Condition (iii) implies that

$$a_1 = 4v/7, \quad a_2 = 2v/7, \quad a_3 = v/7.$$

Since

$$\frac{6v}{7} = v - \frac{v}{7}, \quad \frac{5v}{7} = v - \frac{2v}{7}, \quad \frac{3v}{7} = v - \frac{4v}{7},$$

these results are the desired ones and the theorem is proved.

It is clear that further progress depends on a study of the solutions of (7) in integral circulants  $S$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bruck, *Difference sets in a finite group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 78 (1955), 464–481.
2. M. Hall, Jr., *Cyclic projective planes*, Duke Math. J., 14 (1947), 1079–1090.
3. M. Hall, Jr. and H. J. Ryser, *Cyclic incidence matrices*, Can. J. Math., 3 (1951), 495–502.

*National Bureau of Standards  
and  
Rand Corporation*