

COHOMOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF CHARACTER PSEUDO-AMENABLE BANACH ALGEBRAS

RASOUL NASR-ISFAHANI  and MEHDI NEMATI

(Received 17 October 2010)

Abstract

For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and a character ϕ on \mathcal{A} , we have recently introduced and studied the notion of ϕ -pseudo-amenability of \mathcal{A} . Here, we give some characterizations of this notion in terms of derivations from \mathcal{A} into various Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46H05; secondary 43A07.

Keywords and phrases: Banach algebra, pseudo-amenable, approximate diagonal, ϕ -pseudo-amenable.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, the set of all nonzero homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} onto \mathbb{C} . \mathcal{A} is called ϕ -amenable if there exists a bounded linear functional $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ satisfying $F(\phi) = 1$ and $a \odot F = \phi(a)F$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where \odot is the first Arens multiplication on the second dual \mathcal{A}^{**} of \mathcal{A} defined by the equations

$$(F \odot H)(f) = F(Hf), \quad (Hf)(a) = H(fa), \quad (fa)(b) = f(ab)$$

for all $F, H \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. This notion is introduced and studied by Kaniuth *et al.* [7]; see also [8]. The notion of character amenability is a generalization of left amenability of the class of F -algebras \mathcal{L} studied in Lau [9] in 1983, known as Lau algebras; see Pier [12]. The class of Lau algebras includes the group algebra $L^1(G)$, and the measure algebra $M(G)$ of an amenable locally compact group G , as well as the Fourier and Fourier–Stieltjes algebras $A(G)$ and $B(G)$ of any G . It also includes the quantum group algebra $L^1(Q)$ when Q is amenable. In this case, the character is taken to be the identity of the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{L}^* .

For a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule X , a derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a linear map such that

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For $x \in X$, define $\text{ad}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ by $\text{ad}_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then ad_x is a derivation; these are the inner derivations. Note that the dual space X^* of a Banach

\mathcal{A} -bimodule X becomes a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule with

$$\langle a \cdot \xi, x \rangle = \langle \xi, x \cdot a \rangle, \quad \langle \xi \cdot a, x \rangle = \langle \xi, a \cdot x \rangle,$$

for all $x \in X$, $\xi \in X^*$, and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Following Hu *et al.* [6], for $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$, we denote by ${}_{\phi}\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$ the class of Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules X for which the left module action of \mathcal{A} on X is defined by

$$a \cdot x = \phi(a)x \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X).$$

The notion of ϕ -amenability is characterized in several different ways; for example, it is equivalent to the notion that for each $X \in {}_{\phi}\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$, every continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is inner [7]; moreover, it was shown in [6] that ϕ -amenability is equivalent to the existence of a bounded (right) ϕ -approximate diagonal; that is, a bounded net (\mathbf{m}_{α}) in the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha})) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where π denotes the product morphism from $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{A} given by $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$; see also [1].

Moreover, Ghahramani and Zhang [5] introduced and studied the notion of pseudo-amenability of \mathcal{A} , the existence of an approximate diagonal for \mathcal{A} ; that is, a net (\mathbf{m}_{α}) in $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|\pi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha})a - a\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} \cdot a\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Recently, in [11] we introduced and studied a notion of amenability called ϕ -pseudo-amenability. In this paper we characterize ϕ -pseudo-amenability of \mathcal{A} in terms of derivations from \mathcal{A} into certain Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules.

2. The results

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. A derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is approximately inner if there is a net $(x_{\alpha}) \subseteq X$ such that

$$D(a) = \lim_{\alpha} (a \cdot x_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha} \cdot a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A});$$

see, for example, [2, 4]. The notion of ϕ -approximate diagonal was introduced and studied by Hu *et al.* [6]. We commence this section with the main definition of the paper.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. We say that \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable if it has a (right) ϕ -approximate diagonal (not necessarily bounded).

In our first result, we characterize ϕ -pseudo-amenability of \mathcal{A} in terms of derivations from \mathcal{A} into duals of elements in ${}_{\phi}\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable.
- (b) For each $X \in {}_{\phi}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}}$, any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is approximately inner.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose that $X \in {}_{\phi}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is a continuous derivation. Let $(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ be a ϕ -approximate diagonal for \mathcal{A} and let $\Phi : \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ be the bounded linear mapping specified by

$$\Phi(a \otimes b) = D(a)\phi(b)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\|\Phi\| \leq \|D\|$ and

$$\Phi(a \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}) = a \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}) + \phi(\pi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}))D(a) - \phi(a)\Phi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\xi_{\alpha} := -\Phi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha})$. Then for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}))D(a) = a \cdot \xi_{\alpha} - \phi(a)\xi_{\alpha} + \Phi(a \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\Phi(a \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_{\alpha})\| \leq \|D\| \|a \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that

$$D(a) = \lim_{\alpha} (a \cdot \xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\alpha} \cdot a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose that for each $X \in {}_{\phi}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}}$, every continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is approximately inner. Consider the quotient Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule $X = \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{C}\phi$. Let $F_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ be such that $F_0(\phi) = 1$ and let $\text{ad}_{F_0} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$ be the inner derivation by F_0 . Then the image of ad_{F_0} is a subset of X^* , and hence by our assumption, there exists a net

$$(F'_{\alpha}) \subseteq X^* = \{F \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : F(\phi) = 0\}$$

such that

$$\text{ad}_{F_0}(a) = \lim_{\alpha} \text{ad}_{F'_{\alpha}}(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus if we define $F_{\alpha} = F_0 - F'_{\alpha}$, then

$$F_{\alpha}(\phi) = (F_0)(\phi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a \odot F_{\alpha} - \phi(a)F_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We can use Goldstine's theorem to assume that (F_{α}) is in \mathcal{A} when the convergence in the above equation is the weak convergence. Applying Mazur's theorem, we can get a new net $(a_{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\phi(a_{\alpha}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|aa_{\alpha} - \phi(a)a_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, choose $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi(a_0) = 1$ and put

$$\mathbf{m}_{\alpha} := a_{\alpha} \otimes a_0.$$

It is easy to check that (\mathbf{m}_{α}) is a ϕ -approximate diagonal for \mathcal{A} . Thus \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable. □

DEFINITION 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. We say that \mathcal{A} is 0-pseudo-amenable if it has a right approximate identity.

THEOREM 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is 0-pseudo-amenable if and only if every continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is approximately inner for all $X \in {}_0\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

PROOF. The ‘if’ part follows from [4, Proof of Lemma 2.2]. To prove the converse, suppose that $(a_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a right approximate identity for \mathcal{A} and suppose that $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is a continuous derivation, where $X \in {}_0\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$. We set

$$\xi_\alpha := D(a_\alpha)$$

for all α . Therefore for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a) &= \lim_{\alpha} D(aa_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} D(a) \cdot a_\alpha + a \cdot D(a_\alpha) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} a \cdot D(a_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} a \cdot \xi_\alpha \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} a \cdot \xi_\alpha - \xi_\alpha \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus D is approximately inner. □

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is approximately amenable if every continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into the dual \mathcal{A} -bimodule X^* is approximately inner for all Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules X ; this notion was introduced and studied by Ghahramani and Loy [4]. Moreover, the notion of character amenability was introduced and studied by Monfared [10]; he called a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} character amenable if it has a bounded right approximate identity and is ϕ -amenable for all nonzero characters ϕ on \mathcal{A} . We are thus led to the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.5. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called character pseudo-amenable if it is ϕ -pseudo-amenable for all $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$.

As an application of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let \mathcal{A} be an approximately amenable Banach algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is character pseudo-amenable.

The following example shows that the converse of the above corollary is not true.

EXAMPLE 2.7. (a) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let S be an infinite set. Consider the Banach algebra $\ell^p(S)$ of all complex-valued functions $f := \sum_{s \in S} f(s)\delta_s$ with

$$\|f\|^p := \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)|^p < \infty$$

endowed with the pointwise multiplication, where δ_s is the characteristic function of $\{s\}$. First note that

$$\sigma(\ell^p(S)) = \{\phi_s : s \in S\},$$

where $\phi_s(f) = f(s)$ for all $f \in \ell^p(S)$. Now, suppose that $X \in \phi_s \mathcal{M}^{\ell^p(S)}$ and $D : \ell^p(S) \rightarrow X^*$ is a continuous derivation. Then

$$D(f\delta_s) = f \cdot D(\delta_s) + \phi_s(\delta_s)D(f)$$

for all $f \in \ell^p(S)$ and $s \in S$. Since $f\delta_s = f(s)\delta_s = \phi_s(f)\delta_s$ and $\phi_s(\delta_s) = 1$, it follows that

$$D(f) = \phi_s(f)D(\delta_s) - f \cdot D(\delta_s).$$

Thus $D = \text{ad}_{-D(\delta_s)}$ and hence $\ell^p(S)$ is ϕ_s -pseudo-amenable for all $s \in S$ by Theorem 2.2. Moreover, $\ell^p(S)$ has an approximate identity, and consequently it is character pseudo-amenable. On the other hand, it was shown in [3] that the Banach algebra $\ell^p(S)$ is not approximately amenable.

(b) Let G be an infinite compact abelian group. Then since G is compact and abelian, the Feichtinger algebra is

$$S_0(G) = \left\{ f = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{G}} c_\rho \delta_\rho : \|f\| = \sum |c_\rho| < \infty \right\},$$

where \widehat{G} is the dual group of G . Hence,

$$S_0(G) \cong \ell^1(\widehat{G}),$$

where $\ell^1(\widehat{G})$ is equipped with the pointwise product. Thus $S_0(G)$ is character pseudo-amenable, but not approximately amenable.

Let \mathcal{M}_ϕ^A denote the class of Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules X for which the right module action of \mathcal{A} on X is defined by $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X$). We have the following analogue of a result in [6] on ϕ -amenable Banach algebras.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable.
- (b) For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^A$, any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^{**}$ is approximately inner.
- (c) For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^A$, any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is approximately inner.

PROOF. Clearly, (a) implies (b) and (c) implies (a) by Theorem 2.2. Thus it suffices to show that (b) implies (c). Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^A$ and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a continuous derivation. Then the map $\Delta : D^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X^{**}$ is a derivation. By assumption, there exists a net $(\Xi_\alpha) \subseteq X^{**}$ such that

$$\Delta(a) = D(a) = \lim_{\alpha} (a \cdot \Xi_\alpha - \Xi_\alpha \cdot a).$$

Then by Goldstine’s theorem, we can assume that $\Xi_\alpha \in X$ when the above limit converges in the weak topology of X . Applying Mazur’s theorem, we can get a new

net $(x_\alpha) \subseteq X$ such that

$$D(a) = \lim_\alpha (a \cdot x_\alpha - x_\alpha \cdot a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and this completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a finite-dimensional Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable if and only if \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable.*

PROOF. Suppose that $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is a continuous derivation for some $X \in {}_\phi\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$. Let E be the subspace of X^* generated by $D(\mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{A} \cdot D(\mathcal{A})$. Thus E is a finite-dimensional submodule of X^* and $E \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$. Using the fact that

$$\mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, E) = \{\text{ad}_\xi : \xi \in E\}$$

is a finite-dimensional space, we conclude that it is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}, E)$, the set of bounded linear mappings from \mathcal{A} into E , in the strong operator topology. Define $D_E : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ by $D_E(a) = D(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable and $E \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$, there exists a net (ξ_α) in E such that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$D_E(a) = \lim_\alpha \text{ad}_{\xi_\alpha}(a)$$

by Proposition 2.8. It follows that $D_E \in \mathcal{B}^1(\mathcal{A}, E)$, and hence there exists $\xi \in E \subseteq X^*$ such that $D_E = \text{ad}_\xi$. Therefore D is inner. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then we can consider $\ker(\phi) \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$ with the right action

$$b \cdot a = \phi(a)b \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \ker(\phi)),$$

and the left action to be the natural one. In the following result we consider $\ker(\phi)$ as an \mathcal{A} -bimodule with these actions.

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable.
- (b) Any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\ker(\phi))^{**}$ is approximately inner.
- (c) Any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \ker(\phi)$ is approximately inner.

PROOF. Clearly, (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c) by Proposition 2.8. It suffices to show that (c) implies (a). To that end first suppose that $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and choose any $b \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi(b) = 1$. Then

$$D(a) = ab - \phi(a)b \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

defines a continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into $\ker(\phi)$. Thus D is approximately inner by (c), and so there is a net $(b_\alpha) \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ such that

$$D(a) = \lim_\alpha (ab_\alpha - \phi(a)b_\alpha)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If we set $a_\alpha := b - b_\alpha$ for all α , then we have $\phi(a_\alpha) = 1$ and for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$aa_\alpha - \phi(a)a_\alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

Trivially,

$$\mathbf{m}_\alpha := a_\alpha \otimes a_0$$

is a ϕ -approximate diagonal for \mathcal{A} , where $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi(a_0) = 1$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable. For the case $\phi = 0$, the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Let $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule by the module actions

$$a \cdot b = \Theta(a)b, \quad b \cdot a = \phi(a)b \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}).$$

We denote by \mathcal{B}_ϕ^Θ the above \mathcal{A} -bimodule in $\mathcal{M}_\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable.
- (b) For every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every homomorphism $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\phi^\Theta$ is approximately inner.
- (c) For every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every injective homomorphism $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\phi^\Theta$ is approximately inner.

PROOF. The implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) are trivial. We show that (c) \Rightarrow (a) holds. Suppose that (c) holds and let $X \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ be a continuous derivation. Consider the module extension Banach algebra $X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$; that is, the space $X \oplus \mathcal{A}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|(x, a)\| = \|x\| + \|a\| \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X)$$

and the product

$$(x_1, a_1)(x_2, a_2) = (x_1 \cdot a_2 + a_1 \cdot x_2, a_1 a_2)$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Obviously the map $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\Theta(a) = (0, a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

is an injective Banach algebra homomorphism. Now, if we define $D_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ by

$$D_1(a) = (D(a), 0) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}),$$

then for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= (D(ab), 0) = (\phi(b)D(a) + a \cdot D(b), 0) \\ &= \phi(b)(D(a), 0) + (0, a)(D(b), 0) \\ &= \phi(b)D_1(a) + \Theta(a)D_1(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, D_1 is a derivation from \mathcal{A} into $(X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\phi^\ominus$, and so D_1 is approximately inner by assumption. That is, there exist nets $(a_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $(x_\alpha) \subseteq X$ such that

$$D_1(a) = \lim_\alpha \text{ad}_{(x_\alpha, a_\alpha)}(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D(a), 0) &= D_1(a) = \lim_\alpha \text{ad}_{(x_\alpha, a_\alpha)}(a) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (\Theta(a)(x_\alpha, a_\alpha) - \phi(a)(x_\alpha, a_\alpha)) \\ &= \lim_\alpha ((0, a)(x_\alpha, a_\alpha) - \phi(a)(x_\alpha, a_\alpha)) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (ax_\alpha - \phi(a)x_\alpha, aa_\alpha - \phi(a)a_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D(a) = \lim_\alpha \text{ad}_{x_\alpha}(a)$. So, for each $X \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$, any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is approximately inner; this is equivalent to ϕ -pseudo-amenability by Proposition 2.8. \square

We end this work with the following description of ϕ -amenability which is of interest in its own right.

THEOREM 2.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\phi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Then \mathcal{A} is ϕ -pseudo-amenable if and only if there exists a net $(\mathbf{n}_\alpha) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(\pi(\mathbf{n}_\alpha)) \rightarrow 1$ and $\|a \cdot \mathbf{n}_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \ker(\phi)$.*

PROOF. The ‘only if’ part follows from the definition of ϕ -pseudo-amenability and ϕ -approximate diagonal. Now, assume that there exists a net $(\mathbf{n}_\alpha) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\phi(\pi(\mathbf{n}_\alpha)) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a \cdot \mathbf{n}_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in \ker(\phi)$. Choose $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi(a_0) = 1$. Then $aa_0 - \phi(a)a_0 \in \ker(\phi)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Set

$$\mathbf{m}_\alpha := a_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_\alpha$$

for all α . Thus $\phi(\pi(\mathbf{m}_\alpha)) = \phi(a_0\pi(\mathbf{n}_\alpha)) \rightarrow 1$ and, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|a \cdot \mathbf{m}_\alpha - \phi(a)\mathbf{m}_\alpha\| = \|(aa_0 - \phi(a)a_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that (\mathbf{m}_α) is a ϕ -approximate diagonal for \mathcal{A} . \square

References

- [1] M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr-Isfahani and M. Nemati, ‘Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras’, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), 274–281.
- [2] P. Bharucha, ‘Approximate weak amenability of $I_0(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ ’, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), 18–21.
- [3] H. G. Dales, R. J. Loy and Y. Zhang, ‘Approximate amenability for Banach sequence algebras’, *Studia Math.* **177** (2006), 81–96.

- [4] F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy, 'Generalized notations of amenability', *J. Funct. Anal.* **208** (2004), 229–260.
- [5] F. Ghahramani and Y. Zhang, 'Pseudo-amenable and pseudo-contractible Banach algebras', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **142** (2007), 111–123.
- [6] Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor, 'On character amenable Banach algebras', *Studia Math.* **193** (2009), 53–78.
- [7] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, 'On ϕ -amenability of Banach algebras', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **144** (2008), 85–96.
- [8] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, 'On character amenability of Banach algebras', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **344** (2008), 942–955.
- [9] A. T. Lau, 'Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups', *Fund. Math.* **118** (1983), 161–175.
- [10] M. S. Monfared, 'Character amenability of Banach algebras', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **144** (2008), 697–706.
- [11] R. Nasr-Isfahani and M. Nemati, 'Character pseudo-amenable of Banach algebras', Preprint.
- [12] J. P. Pier, *Amenable Banach Algebras*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 172 (Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1988).

RASOUL NASR-ISFAHANI, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran
e-mail: isfahani@cc.iut.ac.ir

MEHDI NEMATİ, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran
e-mail: m.nemati@math.iut.ac.ir