

MONOCHROMATIC SEQUENCES WHOSE GAPS BELONG TO  
 $\{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$

BRUCE M. LANDMAN

For  $m$  and  $k$  positive integers, define a  $k$ -term  $h_m$ -progression to be a sequence of positive integers  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  such that for some positive integer  $d$ ,  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . Let  $h_m(k)$  denote the least positive integer  $n$  such that for every 2-colouring of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there is a monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression of length  $k$ . Thus,  $h_1(k) = w(k)$ , the classical van der Waerden number. We show that, for  $1 \leq r < m$ ,  $h_m(m+r) \leq 2c(m+r-1) + 1$ , where  $c = \lceil m/(m-r) \rceil$ . We also give a lower bound for  $h_m(k)$  that has order of magnitude  $2k^2/m$ . A precise formula for  $h_m(k)$  is obtained for all  $m$  and  $k$  such that  $k \leq 3m/2$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions [13] says that for every positive integer  $k$  there is a smallest positive integer  $w(k)$  such that for every 2-colouring of  $[1, w(k)] = \{1, 2, \dots, w(k)\}$  there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term arithmetic progression. The only known non-trivial values of the van der Waerden numbers  $w(k)$  are  $w(3) = 9$ ,  $w(4) = 35$ , and  $w(5) = 178$ . Furthermore, estimation of the function  $w(k)$  remains a wide open problem (see [4] for a discussion of this).

If  $F$  is any family of sequences that includes the arithmetic progressions then by van der Waerden's theorem we may define, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $F(k)$  to be the least positive integer such that for every 2-colouring of  $[1, F(k)]$  there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term member of  $F$ . One such family of sequences, called the "quasi-progressions" was introduced by Brown, Erdős, and Freedman [1]. A  $k$ -term quasi-progression of diameter  $n$  is a sequence of positive integers  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  for which there is a positive integer  $d$  such that  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{d, d+1, \dots, d+n\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . If  $Q_n$  represents the family of all quasi-progressions of diameter  $n$ , it is clear that  $w(k) = Q_0(k) \geq Q_1(k) \geq Q_2(k) \geq \dots$ . In [9] upper bounds were obtained for  $Q_m(k)$  provided  $k \leq 3m/2$ . Examples of other families

---

Received 26th November, 1997

The author wishes to thank Tom Brown for suggesting this problem. The author is also grateful to Andrew Long for his help with the computer program.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/98 \$A2.00+0.00.

$F$  containing the family of arithmetic progressions, and results on their associated van der Waerden-type functions  $F(k)$ , can be found in [5, 6, 7, 8].

In this paper we consider another family of sequences that includes the arithmetic progressions. Namely, for positive integers  $m$  and  $k$ , define a  $k$ -term  $h_m$ -progression to be a sequence of positive integers  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  such that, for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ . For positive integers  $m$  and  $k$ , let  $h_m(k)$  denote the least positive integer  $n$  such that for every 2-colouring of  $[1, n]$  there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term  $h_m$ -progression. By van der Waerden's theorem,  $h_m(k)$  exists for all positive integers  $m$  and  $k$ . Furthermore, we see that

$$w(k) = h_1(k) \geq h_2(k) \geq \dots$$

In terms of gaining more information about  $w(k)$ , the functions  $h_m(k)$  may be more useful than the functions  $Q_m(k)$ , for the following reason. Given positive integers  $m$  and  $k$ , define  $g_m(k)$  to be the least positive integer  $s$  such that whenever  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$  with  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ , there is a  $k$ -term arithmetic progression in  $S$  (Rabung [11] showed that  $g_m(k)$  exists for all  $m$  and  $k$ ; further work on  $g_m(k)$  can be found in [2] and [10]). Then for all  $k$  and  $m$ ,  $w(k) \leq h_m(g_m(k))$ . This is because any 2-colouring of  $[1, h_m(g_m(k))]$  will contain a monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression with  $g_m(k)$  terms, and among these  $g_m(k)$  terms must be a  $k$ -term arithmetic progression.

Here we obtain an upper bound for  $h_m(k)$  for all  $m$  and  $k$  such that  $k < 2m$ . We also give lower bounds for  $h_m(k)$  that hold for all  $m$  and  $k$ . For  $k \leq 3m/2$  we are able to give an exact formula for  $h_m(k)$ . One could say that we have had a bit more success in obtaining information about  $h_m(k)$  than we had in [9] with regard to  $Q_m(k)$ , since the upper bound for  $Q_m$  is valid only for  $k \leq 3m/2$  and since an exact formula for  $Q_m(k)$  is known only for  $k \leq m + 2$ . In Section 3 we include a table of exact values of  $h_m(k)$  obtained by computer.

## 2. RESULTS

It is easy to find a formula for  $h_m(k)$  when  $k \leq m$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $h_m(k) = 2k - 1$  if  $k \leq m$ .

**PROOF:** Any partition of  $[1, 2k - 2]$  into sets  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $|A| = |B| = k - 1$ , avoids monochromatic  $k$ -element sets, so  $h_m(k) \geq 2k - 1$ . On the other hand, every 2-colouring of  $[1, 2k - 1]$  contains a monochromatic sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  with  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$  (for otherwise  $x_k - x_1 \geq k + 1 + (k - 2)$ ).  $\square$

We are able to give an upper bound for  $h_m(m + r)$  provided  $r < m$ . The smaller that  $r$  is, in proportion to  $m$ , the lower the upper bound we have. The result is described in the next theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $m > r \geq 1$ . Let  $c = c(m, r) = \lceil m/(m - r) \rceil$ . Then*

$$h_m(m + r) \leq 2c(m + r - 1) + 1.$$

**PROOF:** Let  $L = 2c(m + r - 1) + 1$  and let  $\chi$  be any 2-colouring of  $[1, L]$ . We shall show that under  $\chi$  there is a monochromatic  $(m + r)$ -term  $h_m$ -progression. It is clear that  $[1, L]$  contains some monochromatic set of size at least  $m + r$ , all of whose elements are congruent to 1 modulo  $c$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+r}\}$  consist of the least  $m + r$  members of this monochromatic set, with  $x_i < x_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m + r - 1$ , and assume  $\chi(X) = 1$ .

If  $x_{i+1} - x_i \leq cm$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, m + r - 1\}$ , then  $X$  serves as the desired monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression, since  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{c, 2c, \dots, mc\}$  for all  $i$ . Thus, we assume that there is a  $k \in \{1, \dots, m + r - 1\}$  such that  $x_{k+1} - x_k = cs \geq c(m + 1)$ .

Let  $A = \{x_k + ci : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1\}$ . Then  $\chi(A) = 0$  and  $|A| \geq m$ . We consider two cases.

**CASE I.**  $c \geq 3$ . Note that either  $x_k > m - c$  or  $x_{k+1} < L - (m - c) + 1$ , since otherwise  $\sum_{i \neq k} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \leq 2(m - c - 1)$  which would contradict the fact that  $\sum_{i \neq k} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \geq c(m + r - 2)$ . We shall assume  $x_k > m - c$ , as the case of  $x_{k+1} < L - (m - c) + 1$  may be done by a symmetric argument.

Let

$$B = \{i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{c} : x_k - m + c \leq i < x_{k+1}\}.$$

We see that for each  $b \in B$  there is some  $a \in A$  such that  $|a - b| \leq \max\{c, m\} = m$ . Thus, if there is a set  $B_0 \subseteq B$  with  $|B_0| = r$  and  $\chi(B_0) = 0$ , then  $A \cup B_0$  is a monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression with length at least  $m + r$ . We therefore assume that at most  $r - 1$  members of  $B$  have colour 0. Let  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$  be those members of  $B$  having colour 1, listed in increasing order. To complete the proof of this case we show that  $Y$  gives us the monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression we seek by showing:

$$(1) \quad y_{i+1} - y_i \leq m \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, t - 1$$

and

$$(2) \quad t \geq m + r.$$

To establish (1), note that for any two elements  $b_j$  and  $b_{j+\ell}$ , of  $B$ ,

$$b_{j+\ell} - b_j \leq \ell + \left\lceil \frac{\ell}{c-1} \right\rceil.$$

Hence, since  $|B - Y| \leq r - 1$ , for all  $i$  we have

$$(3) \quad y_{i+1} - y_i \leq r + \left\lceil \frac{r}{c-1} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{rc}{c-1} \right\rceil.$$

Also,  $c \geq m/(m - r)$  implies

$$(4) \quad \left\lceil \frac{rc}{c-1} \right\rceil \leq m.$$

By (3) and (4) we see that (1) is true.

To show (2), we first observe that  $|B| \geq (c - 1)(m + \lceil m/c \rceil)$ . Since  $|B - Y| \leq r$ , (2) will follow if we can show

$$(5) \quad (c - 2)m + (c - 1)\lceil m/c \rceil + 1 \geq 2r.$$

Since  $m > r$ , (5) is obvious for  $c \geq 4$ . Inequality (5) also holds when  $c = 3$ , since in this case, using (4),

$$\begin{aligned} m + 2\left\lceil \frac{m}{3} \right\rceil + 1 &\geq \left\lceil \frac{3r}{2} \right\rceil + 2\left\lceil \frac{3r/2}{3} \right\rceil + 1 \\ &\geq \frac{3r}{2} + 2\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \\ &\geq 2r. \end{aligned}$$

CASE II.  $c = 2$ . In this case we have  $x_{m+r} - x_1 \leq 4(m + r - 1)$ . Therefore, if  $i \neq k$ , then  $x_{i+1} - x_i \leq m$ , for otherwise we would have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{m+r} - x_1 &\geq x_{k+1} - x_k + \sum_{i \neq k} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \\ &\geq 2(m + 1) + (m + 1) + 2(m + r - 3) \\ &= 5m + 2r - 3 \\ &\geq 4m + 4r - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $B' = \{x_k + 2i + 1 : 0 \leq i \leq s - 1\}$ . As in Case I, we may assume at most  $r - 1$  members of  $B'$  have colour 0. Therefore, if  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_j\} = \{b \in B' : \chi(b) = 1\}$ , then we have that  $0 < y_{i+1} - y_i \leq 2r$  for  $i = 1, \dots, j - 1$ , and  $x_{k+1} - y_j \leq 2r$  and  $y_1 - x_k \leq 2r$ . Since  $2r \leq m$  (in this case),  $X \cup Y$  forms a monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression with at least  $m + r$  terms.

□

REMARK. The proof of Theorem 2 actually shows a somewhat stronger result: that every 2-colouring of  $[1, 2c(m + r - 1) + 1]$  has a monochromatic sequence  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  such that either  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , or  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{c, 2c, \dots, mc\}$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ .

For a fixed  $m$ , the largest value of  $k$  for which Theorem 2 gives an upper bound is  $k = 2m - 1$ . We single out this case as the next corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.** For all positive integers  $m, h_m(2m - 1) \leq 4(m^2 - m) + 1$ .

PROOF: Letting  $r = m - 1$  in Theorem 2 yields  $c = m$ , and the corollary is immediate from Theorem 2. □

The following theorem gives a formula for  $h_m(m + 1)$ . The formula depends on the parity of  $m$ . We note that it gives a generalisation of the trivial fact that  $w(2) = h_1(2) = 3$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *For all  $m \geq 2$ ,  $h_m(m + 1) = 4m - 1$  if  $m$  is odd, and  $h_m(m + 1) = 4m + 1$  if  $m$  is even.*

PROOF: Note first that letting  $r = 1$  in Theorem 2 shows that  $h_m(m + 1) \leq 4m + 1$  for all  $m \geq 2$ . Thus to establish that the given expressions serve as upper bounds for their respective cases, we need only deal with the case of  $m$  odd.

Let  $m$  be odd and let  $\chi$  be any 2-colouring of  $[1, 4m - 1]$ . By Proposition 1 there is a monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq [m + 1, 3m - 1]$  with  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Without loss of generality, we shall assume  $\chi(X) = 1$ . We consider two subcases:

- (i)  $x_{i+1} - x_i > 1$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ ;
- (ii)  $x_{i+1} - x_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ .

First assume (i) holds. Let  $j$  be the least such  $i$ . If  $\chi(x_j + 1) = 1$ , then  $X \cup \{x_j + 1\}$  is a monochromatic  $(m + 1)$ -term  $h_m$ -progression. So we may assume  $\chi(x_j + 1) = 0$ . Likewise, we can assume that each of  $x_1 - 1, \dots, x_1 - m$  have colour 0. Then  $A = [x_1 - m, x_1 - 1] \cup \{x_j + 1\} \subseteq [1, 4m - 1]$  is monochromatic, and since

$$(x_j + 1) - (x_1 - 1) = j + 1 \leq m,$$

$A$  is an  $h_m$ -progression.

Now assume (ii) holds. Clearly, we may assume

$$\chi([x_1 - m, x_1 - 1] \cup [x_m + 1, x_m + m]) = 0.$$

Let

$$B = \left\{ x_1 - (2i - 1) : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{m + 1}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ x_m + (2i - 1) : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{m + 1}{2} \right\}.$$

Then  $B \subseteq [1, 4m - 1]$  has size  $m + 1$ , has colour 0, and each pair of consecutive elements of  $B$  has difference 2 or  $m + 1$ . Hence, since  $m + 1$  is even and  $m + 1 \leq 2m$ ,  $B$  is a monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression.

To complete the proof we shall provide, for  $m$  odd, a 2-colouring of  $[1, 4m - 2]$  which avoids  $(m + 1)$ -term monochromatic  $h_m$ -progressions; and, for  $m$  even, a 2-colouring of  $[1, 4m]$  which avoids such progressions.

Let  $m$  be odd. Color  $[1, 4m - 2]$  with the colouring  $\alpha$  defined as follows:

$$\underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{m-1} \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_m \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_m \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{m-1}.$$

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}$  be a maximum length monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression. By the symmetry of the colouring we may assume  $\alpha(X) = 1$ . Let  $d = \min\{x_{i+1} - x_i : 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1\}$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $x_{i+1} - x_i \leq m$  for each  $i$ . It is then clear from the way  $\alpha$  is defined that  $\ell \leq m$ . If  $d \geq 2$ , then each of the two blocks of 1's in the representation of  $\alpha$  contains at most  $m/2$  members of  $X$ , so again  $\ell \leq m$ . Hence, there is no monochromatic  $(m + 1)$ -term  $h_m$ -progression under  $\alpha$ .

Now assume  $m$  is even. Then the same explanation as that used in the odd case shows that the colouring of  $[1, 4m]$  defined by the string

$$\underbrace{11\dots 1}_{m} \underbrace{00\dots 0}_{m} \underbrace{11\dots 1}_{m} \underbrace{00\dots 0}_{m}$$

has no monochromatic  $(m + 1)$ -term  $h_m$ -progression. □

We now shift our attention to lower bounds for  $h_m(k)$ .

The next theorem gives a lower bound for  $h_m(k)$  for all  $m$  and  $k$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $m$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $\lambda(k, m) = \lceil (k - 1) / \lceil k/m \rceil \rceil$ . Then*

$$h_m(k) \geq 2(k - 1) \left( \left\lceil \frac{k}{\lambda(k, m)} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + 1 = \frac{2}{m} k^2 (1 + o(1)).$$

**PROOF:** Let  $k$  and  $m$  be given. Let  $\lambda = \lambda(k, m)$  and let  $M = 2(k - 1)(\lceil k/\lambda \rceil - 1)$ . Color  $[1, M]$  with the colouring  $ABAB \dots AB$  where  $A$  and  $B$  each appear  $\lceil k/\lambda \rceil - 1$  times, and where  $A$  represents a block of  $k - 1$  ones and  $B$  represents a block of  $k - 1$  zeros. To prove the theorem we show that under this colouring there is no  $k$ -term monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression.

Assume  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  is a  $k$ -term  $h_m$ -progression. Let  $d$  be a positive integer such that  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ . Since each block has size  $k - 1$ , there is some  $i$  such that  $x_{i+1} - x_i \geq k$ . Therefore  $d \geq \lceil k/m \rceil$ . Hence, each block contains at most  $\lceil (k - 1)/d \rceil \leq \lambda$  members of  $X$ . It follows that at most  $\lambda(\lceil k/\lambda \rceil - 1)$  members of  $X$  can be of the same colour. Since (for any positive integers  $k$  and  $\lambda$ )  $\lambda(\lceil k/\lambda \rceil - 1) \leq k - 1$ , the proof is complete. □

**REMARK.** For a fixed integer  $i, 0 \leq i \leq k - 2$ , define  $\lambda(k, m, i)$  to be  $\lceil (k - i - 1) / \lceil (k - i)/m \rceil \rceil$ . Then a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 5 gives us the more general inequality

$$(6) \quad h_m(k) \geq 2(k - i - 1) \left( \left\lceil \frac{k}{\lambda(k, m, i)} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + 1.$$

That is, we change the colouring so that there are  $\lceil k/\lambda(k, m, i) \rceil - 1$  blocks of each colour, and each block has length  $k - i - 1$ . The lower bounds of (6) do not improve

the asymptotic lower bound of Theorem 5, but do improve the lower bound for some particular values of  $k$  and  $m$ . For example, by Theorem 5,  $h_4(11) \geq 41$ . Taking  $i = 1$  in (6) gives  $h_4(11) \geq 55$ .

In some instances the following lower bound is better than that provided by (6). For example, according to Theorem 6,  $h_4(8) \geq 29$ , while the best lower bound by means of inequality (6) is  $h_4(8) \geq 25$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $k > m + 1$ , then  $h_m(k) \geq 4(k - 1) + 1$ .*

**PROOF:** We consider two cases.

**CASE I.**  $k$  is odd. Using the notation of Theorem 5, since  $\lfloor k/m \rfloor \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(k, m) \leq (k - 1)/2$ . Hence, by Theorem 5,  $h_m(k) \geq 2(k - 1)(3 - 1) + 1$ .

**CASE II.**  $k$  is even. Color  $\lfloor 1, 4(k - 1) \rfloor$  as follows:

$$11 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{k-2} \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{k-2} \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{k-2} \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_{k-2} 00.$$

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$  be a maximal length monochromatic  $h_m$ -progression. By the symmetry of the colouring we may assume  $X$  has colour 1. We know there is a positive integer  $d$  such that  $x_{i+1} - x_i \in \{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1$ . If  $d = 1$  then, since  $k - 1 \geq m + 1$ , all members of  $X$  must belong to the same block of 1's, so that  $M \leq k - 2$ . If  $d \geq 2$ , then at most  $(k - 2)/2$  members of  $X$  belong to each of the long blocks of 1's, so  $M \leq k - 1$ . □

We see that the lower bound given by Theorem 6 coincides with the upper bound of Theorem 2 whenever  $c = 2$ . This gives us a precise formula for  $h_m(m + r)$  whenever  $m \geq 2r$ , which we state in the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $r \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 2r$ . Then  $h_m(m + r) = 4(m + r - 1) + 1$ .*

### 3. COMPUTATIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

We have run a computer program to calculate  $h_m(k)$ . The program also gives the 2-colourings of  $\lfloor 1, h_m(k) - 1 \rfloor$  that contain no monochromatic  $k$ -term  $h_m$ -progressions. Table I below shows the known values of  $h_m(k)$  for  $m \leq 8$  and  $k \leq 13$ . Of course, by Proposition 1, Theorem 4, and Corollary 7, we know the value of  $h_m(k)$  for all  $m$  and  $k$  such that  $k \leq 3m/2$ . The first row of the table contains the known values of  $w(k)$  (these may be found in [3] and [12]). The symbol  $\geq$  appearing before a number means that computer time became excessive and that at the point at which the program was halted, we were able to infer from the output of the program that the value of  $h_m(k)$  was not less than this number.

We notice from the table that  $h_m(k) = 6(k - 1) + 1$  for each of the following pairs  $(m, k)$ : (3,6), (5,9), (6,10), (6,11), (6,12), (7,12), (7,13). Only in the case of (6,10) does

this value agree with the upper bound of Theorem 2. We wonder if there is some natural extension of Corollary 7 for which  $6(k - 1) + 1$  is the value of  $h_m(k)$ . It is reasonable to think that for those cases in which  $h_m(k) = 6(k - 1) + 1$  there must be some simple colouring of  $[1, 6(k - 1)]$  that is similar to those used in the proofs of Theorem 5 or 6. However for none of the pairs  $(m, k)$  listed above are there any obvious patterns in the colourings of  $[1, 6(k - 1)]$  that avoid monochromatic  $k$ -term  $h_m$ -progressions. This is the case even though, for example, there are thirty six different colourings of  $[1, 48]$  that avoid 9-term  $h_5$ -progressions; and there are twenty six different colourings of  $[1, 54]$  that avoid 10-term monochromatic  $h_6$ -progressions.

We also notice that for each  $m \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ ,  $h_m(2m) = 6(2m - 1) + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon = 1$  or 2. Perhaps  $h_m(2m) = 12m(1 + o(1))$ . The only values of  $k$  and  $m$  in the table for which  $h_m(k) = 8(k - 1) + 1$  are  $(m, k) = (2, 5)$  and  $(m, k) = (4, 9)$ . We wonder if it holds for  $(m, k) = (6, 13)$  or more generally, for  $(m, k) = (2j, 4j + 1)$ .

A study analogous to that of this paper can be made where instead of using 2-colourings we use  $r$ -colourings. If one denotes the corresponding function by  $h_m(k, r)$ , then the van der Waerden numbers for  $r$  colours satisfy the inequality  $w(k, r) \leq h_m(g_m(k), r)$ , where  $g$  is the function defined in Section 1. We have no idea of the rate of growth of  $h_m(k, r)$ .

Table I. Values of  $h_m(k)$

$m / k$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	3	9	35	178	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
2	3	9	17	33	55	$\geq 87$	$\geq 125$	$\geq 177$	?	?	?	?
3	3	5	11	19	31	71	97	$\geq 117$	?	?	?	?
4	3	5	7	17	21	35	44	65	$\geq 75$	$\geq 84$	?	?
5	3	5	7	9	19	25	33	49	56	$\geq 69$	$\geq 76$	?
6	3	5	7	9	11	25	29	33	55	61	67	$\geq 73$
7	3	5	7	9	11	13	27	33	37	47	67	73
8	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	33	37	41	45	71

REFERENCES

- [1] T.C. Brown, P. Erdős and A.R. Freedman, 'Quasi-progressions and descending waves', *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **53** (1990), 81-95.
- [2] T.C. Brown and D.R. Hare, 'Arithmetic progressions in sequences with bounded gaps', *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **77** (1997), 222-227.
- [3] V. Chvátal Some unknown van der Waerden numbers, in *Combinatorial structures and their applications*, (R.K. Guy et. al., Editors) (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970), pp. 31-33.
- [4] R.L. Graham, B.L. Rothschild, and J.H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory*, (2nd ed.) (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1990).

- [5] R.N. Greenwell and B.M. Landman, 'On the existence of a reasonable upper bound for the van der Waerden numbers', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **50** (1989), 82–86.
- [6] B.M. Landman, 'Generalized van der Waerden numbers', *Graphs Combin.* **2** (1986), 351–356.
- [7] B.M. Landman, 'Ramsey functions related to the van der Waerden numbers', *Discrete Math.* **102** (1992), 265–278.
- [8] B.M. Landman, 'Ramsey functions associated with second order recurrences', *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **15** (1994), 119–127.
- [9] B.M. Landman, 'Ramsey functions for quasi-progressions', *Graphs Combin.* (to appear).
- [10] M.B. Nathanson, 'Arithmetic progressions contained in sequences with bounded gaps', *Canadian Math. Bull.* **23** (1980), 491–493.
- [11] J.R. Rabung, 'On applications of van der Waerden's theorem', *Math. Magazine* **48** (1975), 142–148.
- [12] R.S. Stevens and R. Shantaram, 'Computer generated van der Waerden partitions', *Math. Comput.* **17** (1978), 635–636.
- [13] B.L. van der Waerden, 'Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung', *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* **15** (1927), 212–216.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
Greensboro, NC 27412  
United States of America  
e-mail: bmlandma@hamlet.uncg.edu