

## SEQUENTIAL COMPACTNESS OF $X$ IMPLIES A COMPLETENESS PROPERTY FOR $C(X)$

M. RAJAGOPALAN AND R. F. WHEELER

A locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space is said to be *quasi-complete* if closed *bounded* subsets of the space are complete, and *von Neumann complete* if closed *totally bounded* subsets are complete (equivalently, compact). Clearly quasi-completeness implies von Neumann completeness, and the converse holds in, for example, metrizable locally convex spaces. In this note we obtain a class of locally convex spaces for which the converse fails. Specifically, let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space, and let  $C_c(X)$  denote the space of continuous real-valued functions on  $X$ , endowed with the compact-open topology. We prove

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $X$  is sequentially compact, then  $C_c(X)$  is von Neumann complete.*

A space  $X$  is said to be a  $k_R$ -space if a real-valued function on  $X$  is necessarily continuous when its restrictions to compact subsets are continuous. Any  $k$ -space is a  $k_R$ -space, but the converse is not true. It is well-known (see [12]) that  $C_c(X)$  is quasi-complete (or complete) if and only if  $X$  is a  $k_R$ -space. Thus if  $X$  is sequentially compact, but not a  $k_R$ -space, then  $C_c(X)$  is von Neumann complete but not quasi-complete. We give a simple example of such an  $X$ . A second example shows that “sequentially compact” may not be replaced by “countably compact” in Theorem 1.

**1. Some background.** The first example of a von Neumann complete non-quasi-complete space seems to have been given by Ptak [11, pp. 64-67]: if  $X_0$  is the space of countable ordinals, then the space of continuous real-valued functions with compact support on  $X_0$ , endowed with the compact-open topology, has the desired properties. (The authors thank Robert Anderson for providing a translation of this material.) Almost twenty years later Dazord and Jourlin [3] made a systematic study of von Neumann complete locally convex spaces (calling them  $p$ -semi-reflexive spaces); see also Brauner [1]. Shortly thereafter Haydon [7] found a complicated example of a  $C_c(X)$  space which is von Neumann complete but not quasi-complete.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collections of subsets of  $C(X)$  which are, respectively, totally bounded in the compact-open topology, relatively compact in the compact-open topology, and pointwise bounded and equicontinuous. Then

---

Received May 27, 1975.

$\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Von Neumann completeness of  $C_c(X)$  is the condition  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$ ; those spaces  $X$  for which  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$  were called “infra- $k_R$ -spaces” by Buchwalter [2]. Haydon [5, Corollary 3.2] proved the surprising result that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ . Consequently,  $C_c(X)$  is von Neumann complete but not quasi-complete precisely when  $X$  is infra- $k_R$  but not  $k_R$ .

**2. The proofs.**

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $X$  be sequentially compact. By Haydon’s result (quoted above), it must be shown that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ . Suppose  $A \subset C(X)$  is totally bounded in the compact-open topology, but not equicontinuous at a point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . Then there is a positive  $\epsilon_0$  such that for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ , there exist  $f_U \in A$  and  $x_U \in U$  such that  $|f_U(x_U) - f_U(x_0)| \geq \epsilon_0$ . By induction sequences  $(U_n)$ ,  $(x_n)$ , and  $(f_n)$  can be constructed such that (1)  $U_n$  is a neighborhood of  $x_0$  (let  $U_1 = X$ ),  $x_n \in U_n$ , and  $f_n \in A$ ; (2)  $|f_n(x_n) - f_n(x_0)| \geq \epsilon_0$ ; and (3) if  $x \in U_n$ ,  $|f_i(x) - f_i(x_0)| < \epsilon_0/4$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

Now  $(f_n(x_0))$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers, hence there is a real number  $L$  and a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})$  such that  $f_{n_k}(x_0) \rightarrow L$ . Since  $X$  is sequentially compact, a subsequence of  $(x_{n_k})$  converges to a point  $y_0$  of  $X$ . Thus without loss of generality we may assume that  $f_n(x_0) \rightarrow L$  and  $x_n \rightarrow y_0$ . Then  $K = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \cup \{y_0\}$  is compact. Choose  $n_0$  such that  $|f_n(x_0) - L| < \epsilon_0/4$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Then if  $n_0 \leq n_1 < n_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \{|f_{n_1}(x) - f_{n_2}(x)| : x \in K\} \geq |f_{n_1}(x_{n_2}) - f_{n_2}(x_{n_2})| \\ & = |f_{n_1}(x_{n_2}) - f_{n_1}(x_0) + f_{n_1}(x_0) - L + L - f_{n_2}(x_0) + f_{n_2}(x_0) - f_{n_2}(x_{n_2})| \\ & \geq |f_{n_2}(x_0) - f_{n_2}(x_{n_2})| - |f_{n_1}(x_{n_2}) - f_{n_1}(x_0)| - |f_{n_1}(x_0) - L| - |L - f_{n_2}(x_0)| \\ & > \epsilon_0 - 3\epsilon_0/4 = \epsilon_0/4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A$  is not totally bounded in the compact-open topology, a contradiction. Hence  $A$  is equicontinuous.

This result remains true under the weaker assumption that every infinite subset of  $X$  has infinitely many points in common with some compact subset of  $X$ . See [10] for a discussion of this concept.

*Example 1.* A completely regular,  $T_2$ , scattered, sequentially compact, non- $k_R$ -space.

Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be the least ordinals of cardinal  $\aleph_1$  and  $\aleph_2$ , respectively. Let  $X$  be the subspace  $([1, \omega_1] \times [1, \omega_2]) \cup \{(\omega_1, \omega_2)\}$  of  $[1, \omega_1] \times [1, \omega_2]$ . Then  $X$  is completely regular,  $T_2$ , and scattered. Since  $[1, \omega_1)$  and  $[1, \omega_2)$  are sequentially compact, so is  $X$ . Finally, we show that  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is an isolated point of every compact subset  $A$  of  $X$  which contains it. If not, let  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a cluster point of  $B = A \cap ([1, \omega_1) \times [1, \omega_2))$ . Then given  $\alpha \in [1, \omega_1)$ , there exists  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in B$  so that  $x_\alpha > \alpha$ . There is a  $\lambda \in [1, \omega_2)$  so that  $y_\alpha \leq \lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in [1, \omega_1)$ . Now  $[1, \omega_1) \times [1, \lambda]$  is closed in  $X$ . Hence  $F = A \cap ([1, \omega_1) \times [1, \lambda])$  is compact. Then  $\pi_1(F)$  should be a compact subset of  $[1, \omega_1)$  where

$\pi_1 : [1, \omega_1) \times [1, \lambda] \rightarrow [1, \omega_1)$  is the projection map. However,  $\pi_1(F) \supset \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in [1, \omega_1)\}$  which is unbounded in  $[1, \omega_1)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is an isolated point of  $A$ . Now the function  $f : X \rightarrow R$  which is 1 at  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  and 0 elsewhere is continuous on compact sets but not continuous. Hence  $X$  is not a  $k_R$ -space.

This example was suggested by ideas found in [8] and [9]. The final example, which is related to constructions of Novak [4, p. 245] and Haydon [6, Ex. 2.5], shows that Theorem 1 does not hold if “sequentially compact” is replaced by “countably compact.”

*Example 2.* A completely regular,  $T_2$ , countably compact space which is not an infra- $k_R$ -space.

It suffices to exhibit an infinite, countably compact subset  $X$  of  $\beta N$  in which compact sets are finite, because  $A = \{f \in C(X) : \sup |f(x)| \leq 1\}$  is then totally bounded in the compact-open topology, but not equicontinuous. Now  $\beta N$  has  $2^c$  infinite compact subsets, each of cardinal  $2^c$ . Well-order them as  $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the least ordinal of cardinal  $2^c$ . Also there are  $2^c$  countably infinite subsets of  $\beta N$ : similarly, well-order them as  $(C_\alpha)_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ .

Define a subset  $X$  of  $\beta N$  as follows: Choose a point  $p_1$  of  $\bar{C}_1 \setminus C_1$  (closure taken in  $\beta N$ ). Let  $q_1$  be a point of  $K_1$  distinct from  $p_1$ . Suppose  $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha < \beta}$ ,  $(q_\alpha)_{\alpha < \beta}$  have been chosen, where  $\beta < \Gamma$ . Now choose  $p_\beta \in \bar{C}_\beta \setminus C_\beta$  such that  $p_\beta \notin \{q_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \beta}$  (possible, since  $\text{card}(\bar{C}_\beta \setminus C_\beta) = 2^c$  and  $\text{card} \beta < 2^c$ ). Then choose  $q_\beta \in K_\beta$  such that  $q_\beta \notin \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \beta}$ . This completes the inductive procedure.

Let  $X = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ . Then  $X$  is countably compact, indeed every sequence of distinct points in  $\beta N$  has a cluster point in  $X$ . But if  $K$  is an infinite compact subset of  $\beta N$ , then  $K = K_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \Gamma$ , and  $q_\beta \in K \setminus X$  ( $q_\beta \neq p_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta$  by choice of  $q_\beta$ ;  $q_\beta \neq p_\alpha$  for  $\beta < \alpha$  by choice of  $p_\alpha$ ). Thus every compact subset of  $X$  is finite.

REFERENCES

1. K. Brauner, *A class of topologically free locally convex spaces and related group Hopf algebras*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1972.
2. H. Buchwalter, *Fonctions continues et mesures sur un espace completement regulier*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes 331 (1973), 183–202.
3. J. Dazord and M. Jourlin, *Sur les precompacts d'un espace localement convexe*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 274 (1972), 463–466, 550–553.
4. J. Dugundji, *Topology* (Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 1966).
5. R. Haydon, *Compactness in  $C_s(T)$  and applications*, Publ. Dep. Math. Lyon 9 (1972), 105–113.
6. ———, *On compactness in spaces of measures and measure-compact spaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 29 (1974), 1–16.
7. ———, *Sur un probleme de H. Buchwalter*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 275 (1972), 1077–1080.
8. V. Kannan and M. Rajagopalan, *On scattered spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1974), 402–408.
9. K. Malliha Devi, P. Meyer, and M. Rajagopalan, *When does countable compactness imply sequential compactness?*, Gen. Top. and its Applications, to appear.

10. N. Noble, *Countably compact and pseudocompact products*, Czech. Math. J. 19 (94) (1969), 390–397.
11. V. Ptak, *Compact subsets of convex topological linear spaces*, Czech. Math. J. 4 (79) (1954), 51–74 (Russian. English summary).
12. S. Warner, *The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces*, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 265–282.

*Memphis State University,  
Memphis, Tennessee;  
Northern Illinois University,  
DeKalb, Illinois*