

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION BY BOUNDARY VECTOR MEASURES

BY
PAULETTE SAAB

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that if X is a compact Hausdorff space, A is an arbitrary linear subspace of $C(X, C)$, and if E is a Banach space, then each element L of $(A \otimes E)^*$ can be represented by a boundary E^* -valued vector measure of the same norm as L .

Introduction. All the results obtained in this paper are valid for real and complex Banach spaces. However we shall deal only with complex Banach spaces.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let E be a Banach space with dual E^* . Let $C(X, E)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous E -valued functions defined on X under the supremum norm. In [6] O. Hustad showed that if A is a linear subspace of $C(X, C)$ that separates the points of X and contains the constant functions, then each continuous linear functional l on A can be represented by a "boundary measure" that has the same norm as l . Later Choquet [2] and Fuhr and Phelps [5] independently extended Hustad's theorem to the case in which the subspace A does not contain the constant functions. In [9], we showed that if the compact space X is metrizable and E is an arbitrary Banach space, then it is possible to extend Hustad's theorem to those subspaces of $C(X, E)$ that are of the form $A \otimes E$, where A is a linear subspace of $C(X, C)$ that separates points of X and $A \otimes E$ is the closed linear subspace of $C(X, E)$ generated by elements of the form $a \otimes v$, with a in A and v in E and where for all x in X we have;

$$a \otimes v(x) = a(x) \cdot v$$

In this paper we shall prove, using the technique we developed in [8], an extension of our result in [9]. Namely, we shall show that for any compact Hausdorff space X and any linear subspace A of $C(X, C)$, each continuous linear functional L on $A \otimes E$ can be represented by a boundary E^* -valued vector measure that has the same norm as L .

First let us collect some notations.

Received by the editors March 17, 1980.

A.M.S. (1970) subject classification: Primary 46A55, 46E40.

If V is a Banach space, we shall denote by V^* its topological dual.

It is known [7] that if Y is a compact Hausdorff space and E is a Banach space, the dual of $C(Y, E)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $M(Y, E^*)$, the space of w^* -regular E^* -valued vector measures defined on the σ -field of Borel subsets of Y and that are of bounded variation [3].

The space of all complex valued regular Borel measures on Y will simply be denoted by $M(Y)$. The subset of $M(Y)$ consisting of probability measures (resp., the positive measures) will be denoted $M_1^+(Y)$ (resp., $M^+(Y)$).

If μ is in $M(Y, E^*)$, and x is in E , we denote by $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ the element of $M(Y)$ defined as follows:

$$\langle x, \mu \rangle(B) = \mu(B)x \quad \text{for each Borel subset } B \text{ of } X.$$

1. A representation theorem for point separating subspaces of $C(X, C)$. Throughout this section X is a compact Hausdorff space, A is a linear subspace of $C(X, C)$ that *separates points* of X , and E is a Banach space. We shall denote by $A \otimes E$ the closed linear subspace of $C(X, E)$ generated by elements of the form $a \otimes x$, where a is in A and x is in E . Also we shall denote by U the unit ball of A^* , by $\phi: X \rightarrow U$ the canonical map, and by T the unit circle in the plane.

DEFINITION 1.1. A measure μ in $M(X, E^*)$ is called a *boundary vector measure* for A if its variation $|\mu|$ (when carried via ϕ) is maximal for the Choquet ordering on $M^+(U)$ [1].

The following Lemma can easily be obtained using the characterization of maximal measures on compact convex sets [1, 27.4].

LEMMA 1.2. A vector measure μ in $M(X, E^*)$ is a boundary vector measure for A if and only if for each x in E the scalar measure $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a boundary measure for A .

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 1.3. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let A be a linear subspace of $C(X, C)$ that separates points of X , and let E be a Banach space. Then for each L in $(A \otimes E)^*$ there exists a vector measure μ in $M(X, E^*)$ such that

- (i) $\|\mu\| = \|L\|$,
- (ii) $\int_X b \, d\mu = L(b)$ for all b in $A \otimes E$, and
- (iii) the measure μ is a boundary vector measure for A .

Proof. If $A(U, E)$ denotes the Banach space of all continuous affine E -valued functions on U , then $A \otimes E$ embeds isometrically in $A(U, E)$ as

follows: For $b = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes x_i$ we let $j(b)$ denote the element of $A(U, E)$ defined by

$$j(b)(a^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n a^*(a_i) \cdot x_i, \quad \text{for all } a^* \text{ in } U.$$

The mapping j is obviously linear. It is an isometry since the set of extreme points of U is included in $T \cdot \phi(X)$. Let L be an element of $(A \otimes E)^*$. With the help of the Hahn–Banach theorem, pick Φ in $A(U, E)^*$ such that, Φ when restricted to $j(A \otimes E)$, is equal to L and $\|\Phi\| = \|L\|$.

By [8] the functional L can be represented by a measure λ in $M(U, E^*)$ such that

- (i) $L(b) = \int_U j(b) d\lambda$ for all b in $A \otimes E$,
- (ii) $\|\lambda\| = \|L\|$, and
- (iii) the variation $|\lambda|$ of λ is maximal for the Choquet ordering on $M^+(U)$.

Since the measure $|\lambda|$ is maximal it is supported by $T \cdot \phi(X)$ [5]. Let $s: T \cdot \phi(X) \rightarrow T \times X$ be the Borel selection map defined by Fuhr and Phelps [5, Lemma 7.2]. Denote by $s(\lambda)$ the E^* -valued set function defined on Borel subsets of $T \times X$ as follows:

$$s(\lambda)(B) = \lambda(s^{-1}(B)) \quad \text{for each Borel subset } B \text{ of } T \times X.$$

It is easily checked that $s(\lambda)$ is in $M(T \times X, E^*)$. Let μ be equal to $H_*s(\lambda)$, where for every ν in $M(T \times X, E^*)$ and every f in $C(X, E)$

$$H_*\nu(f) = \int_{T \times X} t \cdot f d\nu.$$

It can easily be checked that μ is in $M(X, E^*)$, and that for each x in E

$$\langle x, H_*s(\lambda) \rangle = Hs(\langle x, \lambda \rangle)$$

where H is Hustad's map see [6] or [5].

We claim that μ is our required element. For this, note that for each a in A and for each e in E

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(a \otimes e) &= \langle e, H_*s(\lambda) \rangle(a) = Hs(\langle e, \lambda \rangle)(a) \\ &= \int_{T \cdot \phi(X)} j(a \otimes e) d\lambda = L(a \otimes e). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $H_*s(\lambda)$ and L agree on $A \otimes E$. This proves (ii). To prove (i), it is easy to check that

$$\|H_*s(\lambda)\| \leq \|\lambda\| = \|L\|,$$

hence $\|H_*s(\lambda)\| = \|L\|$.

Finally, since $|\lambda|$ is maximal for the Choquet ordering on $M^+(U)$, then for each x in E , the scalar measure $\langle x, \lambda \rangle$ is also maximal. This implies that

$Hs(\langle x, \lambda \rangle)$ is a boundary measure for A . An appeal to Lemma 1.2 shows that the vector measure $H_*s(\lambda)$ is a boundary vector measure for A since for each x in E $\langle x, H_*s(\lambda) \rangle = Hs(\langle x, \lambda \rangle)$. This completes the proof.

2. A representation theorem for arbitrary subspaces of $C(X, C)$. We shall now proceed to prove Theorem 1.3 for an arbitrary linear subspace A of $C(X, C)$.

For each a in A denote by \tilde{a} the element of $C(\phi(X), C)$ defined by:

$$\tilde{a}(\phi(x)) = a(x) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } X.$$

It is clear the element \tilde{a} is well defined. Denote by \tilde{A} the set $\{\tilde{a} : a \in A\}$. If E is a Banach space, consider $\tilde{A} \otimes E$ the corresponding linear subspace of $C(\phi(X), E)$. The spaces $A \otimes E$ and $\tilde{A} \otimes E$ are isometrically isomorphic. We can now prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be an arbitrary linear subspace of $C(X, C)$ and let E be a Banach space. Then for each L in $(A \otimes E)^*$ there exists a measure μ_L in $M(X, E^*)$ such that*

- (i) $\|\mu_L\| = \|L\|$,
- (ii) $\int_X b \, d\mu_L = L(b)$ for all b in $A \otimes E$, and
- (iii) the measure μ_L is a boundary vector measure for A .

Proof. Let L be in $(A \otimes E)^*$ with $\|L\| = 1$. By virtue of the isometry of $A \otimes E$ and $\tilde{A} \otimes E$ we may and do assume that L is in $(\tilde{A} \otimes E)^*$. Apply Theorem 1.3 for \tilde{A} and $\phi(X)$ to get a measure ν in $M(\phi(X), E^*)$ such that

- (i) $\|\nu\| = \|L\|$,
- (ii) $\int \tilde{b} \, d\nu = L(b)$ for all b in $A \otimes E$, and
- (iii) the measure $|\nu|$ is maximal for the Choquet ordering on $M^+(U)$.

Since $|\nu|$ is in $M_1^+(\phi(X))$, there is a net of positive discrete measures $(\nu_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $\nu_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_j^i \varepsilon_{\phi(y_j^i)}$ and $\|\nu_i\| = 1$ for each i in I , and such that ν_i converges to $|\nu|$ in the weak* topology of $M_1^+(\phi(X))$.

For each $i \in I$, let

$$\mu_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_j^i \varepsilon_{y_j^i},$$

and note that the measure μ_i is in the weak* compact convex set $M_1^+(X)$. Let μ be a weak* cluster point of the net $(\mu_i)_{i \in I}$ in $M_1^+(X)$. It is a straightforward computation to show that $\phi(\mu) = |\nu|$. Since ν is in $M(\phi(X), E^*)$, it follows from [4, p. 389] that there exists a mapping $g : \phi(X) \rightarrow E^*$ that is $|\nu|$ -essentially bounded by one, (scalarly) weak*-Borel measurable, and such that $\nu = g \cdot |\nu|$. Let $\mu_L = g \circ \phi \cdot \mu$ be the E^* -valued set function defined on Borel subset B of X by:

$$\mu_L(B)(x) = \int_B \langle g \circ \phi(\omega), x \rangle \, d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{for each } x \text{ in } E.$$

It is clear that μ_L is in $M(X, E^*)$ and that the variation $|\mu_L| \leq \mu$. We claim that μ_L is our required element. To this end, note that for each a in A and for each x in E we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X a \otimes x \, d\mu_L &= \int_X \langle g \circ \phi(\omega), a(\omega) \cdot x \rangle \, d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_X \langle g \circ \phi(\omega), x \rangle \tilde{a}(\phi(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\phi(X)} \tilde{a} \otimes x \, d\nu = L(a \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mu_L = L$ on $A \otimes E$. Since $|\mu_L| \leq \mu$, it follows that $\|\mu_L\| = \|\mu\| = 1$. Hence $|\mu_L| = \mu$. Finally, the measure μ_L is a boundary vector measure for A since $\phi(|\mu_L|) = |\nu|$ is maximal for the Choquet ordering on $M^+(U)$. This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is grateful to Professor J. J. Uhl, Jr. for valuable discussions concerning this paper.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Choquet, *Lecture on Analysis, Volume II*, Benjamin, New York, 1969. (MR40 #3253).
2. G. Choquet, *Frontière-module et représentation intégrale [Résumé]*, Séminaire Choquet, Univ. de Paris (1971/1973), No. 8, 4 pp.
3. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*. Math. Surveys, Volume 15, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
4. N. Dinculeanu, *Vector measures*, Berlin, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1966.
5. R. Fuhr and R. R. Phelps, Uniqueness of complex representing measures on the Choquet boundary, *J. Functional Analysis* 14 (1973), 1–27. (MR50 #14186).
6. O. Hustad, A norm preserving complex Choquet Theorem, *Math. Scand.* 29 (1971), 272–278. (MR48 #852).
7. I. Singer, Sur la meilleur approximation des fonctions abstraites continues à valeurs dans un espace de Banach. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 2 (1957), 245–262.
8. P. Saab, The Choquet integral representation in the affine vector-valued case. *Aequationes Mathematicae* 20 (1980), 252–262.
9. P. Saab, Représentation intégrale dans des sous-espaces de fonctions à valeurs vectorielles. *Séminaire Choquet*, (1974–1975), No. 23, 10 p.
10. H. H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*. Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin, 1974.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V6T 1Y4