

EXTENDING A RESULT OF RYAN ON WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS

KAZUYUKI SAITÔ¹ AND J. D. MAITLAND WRIGHT²

¹*Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan*

²*Mathematical Sciences, King's College,
University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK
(jdmw@maths.abdn.ac.uk; yk.saito@beige.plala.or.jp)*

(Received 27 November 2004)

Abstract An elegant result of Ryan gives a characterization of weakly compact operators from a Banach space A into $c_0(X)$, the space of null sequences in a Banach space X . It would be a useful tool if the analogue of Ryan's result were valid when $c_0(X)$ is replaced by $c(X)$, the space of convergent sequences in X . This seems plausible and has been assumed to be true by some authors. Unfortunately, it is false in general; Ylinen has produced a counterexample. But when A is a C^* -algebra, or, more generally, when the dual of A is weakly sequentially complete, we show that the desired extension of Ryan's result does hold. The latter result turns out to be 'best possible'.

Keywords: weakly compact; weakly sequentially complete; C^* -algebras

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 46B99

1. Introduction

The origin of this paper stems from observing that some results on non-commutative, finitely additive vector measures (i.e. weakly compact operators from a C^* -algebra to a Banach space) do not depend on the domain being a C^* -algebra but are essentially Banach space results.

Let A and X be Banach spaces and let (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of weakly compact operators mapping A into X . For each $z \in A^{**}$ let $(T_n^{**}z)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a Cauchy sequence. Since, for each n , T_n is weakly compact, the range of T_n^{**} is in X . By the uniform boundedness theorem there is a bounded operator $T^\# : A^{**} \mapsto X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n^{**}z = T^\#z$ for each z in A^{**} . It would be natural to expect $T^\#$ to be weakly compact but, in general, this is false. This follows from the following example constructed by Ylinen [6].

In [6, Proposition 2.1], $A = l^1 = X$. For each n , $T_n : l^1 \mapsto l^1$ is defined by

$$T_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Then each T_n is weakly compact (because its range is finite dimensional). Ylinen proves that $(T_n^{**}z)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) converges for each z in the dual of l^∞ but the pointwise limit of the sequence of operators (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is not weakly compact.

However, if A is a C^* -algebra, then there does exist a weakly compact operator $T : A \mapsto X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n^{**}z = T^{**}z$ for each z in A^{**} . This is an immediate consequence of [1, Corollary 3.3]. In this note we show that a positive result is also obtained if A^* is weakly complete. (We recall that the dual of a C^* -algebra is always weakly complete.) We shall also see that, in a sense made precise here, the latter result is ‘best possible’.

Ryan [4] characterized weakly compact operators from a Banach space A into $c_0(X)$, the space of null sequences in a Banach space X (see Proposition 3.4 below). When $c_0(X)$ is replaced by $c(X)$, the space of convergent sequences in X , the natural extension of Ryan’s characterization does not hold, in general. But when X^* is weakly (sequentially) complete, then we show, in §3, that Ryan’s characterization can be generalized successfully by applying the results we obtain in §2. This can then be applied to underpin some fundamental work on weak compactness and multilinear operators on Banach spaces [3].

2. Convergent sequences of weakly compact operators

Let us recall that a Banach space Z is said to be *weakly complete* if, whenever (z_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a sequence in Z such that (ϕz_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a Cauchy sequence for every ϕ in Z^* , then there exists z in Z such that $\phi z_n \rightarrow \phi z$ for every ϕ in Z^* . Some authors use the term *weakly sequentially complete* for the same property.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a Banach space such that A^* is weakly complete. Let X be a Banach space and let (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of weakly compact operators from A into X . Let $(T_n^{**}z)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a Cauchy sequence for each z in A^{**} . Then there exists a weakly compact operator T such that $\|(T^{**} - T_n^{**})z\| \rightarrow 0$ for each z in A^{**} .*

Proof. Since T_n is weakly compact, T_n^{**} maps A^{**} into X . Let $T^\#z = \lim T_n^{**}z$ for each z in A^{**} . Then, by the uniform boundedness theorem, $T^\#$ is a bounded linear operator from A^{**} into X . Let T be the restriction of $T^\#$ to A .

Fix $\phi \in X^*$. Then, for each $z \in A^{**}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_n^{**}z, \phi \rangle = \langle T^\#z, \phi \rangle.$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z, T_n^* \phi \rangle = \langle T^\#z, \phi \rangle.$$

So $(T_n^* \phi)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a weakly Cauchy sequence in A^* . By the hypothesis that A^* is weakly complete, it follows that there exists a unique $\alpha \in A^*$ such that $\langle z, \alpha \rangle = \langle T^\#z, \phi \rangle$ for all z in A^{**} .

All that is now needed is to show that $T^{**} = T^\#$. Since this has been a source of error in the past we wish to avoid being too glib and so give a detailed elementary argument.

Let (z_t) be a net in A^{**} which converges to 0 in the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -topology. So $\langle z_t, \alpha \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\langle T^\#z_t, \phi \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for each ϕ in X^* . So $T^\#$ is a continuous map of A^{**} , equipped with

the weak*-topology, to X equipped with the weak topology. Since the norm closed unit ball of A^{**} is weak* compact, the image of the unit ball of A^{**} under the map $T^\#$ is weakly compact. Hence $T^\#$, and its restriction to A , T , is weakly compact. Thus, by Lemma VI.2.3 and Theorem VI.4.2 of [2], T^{**} is weak* to weak continuous from A^{**} to X . By Goldstine’s theorem (see [2, Theorem V.4.5]), the norm closed unit ball of A is weak*-dense in the norm closed unit ball of A^{**} . Hence $T^\# = T^{**}$. \square

Remark. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Its dual is then the predual of a von Neumann algebra and so, by [5, Corollary III.5.2], the dual of A is weakly complete. Hence Theorem 2.1 applies whenever A is a C^* -algebra.

It turns out that Theorem 2.1 is ‘best possible’. To make this claim precise it is convenient to introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Banach space. A Banach space A is said to have the *weak compactness stability property with respect to X* if, given any sequence of weakly compact operators (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), each mapping A into X , and with $(T_n^{**}z)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) a Cauchy sequence for each z in A^{**} , there exists a weakly compact operator T such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n^{**}z = T^{**}z$ for each z in A^{**} .

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a Banach space with the weak compactness stability property with respect to some non-zero Banach space X . Then A^* is weakly complete.*

Proof. Let (ϕ_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a weakly Cauchy sequence in A^* . Then, for each z in A^{**} , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z, \phi_n \rangle$ exists. By the uniform boundedness theorem, there exists a bounded linear functional $\psi^\#$ on A^{**} such that $\psi^\#(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z, \phi_n \rangle$ for each z in A^{**} .

Since X is a non-zero Banach space it contains a non-zero element x_0 . For each n , let $T_n : A \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$T_n(a) = \langle a, \phi_n \rangle x_0.$$

Then T_n has a one-dimensional range and so is (weakly) compact. Furthermore, $T_n^{**}(z) = \langle z, \phi_n \rangle x_0$ for each z in A^{**} . It now follows from the weak compactness stability property for X that there exists a weakly compact operator T mapping A into X , such that

$$T^{**}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n^{**}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z, \phi_n \rangle x_0 = \psi^\#(z)x_0 \quad \text{for each } z \text{ in } A^{**}.$$

Since T is weakly compact, then, as remarked in the proof of Theorem 2.1, T^{**} is weak* to weak continuous as a map from A^{**} to X . Thus $\psi^\#$ is a weak* continuous linear functional on A^{**} . So, by [2, Theorem V.3.9], $\psi^\#$ may be identified with an element of A^* . Hence (ϕ_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is weakly convergent. Thus A^* is weakly complete. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let A be a Banach space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) A^* is weakly complete;
- (ii) A has the weak compactness stability property with respect to some Banach space of non-zero dimension;

(iii) A has the weak compactness stability property with respect to every Banach space X .

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, (i) implies (iii). Trivially (iii) implies (ii). By Proposition 2.3, (ii) implies (i). \square

3. Extending Ryan's lemma

For any Banach space X , let $c(X)$ be the Banach space of all (norm) convergent sequences in X , equipped with the supremum norm. Those elements of $c(X)$ which are sequences in X converging (in norm) to 0 form a closed subspace which is denoted by $c_0(X)$.

For each positive integer n , let T_n be a bounded linear operator from a Banach space A into a Banach space X . Let $\lim T_n a$ exist for each a in A . Then $(T_n a)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a vector in $c(X)$. Let T_∞ be the linear map from A into X defined by $T_\infty a = \lim T_n a$ for each a in A . We use \mathbf{T} to denote the operator from A to $c(X)$ associated with the sequence (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and defined by $\mathbf{T}(a) = (T_n a)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). By applying the uniform boundedness theorem we see that T_∞ and \mathbf{T} are both bounded linear operators. Conversely, every bounded operator from A into $c(X)$ arises in this way from a sequence of operators from A into X .

Let us recall [4] that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and X an arbitrary Banach space, $l^p(X)$ is the Banach space whose points are the sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in X for which $\sum_1^\infty \|x_n\|^p < \infty$. The norm of \mathbf{x} is defined to be $(\sum_1^\infty \|x_n\|^p)^{1/p}$. Also, $l^\infty(X)$ is defined to be the Banach space whose points are all bounded sequences in X and where the norm of $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is defined to be $\sup\{\|x_n\| : 1 \leq n\}$.

Given $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots)$ in $l^1(X^*)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in $c(X)$, let

$$L_\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\lim x_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, \phi_n \rangle.$$

Straightforward calculations then show that L_ϕ is a bounded linear functional on $c(X)$ and its norm is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_n\|$. Furthermore, the map $\phi \mapsto L_\phi$ can be shown to be a surjective isometry of $l^1(X^*)$ onto $c(X)^*$.

Then the canonical bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ arising from the dual pair $(c(X), c(X)^*)$, where $l^1(X^*)$ and $c(X)^*$ are isometrically isomorphic by the map $\phi \mapsto L_\phi$ described above, is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, L_\phi \rangle = \left\langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \phi_0 \right\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, \phi_n \rangle$$

for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in c(X)$ and $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots) \in l^1(X^*)$.

It follows from the remarks in [4] that the dual of $l^1(X^*)$ can be identified in a natural way with $l^\infty(X^{**})$. Thus $c(X)^{**}$ can be identified with $l^\infty(X^{**})$. Let \natural be the canonical embedding of X into X^{**} . Then a sequence (x_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in $c(X)$ is mapped to $(\lim \natural x_n, \natural x_1, \natural x_2, \dots)$ in $l^\infty(X^{**})$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathbf{T} be a bounded operator from a Banach space A into $c(X)$ and let T_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and T_∞ be the operators from A into X associated with \mathbf{T} as above.*

Fix L in $c(X)^*$. Then let $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots)$ be the corresponding element of $l^1(X^*)$. Then, for each $z \in A^{**}$,

$$\langle \mathbf{T}^{**} z, L \rangle = \langle T_\infty^{**} z, \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle T_n^{**} z, \phi_n \rangle.$$

Proof. For each $a \in A$,

$$\langle \mathbf{T} a, L \rangle = \langle T_\infty a, \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle T_n a, \phi_n \rangle.$$

Now let z be in the unit ball of A^{**} . Then, by Goldstine's theorem (see above) there is a net (a_t) in the unit ball of A which converges weak* to z . Then $\mathbf{T} a_t \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{**} z$ in the weak* topology of $c(X)^{**}$. So $\langle \mathbf{T} a_t, L \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{T}^{**} z, L \rangle$. Similarly, for each N ,

$$\langle T_\infty a_t, \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^N \langle T_n a_t, \phi_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle T_\infty^{**} z, \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^N \langle T_n^{**} z, \phi_n \rangle.$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose N large enough to ensure that

$$\|\mathbf{T}\| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \|\phi_n\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then for any w in the unit ball of A^{**} ,

$$\left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \langle T_n^{**} w, \phi_n \rangle \right| \leq \|\mathbf{T}\| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \|\phi_n\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

From this it follows by routine arguments that

$$\langle \mathbf{T}^{**} z, L \rangle = \langle T_\infty^{**} z, \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle T_n^{**} z, \phi_n \rangle.$$

□

We have seen that $c(X)^{**}$ can be identified with $l^\infty(X^{**})$. When this identification is made appropriately, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. For each z in A^{**} we have

$$\mathbf{T}^{**}(z) = (T_\infty^{**} z, T_1^{**} z, T_2^{**} z, \dots, T_n^{**} z, \dots).$$

The following lemma was, in essence, proved by Ylinen [6]. For the convenience of the reader, we give a brief proof here as an application of Corollary 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. Let A and X be Banach spaces and let \mathbf{T} be a weakly compact operator from A into $c(X)$. Let (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be the sequence of operators from A into X such that $\mathbf{T}(a) = (T_n a)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for each a in A . Then each T_n is weakly compact. Also, T_∞ , the pointwise limit of (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), is weakly compact. Furthermore, $\lim T_n^{**}(z) = T_\infty^{**} z$ for each z in A^{**} .

Proof. We recall that the product of a bounded operator and a weakly compact operator is weakly compact. Let π_n be the canonical projection of $c(X)$ onto the n th coordinate. Then $T_n = \pi_n \mathbf{T}$. Hence T_n is weakly compact. Let π_∞ be the operator which maps (a_1, a_2, \dots) in $c(X)$ to $\lim a_n$. Then $T_\infty = \pi_\infty \mathbf{T}$ and so is also weakly compact.

Since \mathbf{T} is a weakly compact operator from A into $c(X)$, \mathbf{T}^{**} maps A^{**} into the canonical image of $c(X)$ in the second dual $c(X)^{**}$. Hence, for every $z \in A^{**}$, there exists $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ in $c(X)$ such that

$$\langle (x_1, x_2, \dots), L_\phi \rangle = \langle (\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots), (T_\infty^{**} z, T_1^{**} z, T_2^{**} z, \dots) \rangle$$

for all $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots)$.

Now take any $\phi \in X^*$ and consider

$$\phi^{(k)} = (\delta_{k,n} \phi)_{n=0}^\infty \in l^1(X^*) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then we have

$$\langle x_k, \phi \rangle = \langle \phi, T_k^{**} z \rangle$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and

$$\left\langle \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k, \phi \right\rangle = \langle \phi, T_\infty^{**} z \rangle,$$

that is, $\downarrow x_n = T_n^{**} z$ for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow x_n = T_\infty^{**} z$. Hence it follows that

$$\|T_n^{**} z - T_\infty^{**} z\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Proposition 3.4 (Ryan [4]). *Let A and X be Banach spaces. Let (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of bounded operators from A into X . Let $\|T_n z\| \rightarrow 0$ for each z in A . Then \mathbf{T} is a weakly compact operator from A into $c_0(X)$ if, and only if, each T_n is weakly compact and $\|T_n^{**} z\| \rightarrow 0$ for each z in A^{**} . When \mathbf{T} is weakly compact, $\mathbf{T}^{**}(z) = (T_n^{**}(z))$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for each z in A^{**} .*

Proposition 3.4 is a special case of the following result, which is essentially due to Ylinen [6].

Proposition 3.5. *Let A and X be Banach spaces and let \mathbf{T} be a bounded operator from A into $c(X)$. Let (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be the sequence of operators from A into X such that $\mathbf{T}(a) = (T_n a)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for each a in A and let T_∞ be the operator from A into X which is defined by $T_\infty a = \lim T_n a$ for each $a \in A$. Then \mathbf{T} is weakly compact if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (i) For each n , T_n is weakly compact.
- (ii) For each z in A^{**} , $\lim T_n^{**}(z) = T_\infty^{**}(z)$.
- (iii) The operator T_∞ is weakly compact.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, when \mathbf{T} is weakly compact the three conditions are satisfied.

Now suppose that the conditions are satisfied. So, for each z in A^{**} , condition (iii) implies that $T_\infty^{**}z$ is in X and condition (i) implies that $T_n^{**}z$ is in X for each n . Hence, by condition (ii), $(T_\infty^{**}z, T_1^{**}z, T_2^{**}z, \dots, T_n^{**}z, \dots)$ is in the canonical image of $c(X)$ in $c(X)^{**}$. Hence, by Corollary 3.2, \mathbf{T}^{**} maps A^{**} into $c(X)$. So \mathbf{T} is weakly compact. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be any Banach space. Let A be a Banach space whose dual space, A^* , is weakly complete. Let (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of weakly compact operators from A into X such that $(T_n^{**}(z))$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a Cauchy sequence for each z in A^{**} . Then \mathbf{T} is a weakly compact operator from A into $c(X)$.*

Proof. Because the dual of A is weakly complete, Theorem 2.1 implies the existence of a weakly compact operator $T_\infty : A \mapsto X$ such that $T_n^{**}(z) \rightarrow T_\infty^{**}(z)$ for each z in A^{**} . So conditions (i)–(iii) of Proposition 3.5 are satisfied. \square

Remark. If A^* is not weakly complete, then it follows from Proposition 2.3 that we can find a sequence of weakly compact operators, (T_n) ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), each mapping A into c , such that $(T_n^{**}(z))$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a convergent sequence for each z in A^{**} but T_∞ is not weakly compact (where $T_\infty(a) = \lim T_n(a)$ for each a in A). It then follows from Proposition 3.5 that \mathbf{T} is not weakly compact. So the hypothesis that A^* is weakly complete is essential for the validity of Theorem 3.6.

References

1. J. K. BROOKS, K. SAITÔ AND J. D. M. WRIGHT, Operator algebras and a theorem of Dieudonné, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **52** (2003), 5–14.
2. N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators*, Volume I (Interscience, New York, 1958).
3. J. M. GUTIÉRREZ AND I. VILLANUEVA, Extensions of multilinear operators and Banach space properties, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A* **133** (2003), 549–566.
4. R. A. RYAN, Dunford–Pettis properties, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **27** (1979), 373–379.
5. M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of operator algebras*, Volume I (Springer, 1979).
6. K. YLINEN, Weakly compact operators into sequence spaces: a counterexample, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), 1423–1425.