

ALTERNATING CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATION WITH A NON-CONTINUOUS WEIGHT FUNCTION

BY
CHARLES B. DUNHAM

Let $[\alpha, \beta]$ be a closed interval and $C[\alpha, \beta]$ be the space of continuous functions on $[\alpha, \beta]$. For g a function on $[\alpha, \beta]$ define

$$\|g\| = \sup\{|g(x)|: \alpha \leq x \leq \beta\}$$

Let s be a non-negative function on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Let F be an approximating function with parameter space P such that $F(A, \cdot) \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ for all $A \in P$. The Chebyshev problem with weight s is given $f \in C[\alpha, \beta]$, to find a parameter $A^* \in P$ to minimize $e(A) = \|s*(f - F(A, \cdot))\|$ over $A \in P$. Such a parameter A^* is called best and $F(A^*, \cdot)$ is called a best approximation to f .

We are interested in (F, P) such that best approximations are characterized by alternation of their error curve. In the case of ordinary Chebyshev approximation, in which $s = 1$, such (F, P) have been characterized by Rice [2, 17-21]. We will show that an alternating theory holds for Chebyshev approximation with respect to weight s for all such (F, P) , providing s is upper semi-continuous.

DEFINITION. A function g is *upper semi-continuous* if $\{x: g(x) \geq r\}$ is closed for all real r .

From the definition it is clear that an upper semi-continuous function attains its supremum on any non-empty compact set. In the remainder of the paper s will be assumed to be upper semi-continuous. For $A \in P$, $|f - F(A, \cdot)|$ is continuous, hence $s*|f - F(A, \cdot)|$ is upper semi-continuous and attains its supremum on any non-empty compact subset of $[\alpha, \beta]$. In particular there must exist x such that $s(x)*|f(x) - F(A, x)| = e(A)$.

We show in this paragraph that any non-negative weight function r can be replaced by an equivalent upper semi-continuous weight function. Let us define

$$s(x) = \max\{r(x), \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} r(y)\},$$

then s is non-negative and upper semi-continuous. Further it is easily seen that if g is continuous, $\|s*g\| = \|r*g\|$.

In Rice [2, 3 and 17] and in [1, 225] are defined property Z and property A

Received by the editors November 18, 1975 and, in revised form, September 5, 1975.

(of variable degree). We say that F has degree n at A if F has property Z of degree n at A and property A of degree n at A . We assume henceforth that F has a degree at all $A \in P$. To avoid trivial cases, we assume that the number of points at which s is nonzero exceeds the degree of F . By the results of Rice [2, 17–21], F having a degree is both necessary and sufficient for an alternating theory when the weight s is 1. A generalization of a result of de la Vallée-Poussin is

LEMMA 1. *Let F have degree n at A . Let $f - F(A, \cdot)$ alternate in sign on $x_0 < \dots < x_n$. Then for $F(B, \cdot) \neq F(A, \cdot)$,*

$$\max\{s(x_i) * |f(x_i) - F(B, x_i)| : i = 0, \dots, n\} > \min\{s(x_i) * |f(x_i) - F(A, x_i)| : i = 0, \dots, n\}.$$

The proof is identical to the proof of the corresponding result in [1].

THEOREM. *Let s be upper semi-continuous. Let F have degree n at A . A necessary and sufficient condition that $F(A, \cdot)$ be best to f is that $s * (f - F(A, \cdot))$ alternate n times.*

Proof. *Sufficiency;* follows directly from Lemma 1.

Necessity: Necessity is proven similarly to the proof of necessity in [1].

Define

$$E(A, x) = w(x, f(x), F(A, x)) = s(x) * (f(x) - F(A, x))$$

In defining η we define

$$\sigma_i = \sup\{(-1)^{i+1} E(A, x) : x \in I_i\}.$$

Let

$$K_i = \{x : x \in I_i, \text{sgn}(f(x) - F(A, x)) = (-1)^{i+1} \text{ or } 0\}.$$

By continuity of $f - F(A, \cdot)$, K_i is closed. We have

$$\sigma_i = \sup\{(-1)^{i+1} E(A, x) : x \in K_i\}.$$

By upper semi-continuity of $|s * (f - F(A, \cdot))|$, σ_i is attained on a point y_i of K_i .

Define

$$\eta = e(A) - \max\{\sigma_i : i = 0, \dots, m\},$$

then $\eta > 0$. By upper semi-continuity of $|E(A, \cdot)|$, J_i defined in [1] is closed for all i . We choose $\varepsilon_1 = \eta / (2 \|s\|)$, so that $\|F(A, \cdot) - F(B, \cdot)\| < \varepsilon_1$ implies $\|w(\cdot, f, F(A, \cdot)) - w(\cdot, f, F(B, \cdot))\| < \eta / 2$. With these modifications the proof of necessity in [1] goes through for the case of this paper.

Best approximations are unique, for if $F(A, \cdot)$ is best, $s * (f - F(A, \cdot))$ alternates and by lemma 2, $F(A, \cdot)$ is uniquely best.

REFERENCES

1. C. B. Dunham. *Chebyshev approximation with respect to a weight function*, J. Approximation Theory **2** (1969), 223–232.
2. J. R. Rice, *The Approximation of Functions*, Volume **2**, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT,
UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO,
LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA