

ON THE KUIPER-KUO THEOREM

CHUAN I. CHU

ABSTRACT. In this note we shall give a simple and more direct proof of the Kuiper-Kuo Theorem. Also, we shall simplify Kuiper's proof of the Morse Lemma.

1. **Introduction.** In the studying of C^0 - or C^1 -equivalence of jets, Kuiper [5] and Kuo [6] constructed vector fields and local flows to obtain the required homeomorphism or diffeomorphism.

In this note we shall use the technique by Bochner [1] to give an explicit formula of the vector field which is simpler than those used by Kuiper and Kuo. This vector field also provides us a method to show that two jets are C^0 -equivalent.

As an application of this vector field, we shall give a simple proof of Kuiper's version of the Morse lemma [4].

The author would like to thank T. C. Kuo for valuable communications and suggestions.

2. **The Kuiper-Kuo Theorem.** For a C^k function $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, let $\nabla f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be the unique C^{k-1} mapping from \mathbf{R}^n into \mathbf{R}^n defined by $df(x)(y) = \nabla f(x) \cdot y$ for all $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Here $\nabla f(x) \cdot y$ is the usual inner product in \mathbf{R}^n .

We denote by $J^k(n, 1)$ the space of all k -jets at 0 of all C^k functions $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $f(0) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $f \in J^k(n, 1)$ satisfy the Kuiper-Kuo condition*

$$(1) \quad \|\nabla f(x)\| \geq c\|x\|^{k-\delta}$$

for all x in a neighborhood U of 0, where $0 < c$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$ are constants. Let $g: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a C^2 function such that $g(x) = O(\|x\|^{k+1})$, $\nabla g(x) = O(\|x\|^k)$. Then $f + g$ is C^0 -equivalent to f . That is, there exists a local homeomorphism ψ at 0 such that $(f + g)(\psi(x)) = f(x)$. Here ψ is defined on some neighborhood U_1 of 0, $U_1 \subseteq U$.

PROOF. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $\|x\|$ small, define $B(0, t) = 0$, and

$$(2) \quad B(x, t) = \frac{g(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)} \nabla f(x), \quad x \neq 0$$

Received by the editors June 6, 1989.

AMS subject classification: 58C27.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

Note that for $\|x\|$ small,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) &\geq \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 - t \|\nabla f(x)\| \|\nabla g(x)\| \\ &\geq \|\nabla f(x)\| (\|\nabla f(x)\| - \|\nabla g(x)\|) \\ &\geq c' \|x\|^{2k-2\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Here c' is some positive constant. Thus $B(x, t)$ is well defined for $\|x\|$ small and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Also B is C^1 at (x, t) for $x \neq 0, \|x\|$ small.

Next, we consider for $x \neq 0, \|x\|$ small,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|B(x, t)\|}{\|x\|} &\leq \frac{|g(x)|}{\|x\| (\|\nabla f(x)\| - \|\nabla g(x)\|)} \\ (3) \qquad &\leq c_1 \frac{|g(x)|}{\|x\|^{k+1-\delta}} \\ &\leq c_2 \|x\|^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Here c_1 and c_2 are positive constants. Thus B is uniformly continuous for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Note that this also shows that B is differentiable at $(0, t)$ and $dB(0, t) = 0$ for all t .

Consider the differential equation

$$(4) \qquad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) = -B(\phi(x, t), t), \quad \phi(x, 0) = x.$$

Since B is continuous, (4) has a solution. We have to show that (4) has a unique solution.

Suppose $x \neq 0$. Then (4) has a unique solution $\phi(x, t)$ with initial condition x since $B(x, t)$ is C^1 for $x \neq 0$.

Then from (3) we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) \right\| = \|B(\phi(x, t), t)\| \leq a \|\phi(x, t)\|$$

for some positive constant a and $\|x\|$ small.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\|\phi(x, t)\|^2) &= -2\phi(x, t) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) \\ &\leq \|\phi(x, t)\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + a^2) \|\phi(x, t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\|\phi(x, t)\|^2) \geq -b \|\phi(x, t)\|^2,$$

here $b = 1 + a^2$. Hence $\|\phi(x, t)\| \geq e^{-bt} \|x\|$. Thus a solution curve with initial condition $x \neq 0$ will not meet a solution curve with initial condition $x = 0$. Thus the solution curve of (4) is unique and hence ϕ is continuous. (c.f. Hartman [2])

Define $F(x, t) = f(x) + tg(x)$ for $x \in U, 0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Then it is straightforward to see that $d/dt (F(\phi(x, t), t)) \equiv 0$. Thus $F(\phi(x, t), t) \equiv \text{const}$. Hence $\psi(x) = \phi(x, 1)$ yields the required local homeomorphism. ■

THEOREM 2.2. *If $\delta = 1$ then the assumption of g can be taken to be: g is C^2 and $g(x) = o(\|x\|^k)$ and $\nabla g(x) = o(\|x\|^{k-1})$.*

REMARK 2.3. Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 lead to the C^0 -sufficiency of k -jets for C^{k+1} functions and C^k functions respectively. For C^0 -sufficiency of jets, we refer to the works of Koike [3], Kuiper [5] and Kuo [6].

REMARK 2.4. The vector field $B(x, t)$ could also be applied to some g such that $g(x) = O(\|x\|^k)$ as well.

Let $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^4 + x_2^4 \in J^4(2, 1)$ and $g(x_1, x_2) = bx_1^2x_2^2$ with $0 < b < 2$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\|\nabla f(x_1, x_2)\| \geq 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{3/2} > b(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{3/2} \geq \|\nabla g(x_1, x_2)\|$$

if $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$. In this case, $B(x, t)$ is defined and uniformly continuous for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\|x\| < 1$ say.

Hence $x_1^4 + x_2^4$ is C^0 -equivalent to $x_1^4 + x_2^4 - bx_1^2x_2^2$.

3. C^k -smoothness of B . In this section, we shall discuss some sufficient conditions that yield the C^k -differentiability of B .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $f \in J^k(n, 1)$ be such that $\|\nabla f(x)\| \geq c\|x\|^{k-1}$, $f(x) = O(\|x\|^{k_0})$ for $\|x\|$ small and $k_0 \leq k$. Let p be a real number such that $p \geq k - k_0$. Suppose g is C^2 defined on a small neighborhood of 0 with the property that $g(x) = o(\|x\|^{k+p})$, $\nabla g(x) = o(\|x\|^{k+p-1})$ and $d(\nabla g)(x) = o(\|x\|^{k+p-2})$. Then B is C^1 .*

PROOF. B is clearly C^1 for $x \neq 0$, $\|x\|$ small. Also we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that $\partial B / \partial x(0, t) = 0$. To show $\partial B / \partial x(x, t)$ is continuous at $(0, t)$ we consider for $x \neq 0$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x}(x, t)(y) &= \frac{dg(x)(y)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)} \nabla f(x) + \frac{g(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)} \\ &\quad \times d(\nabla f)(x)(y) \\ &- g(x) \frac{2 \nabla f(x) \cdot d(\nabla f)(x)(y) + t d(\nabla g)(x)(y) \cdot \nabla f(x) + t \nabla g(x) \cdot d(\nabla f)(x)(y)}{(\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \nabla f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial B}{\partial x}(x, t) \right\| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla g(x)\|}{\|\nabla f(x)\| - \|\nabla g(x)\|} + \frac{|g(x)| \|\nabla f(x)\|}{\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)} \\ &+ \frac{2|g(x)| \|d(\nabla f)(x)\|}{(\|\nabla f(x)\| - \|\nabla g(x)\|)^2} + \frac{|g(x)| \|d \nabla g(x)\|}{(\|\nabla f(x)\| - \|\nabla g(x)\|)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\|\nabla g(x)\| \|d(\nabla f)(x)\| |g(x)|}{\|\nabla f(x)\| (\|\nabla f(x)\| - \|\nabla g(x)\|)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that the right hand side of the above inequality is $o(\|x\|^{p+k_0-k})$. Thus, $\partial B/\partial x(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Therefore, $\partial B/\partial x(x, t)$ is continuous at $(0, t)$. Similarly, we can show that $\partial B/\partial t$ is continuous. Thus B is C^1 . ■

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose $f \in J^k(n, 1)$ is homogeneous of degree k . Assume that f and g satisfy the conditions in Proposition 3.1. Then f is C^1 -equivalent to $f + g$. Hence f is C^1 -sufficient for C^{k+p} functions (cf. Theorem 2 of Kuiper [5]).*

LEMMA 3.3. *Let U be an open set in \mathbf{R}^n containing 0. Suppose that $Q: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is C^s ($s \geq 1$) and such that $|Q(x)| \geq c\|x\|^r$ for $x \in U, c > 0, r > 0$. Assume that $Q(x) = O(\|x\|^{r_0})$ where $0 < r_0 \leq r$. Let k be a positive integer such that $k \geq 2^s r - (2^s - 1)r_0 + s$. For C^k map $P: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $j^k(P) = 0$, define $H: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ by*

$$H(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad x \neq 0, \quad H(0) = 0.$$

Then H is C^s .

PROOF. We prove by induction on s . First, we assume that $s = 1$.

Clearly, H is C^1 at $x \neq 0, x \in U$. Now for $x \neq 0, x \in U$, consider

$$\frac{\|H(x)\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{\|P(x)\|}{\|x\| |Q(x)|} \leq \frac{\|P(x)\|}{c\|x\|^{r+1}}.$$

Since $j^k(P) = 0, o(P(x)) = k \geq 2r - r_0 + 1 \geq r + 1$. Hence

$$\frac{\|H(x)\|}{\|x\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that H is differentiable at 0 and $dH(0) = 0$.

Now for $x \neq 0, x \in U$, we have

$$dH(x) = \frac{dP(x)}{Q(x)} - \frac{dQ(x)}{Q^2(x)} P(x)$$

and hence

$$\|dH(x)\| \leq \frac{\|dP(x)\|}{|Q(x)|} + \frac{\|dQ(x)\|}{|Q(x)|^2} \|P(x)\|.$$

Again, since $k \geq 2r - r_0 + 1, \|dH(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. This shows that H is C^1 .

Assume the lemma holds for $s - 1, s \geq 2$. Suppose that $k \geq 2^s r - (2^s - 1)r_0 + s$. Then since $k \geq 2(r - r_0) + r_0 + 1, H$ is C^1 .

Define $H_1, H_2: U \rightarrow L(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^m)$ (= the linear space of all linear maps from \mathbf{R}^n into \mathbf{R}^m) by

$$H_1(x) = \frac{dP(x)}{Q(x)} \quad x \neq 0, \quad H_1(0) = 0$$

$$H_2(x) = \frac{dQ(x)}{Q^2(x)} P(x) \quad x \neq 0, \quad H_2(0) = 0.$$

Since $o(P(x)) = k, o(dP(x)) = k - 1$. Also, by assumption we have $k - 1 \geq 2^{s-1}(r - r_0) + r_0 + s - 1$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, H_1 is C^{s-1} . Also from the fact that $o(P(x)dQ(x)) = k+r_0-1, O(Q^2(x)) = 2r_0$ and $k+r_0-1 \geq 2^{s-1}(2r) - (2^{s-1}-1)(2r_0) + s - 1$ we have, by the induction hypothesis, H_2 is C^{s-1} . Thus from $dH(x) = H_1(x) - H_2(x)$ we have that dH is C^{s-1} . That is, H is C^s . ■

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $f \in J^r(n, 1)$ satisfy $\|\nabla f(x)\| \geq c\|x\|^{r-1}$ and $f(x) = O(\|x\|^{r_0})$ for $\|x\|$ small, $0 < r_0 \leq r, c > 0, r \geq 2$. Let $k \geq 2^{s+1}(r - r_0) + s + r_0 - 1$ and g be C^k with $j^k g = 0$. Then $B(x, t)$ defined by (2) is C^s for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\|x\|$ small. Here we assume $s \geq 1$.*

PROOF. Take $Q(x, t) = \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)$ and $P(x) = g(x) \nabla f(x)$. Then apply the above lemma to $B(x, t)$ and $\partial B / \partial t(x, t)$. ■

REMARK 3.5. Lemma 3.3 is a sufficient condition for general mappings. However, in our case, $B(x, t)$ is rather special. We can apply the technique used by Taken [7] to improve the condition to $k \geq s(r - r_0) + s + r - 1$. For $s = 1$, this is already shown in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Suppose $f \in J^r(n, 1)$ is homogeneous of degree r satisfying $\|\nabla f(x)\| \geq c\|x\|^{r-1}$ for $\|x\|$ small. Let $k \geq r$ and g be C^k with $j^k g = 0$. Then B defined by (2) is C^{k-r+1} .*

COROLLARY 3.7. *Same as in Corollary 3.6 with $r = r_0 = 2$. Then B is C^{k-1} .*

EXAMPLE 3.8. $-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ is C^1 -equivalent to $-x_1^2 + x_2^2$. However, $-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_2^{7/2}$ is C^2 -equivalent to $-x_1^2 + x_2^2$.

THEOREM 3.9 (KUIPER-MORSE). *Let $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a C^k function, $k \geq 2$. Assume that 0 is an isolated non-degenerate critical point of f , $f(0) = 0$. Put $f_2 = j^2(f)$. Then f is C^{k-1} -equivalent to f_2 . That is, there exists a neighborhood U of 0 in \mathbf{R}^n and a C^{k-1} diffeomorphism $\psi: U \rightarrow \psi(U)$ such that $f(x) = f_2(\psi(x))$ for $x \in U$.*

PROOF. Let $f_k = j^k(f)$ and $g = f - f_k$. If $g \equiv 0$, then $f = f_k$; so we can apply Part A of Kuiper [4].

Assume that $g \not\equiv 0$. Then g is C^k and $j^k g = 0$. Since f_2 is non-degenerate, $\|\nabla f_2(x)\| \geq c\|x\|$ for $\|x\|$ small, $c > 0$. Hence $\|\nabla f_k(x)\| \geq c_1\|x\|$ for some constant $c_1 > 0$ and $\|x\|$ small.

Define

$$B(x, t) = \frac{g(x)}{\|\nabla f_k(x)\|^2 + t \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla f_k(x)} \nabla f_k(x) \text{ for } x \neq 0$$

and $B(0, t) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Then by Corollary 3.4, B is C^{k-1} . Hence its local flow ϕ is also C^{k-1} . (c.f. Hartman [2]). That is, $f = f_k + g$ is C^{k-1} -equivalent to f_k . By part A of Kuiper [4], f_k is C^{k-1} -equivalent to f_2 . Hence by transitivity, f is C^{k-1} -equivalent to f_2 . ■

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Buchner, *A note on C^1 equivalence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **121**(1987) 91–95.
2. P. Hartman, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Second Ed. Birkhauser, Boston, 1982.
3. S. Koike, *On ν -sufficiency and (\bar{h}) -regularity*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **17**(1981) 565–575.
4. N. H. Kuiper, *C^r functions near non-degenerate critical points*, Mimeographed, Warwick Univ. 1966.
5. N. H. Kuiper, *C^1 -equivalence of functions near isolated critical points*, Symposium on Infinite Dimensional Topology, No. 69, Princeton Univ. Press, 1972.
6. T. C. Kuo, *On C^0 -sufficiency of jets of potential functions*, Topology, **8**(1969) 167–171.
7. F. Takens, *A Note on Sufficiency of Jets*, Inventiones Math. **13**(1971), 225–231.

*Department of Mathematics
Hong Kong Baptist College
224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon
Hong Kong*