cambridge.org/anr

# **Research Article**

**Cite this article:** Niu H *et al.* (2025) Integrated analysis of ruminal microbiome and serum metabolome from dairy goats with different milk fat production. *Animal Nutriomics* **2**, e14, 1–12. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/anr.2025.10005

Received: 5 November 2024 Revised: 30 April 2025 Accepted: 2 May 2025

#### **Keywords:**

dairy goat; milk fat; serum metabolome; ruminal fermentation parameters; ruminal microbiome

**Corresponding author:** Huifen Xu; Email: huifen221@126.com

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Zhejiang University and Zhejiang University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



# Integrated analysis of ruminal microbiome and serum metabolome from dairy goats with different milk fat production

Huidong Niu<sup>1</sup>, Guanchen Du<sup>1</sup>, Penghui Kong<sup>1</sup>, Dongdong Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Xiaogai Xu<sup>1</sup>, Zhi Chen<sup>2</sup>, Pengsheng Dong<sup>1</sup>, Hanfang Cai<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Usman<sup>3</sup>, Juan J. Loor<sup>3</sup>, Ming Li<sup>1</sup> and Huifen Xu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Animal Science and Technology, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, P.R. China; <sup>2</sup>College of Animal Science and Technology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, P.R. China and <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, Division of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA

# Abstract

Milk fat is a crucial component for evaluating the production performance and nutritional value of goat milk. Previous research indicated that the composition of ruminal microbiota plays a significant role in regulating milk fat percentage in ruminants. Thus, this study aimed to identify key ruminal microorganisms and blood metabolites relevant to milk fat synthesis in dairy goats as a mean to explore their role in regulating milk fat synthesis. Sixty clinically healthy Xinong Saanen dairy goats at mid-lactation and of similar body weight, and similar milk yield were used in a feeding study for 15 days. Based on daily milk yield of dairy goats and the results of milk component determination on the 1st and 8th days, five goats with the highest milk fat content (H group) and five goats with the lowest milk fat content (L group) were selected for further analysis. Before the morning feeding on the 15th day of the experiment, samples of milk, blood and ruminal fluid were collected for analyses of components, volatile fatty acids, microbiota and metabolites. Results revealed that acetate content in the rumen of H group was greater compared with L group. H group had abundant beneficial bacteria including Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-005, Saccharofermentans, Ruminococcaceae-UCG-002 and Prevotellaceae\_UCG-3, which were important for plant cellulose and hemicellulose degradation and immune regulation. Metabolomics analysis revealed H group had greater relative concentrations of 4-acetamidobutanoic acid and azelaic acid in serum, and had lower relative concentrations of Arginyl-Alanine, SM(d18:1/12:0) and DL-Tryptophan. These altered metabolites are involved in the sphingolipid signaling pathway, arginine and proline metabolism. Overall, this study identified key ruminal microorganisms and serum metabolites associated with milk fat synthesis in dairy goats. These findings offer insights for enhancing the quality of goat milk and contribute to a better understanding of the regulatory mechanisms involved in milk fat synthesis in dairy goats.

## Introduction

Goat milk has higher content of phospholipids and smaller fat globules than cow milk, which makes it easier to be digested and absorbed. In addition, goat milk is hypoallergenic, therefore it is more valuable for consumers (Feng et al. 2019; Shang et al. 2022). Recent studies have highlighted the important role of milk fat in enhancing immune function, improving gut microbiota and supporting brain development. Milk fat content is also a key economic indicator for evaluating lactation performance of dairy animals, and its production is affected by the combination of nutrition, metabolism and gene transcription. Despite similar feeding conditions, dairy goats exhibit different capacities for milk fat production, and is believed that genetic factors, digestive processes, physiological status, stress levels, dietary intake and management practices all contribute to these differences (Mohan et al. 2021; Razzaghi et al. 2023). In fact, milk fat synthesis regulation is not limited to a given profile of ruminal microorganisms and involves complex metabolic pathways and biological processes in the animal. Recent studies with dairy cows have underscored that production performance is often associated with unique profiles of ruminal microorganisms and their metabolites (Xue et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2023). Thus, clarifying the association between individual endogenous factors and milk fat production in dairy goats is important for enhancing milk quality.

The ruminal microbiota work in concert to convert complex plant substances into hostabsorbable compounds including microbial proteins, vitamins and volatile fatty acids (VFA) (Matthews et al. 2019). Acetate, propionate and butyrate, produced through microbial fermentation in the rumen, serve as the main energy sources for ruminants (Bickhart and Weimer 2018). They are absorbed from the rumen, metabolized in the liver and other organs, and in the case of acetate, hydroxybutyrate and the glucose produced from propionate in the liver are ultimately utilized by the mammary gland for milk fat synthesis (Urrutia et al. 2019). In addition to these well-established contributions of microbial fermentation to the host, the microbial population as a whole could create an "ideal" environment to influence feed efficiency (Li et al. 2019; Na and Guan 2022; Wang et al. 2023) and milk yield (Xue et al. 2019).

The use of high-throughput technologies has revealed a high degree of symbiosis among the different microbial populations to the point of highlighting that certain communities are heritable in individual animals and can contribute to regulating milk fat synthesis and quality (Li et al. 2019a; Liu et al. 2022b). For example, Jami et al. (2014) was among the first to identify a Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes correlation with milk fat yield (Jami et al. 2014). Bainbridge et al. (2016) reported moderate correlations among bacterial communities and milk yield, milk protein percentage and milk fat yield (Bainbridge et al. 2016). Additionally, studies have observed significant differences in ruminal microorganism abundance in Zhongdian yak cows with varying milk fat percentages (Liu et al. 2022b). Thus, as the understanding of the ruminal microbial ecosystem and its effects on the host deepens, establishing a relationship between ruminal microorganisms and host performance has become feasible.

Metabolomics, another high-throughput technology, can be used to detect small endogenous metabolites in biological samples including blood (Johnson et al. 2016). Because serum or plasma transport nutrients to various tissues and organs for utilization, assessing metabolomics profiles in these biological fluids can help understand the physiological and metabolic status of the animal (Sun et al. 2017). For example, Wu et al. (2018) reported several serum biomarkers associated with high milk protein yield in dairy cows and identified potential metabolic pathways influencing milk protein synthesis (Wu et al. 2018). However, the sole study focusing on the correlation of serum metabolites and milk fat in dairy goats involved nutritional regulation (Liu et al. 2024). Thus, there is clearly a lack of information on ruminal microbial composition and function in dairy goats that could help answer questions such as, what are the key ruminal microorganisms and blood metabolites related to milk fat synthesis? How are they involved in the regulation of milk fat synthesis?

The objectives of the present study were to analyze and explore the characteristics of ruminal microbial composition and serum metabolomics profiles of dairy goats with varying milk fat percentages.

#### Materials and methods

## Animal ethics

This study was designed and carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (No. 11–0085) of the College of Animal Science and Technology, Henan Agricultural University, China.

#### Animals and experimental design

Sixty clinically healthy Xinong Saanen dairy goats ( $150 \pm 5$  days in milk [DIM]) at mid-lactation without mastitis and of similar

body weight  $(54.45 \pm 3.05 \text{ kg})$ , second parity and similar milk yield  $(1.41 \pm 0.23 \text{ kg/d})$  were used. They were fed under the same feeding conditions and management regimen for 15 days. During the experiment, milk yield from every goat was recorded every day, and milk samples from every goat were collected once a week to evaluate composition. Milk samples were collected before feeding every morning (06:30) and afternoon (15:30). The twice-daily milk yield was recorded and the average daily milk yield during the 15th day tabulated, and the results are presented in Table 2. On the 1st, 8th and 15th day, a total of 50 mL of milk samples were collected in the morning and afternoon at a ratio of 4:6, milk composition was measured using milk components analyzer (FOSS MilkoScan FT-120, Beijing, China). Based on daily milk yield of dairy goats and the results of milk components determination on the 1st and 8th days of the experiment, five dairy goats with the highest milk fat content (H group, 5.48 %) and five goats with the lowest milk fat content (L group, 2.47 %) were selected for further analysis. The ingredient and nutrient composition of the diet used in this study are reported in Table 1.

### Sample collection

On the 15th day of the experiment, ruminal fluid samples were collected via an esophageal catheter before feeding in the morning. A portable pH meter (Testo205, Testo, Germany) was used to measure the pH, and then four layers of sterile gauze were used to filter ruminal fluid, which was then sub-packed in 2 mL cryotubes and immediately stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. The filtered ruminal fluid was used for detecting VFA concentrations and for microbiome analysis. Blood samples were collected through the jugular vein using vacuum blood collection tubes. The serum was collected immediately after a 15 min centrifugation at 3,000 × g at

Table 1. Composition and nutrient levels of the basal diet (DM basis)

Ingredients	Content, %
Corn silage	35.2
Alfalfa hay	14.8
Corn	27.5
Wheat bran	6.00
Soybean meal	12.50
Rapeseed meal	1.50
Premix <sup>1</sup>	1.00
CaHPO <sub>4</sub>	0.75
NaCl	0.75
Total	100
Nutrient levels	Content
Crude protein	16.23
Ether extract	3.09
Neutral detergent fiber	26.10
Acid detergent fiber	11.21
Organic matter	93.07
Net energy for lactation/(MJ/kg)	6.85

 $^1 \rm The premix provided the following per kg of the diets: VA 10,000 IU, VD_3 4,000 IU, VE 30 IU, Cu 20 mg, Fe 76 mg, Zn 45 mg, Mn 30 mg and water content <math display="inline"><\!10\%$ .

#### Table 2. Milk components in L and H dairy goats

The day	Item	L	Н	P-value
1st	Milk fat, %	2.666 ± 0.158	5.070 ± 1.001	0.001
	Milk protein, %	2.512 ± 0.176	2.896 ± 0.117	0.005
	Milk lactose, %	4.172 ± 0.219	4.316 ± 0.231	0.342
	Whole milk solids content, %	10.250 ± 0.452	13.038 ± 0.921	0.001
	MUN content, mg/dL	22.820 ± 1.352	25.060 ± 2.693	0.135
	Daily milk yield, kg/d	1.600 ± 0.181	1.516 ± 0.117	0.410
8th	Milk fat, %	2.672 ± 0.218	5.170 ± 0.989	0.001
	Milk protein, %	2.522 ± 0.261	2.848 ± 0.181	0.051
	Milk lactose, %	4.224 ± 0.324	4.176 ± 0.092	0.758
	Whole milk solids content, %	10.210 ± 0.792	12.968 ± 0.890	0.001
	MUN content, mg/dL	23.580 ± 1.475	24.340 ± 2.446	0.568
	Daily milk yield, kg/d	1.576 ± 0.098	1.406 ± 0.207	0.136
15th	Milk fat, %	2.732 ± 0.252	5.484 ± 0.982	<0.001
	Milk protein, %	2.370 ± 0.151	3.034 ± 0.251	0.001
	Milk lactose, %	4.200 ± 0.186	4.190 ± 0.093	0.950
	Whole milk solids content, %	10.188 ± 0.263	13.776 ± 0.958	0.001
	MUN content, mg/dL	22.440 ± 3.770	26.880 ± 2.313	0.055
	Daily milk yield, kg/d	1.524 ± 0.232	1.298 ± 0.182	0.125

 $^{1}L$  = dairy goats with low milk fat percentage; H = dairy goats with high milk fat percentage.

4°C. The obtained serum samples were stored at -80°C for serum metabolome analysis.

### Ruminal fermentation parameters

Ruminal fluid was centrifuged at 2,862 × g for 10 min and 1 mL of the supernatant aliquoted into 2 mL centrifuge tubes followed by mixing with 0.2 mL of 25% metaphosphoric acid deproteinization solution containing crotonic acid as the internal standard. After 30 min in an ice bath, the mixture was centrifuged at 9,610 × g for 10 min, and the supernatant drawn and filtered through a 0.22 µm filter membrane. The composition and content of VFA was detected by gas chromatography (Agilent 7890B GC System, Santa Clara, CA). The column was an AT-FFAP (30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.5 µm) capillary column, the detection temperature was 250°C, the inlet temperature was 250°C, and the injection volume was 1.0 µL.

## Ruminal microbiome analysis

16S rDNA sequencing was performed by Gene Denovo Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). DNA was extracted with the Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). PCR amplification of the bacterial 16S rDNA genes V3–V4 region was performed using the forward primer 341 F (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and the reverse primer 806 R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTATCTAAT-3'). A NanoDrop was used to quantify DNA, and the quality of DNA was assessed via 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. PE250 sequencing was performed with an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencer. The

and then primers were cut using the cutadapt plug-in. The DADA2 plug-in was used for sequence quality filtering, denoising, merging and chimeric removal. Through statistical calculation of the ASV/OTU table, the specific composition table of microbial communities in each sample at each classification level was obtained, and the horizontal column chart of phylum and genus was drawn with QIIME2 2019.4 software. Chao1 was used to characterize richness, Faith's PD index was used to characterize evolution-based diversity, Shannon and Simpson indices were used to characterize diversity, and Good's coverage index was used to characterize coverage. QIIME2 was used to draw rarefaction curves, which reflected the impact of sequencing depth on the diversity of observed samples. A Venn diagram was drawn according to the ASV/OTU abundance table via the Venn diagram package in R software.

raw sequence data were demultiplexed using the demux plug-in

## LC-MS analysis

Serum metabolome data were generated and analyzed by Gene Denovo Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). Metabolomic analysis of the serum samples was performed by liquid chromatography (LC)-mass spectrometry (MS) platform. The extraction solution [Methanol: Acetonitrile = 1:1 (V/V), isotopically labeled internal standard mixture] was added to the serum sample, swirled for 30 s, followed by sonication on an ice bath for 10 min. After incubation at -40 °C for 1 h, the samples were centrifuged at 9,610 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then aliquoted into 2 mL vials for analysis. After the original data were converted into mzXML

Table 3.	Comparison of ruminal	fermentation	characteristics	in L	and	н	dairy
goats							

	Gro		
Items	L	Н	P-value
VFA concentration, mmol/L			
Acetate	8.056 ± 0.926	19.501 ± 8.583	0.018
Propionate	3.552 ± 0.429	4.027 ± 0.826	0.286
Butyrate	3.045 ± 0.483	3.262 ± 0.405	0.464
Isobutyrate	0.306 ± 0.045	0.306 ± 0.069	0.995
Valerate	0.200 ± 0.025	0.202 ± 0.031	0.888
Isovalerate	0.525 ± 0.052	0.503 ± 0.112	0.708
Total VFA	15.684 ± 1.353	27.802 ± 8.592	0.014
Acetate:propionate ratio	2.297 ± 0.414	4.922 ± 2.023	0.043
Molar proportion, %			
Acetate	51.372 ± 4.250	67.660 ± 11.788	0.020
Propionate	22.662 ± 2.124	15.418 ± 5.121	0.030
Butyrate	19.391 ± 2.438	12.879 ± 5.501	0.042
Isobutyrate	1.946 ± 0.164	1.221 ± 0.583	0.028
Valerate	1.276 ± 0.141	0.812 ± 0.391	0.037
Isovalerate	3.352 ± 0.325	2.009 ± 0.976	0.019
рН	7.046 ± 0.133	6.864 ± 0.238	0.174

<sup>1</sup>L = dairy goats with low milk fat percentage; H = dairy goats with high milk fat percentage.

format by ProteoWizard software, peak identification, peak filtration, peak alignment and integration were processed using the XCMS package of R, and then matched with BiotreeDB (V2.1) selfbuilt secondary mass spectrometry database for material annotation. Then, a two-dimensional data matrix, consisting of the mass to charge ratio, retention time and peak area of metabolites was obtained and introduced into SIMCA-P 13.0 software for multivariate statistical analysis. The metabolic pathways were analyzed with MetaboAnalystR 3.0 (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/).

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Student's *t*-test to compare milk composition and ruminal fermentation parameters using SPSS 24.0. Values were presented as the means  $\pm$  SEM. Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) was used to compare the relative abundance of microorganisms. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score >2 and *P*-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant. A variable importance in projection (VIP) >1 and *P*-value <0.05 were the thresholds to determine differentially expressed metabolites.

## Results

#### Composition analysis of goat milk

Analysis of milk composition from samples collected on the 1st and 8th days revealed that the milk fat percentage in group H goats was significantly higher than that in group L goats (P < 0.05). The analysis of milk composition from samples collected on the 15th

day showed that the average milk fat percentages of the H and L groups were 5.48% and 2.73%, respectively (P < 0.001) (Table 2). The daily milk yield of these two groups was similar (P = 0.125). In the H group, the milk protein percentage (P = 0.001) and milk solids (P = 0.001) content were significantly greater, but the milk lactose percentage and milk urea nitrogen (MUN) content did not differ (P > 0.05).

#### Ruminal fermentation parameters

The pH value of ruminal fluid in the H and L groups was 6.864 and 7.046, respectively, and did not differ (P = 0.174) (Table 3). Compared with the L group, the acetate (P = 0.018) concentration (mmol/L), acetate:propionate ratio (P = 0.043) and total VFA (P = 0.014) concentration in ruminal fluid from the H group were greater, while the concentrations of propionate, butyrate, valerate and isovalerate did not differ (P > 0.05). However, the molar percentage of acetate (P = 0.02) was significantly greater in the H group, while the molar percentage of propionate (P = 0.03) was lower.

#### Ruminal microbiota diversity

As depicted in Fig. 1A, the Chao1, Faith' PD, Shannon and Simpson indices in the H group did not differ from the L group. There was no significant difference in the richness and diversity of the ruminal microbiota between the two groups. The smoothness of the Good's coverage sparse curve of the two groups reflected the impact of sequencing depth on the diversity of the sequencing samples (Fig. 1B). The curves of the L and H groups tended to flatten with increasing sequencing depth, indicating that the sequencing depth was sufficient.

The Bray–Curtis distance matrix calculation method with nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis at the ASV level of all samples allowed the evaluation of microbiota composition (Fig. 1C). Results indicated that H and L clustered into two categories, although there were some overlaps, with a stress value of 0.0587, indicating significant differences in the microbiota composition. In addition, the permutation test multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was significant (P = 0.023), underscoring differences in the microbial composition between the two groups. The CCA diagram of the correlation analysis indicated that the milk fat yield and VFA were closely correlated in the H group (Fig. 1D).

## Ruminal microbiota and milk fat percentage

Figure 2A depicts the relative abundance of microbiota at the phylum level. Although Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were the dominant phylum in the two groups, accounting for more than 99% of the total, the proportions of these microbial species in each group were completely different. The abundance of the top 15 genera is reported in Fig. 2B. The dominant microorganisms with the highest abundance were Acinetobacter, Lysinibacillus, Comamonas, Rikenellaceae\_RC9\_gut\_group and Prevotella\_1. A total of 16,255 and 18,032 unique ASVs were detected in the L and H groups, respectively, and a total of 3,446 ASVs were common between the two groups (Fig. 2C). As depicted in Fig. 2D and 2E, further analysis revealed that the relative abundance of Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-005, Saccharoferments, Ruminococcaceae UCG-002, Prevotellaceae UCG-003, Bacteroidales\_RF16\_group and Firmicutes in the H group



**Figure 1.** Alpha and beta diversity of l and h groups. (A) Alpha diversity of Chao1, Faith's PD, Shannon and Simpson indices in l and h groups. (B) Good's coverage sparse curve reflecting the sequencing depth of 16S rDNA gene sequencing. (C) NMDS analysis results of l and h groups (stress = 0.0587). (D) The CCA diagram of correlation analysis between samples of l and h groups (P = 0.014).

was greater, while the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* was lower than that in the L group (P < 0.05).

Using LefSe analysis, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were combined with LDA score to screen for alterations in bacterial species (Fig. 2F). The H group had a major branch of microbiota that were enriched: Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Prevotella-1, Selenomonas\_3, Ruminococcaceae, Bacteroides\_BS11\_gut\_group, Ruminoccaceae UCG-002. Bacteroides RF16 group, Prevotellaceae\_UCG-003, Ruminoccaceae\_UCG-005 Saccharoferments, and Ruminoccaceae\_UCG-014. In contrast, Gammaproteobacte ria, Proteobacteria, Betaproteobacteriales and Burkholderiace ae were enriched in the L group. Combined with the results of Student's t-test to determine differences in genera, Ruminococcace ae\_UCG-005, Saccharoferments, Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-002, Pr evotellaceae\_UCG-003 and Bacteroidales\_RF16\_group might be the key genera that contribute to high milk fat percentage in dairy goats. Functional potential predictive of microbiota in the rumen is shown in Fig. 2G. The relative abundance of pathways related to metabolism was high, mainly including amino acid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, metabolism of cofactors and vitamins and lipid metabolism.

## Significantly different metabolites and metabolic pathways

Supervised partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was performed to analyze differences in metabolites between the L and the H group. Figure 3A and B highlights obvious separations between the H and L groups in both positive and negative ion modes. Individual samples in each group were clustered closely, indicating a significant separation of metabolites in the two groups. In the positive ion mode, the PLS-DA score graphs had an  $R^2Y = 0.998$ ,  $Q^2Y = 0.725$ ; in the negative ion mode, the PLS-DA score chart had an  $R^2Y = 0.992$ ,  $Q^2Y = 0.433$ . Both  $R^2Y$  and  $Q^2Y$  of this model were greater than 0.5 and 0.4, indicating that the interpretation rate and prediction ability of the model were high.

A total of 788 metabolites were identified in this study, including 557 in positive ion mode and 231 in negative ion mode. A total of 16 differentially altered metabolites were detected, including 10 in positive ion mode and 6 in negative ion mode (Table 4). The most abundant metabolites in the H group include mainly organic oxygen compounds (tyramine glucuronide, 2-[(5-methylsulfinyl)-4-penten-2-ynylidene]-1,6-dioxaspiro[4.4]non-3-ene, Gluconolactone), carboxylic acid and its derivatives (isoleucyl-isoleucine, 4-acetamidobutanoic



**Figure 2.** Rumen microbial composition of the l and h groups of dairy goats. (A) Composition and distribution of the l and h groups at the phylum level. (B) Composition and distribution of the l and h groups at the genus level. (C) Differential microbiota between the l and h groups. The differential microbiota on the phylum and (D) the genus levels (E) between the l and h groups according to student's *t*-test. (F) the LEfSe analysis of the l and h groups. (G) PICRUSt function prediction based on KEGG database of the l and h groups.

acid), acetone acid and its derivatives (4-methyl-2-oxovaleric acid, 2-ketobutyric acid). The differential metabolites screened in positive and negative ion models were clustered and enriched. Figure 3C and D depicts the expression patterns of metabolites across all samples, with the data within each group forming tight clusters.

The bubble diagrams of the KEGG enrichment in the positive ion modes (Fig. 3E) indicated that differentially altered metabolites were mainly enriched in the Sphingolipid signaling pathway, Sphingolipid metabolism, Pentose and glucuronate interconversions, Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism, and Bile secretion. The bubble diagrams of KEGG enrichment in the



Figure 3. Significantly differential metabolites and metabolic pathways of differential metabolites of the l and h groups. (A, B) PLS-DA score graphs in positive and negative ion modes, respectively. (C, D) Duster heatmaps in positive and negative ion modes. (E, F) Bubble diagrams of KEGG enrichment in positive and negative ion modes.

negative ion mode (Fig. 3F) revealed that differential metabolites were mainly enriched in Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis, 2-Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism, C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism, pentose phosphate pathway, pentose and glucuronate interconversions, biosynthesis of amino acids, propanoate metabolism, cysteine and methionine metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism, glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and carbon metabolism.

## **Correlation analysis**

As depicted in Fig. 4A, Spearman analysis indicated that ruminal fermentation parameters were correlated with lactation performance. Acetate and acetate to propionate ratio were significantly and positively correlated with milk fat percentage (P < 0.05). Figure 4B depicts how milk protein was positively correlated with the relative abundance of Saccharofermentans, Ruminococceaceae\_UCG-014 and Ruminococceaceae\_UCG-005 (P < 0.05). Acetate and acetate to propionate ratio were positively correlated with the relative abundance of Bacteroidales\_RF16\_group, Bacteroidales\_BS11\_gut\_group, Prevotellaceae\_UCG-003, Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-014, Saccharofermentans, Ruminococceaceae\_UCG-005 and Lachnospiraceae NK3A20 group (P < 0.05), and negatively correlated with *Streptococcus* (P < 0.05). There was a significant positive correlation between valerate and Clostridium sensu stricto-1 (P < 0.05). Figure 4C depicts how ruminal fermentation parameters and lactation performance were correlated with serum differentially altered metabolites. There was a significant positive correlation between 4-acetamidobutanoic acid, tyramine glucuronide, azelaic acid and milk fat percentage (P < 0.05).

Ion model	Metabolite	Retention time	Mass-to-charge ratio	VIP	P-value	Log <sub>2</sub> FC
Positive (ESI +)	Arginyl-alanine	85.613	246.152	2.148	0.001	-0.993
	Tyramine glucuronide	431.989	314.124	1.932	0.006	1.443
	Piperolein B	65.163	344.2227	1.807	0.015	0.335
	(2S,4S)-Pinnatanine	336.868	245.115	1.698	0.025	-0.101
	2-[(5-Methylsulfinyl)-4-penten-2- ynylidene]-1,6-dioxaspiro[4.4]non-3-ene	120.082	251.078	1.658	0.038	0.524
	SM(d18:1/12:0)	206.109	647.512	1.650	0.033	-0.498
	Diatretin 2	242.665	146.027	1.630	0.034	-0.383
	Methylpyrazine	316.436	95.060	1.593	0.043	0.287
	Isoleucyl-Isoleucine	198.940	245.186	1.590	0.043	0.422
	(2R)-2-Hydroxy-2-methylbutanenitrile	51.946	100.076	1.563	0.043	0.537
Negative (ESI-)	4-Methyl-2-oxovaleric acid	196.026	129.06	2.163	0.020	0.513
	4-Acetamidobutanoic acid	293.650	144.067	2.153	0.033	0.601
	2-Ketobutyric acid	47.391	101.024	2.007	0.035	0.405
	DL-Tryptophan	278.419	203.083	1.945	0.044	-0.637
	Azelaic acid	352.817	187.098	1.884	0.045	0.352
	Gluconolactone	154.904	177.040	1.995	0.046	0.644

Table 4. LC-MS identification of significantly differential metabolites in group L and group H





**Figure 4.** Heat map of correlation analysis of lactation performance and rumen fermentation parameters with rumen microbiota and serum differential metabolites in dairy goats. (A) Correlation analysis of lactation performance with rumen fermentation parameters. (B) Correlation analysis of lactation performance and rumen fermentation parameters with rumen microorganisms. (C) Correlation analysis of lactation performance and rumen fermentation performance and rumen fermentation parameters with serum differential metabolites. The correlation or difference with significance is represented as \*\*P < 0.01, \*P < 0.05.

#### Discussion

We investigated the association between ruminal microorganisms, serum metabolites and milk fat percentage in dairy goats under similar feeding conditions. Together, the data revealed the potential influence of ruminal microorganisms and serum metabolites on milk fat production (Zeineldin et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2022). Although the role of acetate and butyrate as precursors for the de novo fatty acid synthesis in the mammary gland is well-known (Urrutia and Harvatine 2017; Zhang et al. 2023b), recent evidence underscores their role as signaling molecules regulating fatty acid metabolism and milk fat composition and content in dairy cows (He et al. 2020). For example, acetate promotes milk fat synthesis in part by activating acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase a (ACACA) and fatty acid synthase (FASN) (Zhao et al. 2021). Thus, in the present study, the higher content of acetate in ruminal fluid from the high milk fat group could have a dual impact, i.e., as a milk fat precursor to promote de novo synthesis or as an activator of ACACA. These data agree with a recent study where the ruminal acetate to propionate ratio was an important index reflecting microbial community structure (Zhang et al. 2023c). Although we did not measure individual dry matter intake, the similar milk yield between the H and L groups suggests that the observed differences in acetate, acetate to propionate ratio, total VFA and milk fat percentage can be attributed to variations in microbial diversity. Specifically, the greater relative abundance of fiberdegrading beneficial bacteria in the H group likely explains these differences.

Previous studies have explored factors influencing milk fat content and have indirectly confirmed a strong correlation among ruminal microorganisms, milk fat precursors and milk fat content (Jami et al. 2014). For instance, Zhongdian yak cows with higher milk fat percentages had higher abundances of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, these bacteria were involved in the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids and amino acids, and the elevated gut metabolites in high milk fat percentage Zhongdian yak cows were mainly enriched in lipid and amino acid metabolism, leading to greater efficiency in converting energy to milk fat (Liu et al. 2022b). Furthermore, studies on dairy cows with milk fat depression (MFD) revealed significant changes in the relative abundance of ruminal microorganisms. Pitta et al. (2020) reported that supplemental 2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio)-butanoic acid (HMTBa) alleviated MFD in high-producing cows fed MFD diets, and observed differences in Dialister, Lachnospira, Megasphaera and Sharpea when feeding HMTBa and the control diet during MFD, these bacteria were positively associated with the trans-10 isomer of FA, highlighting a correlation between rumen microbes and milk fat percentage (Pitta et al. 2020).

The synthesis of milk fat in the mammary gland relies on the degradation of fiber by ruminal microorganisms (Stojanov et al. 2020) such as *Bacteroidetes* (Comtet-Marre et al. 2017) and *Ruminococcaceae* (Wang et al. 2019a). The latter, which were greater in the H group, is the most abundant genus in the *Firmicutes* family and members of *Ruminococcaceae* can degrade plant cellulose and hemicellulose, producing VFA such as acetate, propionate and butyrate. *Ruminococcaceae* and *Bacteroidota* (Guo et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2023) also contribute to intestinal barrier function, immune regulation and overall homeostasis (Kong et al. 2016). Subtypes of *Ruminococcaceae*, including *Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-010, Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-014* and *Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-005*, possess VFA-producing capabilities and further enhance intestinal barrier function and immune regulation. Wang et al. (2021) reported that supplementing partially protected glucose can inhibit the release of inflammatory and cytotoxic factors by increasing the abundance of *Ruminococcaceae*, thereby helping to maintain environment stability in the rumen (Wang et al. 2021). Together, it is likely that greater abundance of *Ruminococcaceae* and *Bacteroidetes* in the H group contributed to the greater milk fat percentage.

Xue et al. (2020) reported that *Prevotella* content in the rumen of dairy cows with high milk fat percentage was greater and positively correlated with VFA content (Xue et al. 2020). Observed a positive correlation between Saccharofermentans and acetic acid, butyric acid and milk fat content (Liu et al. 2022a). Gu et al. (2021) reported that feeding rumen-protected methionine to dairy cows promoted the production of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate by Acetobacter and Saccharofermentan in the rumen and was associated with greater milk fat production (Gu et al. 2021). It is noteworthy that certain Firmicutes genera, including Ruminococcaceae and Saccharofermentans exhibited a positive correlation with acetate proportion (Schären et al. 2018). Song et al. (2018) revealed a mutually beneficial relationship between acetate and other shortchain fatty acids and ruminal microorganisms, where acetate promoted the growth of beneficial bacteria, and the growth of these bacteria stimulated acetate synthesis (Song et al. 2018). Thus, the fact that correlations between Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-005, Ruminococcaceae UCG-002 and Saccharofermentans and milk fat percentage were positive suggested that greater acetate production in rumen of the H group could partly account for differences in milk fat percentage.

The mammary gland efficiently obtains nutrients from the blood to support lactation, with some directly released into milk while others serve as precursors for milk components. Through serum metabolomics analyses, Wu et al. (2018) uncovered potential biomarkers affecting milk protein production in dairy cows (Wu et al. 2018). In our study, the differentially altered metabolites between groups were mainly associated with amino acid synthesis and sphingolipid metabolism. The Sphingomyelin SM(d18:1/12:0) is implicated in the sphingolipid signaling pathway and sphingolipid metabolic pathway, and its low content in the serum of high milk fat dairy goats may indicate that more sphingomyelin was used by peripheral tissues. Whether circulating sphingomyelin is taken up by the mammary gland and the extent to which it may regulate milk fat synthesis is unknown. Sphingolipids are a class of lipids with several functions including stabilization of the membrane structure and cell-to-cell recognition and signaling (Humer et al. 2016; Thangaraj et al. 2024). Humer et al. (2016) observed that more than half of the detected sphingomyelin in blood increased in cows experiencing high lipomobilization (Humer et al. 2016). Hanamatsu et al. (2014) observed elevated levels of serum sphingomyelin in obese humans and found close correlations with the parameters of obesity, insulin resistance and lipid metabolism (Hanamatsu et al. 2014).

We found a potential correlation between azelaic acid and milk fat percentage. Azelaic acid is a nine-carbon linear saturated aliphatic dicarboxylic acid, it is the final product of linoleic acid peroxide decomposition and it possesses various beneficial properties, including anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and immune regulation (Liao et al. 2023; Litvinov et al. 2010). Previous studies have confirmed its ability to scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inhibit their production (Passi et al. 1991). Wu et al. (2017) discovered that azelaic acid acted as an ectopic olfactory receptor 544 ligand, which specifically induced PKA-dependent lipolysis in adipocytes and promotes fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis in the liver (Wu et al. 2017). At present, further investigation is needed to understand how azelaic acid affects milk fat production during lactation.

Recent studies have reported that enhanced post-ruminal supply of certain amino acids can increase the concentration of milk fat synthesis precursors in the circulation or enhance their uptake by mammary tissue (Li et al. 2019b; Wang et al. 2019). In nonruminants, there is a link between excess levels of circulating amino acids and lipid metabolism, with high arginine, leucine, isoleucine and valine all being linked with obesity, insulin resistance and lipid levels (Park et al. 2015). Whether the ruminant diverts amino acids for the synthesis of milk fat is unknown, but based on nonruminant data it cannot be ignored. For example, numerous studies have demonstrated that amino acids can regulate the ability of mammary epithelial cells to synthesize milk (Li et al. 2018; Qi et al. 2018) and activate the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway (Wang et al. 2019). mTOR is a critical pathway for the regulation of milk protein synthesis (Arriola Apelo et al. 2014) and is also involved in regulating milk fat synthesis (Caron et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2021). It remains unclear, however, to what degree and under what physiological conditions the use of amino acids for milk fat synthesis is quantitatively important.

Our finding that several differentially altered metabolites were mainly enriched in amino acid metabolism pathways, e.g., valine, leucine, isoleucine metabolism and arginine metabolism, highlighted a potential role in the milk fat synthesis process. For example, Research has found that leucine regulates milk fat synthesis by stimulating the CRTC2-SREBP1 pathway (Li et al. 2019b). Arginine has been reported to stimulate milk fat production in non-ruminant mammals. Holanda et al. (2019) reported greater vascularity in the mammary gland of lactating sow fed increased levels of Arg (Holanda et al. 2019). A greater rate of blood flow to the mammary gland could increase the delivery and uptake of substrates necessary for milk synthesis (Cai et al. 2018; Madsen et al. 2015). Hopkins et al. (1994) demonstrated that intraperitoneal infusion of an amino acid solution containing Arg alleviated the decrease in milk fat production induced by feeding low fiber diets to cows (Hopkins et al. 1994). Supplementation with N-carbamoyl glutamate, an activator of Arg synthesis, increased milk fat production during mid-lactation without affecting milk yield (Gu et al. 2018). Ding et al. (2022) observed that intravenous infusion of Arg enhanced the production of milk fat by promoting de novo synthesis of FA and increasing milk yield (Ding et al. 2022). The effect of Arg on fatty acid metabolism may be related to activation of the AMPK pathway (Carrasco-Chaumel et al. 2005). Thus, although the precise mechanisms are unknown, the data suggests a potential role of certain amino acids in milk fat synthesis.

Among the differentially altered metabolites in the H group, 4-Acetamidobutanoic acid and 2-Ketobutyric acid could exert an indirect impact on milk fat percentage. 4-Acetamidobutanoic acid, an intermediate in arginine and proline metabolism, has antioxidant effects and antibacterial activity, both of which could benefit mammary function (Pang et al. 2022; Xing et al. 2020). L-Tryptophan and its metabolites such as kynuuric acid and 5hydroxytryptophan can protect tissues from oxidative damage and are involved in the body's energy metabolism, glucose and lipid metabolism, and inflammation regulation and are closely associated with tryptophan metabolism, protein synthesis and fat metabolism (Liu et al. 2015; Miao et al. 2019). In high milk fat percentage dairy goats, the downregulation of DL-tryptophan may indicate enhanced anti-inflammatory and antioxidant capabilities. Although the correlation analysis between differentially altered metabolites in serum and milk fat percentage offers some clues, the lack of serum amino acid concentrations and their arteriovenous differences pose limitations for data interpretation. Thus, the underlying mechanism through which these distinct metabolites influence milk fat percentage in dairy goats would have to be studied in the future.

#### Conclusions

Compared with low milk fat percentage dairy goats, high milk fat percentage dairy goats had abundant beneficial bacteria including fiber-degrading bacteria, which could promote fiber degradation, increase the concentration of VFA such as acetate and the acetate to propionate ratio in the rumen and promote milk fat synthesis. Several metabolites and pathways associated with phospholipid metabolism seem to be important for the regulation of milk fat synthesis.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant U2004159 and 31702100).

Author contributions. M.L. and H.X. designed the experiments. P.K., D.Y. and X.X. contributed to sample collection. H.N., G.D., P.D. and H.C. analyzed the data. H.N. wrote the paper. H.X., Z.C., M.U. and J.J.L. revised the paper.

Financial support. The authors declare no competing interest.

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare no competing interest.

#### References

- Arriola Apelo SI, Singer LM, Lin XY, McGilliard ML, St-Pierre NR and Hanigan MD (2014) Isoleucine, leucine, methionine, and threonine effects on mammalian target of rapamycin signaling in mammary tissue. *Journal of Dairy Science* 97(2), 1047–1056.
- Bainbridge ML, Cersosimo LM, Wright AD and Kraft J (2016) Rumen bacterial communities shift across a lactation in Holstein, Jersey and Holstein × Jersey dairy cows and correlate to rumen function, bacterial fatty acid composition and production parameters. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 92(5), fiw059.
- Bickhart DM and Weimer PJ (2018) Symposium review: Host-rumen microbe interactions may be leveraged to improve the productivity of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 101(8), 7680–7689.
- Cai J, Wang D and Liu J (2018) Regulation of fluid flow through the mammary gland of dairy cows and its effect on milk production: A systematic review. *Journal of Agricultural And Food Chemistry* **98**(4), 1261–1270.
- Caron A, Richard D and Laplante M (2015) The roles of mTOR complexes in lipid metabolism. *Annual Review of Nutrition* **35**, 321–348.
- Carrasco-Chaumel E, Roselló-Catafau J, Bartrons R, Franco-Gou R, Xaus C, Casillas A, Gelpí E, Rodés J and Peralta C (2005) Adenosine monophosphateactivated protein kinase and nitric oxide in rat steatotic liver transplantation. *Journal of Hepatology* **43**(6), 997–1006.
- Comtet-Marre S, Parisot N, Lepercq P, Chaucheyras-Durand F, Mosoni P, Peyretaillade E, Bayat AR, Shingfield KJ, Peyret P and Forano E (2017) Metatranscriptomics reveals the active bacterial and eukaryotic fibrolytic communities in the rumen of dairy cow fed a mixed diet. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**, 67.
- Ding L, Shen Y, Jawad M, Wu T, Maloney SK, Wang M, Chen N and Blache D (2022) Effect of arginine supplementation on the production of milk fat in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **105**(10), 8115–8129.
- Feng C, Wang B, Zhao A, Wei L, Shao Y, Wang Y, Cao B and Zhang F (2019) Quality characteristics and antioxidant activities of goat milk yogurt with added jujube pulp. *Food Chemistry* 277, 238–245.
- Gu FF, Liang SL, Wei ZH, Wang CP, Liu HY, Liu JX and Wang DM (2018) Short communication: Effects of dietary addition of N-carbamoylglutamate on milk composition in mid-lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 101(12), 10985–10990.

- Gu F, Liang S, Zhu S, Liu J and Sun HZ (2021) Multi-omics revealed the effects of rumen-protected methionine on the nutrient profile of milk in dairy cows. *Food Research International* **149**, 110682.
- Guo H, Yu L, Tian F, Zhao J, Zhang H, Chen W and Zhai Q (2021) Effects of bacteroides-based microecologics against antibiotic-associated diarrhea in mice. *Microorganisms* **9**(12), 2492.
- Hanamatsu H, Ohnishi S, Sakai S, Yuyama K, Mitsutake S, Takeda H, Hashino S and Igarashi Y (2014) Altered levels of serum sphingomyelin and ceramide containing distinct acyl chains in young obese adults. *Nutrition and Diabetes* **4**(10), e141.
- He J, Zhang P, Shen L, Niu L, Tan Y, Chen L, Zhao Y, Bai L, Hao X, Li X and Zhang S (2020) Short-chain fatty acids and their association with signalling pathways in inflammation, glucose and lipid metabolism. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **21**(17), 6356.
- Holanda DM, Marcolla CS, Guimarães SEF, Neves MM, Hausman GJ, Duarte MS, Abreu ML and Saraiva A (2019) Dietary L-arginine supplementation increased mammary gland vascularity of lactating sows. *Animal* 13(4), 790–798.
- Hopkins BA, Rakes AH, Daniel TE, Zimmerman CA and Croom WJ Jr (1994) Effects of intraperitoneal L-leucine, L-isoleucine, L-valine, and L-arginine on milk fat depression in early lactation cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 77(4), 1084–1092.
- Humer E, Khol-Parisini A, Metzler-Zebeli BU, Gruber L and Zebeli Q (2016) Alterations of the lipid metabolome in dairy cows experiencing excessive lipolysis early postpartum. *PLoS One* 11(7), e0158633.
- Jami E, White BA and Mizrahi I (2014) Potential role of the bovine rumen microbiome in modulating milk composition and feed efficiency. *Plos One* **9**(1), e85423.
- Johnson CH, Ivanisevic J and Siuzdak G (2016) Metabolomics: Beyond biomarkers and towards mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 17(7), 451–459.
- Kong F, Hua Y, Zeng B, Ning R, Li Y and Zhao J (2016) Gut microbiota signatures of longevity. *Current Biology: CB* 26(18), R832–R833.
- Li FJ, Hitch TCA, Chen Y, Creevey CJ and Guan LL (2019) Comparative metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses reveal the breed effect on the rumen microbiome and its associations with feed efficiency in beef cattle. *MICROBIOME* 7(1), 6.
- Li F, Li C, Chen Y, Liu J, Zhang C, Irving B, Fitzsimmons C, Plastow G and Guan LL (2019a) Host genetics influence the rumen microbiota and heritable rumen microbial features associate with feed efficiency in cattle. *Microbiome* 7(1), 92.
- Li P, Yu M, Zhou C, Qi H, Wen X, Hou X, Li M and Gao X (2018) FABP5 is a critical regulator of methionine- and estrogen-induced SREBP-1c gene expression in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*. 234(1), 537–549.
- Li P, Zhou C, Li X, Yu M, Li M, and Gao X (2019b) CRTC2 is a key mediator of amino acid-induced milk fat synthesis in mammary epithelial cells. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **67**(37), 10513– 10520.
- Liao Y, Wu X, Luo W, Chen J, Huang Y, Ma K, Zhang C, Wang J, Yang Y, Deng M and Wang X (2023) Azelaic acid regulates the renin-angiotensin system and improves colitis based on network pharmacology and experimentation. ACS Omega 8(17), 15217–15228.
- Litvinov D, Selvarajan K, Garelnabi M, Brophy L and Parthasarathy S (2010) Anti-atherosclerotic actions of azelaic acid, an end product of linoleic acid peroxidation, in mice. *Atherosclerosis* 209(2), 449–454.
- Liu E, Xiao W, Pu Q, Xu L, Wang L, Mao K, Hong W, Qu M and Xue F (2022a) Microbial and metabolomic insights into the bovine lipometabolic responses of rumen and mammary gland to zymolytic small peptide supplementation. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* **9**, 875741.
- Liu L, Wu P, Chen F, Zhou J, Guo A, Shi K and Zhang Q (2022b) Multi-omics analyses reveal that the gut microbiome and its metabolites promote milk fat synthesis in Zhongdian yak cows. *PeerJ* **10**, e14444.
- Liu T, Zhao M, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Yuan B, Zhao C and Wang M (2023) Integrated microbiota and metabolite profiling analysis of prebiotic characteristics of *Phellinus linteus* polysaccharide in vitro fermentation. *International Journal* of *Biological Macromolecules* 242(Pt 2), 124854.

- Liu Y, Yuan JM, Zhang LS, Zhang YR, Cai SM, Yu JH and Xia ZF (2015) Effects of tryptophan supplementation on growth performance, antioxidative activity, and meat quality of ducks under high stocking density. *Poultry Science* 94(8), 1894–1901.
- Liu Z, Jiang A, Lv X, Fan D, Chen Q, Wu Y, Zhou C and Tan Z (2024) Combined metabolomics and biochemical analyses of serum and milk revealed parityrelated metabolic differences in Sanhe dairy cattle. *Metabolites* 14(4), 227.
- Madsen TG, Cieslar SR, Trout DR, Nielsen MO and Cant JP (2015) Inhibition of local blood flow control systems in the mammary glands of lactating cows affects uptakes of energy metabolites from blood. *Journal of Dairy Science* 98(5), 3046–3058.
- Matthews C, Crispie F, Lewis E, Reid M, O'Toole PW and Cotter PD (2019) The rumen microbiome: A crucial consideration when optimising milk and meat production and nitrogen utilisation efficiency. *Gut Microbes* 10(2), 115–132.
- Miao J, Adewole D, Liu S, Xi P, Yang C and Yin Y (2019) Tryptophan supplementation increases reproduction performance, milk yield, and milk composition in lactating sows and growth performance of their piglets. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 67(18), 5096–5104.
- Mohan MS, O'Callaghan TF,, Kelly P and Hogan SA (2021) Milk fat: Opportunities, challenges and innovation. *Critical Reviews in Food Science* and Nutrition 61(14), 2411–2443.
- Na SW and Guan LL (2022) Understanding the role of rumen epithelial hostmicrobe interactions in cattle feed efficiency. *Animal Nutrition* **10**, 41–53.
- Pang K, Chai S, Yang Y, Wang X, Liu S and Wang S (2022) Dietary forage to concentrate ratios impact on yak ruminal microbiota and metabolites. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 13, 964564.
- Park S, Sadanala KC and Kim EK (2015) A metabolomic approach to understanding the metabolic link between obesity and diabetes. *Molecules and Cells* 38(7), 587–596.
- Passi S, Picardo M, Zompetta C, Luca CD, Breathnach AS and Nazzaro-porro M (1991) The oxyradical-scavenging activity of azelaic acid in biological systems. *Free Radical Research Communications* 15(1), 17–28.
- Pitta DW, Indugu N, Vecchiarelli B, Hennessy M, Baldin M and Harvatine KJ (2020) Effect of 2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio) butanoate (HMTBa) supplementation on rumen bacterial populations in dairy cows when exposed to diets with risk for milk fat depression. *Journal of Dairy Science* 103(3), 2718–2730.
- Qi H, Meng C, Jin X, Li X, Li P and Gao X (2018) Methionine promotes milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation via the SNAT2-PI3K signaling pathway in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 66(42), 11027–11033.
- Razzaghi A, Ghaffari MH and Rico DE (2023) The impact of environmental and nutritional stresses on milk fat synthesis in dairy cows. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 83, 106784.
- Schären M, Frahm J, Kersten S, Meyer U, Hummel J, Breves G and Dänicke S (2018) Interrelations between the rumen microbiota and production, behavioral, rumen fermentation, metabolic, and immunological attributes of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **101**(5), 4615–4637.
- Shang J, Liu N, Cheng J, Gao W, Sun X and Guo M (2022) Analysis and comparison of lipids in Saanen goat milk from different geographic regions in China based on UHPLC-QTOF-MS lipidomics. *Food Research International* (Ottawa,Ont) 157, 111441.
- Song Y, Malmuthuge N, Steele MA and Guan LL (2018) Shift of hindgut microbiota and microbial short chain fatty acids profiles in dairy calves from birth to pre-weaning. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 94(3), 10.1093.
- Stojanov S, Berlec A and Štrukelj B (2020) The influence of probiotics on the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio in the treatment of obesity and inflammatory bowel disease. *Microorganisms* 8(11), 1715.
- Sun HZ, Shi K, Wu XH, Xue MY, Wei ZH, Liu JX and Liu HY (2017) Lactation-related metabolic mechanism investigated based on mammary gland metabolomics and 4 biofluids' metabolomics relationships in dairy cows. BMC Genomics 18(1), 936.
- Thangaraj SV, Ghnenis A, Pallas B, Vyas AK, Gregg B and Padmanabhan V (2024) Comparative lipidome study of maternal plasma, milk, and lamb plasma in sheep. *Scientific Reports* **14**(1), 7401.
- Urrutia N, Bomberger R and Matamoros C (2019) Effect of dietary supplementation of sodium acetate and calcium butyrate on milk fat synthesis in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **102**(6), 5172–5181.

- Urrutia N and Harvatine KJ (2017) Effect of conjugated linoleic acid and acetate on milk fat synthesis and adipose lipogenesis in lactating dairy cows. *Journal* of Dairy Science **100**(7), 5792–5804.
- Wang B, Ma MP, Diao QY and Tu Y (2019a) Saponin-induced shifts in the rumen microbiome and metabolome of young cattle. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10, 356.
- Wang D, Chen L, Tang G, Yu J, Chen J, Li Z, Cao Y, Lei X, Deng L, Wu S and Guan LL (2023) Multi-omics revealed the long-term effect of ruminal keystone bacteria and the microbial metabolome on lactation performance in adult dairy goats. *Microbiome* 11 (1), 215.
- Wang F, Shi H, Wang S, Wang Y, Cao Z and Li S (2019) Amino acid metabolism in dairy cows and their regulation in milk synthesis. *Current Drug Metabolism* 20(1), 36–45.
- Wang Y, Nan X, Zhao Y, Wang Y, Jiang L and Xiong B (2021) Ruminal degradation of rumen-protected glucose influences the ruminal microbiota and metabolites in early-lactation dairy cows. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 87(2), e01908–20.
- Wu C, Hwang SH, Jia Y, Choi J, Kim YJ, Choi D, Pathiraja D, Choi IG, Koo SH and Lee SJ (2017) Olfactory receptor 544 reduces adiposity by steering fuel preference toward fats. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 127(11), 4118–4123.
- Wu X, Sun H, Xue M, Wang D, Guan LL and Liu J (2018) Serum metabolome profiling revealed potential biomarkers for milk protein yield in dairy cows. *Journal of Proteomics* 184, 54–61.
- Xing SC, Mi JD, Chen JY, Hu JX and Liao XD (2020) Metabolic activity of Bacillus coagulans R11 and the health benefits of and potential pathogen inhibition by this species in the intestines of laying hens under lead exposure. *The Science of the Total Environment* **709**, 134507.

- Xue MY, Sun HZ, Wu XH, Liu JX and Guan LL (2020) Multi-omics reveals that the rumen microbiome and its metabolome together with the host metabolome contribute to individualized dairy cow performance. *Microbiome*  $\mathbf{8}(1)$ , 64.
- Xue MY, Sun HZ, Wu XH, Guan LL and Liu JX (2019) Assessment of rumen bacteria in dairy cows with varied milk protein yield. *Journal of Dairy Science* **102**(6), 5031–5041.
- Zeineldin M, Barakat R, Elolimy A, Salem AZ, Elghandour MM and Monroy JC (2018) Synergetic action between the rumen microbiota and bovine health. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 124, 106–115.
- Zhang C, Wang M, Liu H, Jiang X, Chen X, Liu T, Yin Q, Wang Y, Deng L, Yao J and Wu S (2023) Multi-omics reveals that the host-microbiome metabolism crosstalk of differential rumen bacterial enterotypes can regulate the milk protein synthesis of dairy cows. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* 14(1), 63.
- Zhang F, Wang Y, Wang H, Nan X, Guo Y and Xiong B (2022) Calcium propionate supplementation has minor effects on major ruminal bacterial community composition of early lactation dairy cows. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 13, 847488.
- Zhang J, Bu L, Liu Y, Huo W, Xia C, Pei C and Liu Q (2023b) Dietary supplementation of sodium butyrate enhances lactation performance by promoting nutrient digestion and mammary gland development in dairy cows. *Animal Nutrition* 15, 137–148.
- Zhang L, Shen H, Zhang Jand Mao S (2023c) Variety of rumen microbial populations involved in biohydrogenation related to individual milk fat percentage of dairy cows. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* **10**, 1106834.
- Zhao Y, Guo X, Yan S, Shi B and Sheng R (2021) Acetate regulates milk fat synthesis through the mammalian target of rapamycin/eukaryotic initiation factor 4E signaling pathway in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Journal of Dairy Science* 104(1), 337–345.