

ON RELATIONSHIPS AMONGST CERTAIN SPACES OF SEQUENCES IN AN ARBITRARY BANACH SPACE

C. W. McARTHUR

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space (B -space). A sequence $\{s(i)\}$ in X is *unconditionally summable* if and only if every rearrangement of the series $\sum_i s(i)$ is convergent. The set of unconditionally summable sequences in X will be written as $U(X)$. In this paper several classes of summable sequences in X will be compared with one another. Each class to be considered is identical with $U(X)$ when X has finite dimension.

The following notation will be used. The set of natural numbers will be denoted by N and the collection of non-null finite subsets of N by \mathcal{F} . A sequence in X will usually be denoted by the single letter s and its value at $i \in N$ by $s(i)$. If s is a sequence in X and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the sum of the terms $s(i)$ such that $i \in F$ will be written $\sum_{F} s(i)$.

A sequence s in X will be called *weakly unconditionally summable* if and only if $\sum_i |f(s(i))| < \infty$ for every $f \in X^*$, the adjoint space of X . Let $B(X)$ stand for the set of weakly unconditionally summable sequences in X . Gelfand (4) has shown that $s \in B(X)$ if and only if $\sup[\|\sum_{F} s(i)\|: F \in \mathcal{F}] < \infty$. With the usual definitions for addition of sequences and multiplication of a sequence by a scalar $B(X)$ is a vector space. It is known that $B(X)$ is a B -space with the norm of each $s \in B(X)$ defined by $\|s\| = \sup[\|\sum_{F} s(i)\|: F \in \mathcal{F}]$. This will be the norm intended when $B(X)$ is referred to as a B -space in the sequel. As a consequence of a result of Birkhoff (2), $U(X)$ is a closed linear subspace of $B(X)$.

Following Hadwiger (5), a sequence s in a B -space X has an *invariant sum* if and only if there is an $x \in X$ such that $x = \sum_i s(i)$ and such that x is the sum of each of the convergent rearrangements of $\sum_i s(i)$. Let $IS(X)$ stand for the class of sequences in X with an invariant sum. It is known that if X has finite dimension then $U(X) = IS(X)$. Hadwiger (5) has shown that if X is a Hilbert space with infinite dimension then $U(X)$ is a proper subset of $IS(X)$. In this paper Hadwiger's result is sharpened and extended to any B -space with infinite dimension.

If s is a sequence in X and there is $x \in X$ such that $x = \sum_i s(i)$ then x will be called the *sum* of s . In case there is $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = \sum_i f(s(i))$ for all $f \in X^*$ then x will be called the *weak sum* of s . It follows easily that a sequence s in a B -space X can have at most one weak sum. It can be shown that in any B -space X there are sequences which have a sum but are not elements of $B(X)$. Conversely, in some B -spaces, for example, in $X = c_0$, the B -space of real sequences which converge to 0 with $\|s\| = \sup\{|s(i)|: i \in N\}$ for each

$s \in c_0$, there exist sequences which are elements of $B(X)$ but which do not have sums.

Two new closed linear subspaces of $B(X)$ are introduced in this paper. They are

$$B_w(X) = [s \in B(X) : s \text{ has a weak sum}], B_s(X) = [s \in B(X) : s \text{ has a sum}].$$

For any B -space it is true that

$$U(X) \subset B_s(X) = IS(X) \cap B(X) \subset B_w(X) \subset B(X).$$

We show that if $X = c_0$ then all of these containments are proper.

2. Closed linear subspaces of $B(X)$. Dunford (3) and Gelfand (4) have shown that a sequence s in a B -space X is weakly unconditionally summable if and only if there is a real number M such that $\sum_i |f(s(i))| \leq M \|f\|$ for all $f \in X^*$. A norm for the vector space of weakly unconditionally summable sequences in X is defined by setting

$$\|s\|_1 = \sup[\sum_i |f(s(i))| : f \in X^* \text{ and } \|f\| \leq 1]$$

for each sequence s of this class. Let $B'(X)$ denote the normed vector space of weakly unconditionally summable sequences in X with the norm of the preceding sentence. As a special case of a result of Dunford (3, Theorem 30) we have that $B'(X)$ is a B -space.

The following lemma is essentially given by Pettis (6, Theorem 3.2.2.).

LEMMA 2.1. *If s is weakly unconditionally summable then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup[\|\sum_{F} s(i)\| : F \in \mathcal{F}] &\leq \sup[\sum_i |f(s(i))| : f \in X^* \text{ and } \|f\| \leq 1] \\ &\leq 2 \sup[\|\sum_{F} s(i)\| : F \in \mathcal{F}]. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.2. *The normed vector space $B(X)$ is complete.*

Proof. Since $B(X)$ and $B'(X)$ differ only in their norms and $B'(X)$ is complete it is evident from the relationships between their norms given in Lemma 2.1 that $B(X)$ is complete.

THEOREM 2.3. *For any B -space X the spaces $B_w(X)$ and $B_s(X)$ are closed linear subspaces of $B(X)$, and the operation L defined on $B_w(X)$ to X by setting $L(s)$ equal to the weak sum of s for each $s \in B_w(X)$ is linear and has norm 1.*

Proof. To show that $B_w(X)$ is closed in $B(X)$ suppose s_n is a sequence in $B_w(X)$ which converges to $s \in B(X)$. For each $n \in N$ let x_n denote the weak sum of s_n . Since $\{s_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $B(X)$ there is for each $\epsilon > 0$ a natural number n_ϵ such that $\|s_n - s_m\| < \epsilon/2$ if $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$. For $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$ and $f \in X^*$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$ one has

$$|f(x_m - x_n)| \leq \sum_i |f(s_n(i) - s_m(i))| \leq 2\|s_n - s_m\| < \epsilon,$$

the second inequality given by Lemma 2.1. It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy

sequence and therefore has a limit x . Again, suppose $\epsilon > 0$ is given and $f \in X^*$ with f non-zero. There is an n_ϵ such that

$$\|s_n - s\| < \epsilon/(4\|f\|) \qquad n \geq n_\epsilon,$$

and since x_n converges to x , n_ϵ may be chosen large enough so

$$\|x - x_n\| < \epsilon/(2\|f\|) \qquad n \geq n_\epsilon.$$

Hence, if $n \geq n_\epsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - \sum_i f(s(i))| &\leq |f(x) - f(x_n)| + \sum_i |f(s_n(i) - s(i))| \\ &\leq \|f\|(\epsilon/(2\|f\|)) + 2\|f\| \|s_n - s\| < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2.1 to get the second inequality. This proves that x is the weak sum of s .

To show that $B_s(X)$ is closed in $B(X)$ suppose $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence in $B_s(X)$ which converges to $s \in B(X)$. For each $n \in N$ let x_n denote the sum of s_n . Since $B_s(X) \subset B_w(X)$ and $B_w(X)$ is closed, s has a weak sum x . Also $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . Since $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and $\{s_n\}$ converges to s , if $\epsilon > 0$ is given there is $p \in N$, dependent on ϵ , such that $\|x - x_p\| < \epsilon/3$ and $\|s_p - s\| < \epsilon/3$. Also since $x_p = \sum_i s_p(i)$, there is a $q \in N$ such that if $r \geq q$ then

$$\left\| x_p - \sum_{i=1}^r s_p(i) \right\| < \epsilon/3.$$

Hence if $r \geq q$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^r s(i) \right\| &\leq \|x - x_p\| + \left\| x_p - \sum_{i=1}^r s_p(i) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r s_p(i) - \sum_{i=1}^r s(i) \right\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that x is the sum of s .

It remains to show that L is a linear operation with norm 1. Let

$$E = \{f: f \in X^* \text{ and } \|f\| = 1\}.$$

Fix $s \in \bar{B}_w(X)$ and let $x = L(s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \sup\{|f(x)|: f \in E\} = \sup\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(s(i)) \right| : f \in E\right] \\ &\leq \sup\left[\sup\left\{\left| f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n s(i)\right) \right| : n \in N\right\} : f \in E\right] \\ &= \sup\left[\sup\left\{\left| f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n s(i)\right) \right| \right\} : f \in E: n \in N\right] \\ &= \sup\left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n s(i) \right\| : n \in N\right] \leq \|s\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence L , which is obviously additive, is continuous and $\|L\| \leq 1$. Since for any $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{x_0, \theta, \theta, \dots, \theta, \dots\}$ is in $B_w(X)$ and has x_0 for its norm, clearly $\|L\| = 1$.

3. Extension of a theorem of Hadwiger to B -spaces. The following theorem is obtained by applying a modification of Hadwiger's argument (5) to the general case.

THEOREM 3.1. *If X is a B -space the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X has infinite dimension.
- (ii) the difference $IS(X) \sim B(X)$ is non-void.
- (iii) $U(X)$ is a proper subset of $IS(X)$.

Proof. Because of the well-known fact that $U(X) \subset IS(X) \cap B(X)$ for all X , it is evident that (ii) implies (iii). Since $U(X) = IS(X)$ if X has finite dimension, (iii) implies (i). It will now be shown that (i) implies (ii). By a remark of Banach's (1, p. 238), X contains a closed infinite dimensional linear subspace X_0 which has a basis $\{x(i)\}$ with $\|x(i)\| = 1, i \in N$. Using a result of Banach (1, pp. 110–111), there is a sequence $\{f_i\}$ in X^* such that $f_i(x(j)) = \delta_{ij}$ and for each $x \in X_0, x = \sum f_i(x)x(i)$.

Consider the sequence of finite blocks

$$B_k = \{x(k)/k, -x(k)/k, \dots, x(k)/k, -x(k)/k\}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where B_k consists of $2k^2$ terms each of which is either $x(k)/k$ or $-x(k)/k$ according as it is in an odd or an even place in B_k . Note that $x(k)/k$ occurs k^2 times in each B_k so the sum of the odd place terms in B_k has norm k . Construct a sequence s in X by adjoining the second block of terms to the first, the third block to this, etc. Since the norm of the sum of the odd place terms in each block is $k, s \notin B(X)$. Clearly $\sum s(i) = \theta$. It remains to show that s has an invariant sum. Suppose that s' is a rearrangement of s and that $y = \sum s'(i)$. Since X_0 is closed, $y \in X_0$. Express y by its biorthogonal development $y = \sum f_i(y)x(i)$. For arbitrary $i \in N$, we have $f_i(y) = \sum f_i(s'(j))$. Take n_0 large enough so that all terms in the block B_i occur in the sum

$$s'(1) + s'(2) + \dots + s'(n_0).$$

If $n \geq n_0$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f_i(s'(j)) = f_i\left(\sum_{j \in F} s'(j)\right) + \sum_{j \in F'} f_i(s'(j))$$

where $F = [j: j \leq n \text{ and } s'(j) \text{ is a term of } B_i]$ and

$$F' = [j: j \leq n \text{ and } j \notin F].$$

Now $\sum_{F'} s'(j) = \theta$, and by biorthogonality $f_i(s'(j)) = 0$ if $j \in F'$, so $f_i(y) = 0$. Since $f_i(y) = 0$ for all i it follows that $y = \theta$.

4. Comparison of subspaces of $B(X)$. For any B -space $X, U(X) \subset B(X)$ so clearly $U(X) \subset B_s(X)$. Also $B_s(X) \subset IS(X)$ for any B -space X , because if $s \in B_s(x)$ and s has the sum x and if s' is a rearrangement of s with sum x' it follows that $f(x) = f(x')$ for all $f \in X^*$ so $x = x'$. With these observations the following lemma is obvious.

LEMMA 4.1. For any B -space X , $U(X) \subset B_s(X) = IS(X) \cap B(X) \subset B_w(X) \subset B(X)$.

A B -space X is weakly complete if and only if every weakly convergent sequence in X is weakly convergent to an element of X .

THEOREM 4.2. If X is weakly complete then

$$U(X) = B_s(X) = IS(X) \cap B(X) = B_w(X) = B(X) \subset IS(X).$$

The containment is proper if and only if X has infinite dimension.

Proof. For any B -space, $U(X) \subset IS(X)$ and it is well known that when X is weakly complete that $U(X) = B(X)$. Hence $B(X) \subset IS(X)$ when X is weakly complete. The theorem then follows by Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 4.3. If for a B -space X , $U(X)$ is a proper subspace of $B(X)$, then $U(X)$ is a proper subspace¹ of $B_s(X)$.

Proof. Suppose $s \in B(X) \setminus U(X)$. For each $k \in N$ let B_k denote a block of $2k$ terms as follows:

$$B_k = \{s(k)/k, -s(k)k/, \dots, s(k)/k, -s(k)/k\}.$$

that is, the even place terms in B_k are $s(k)/k$ and the odd place terms are $-s(k)/k$. We construct $s' \in B_s(X) \setminus U(X)$ by adjoining the terms of the block B_2 to those of B_1 and then adjoining the terms of B_3 to these, etc. Clearly $\theta = \sum_i s'(i)$ and for each $f \in X^*$,

$$\sum_i |f(s'(i))| = 2 \sum_i |f(s(i))| < \infty,$$

so $s' \in B_s(X)$. Finally, since $s \notin U(X)$ it follows that the series $\sum_i s'(i)$ has a subseries, namely, $\sum_i s'(2i - 1)$ which does not converge unconditionally. Hence $s' \notin U(X)$.

COROLLARY 4.4. The B -space $U(c_0)$ is a proper subspace of $B_s(c_0)$.

Proof. Consider the sequence $\{s_n\}$ in c_0 where for each n , $s_n(i) = 1$ if $i = n$ and $s_n(i) = 0$ if $i \neq n$. The sequence $\{s_n\}$ is an element of $B(c_0)$ but it does not have a sum so is not an element of $U(c_0)$. The corollary follows by Lemma 4.3.

LEMMA 4.5. If for a B -space X , $U(X)$ is a proper subspace of $B_s(X)$ then $B_s(X)$ is a proper subspace of $B_w(X)$.

Proof. If $s \in B_s(X) \setminus U(X)$ then there is a permutation t of N such that the sequence $\{s(t(i))\}$ does not have a sum. Let x denote the sum of s . Then x is the weak sum of s and since $s \in B(X)$ it follows that x is the weak sum of $\{s(t(i))\}$.

By Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 we have the next corollary.

COROLLARY 4.6. The space $B_s(c_0)$ is a proper subspace of $B_w(c_0)$.

LEMMA 4.7. *If for a B-space X , $U(X)$ is a proper subset of $B(X)$ then $B_w(X)$ is a proper subset of $B(X)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there exists an $s \in B(X) \setminus U(X)$. Using a result of Orlicz (1, (3) on p. 270), there is a strictly increasing sequence t of natural numbers such that the sequence $\{s(t(i))\}$ does not have a weak sum. However it obviously inherits the property of belonging to $B(X)$ from s .

COROLLARY 4.8. *The space $B_w(c_0)$ is a proper subspace of $B(c_0)$.*

Proof. Since $B(c_0) \setminus U(c_0)$ is non-void the conclusion follows by Lemma 4.7.

Putting together the preceding corollaries we have the following

THEOREM 4.9. *For the B-space c_0 , $U(c_0) \subset B_s(c_0) \subset B_w(c_0) \subset B(c_0)$, and each containment is proper.*

REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires* (Warsaw, 1932).
2. G. Birkhoff, *Integration of functions with values in a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 38 (1935), 357-378.
3. N. Dunford, *Uniformity in linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 44 (1938), 305-356.
4. I. Gelfand, *Abstrakte Funktionen und lineare Operatoren*, Mat. Sbornik, N.S., 46 (1938), 235-284.
5. H. Hadwiger, *Über die konvergenzarten unendlicher reihen in Hilbertschen raum*, Math. Zeit., 47 (1941), 325-329.
6. B. J. Pettis, *On integration in vector spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 44 (1938), 277-304.

¹The author is indebted to the referee for the present form of Lemma 4.3 which is simpler and more general than the original.

Received March 25, 1955; in revised form October 28, 1955. This paper is from Chapter V of the author's dissertation, *On unconditional summability of sequences in semi-groups with a topology*, Tulane University, August, 1954. It was done under Contract N7-onr-434, Task Order III, Navy Department, Office of Naval Research. The author thanks Professor B. J. Pettis for helpful suggestions regarding this paper.

Alabama Polytechnic Institute