

Erratum to “On surface links whose link groups are abelian”

BY TETSUYA ITO

*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University,
Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan.*

e-mail: tetitoh@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

AND INASA NAKAMURA

*Institute for Biology and Mathematics of Dynamical Cell Processes (iBMath),
Interdisciplinary Center for Mathematical Sciences,*

*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo,
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan*

e-mail: inasa@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

(Received 26 January 2015; revised 20 February 2015)

In the article [1] we claimed a strict inequality $n(n - 1) < 4g(S)$ for an abelian surface link S of rank n (Theorem 2.1). However, there is an error in proving the strictness of the inequality, so the correct statement is:

THEOREM 2.1. *If S is an abelian surface link of rank $n > 1$, then we have an inequality*

$$n(n - 1) \leq 4g(S).$$

Accordingly, the correct statements of Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 are:

COROLLARY 2.2. *The rank of an abelian T^2 -link is at most 5.*

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let S be an abelian surface link. For each $g \geq 0$, the number of genus g components of S is at most $4g + 1$.*

The results in other sections are not affected by this error.

In the proof, we wrote that $H_2(\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_2(S^4 - S; \mathbb{Z})$ implies $\pi_2(S^4 - S) \cong 0$ but this is definitely false: the homology Serre spectral sequence for $(S^4 - S) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1)$ tells that the difference between $H_2(S^4 - S; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1))$ is $\pi_2(S^4 - S)_{\pi_1(S^4 - S)} / d^3(H_3(K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1)))$ so $\pi_2(S^4 - S)$ might be non-trivial. Here $\pi_2(S^4 - S)_{\pi_1(S^4 - S)}$ is the largest quotient of $\pi_2(S^4 - S)$ on which $\pi_1(S^4 - S)$ acts trivially, and

$$d^3 : H_3(K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1); \mathbb{Z}) = E_{3,0}^3 \longrightarrow E_{0,2}^3 = H_0(K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1); \pi_2(S^4 - S)) \cong \pi_2(S^4 - S)_{\pi_1(S^4 - S)}$$

is the differential of the Serre spectral sequence.

In particular, the existence of an abelian surface link of rank 4 with genus 3, and of rank 5 with genus 4, is an open problem.

We gratefully thank J. A. Hillman for pointing out this error in his review.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. ITO and I. NAKAMURA. On surface links whose link groups are abelian. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **157** (2014), 63-77.