

RETRACTATIO

IN an article in this review (vol. 43, 1950, p. 184), I adversely criticized Theophilus of Antioch for his distortion of Epicurean teaching in the treatise *Ad Autolyicum* III 7 (p. 202, 11 Otto). Theophilus certainly knows little enough about Epicurus, but at this point it is not he who has distorted the Epicurean teaching, but the corrector of Cod. Marc. gr. 496, who was followed by the copyists of Cod. Bodl. gr. misc. 25 and Cod. Paris. gr. 887, as well as by all later editors. The original reading of the Venice manuscript is correct, as it is at several other points where Otto has emended the text.

ROBERT M. GRANT

SCHOOL OF THEOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH
SEWANEE, TENNESSEE