

## THE GROUP OF EIGENVALUES OF A RANK ONE TRANSFORMATION

J. R. CHOKSI AND M. G. NADKARNI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, several characterizations are given of the group of eigenvalues of a rank one transformation. One of these is intimately related to the corresponding expression for the maximal spectral type of a rank one transformation given in an earlier paper.

**1. Introduction.** The purpose of this paper is to compute the group  $e(T)$  of  $L^\infty$  eigenvalues of a general rank one transformation  $T$ . These will be the  $L^2$  eigenvalues when the underlying space is of finite measure. The possibility of such a calculation was suggested by J-F. M ela in connection with our earlier paper [1]. Our expression for the eigenvalue group is intimately related to the corresponding expression for the maximal spectral type of  $T$  calculated in [1]. This raises certain natural questions about the group of quasi-invariance of the maximal spectral type of  $T$ . We prove our results for measure preserving transformations, but they can be extended to non-singular transformations obtained by cutting and stacking.

Descriptions of eigenvalue groups of certain non-singular flows were given by M. Osikawa [4] and by Y. Ito, T. Kamae and I. Shiokawa [3]. These authors were motivated by certain questions in non-singular weak equivalence theory. From the point of view of spectral theory, however, it is advantageous to recast their work using the “cutting and stacking” description of a rank one transformation and some results on Fourier transforms (characteristic functions) of products of circle valued independent random variables, revealing thereby the close resemblance of an expression for  $e(T)$  to the expression for the maximal type of  $T$  (up to a discrete measure) obtained in [1]. Thus the present paper complements the work in [1].

### 2. Preliminary calculations.

**2.1.** We recall the construction of a rank one transformation from [1]. Divide the unit interval  $\Omega_0$  into  $m_1$  equal parts, add spacers and form a stack of height  $h_1$  in the usual fashion. At the  $k$ -th stage we divide the stack obtained at the  $(k - 1)$ -st stage into  $m_k$  equal columns add spacers and obtain a new stack of height  $h_k$ . If during the  $k$ -th stage of our construction the number of spacers put above the  $j$ -th column of the  $(k - 1)$ -st stack is

---

Research supported by a grant from NSERC Canada and by NBHM India.

Received by the editors September 15, 1993.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 28D05; secondary: 47A35.

  Canadian Mathematical Society 1995.

$a_j^{(k)}, 0 \leq a_j^{(k)} < \infty, 1 \leq j \leq m_k$ , then we have

$$h_k = m_k h_{k-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_j^{(k)}.$$

Proceeding thus we get a rank one transformation  $T$  on a certain measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$  which may be finite or  $\sigma$ -finite depending on the number of spacers added. For each  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  let  $\Omega_k$  and  $\Omega^k$  denote respectively the base and the top of the  $k$ -th stack; of course  $\Omega_k \subseteq \Omega_0$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming in addition that  $\Omega^k \subseteq \Omega_0$ , *i.e.*, no spacers are added on the last column at any stage in the construction. For given a rank one transformation  $T$  constructed by cutting and stacking as above, we can construct as follows an isomorphic transformation  $S$  with no spacers added on the last column at any stage: initially, cut  $\Omega_0$  into  $m_1$  equal pieces, add  $b_j^{(1)} = a_j^{(1)}$  spacers on the  $j$ -th column,  $1 \leq j < m_1$ , and stack. No spacers are added on the last column, *i.e.*  $b_{m_1}^{(1)} = 0$ . Cut  $\Omega_1$  into  $m_2$  equal parts add

$$b_j^{(2)} = a_j^{(2)} + a_{m_1}^{(1)}$$

spacers on the  $j$ -th column  $1 \leq j < m_2$  and stack; again  $b_{m_2}^{(2)} = 0$ . At the  $k$ -th stage of the construction cut  $\Omega_{k-1}$  into  $m_k$  equal pieces add

$$b_j^{(k)} = a_j^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{m_l}^{(l)}$$

spacers on the  $j$ -th column,  $1 \leq j < m_k$ , and stack; again  $b_{m_k}^{(k)} = 0$ . It is easily verified that the two transformations  $S$  and  $T$  with spacers  $a_j^{(k)}$  and  $b_j^{(k)}$  respectively are isomorphic, but no spacers are added on the last column at any stage in the construction of  $S$ . From now on we assume that  $\Omega^k \subset \Omega_0$  for all  $k$ .

We denote the  $m_k$  equal columns obtained by dividing the  $(k - 1)$ -st stack by  $C_1^k, \dots, C_{m_k}^k$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m_k$ , write

$$Q_i^k = \text{union of parts of } \Omega_0 \text{ in the column } C_i^k.$$

Then  $\{Q_1^k, \dots, Q_{m_k}^k\}$  gives a partition  $\mathcal{P}_k$  of  $\Omega_0$ , and the partitions

$$\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots$$

form an independent sequence of partitions of  $\Omega_0$ ;  $\mathcal{P}_0$  being the trivial partition. They correspond to the partitions of the product space

$$\Omega = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m_k - 1\}$$

given by the co-ordinate functions. Let  $\tau$  denote the transformation on  $\Omega_0$  induced by  $T$ . We know that  $\tau$  is isomorphic to the odometer action on  $\Omega$ .

2.2 *The functions  $\gamma_k$ .* We now define a sequence  $\gamma_k, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  of independent integral valued random variables on  $\Omega_0$ . First define

$$\lambda_0(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

$$\lambda_1(\omega) = \text{first entry time under } T \text{ of } \omega \text{ into } \Omega^1, \text{ with } \lambda_1(\omega) = 0 \text{ if } \omega \in \Omega^1.$$

In general

$$\lambda_k(\omega) = \text{first entry time under } T \text{ of } \omega \text{ into } \Omega^k, \text{ with } \lambda_k(\omega) = 0 \text{ if } \omega \in \Omega^k.$$

The sequence  $\gamma_k, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , of independent integral valued random variables is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(\omega) &= \lambda_0(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega_0, \\ \gamma_k(\omega) &= \lambda_k(\omega) - \lambda_{k-1}(\omega), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_k(\omega) &= \text{first entry time of } T^{\lambda_{k-1}(\omega)}(\omega) \text{ into } \Omega^k, \\ \lambda_k(\omega) &= \gamma_0(\omega) + \dots + \gamma_k(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $T^{\lambda_{k-1}(\omega)}(\omega) \in \Omega^{k-1}$ , whence (1) shows that  $\gamma_k(\omega)$  is constant on each piece of the partition  $\mathcal{P}_k$ ; thus  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$  form a sequence of independent random variables;  $\gamma_k$  assumes the value 0 on  $\mathcal{Q}_{m_k}^k$ . Further let us write

$$\gamma_{k,i} = \text{value of } \gamma_k \text{ on } \mathcal{Q}_{m_k-i}^k, \quad 1 \leq i < m_k.$$

The values  $0, \gamma_{k,1}, \dots, \gamma_{k,m_k-1}$  assumed by  $\gamma_k$  are related in a natural and useful manner to the values  $0, R_{1,k}, R_{2,k}, \dots, R_{m_k-1,k}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  which occur in the expression for the maximal type of a rank one transformation described in our paper [1]. We have

$$\gamma_{k,i}(T) = R_{i,k}(T^{-1}), \quad \gamma_{k,i}(T^{-1}) = R_{i,k}(T).$$

To see this one notes that the inverse of the rank one transformation  $T$  is also a rank one transformation obtained by cutting and stacking and one has a construction of  $T^{-1}$  in which  $\Omega^k, \Omega_k$  are respectively the base and the top of the  $k$ -th stack for  $T^{-1}$ .

For  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  let  $l(\omega)$  be the last integer  $p$  for which  $\omega \in \Omega^p$ , i.e.  $l(\omega) = p$ , where  $p$  is given by

$$\lambda_0(\omega) = \lambda_1(\omega) = \dots = \lambda_p(\omega) = 0, \quad \lambda_{p+1}(\omega) \neq 0.$$

Let  $f(\omega)$  equal the first re-entry time of  $\omega$  into  $\Omega_0$ :

$$f(\omega) = (\text{number of spacers above } \omega) + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(\omega) &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq l(\omega), \\ \gamma_k(\omega) &= \lambda_k(\tau(\omega)) + f(\omega), \quad k = l(\omega) + 1, \\ \gamma_k(\omega) &= \gamma_k(\tau(\omega)), \quad k > l(\omega) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have in view of (1):

$$(2) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_p(\omega) - \gamma_p(\tau(\omega))) = f(\omega) + \lambda_{l(\omega)+1}(\tau(\omega)) - \sum_{p=1}^{l(\omega)+1} \gamma_p(\tau(\omega)) \\ = f(\omega) = (\text{number of spacers above } \omega) + 1.$$

Now let  $\Sigma_k$  denote the group of permutations on  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m_k - 1\}$  and  $\Sigma$  the restricted direct product of the  $\Sigma_k$  acting on

$$\Omega = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1\}$$

by changing finitely many co-ordinates. We may view  $\Sigma$  as acting on  $\Omega_0$ . Then the orbits of  $\Sigma$  and  $\tau$  agree except on a countable subset of  $\Omega_0$ . Note that if  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, e, e, \dots)$ , then for each  $n > k$ ,  $\sigma$  leaves invariant each element of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . [Here  $e$  denotes the identity permutation on  $(0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1)$  for all  $k$ .] In particular, since each  $\gamma_n$  is  $\mathcal{P}_n$  measurable,  $\gamma_n \circ \sigma = \gamma_n$  for all  $n > k$ .

**3. The eigenvalue group: Osikawa’s criterion.**

3.1. Let  $e(T)$  denote the group of eigenvalues of  $T$  and let  $f$  be as in Section 2. The proposition and Theorem 1 below are essentially due to Osikawa [4].

PROPOSITION. *Let  $s \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$  if and only if there exists a measurable function  $\phi: \Omega_0 \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that*

$$(3) \quad \phi(\tau(\omega)) = \phi(\omega) + sf(\omega) \pmod{1}.$$

PROOF. If a function  $\phi$  satisfying (3) exists then  $e^{2\pi i\phi}$  can be extended from  $\Omega_0$  to all of  $X$  in a natural way so that the extended function is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi is}$ : indeed if  $x \in X$  is the  $p$ -th spacer above  $\omega$ , so that  $x = T^p(\omega)$ , define  $\phi(x)$  by

$$(4) \quad \phi(x) = \phi(\omega) + ps \pmod{1}.$$

The function  $e^{2\pi i\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is the extended function, is then an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi is}$ .

On the other hand if  $e^{2\pi is}$  is an eigenvalue with eigenfunction  $\psi$  of absolute value one, then  $\psi = e^{2\pi i\phi_1}$  for some measurable function  $\phi_1$  defined on  $X$  with  $0 \leq \phi_1 < 1$ . Set  $\phi = \phi_1 |_{\Omega_0}$ , then  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\phi(\tau(\omega)) = \phi(\omega) + sf(\omega) \pmod{1},$$

which completes the proof of the proposition.

Let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega_0 = [0, 1)$ .

THEOREM 1. *Let  $s \in [0, 1)$ , then  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$  if and only if there exist real constants  $c_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (s\gamma_k(\omega) - c_k)$$

*converges (mod 1) for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\omega$ .*

PROOF. Suppose for an  $s \in [0, 1)$ , the series (5) converges (mod 1)  $\mu$  a.e. to a function  $\phi$ . Then (mod 1), for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau(\omega)) - \phi(\omega) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\gamma_k(\tau(\omega)) - \gamma_k(\omega)) \\ &= -sf(\omega) = (1 - s)f(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

by (2). By the proposition above we see that  $e^{-2\pi is}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Since  $e(T)$  is a group,  $e^{2\pi is}$  is also an eigenvalue of  $T$  whenever (5) holds.

Conversely if  $e^{-2\pi is} \in e(T)$  then by the proposition and (2) there exists  $\phi: \Omega_0 \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that (mod 1),

$$\phi(\tau^\nu(\omega)) - \phi(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - s)(\gamma_k(\tau^\nu \omega) - \gamma_k(\omega)),$$

for all  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, e, e, \dots) \in \Sigma$ , then  $\sigma(\omega) = \tau^{\nu(\omega)}(\omega)$  for some measurable function  $\nu$ . Hence we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sigma(\omega)) - \phi(\omega) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - s)(\gamma_k(\sigma\omega) - \gamma_k(\omega)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - s)(\gamma_k(\sigma\omega) - \gamma_k(\omega)) \pmod{1}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\gamma_k(\sigma(\omega)) = \gamma_k(\omega)$  for  $k > n$ . (Recall that  $\gamma_k$  is  $\mathcal{P}_k$  measurable.) Define

$$\phi_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - s)\gamma_k(\omega),$$

and note that  $\phi_n$  is  $\mathcal{P}_1 \vee \mathcal{P}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{P}_n$  measurable. The function  $\psi_n = \phi - \phi_n$  satisfies

$$(\phi - \phi_n)(\omega) = \phi(\omega) - \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - s)\gamma_k(\omega) \pmod{1}$$

which is invariant under all  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, e, e, \dots)$  and therefore measurable  $\bigvee_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_k$ .

Now  $\phi = \phi_n + \psi_n$  and

$$e^{2\pi i\phi_n} \mathbf{E}(e^{2\pi i\psi_n}) = \mathbf{E}(e^{2\pi i\phi} \mid \mathcal{P}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{P}_n) \rightarrow e^{2\pi i\phi} \text{ a.e.}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . [Here  $\mathbf{E}$  denotes the expectation or the conditional expectation.] Clearly there exist real constants  $A_n$  such that  $\phi_n - A_n \rightarrow \phi \pmod{1}$ , indeed we can take  $A_n =$

Arg  $E(e^{2\pi i\psi_n})$ . If we set  $A_0 = 0$  and  $c_k = A_k - A_{k-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then it follows that (mod 1)

$$\phi_n(\omega) - A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n ((1-s)\gamma_k(\omega) - c_k) \rightarrow \phi \text{ a.e. } [\mu].$$

This proves the theorem.

3.2 *Restatement of Theorem 1.* For any real number  $a$  let  $[a]$  denote the largest integer  $\leq a$ ,  $\{a\} = a - [a]$  and

$$\langle a \rangle = \{a\} \text{ if } 0 \leq \{a\} \leq 1/2, \langle a \rangle = \{a\} - 1 \text{ if } 1/2 < \{a\} < 1.$$

We note that  $|\langle a \rangle| \leq 1/2$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_n$  converges (mod 1) if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle a_n \rangle$  converges.

Using these remarks we can restate Theorem 1 in the following form.

**THEOREM 2.** For  $s \in [0, 1)$ ,  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$  if and only if there exist real constants  $c_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that any one of the following series converges (mod 1) a.e.  $[\mu]$ ,

- (a)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\{s\gamma_k\} - c_k),$
- (b)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\langle s\gamma_k(\omega) \rangle - c_k),$
- (c)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\langle s\gamma_k(\omega) - c_k \rangle).$

We can replace  $s$  by  $-s$  or  $1 - s$  in any of (a), (b), (c) above since eigenvalues form a group.

**4. The eigenvalue group: structural criterion.**

4.1. We now give a criterion for  $e^{2\pi is}$  to be an eigenvalue of  $T$  in terms of the quantities  $\gamma_{k,j}, 0 \leq j \leq m_k - 1, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  which determine the rank one transformation  $T$ . We need Theorem 3 below which is an analog for the circle group of a similar theorem for the real line. (See Doob [2], p. 115, Theorem 2.7.) Recall that an infinite product  $\prod_{k=1}^\infty a_k$  of complex numbers is said to be *convergent* if there is an  $M$  such that  $\prod_{k=M}^N a_k$  converges to a non-zero complex number as  $N$  tends to infinity, which in turn holds true if and only if  $\prod_{k=M}^N a_k$  tends to one as  $M, N$  tend to infinity. In case  $0 \leq a_k \leq 1$ , the non-convergence of the infinite product  $\prod_{k=1}^\infty a_k$  is equivalent to the convergence to zero as  $N$  tends to infinity of the product  $\prod_{k=M}^N a_k$  for every  $M$ .

Let  $Y$  be a random variable taking values in the circle group  $S^1$ . We will assume that our random variables are defined on a probability space  $(W, C, P)$ . Let  $\nu$  denote the distribution of  $Y$  and  $\hat{\nu}$  its Fourier transform. Let  $E(Y)$  and  $\text{Var}(Y)$  denote respectively the expectation and variance of  $Y$ . We note that

$$E(Y^n) = \int_{S^1} z^n d\nu = \hat{\nu}(n), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z},$$

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \int_{S^1} |z - E(Y)|^2 d\nu = 1 - |E(Y)|^2 = 1 - |\hat{\nu}(1)|^2.$$

THEOREM 3. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots$  be a sequence of independent  $S^1$  valued random variables with distributions  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \dots$  respectively. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) There exist real constants  $c_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that if  $Z_n = \prod_{k=1}^n Y_k e^{ic_k}$  then  $Z_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converges a.e. over a subsequence,
- (b) for all integers  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ , the infinite product

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\nu}_k(p)|^2$$

converges,

- (c)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)$  converges,
- (d) for some  $p \neq 0$ , the infinite product

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\nu}_k(p)|^2$$

converges.

PROOF. (a) implies (b). If  $Z_{n_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converges a.e. then

$$Z_{n_l}(Z_{n_j})^{-1} = \prod_{k=n_j+1}^{n_l} Y_k e^{ic_k} \rightarrow 1$$

a.e. as  $j, l \rightarrow \infty$ , whence for all  $p, \prod_{k=n_j+1}^{n_l} \hat{\nu}_k(p) e^{ipc_k} \rightarrow 1$  as  $j, l \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore since  $|\hat{\nu}_k(p)| \leq 1, \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\nu}_k(p)|^2$  is a convergent infinite product for all  $p$ .

Since  $\text{Var}(Y_k) = 1 - |\hat{\nu}_k(1)|^2$ , it is easy to see that (b) implies (c) and that (c) implies (d).

We prove that (d) implies (a). Suppose that for some  $p \neq 0, \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\nu}_k(p)|^2$  is a convergent infinite product. Then

$$\prod_{k=j}^l |\hat{\nu}_k(p)|^2 \rightarrow 1$$

as  $j, l \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $|\hat{\nu}_k(q)| \leq 1$  the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\prod_{k=1}^n |\hat{\nu}_k(q)|^2$  exists for each  $q$  and the resulting limit as a function of  $q$  is the Fourier transform of a probability measure, say  $\rho_\ell$ . The functions  $\hat{\rho}_\ell$  are non-decreasing and their limit as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  is the Fourier transform of a probability measure, say  $\rho$ . Since  $\hat{\rho}(p) = 1$  and  $p \neq 0$  the measure  $\rho$  is the point mass at 1.

Let  $X_k$  be the random variable  $X_k(x, y) = Y_k(x) \cdot \overline{Y_k(y)}$ . (The bar denotes the complex conjugate.) Its distribution has Fourier transform  $|\hat{\nu}_k(\cdot)|^2$ . The finite products  $\prod_{k=j}^l X_k$  converge in distribution to the point mass at 1 as  $j, l \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence they also converge in measure to the constant function 1. It follows that  $\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converges a.e. over an increasing subsequence  $n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots$  of natural numbers. By Fubini's theorem we see that for some  $y$  the products  $\prod_{k=1}^{n_j} Y_k(x) \cdot \overline{Y_k(y)}, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converge for a.e.  $x$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . If we write  $Y_k(y) = e^{ic_k}$ , (a) follows, completing the proof of the theorem.

4.2. We apply this theorem to the random variables  $Y_k = e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  of Theorem 1. Note that, in this case, if the products  $\prod_{k=1}^n Y_k \cdot e^{ic_k}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converge a.e.

over a subsequence then the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the resulting limit extends to an eigenfunction of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi is}$ . Hence by Theorem 1 the same product converges a.e. over the full sequence of natural numbers, possibly for some different constants  $c_k$ . Also note that

$$E(Y_k) = \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi is\gamma_{kj}},$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_k) = 1 - \frac{1}{m_k^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi is\gamma_{kj}} \right|^2.$$

In view of Theorem 1 above we have at once the following characterization of the group  $e(T)$ . Write

$$\tilde{P}_k(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} z^{-\gamma_{kj}}.$$

THEOREM 4. *For  $s \in [0, 1)$ , the following are equivalent:*

(a)

$$e^{2\pi is} \in e(T);$$

(b) *the infinite product*

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^2} |\tilde{P}_k(e^{2\pi is})|^2$$

*is convergent;*

(c)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(e^{2\pi is\gamma_k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m_k^2} |\tilde{P}_k(e^{2\pi is})|^2 \right)$$

*is finite.*

COROLLARY. *If either of the series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} |1 - e^{2\pi is\gamma_{kj}}| \right)$$

*or*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} |1 - e^{2\pi is\gamma_{kj}}|^2 \right)$$

*is finite then  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$ .*

PROOF. If the first series converges, then so does the second. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{m_k^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}} \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{m_k^2} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_k-1} (1 - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}} e^{-2\pi i s \gamma_{k,\ell}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_k^2} \sum_{j < \ell} |e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}} - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,\ell}}|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{m_k^2} \sum_{j < \ell} |(1 - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}}) - (1 - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,\ell}})|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{m_k^2} \sum_{j < \ell} (|1 - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}}|^2 + |1 - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,\ell}}|^2) \\ &= \frac{2(m_k - 1)}{m_k^2} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} |1 - e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus convergence of the second series implies condition (c) of Theorem 4, which proves the corollary.

4.3 *Comments on Theorem 4.* We note the close resemblance (already mentioned in the introduction) between the criterion for  $e(T)$  obtained above and the expression for the maximal spectral type (up to discrete measures) obtained in our paper [1]. Since  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are spectrally equivalent, and as remarked in 2.2.,  $R_{i,k}(T) = \gamma_{k,i}(T^{-1})$  and  $R_{i,k}(T^{-1}) = \gamma_{k,i}(T)$ , it follows that both the sequences of polynomials  $P_k(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} z^{-R_{i,k}}$  and  $\tilde{P}_k(z)$  give the eigenvalue group  $e(T) = e(T^{-1})$ . Thus  $z \in e(T)$  if and only if  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |P_k(z)|^2$  converges or equivalently if  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |\tilde{P}_k(z)|^2$  converges. The maximal spectral type  $\sigma$  (denoted by  $\sigma_0$  in [1]) of  $T$  or  $T^{-1}$  is given, up to a discrete measure, by either of the generalized Riesz products  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |P_k(z)|^2$  or  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |\tilde{P}_k(z)|^2$ . (The generalized Riesz product  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |P_k(z)|^2$  is understood as the weak limit of the probability measures  $\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{m_k} |P_k(z)|^2 dz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .)

4.4.

THEOREM 5. (a) *If for  $s \in [0, 1)$ ,  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$ , then the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(|2\pi \langle s \gamma_k \rangle|)$  is convergent.*

(b) *If the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(2\pi \langle s \gamma_k \rangle)$  is convergent then  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$ .*

PROOF. (a) Suppose  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$ ,  $0 \leq s < 1$ , then

$$1 - \frac{1}{m_k^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $|\frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}}| > 1/2$ . For  $z \neq 0$  write  $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$ ,  $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$ . The map  $\psi: z \rightarrow |\theta|$  is Lipschitz on any compact subset of the complex plane not containing the origin. Hence it is Lipschitz on  $1/2 \leq |z| \leq 1$ . Let  $C$  be the Lipschitz constant on this domain. Then

$$\left| \psi(e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k}) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}}\right) \right|^2 \leq C^2 \left| e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k} - \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} e^{2\pi i s \gamma_{k,j}} \right|^2.$$

Since the variance of a random variable is smaller than the second moment around any other point,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\psi(e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k})) &= \text{Var}(2\pi|\langle s\gamma_k \rangle|) \\ &\leq C^2 \text{Var}(e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (a) follows by Theorem 4.

(b) The map  $\phi(z) = e^{iz}$  is Lipschitz on any compact subset of the complex plane. Let  $C$  be Lipschitz constant for the domain  $|z| \leq 1$ . We have

$$|e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k} - e^{iE(2\pi\langle s\gamma_k \rangle)}| \leq C|2\pi\langle s\gamma_k \rangle - E(2\pi\langle s\gamma_k \rangle)|.$$

Hence, by a similar argument as in (a), if the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{Var}(2\pi\langle s\gamma_k \rangle)$  is finite then the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{Var}(e^{2\pi i s \gamma_k})$  is finite and by Theorem 4,  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$ . This proves (b).

REMARK. In case the  $m_k$  are bounded then it follows from a theorem of Y. Ito, T. Kamae and I. Shiokawa [3] that the converse of (b) holds, i.e. if  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$  then  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{Var}(2\pi\langle s\gamma_k \rangle)$  is finite.

4.5 An example. In the case of Chacon’s transformation, the height  $h_{k-1}$  of the  $(k-1)$ -st stack is  $h_{k-1} = \frac{3^k-1}{2}$  (see [1]), and  $\gamma_k$  assumes three values  $0, 3^k, \frac{3^k+1}{2}$ , with equal probability. The series

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^2} |1 + e^{2\pi i s 3^k} + e^{2\pi i s \frac{3^k+1}{2}}|^2\right)$$

can be shown to be divergent for all  $s \neq 0$  so that Chacon’s transformation has no non-trivial eigenvalues. This proves the well known fact that Chacon’s transformation is weakly mixing.

5. An expression for  $\frac{d\sigma_\alpha}{d\sigma}, \alpha \in e(T)$ .

5.1. We first describe a very concrete necessary and sufficient condition for  $e^{2\pi i s}, s \in [0, 1)$  to be an eigenvalue of  $T$ . For each  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we define a function  $\psi_k$  on  $\Omega_0$  as follows: Let

$$\begin{aligned} q_k(\omega) &= \text{least integer } \geq 0 \text{ such that } T^{-q_k(\omega)}(\omega) \in \Omega_k \\ &= h_k - \lambda_k(\omega) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\omega \notin \Omega^k, q_k(\tau\omega) = q_k(\omega) + f(\omega)$ . Define

$$\psi_k(\omega) = e^{2\pi i s q_k(\omega)} = e^{2\pi i s(-\lambda_k(\omega)+h_k-1)}.$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{k_n}(\omega)$  exists a.e. along some subsequence  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the limit function  $\psi$  satisfies  $\psi(\tau\omega) = e^{2\pi i s f(\omega)}\psi(\omega)$ , so that, by the proposition,  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$ . Conversely if  $e^{2\pi i s} \in e(T)$  for some  $s \in [0, 1)$ , then there exist real constants  $c_k$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (s\gamma_k(\omega) - c_k)$  converges a.e. (mod 1). Equivalently

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (s\gamma_k(\omega) - c_k) = s\lambda_n(\omega) - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k = s\lambda_n(\omega) - A_n$$

converges a.e. (mod 1), where  $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k$ . Since the  $A_n$  are constants,  $s\lambda_k$  converges a.e. (mod 1) along a subsequence. For the same reason, since  $s, h_k$  are constants,

$$sq_k(\omega) = sh_k - s\lambda_k(\omega) - s$$

converges a.e. (mod 1) along a further subsequence, say  $k_n$ , to a function  $\phi$ , so that  $e^{2\pi isq_{k_n}}$  converges a.e. to  $e^{2\pi i\phi}$ . We thus have:

**THEOREM 6.** *For  $s \in [0, 1)$ ,  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$  if and only if the sequence  $\psi_k = e^{2\pi isq_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converges along a subsequence to a function  $\psi$ . This function  $\psi$  then extends in a natural way to an eigenfunction of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi is}$ .*

Note that our argument in fact shows that  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$  if and only if given any increasing sequence  $k_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  of natural numbers there is a subsequence of it over which the functions  $\psi_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  converge a.e. to a function  $\psi$  which then extends to an eigenfunction of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi is}$ . Any two such limits differ by a multiplicative constant of absolute value one. Note also that  $e^{2\pi is} \in e(T)$  if and only if the  $\psi_k$  converge over a subsequence in the  $L^2$  norm.

We note that the functions  $\psi_k$  vanish outside  $\Omega_0$ . Since  $\Omega_0$  has finite measure the  $\psi_k$  are in  $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$  with bounded  $L^2$  norms. Any weak limit  $\psi$  of the collection  $\{\psi_k : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  satisfies the relation

$$\psi(\tau\omega) = e^{2\pi isf(\omega)}\psi(\omega).$$

If such a  $\psi$  is non-zero then it extends to an eigenfunction of  $T$ , and  $\psi$  is then an a.e. limit of the  $\psi_k$  over a subsequence. Thus we see that either the  $\psi_k$  converge weakly to zero or the  $\psi_k$  converge a.e. over a subsequence to a function which extends to an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi is}$ .

5.2. The maximal spectral type  $\sigma$  of  $U_T$  is given (up to a discrete measure) by the weak limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of the measures  $\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{m_k} |P_k(z)|^2 dz$ . We will assume in the rest of this section that the weak limit is indeed precisely equal to the maximal spectral type of  $U_T$ . Such is the case, for example, when the measure  $m$  is infinite or when none of the  $P_k$  vanish on  $S^1$ . If  $\alpha \in S^1$ , then the translate  $\sigma_\alpha$  of  $\sigma$  by  $\alpha$  is given by the weak limit of the measures  $\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{m_k} |P_k(\alpha z)|^2$ . It is known that if  $\alpha \in e(T)$  then  $\sigma_\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  are mutually absolutely continuous.

Fix  $s \in [0, 1)$ , write  $\alpha = e^{2\pi is}$  and let  $\psi_k$  be the functions as in Theorem 6 for this  $s$ . The correspondence  $U_T^n 1_{\Omega_0} \leftrightarrow z^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}$  extends by linearity to an invertible isometry  $S$  from the closed linear span  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\{U_T^n 1_{\Omega_0} : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  to  $L^2(S^1, \sigma)$ . We know from [1] that

$$1_{\Omega_0} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k P_j(U_T) \right) 1_{\Omega_k},$$

and one sees similarly that

$$\psi_k = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k P_j(\bar{\alpha} U_T) \right) 1_{\Omega_k},$$

$$S1_{\Omega_0} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k P_j(\bar{z}) \right) S1_{\Omega_k},$$

$$S\psi_k = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k P_j(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z}) \right) S1_{\Omega_k}.$$

Since  $S1_{\Omega_0} = 1$ , we see that

$$S\psi_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{P_j(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z})}{P_j(\bar{z})}.$$

By Theorem 6,  $\alpha \in e(T)$  if and only if the  $\psi_k$  converge over a subsequence to a function  $\psi$  in the  $L^2$  norm. Hence  $\alpha \in e(T)$  if and only if  $S\psi_k$  converge over a subsequence in the  $L^2$  norm. If  $\psi_k$  converge over a subsequence in the  $L^2$  norm to a function  $\psi$ , then  $(S\psi_k)$  will converge in the  $L^2$  norm over the same subsequence to  $S\psi$ . Any two subsequential limits of the  $\psi_k$  differ by a constant of absolute value one, hence any two subsequential limits of the  $S\psi_k$  will also differ by a constant of absolute value one. In view of the remark after Theorem 6, we see that if  $\alpha \in e(T)$  then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \left| \frac{P_j(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z})}{P_j(\bar{z})} \right|$$

converges in  $L^2$  norm as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  to the function  $|S\psi|$ , the convergence being over the full sequence of natural numbers. Hence, if  $\alpha \in e(T)$  then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \left| \frac{P_j(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z})}{P_j(\bar{z})} \right|^2$$

converges in  $L^1(S^1, \sigma)$  to  $|S\psi|^2$ .

When  $\alpha \in e(T)$ , a subsequential limit  $\psi$  of the  $\psi_k$  is the restriction to  $\Omega_0$  of an eigenfunction  $\psi'$  with eigenvalue  $\alpha$ . We have for such a subsequential limit  $\psi$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (U_T^n \psi, \psi) &= (U_T^n \psi' 1_{\Omega_0}, \psi' 1_{\Omega_0}) \\ &= (\alpha^n \psi' U_T^n 1_{\Omega_0}, \psi' 1_{\Omega_0}) \\ &= \alpha^n (U_T^n 1_{\Omega_0}, 1_{\Omega_0}) \\ &= \int_{S^1} (\alpha z)^n d\sigma \\ &= \int_{S^1} z^n d\sigma_\alpha, \quad (\text{where } \sigma_\alpha(A) = \sigma(\alpha^{-1}A)) \\ &= \int_{S^1} z^n \frac{d\sigma_\alpha}{d\sigma} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$(U_T^n \psi, \psi) = \int_{S^1} z^n |S\psi|^2 d\sigma, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{d\sigma_\alpha}{d\sigma} = |S\psi|^2,$$

and we have proved:

THEOREM 7. *If  $\alpha \in e(T)$  then*

$$\frac{d\sigma_\alpha}{d\sigma} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^k \left| \frac{P_j(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z})}{P_j(\bar{z})} \right|^2,$$

*convergence being in the  $L^1$  norm.*

We conclude with the query whether, when  $\alpha \notin e(T)$ , the measures  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_\alpha$  are mutually singular and further if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^k \left| \frac{P_j(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z})}{P_j(\bar{z})} \right|^2 = 0 \text{ a.e. } [\sigma]$$

in that case?

#### REFERENCES

1. J. R. Choksi and M. G. Nadkarni, *The maximal spectral type of a rank one transformation*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **37**(1994), 29–36.
2. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1953.
3. Y. Ito, T. Kamae and I. Shiokawa, *Point spectrum and Hausdorff dimension*, *Number Theory and Combinatorics*, (eds. J. Akiyama et al), World Scientific Publishing Co. Tokyo, 1985, 209–227.
4. M. Osikawa, *Point spectrum of non-singular flows*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **13**(1977), 167–172.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
McGill University  
Montreal, Quebec  
H3A 2K6*

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Bombay  
Vidyanagri  
Bombay 400 098  
India*