European Psychiatry S1015

Image 2:

	1st assessment	2nd assessment	P	
QOL				
EQ 5D-5L Health	63.7 (22.5)	71.9 (18.8)	0.001	0.39
EQ 5D-5L Value	0.80 (0.2)	0.83 (0.2)	0.089	
SQLS-R4				
SQLS-R4 Psychosocial	36.8 (21.9)	29.5 (20.6)	0.001	0.35
SQLS-R4 Vitality	39.3 (20.6)	32.3 (17.8)	0.001	0.38
SQLS-R4 Total	37.9 (20.9)	35.1 (10.1)	0.001	0.37
SSPI				
Total	10.9 (5.7)	6.0 (3.9)	0.001	1.13
Insight	1.8 (1.3(1.3 (1.2)	0.025	0.39
Negative symptoms	4.1 (2.6)	2.5 (2.3)	0.001	0.84
Disorganization	0.9 (1.1)	0.7 (0.8)	0.075	
Reality distorsion	1.1 (1.5)	0.4(1.1)	0.001	0.53
Anxiety-depression	1.7 (1.5)	0.6 (0.7)	0.001	0.94
Psychomotor excitation	0.5 (0.9)	0.1 (0.4)	0.001	0.53
VAVDI	37.8 (8.9)	35.1 (6.8)	0.002	0.34
PSP	39.2 (8.8)	46.1 (11.3)	0.001	0.68

Conclusions: These results of the present study outcomes have shown an improvement in both the perceived subjective and objective quality of life of patients. It seems patients and professional may have different criteria to evaluate HRQOL. The relevance of integrating patients' HRQOL assessment into intervention strategies for the treatment of serious mental disorders is highlighted.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV1550

Motivation as the driving force of functioning in psychotic disorders

E. Rosado^{1,2}*, A. M. Sánchez-Torres^{1,3}, G. Gil-Berrozpe^{1,2}, J. Chato^{1,2}, X. Ansorena^{1,4}, A. Zarzuela^{1,4}, J. I. Arrarás^{1,4} and M. J. Cuesta^{1,2}

¹Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Navarra; ²Servicio de Psiquiatría, Hospital Universitario de Navarra Basque Center on Cognition, Brain and Language; ³Departamento de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Pública de Navarra and ⁴Clínica de Rehabilitación de Salud Mental, Hospital Universitario de Navarra, PAMPLONA, Spain

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.2057

Introduction: In the last decades, research has focused on investigating cognition and psychopathological symptoms as variables contributing to functional outcomes. However, in recent years the study of motivation has attracted interest as a research target related to functional outcome (Miley *et al* Psychol Med. 2023;53 (5):2041-2049).

Objectives: We aimed to study the relationship of clinical symptoms, motivation, socio-affective capacity and cognition with

functioning in social and occupational areas in patients with a psychotic disorder.

Methods: A sample of 97 patients with a DSM-5 psychotic disorder diagnosis was included. Assessments included the Specific Levels of Functioning Scale (SLOF; Schneider et al. Soc Work Res Abstr 1983;19(3):9-21) to assess functioning; the Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History (CASH; Andreasen et al. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992; 49(8):615-23) for clinical symptoms; and the Cognitive Assessment Interview (CAI-Sp; Ventura et al. Schizophr Res 2010; 121(1-3): 24-31) and a comprehensive neuropsychological battery to assess cognition. Motivation and socio-affective capacity were assessed by means of the Quality of Life Scale (QLS; Heinrichs et al. Schizophr Bull. 1984; 10(3):388-98). Both domains were derived from items of the Intrapsychic Foundations subscale of the QLS. Motivation was derived from items 13, (sense of purpose), 14 (degree of motivation) and 15 (curiosity). Socioaffective capacity comprised items 20 (capacity for empathy) and 21 (capacity for engagement and emotional with the interviewer). Spearman correlations were calculated. Variables which correlated significantly (p<0.05) with SLOF scores were included in the regression analyses.

Results: All the clinical, cognitive and related with motivation and socio-affective capacity variables included in the analyses were significantly correlated with SLOF scores (Table 1), except for positive symptoms with SLOF activities and work. However, in the hierarchical analyses most of the variables were not significant. Specifically, regarding SLOF social scores, positive symptoms and motivation explained 51.5% of the variance. Motivation also explained 40.1% and 68% of the variance of the scores of SLOF activities and work, respectively (Table 2).

Image:

Table 1. Non-parametric correlations between SLOF scores and clinical, motivation and cognition scores.

	SLOF SOCIAL	SLOP activities	SLOP WORK
Positive symptoms	27**	12	03
Negative symptoms	56**	57**	61**
Disorganized symptoms	31**	28**	26°
Motivation	.66**	.76**	.79**
Socioaffective capacity	.56**	.51**	.51"
CAI patient	16	23*	35**
CAI family	39**	47**	59**
CAI rater	38**	48**	60**
Global cognition index	.31"	.38**	.47**
nc0.05: ** nc0.001			

SLOF: Specific Levels of Functioning; CAI: Cognitive Assessment Interview

Table 2. Hierarchical regression models of functioning.

Variables in the model	β	τ	R ² adjusted	Р
Positive symptoms	-1.17	-2.75	0.515	0.008
Motivation	0.41	2.19		0.034
Motivation	0.68	3.03	0.401	0.004
Motivation	0.93	5.15	0.68	< 0.001
	Positive symptoms Motivation Motivation	Positive symptoms -1.17 Motivation 0.41 Motivation 0.68	Positive symptoms -1.17 -2.75 Motivation 0.41 2.19 Motivation 0.68 3.03	Positive symptoms -1.17 -2.75 0.515 Motivation 0.41 2.19 Motivation 0.68 3.03 0.401

Conclusions: Motivation has a great value as a predictor factor in social, activities and work functioning. Therefore, motivation should be considered as a target related to improving functioning in early intervention programmes for psychotic disorders.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared