

ON TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANTS ASSOCIATED WITH A POLYNOMIAL WITH ISOLATED CRITICAL POINTS

NICOLAS DUTERTRE

*Université de Provence, Centre de Mathématiques et Informatique, 39 rue Joliot-Curie,
13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France
e-mail: dutertre@cmi.univ-mrs.fr*

(Received 30 April, 2003; accepted 7 January, 2004)

Abstract. We consider a polynomial $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with isolated critical points and we relate $\chi(f^{-1}(0))$ and $\chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\})$ to the topological degrees of polynomial maps defined in terms of f .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14P25.

1. Introduction. Let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_k): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a polynomial mapping and let $W = F^{-1}(0)$. Let G_1, \dots, G_l be polynomials. An interesting problem is the computation of $\chi(W)$ and $\chi(W \cap \{G_1 \geq 0, \dots, G_l \geq 0\})$ in terms of the polynomials F_i and G_j .

When W is compact, Szafraniec [17] and Bruce [4] proved that there exists a polynomial $P: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with an algebraically isolated critical point at the origin such that

$$\chi(W) = \frac{1}{2}((-1)^n - \deg_0 \nabla P),$$

where $\deg_0 \nabla P$ is the topological degree at the origin of the gradient of P . The study of the case of W non-compact has been done in [6, 18, 19], but only when $1 \leq k < n$ and W is a smooth manifold of dimension $n - k$. In [18], Szafraniec constructs a polynomial map $H: \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$. He proves that $H^{-1}(0) \subset B_R^{n+k}$, where B_R^{n+k} is a ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} centered at the origin with sufficiently big radius R , and that $\chi(W) = (-1)^k \deg h$, where $h = H/\|H\|: S_R^{n+k-1} \rightarrow S^{n+k-1}$ and $S_R^{n+k-1} = \partial B_R^{n+k}$. In [6], the authors consider a polynomial algebra A and they prove, assuming $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} A < +\infty$, that

$$\chi(W) \equiv \dim_{\mathbb{R}} A \pmod{2}. \quad (1)$$

This latter formula is refined in [19], where it is proved that there exist two bilinear symmetric forms Φ and Φ_M on A such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } n - k \text{ is odd } & \chi(W) = (-1)^k \text{signature } \Phi, \\ \text{if } n - k \text{ is even } & \chi(W) = \text{signature } \Phi_M. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In [8], we started the investigation of the case in which W admits a finite number of singularities. We generalize first formula (1) above and we obtain

$$\chi(W) + \Sigma_{\mu} \equiv \dim_{\mathbb{R}} A \pmod{2},$$

where Σ_μ is the sum of the Milnor numbers at the singularities of W . Then we generalize formulae (2) but only in the cases of curves ($k = n - 1$) and of odd-dimensional hypersurfaces ($k = 1$ and n is even).

The first aim of this paper is to solve the case of even-dimensional hypersurfaces with isolated singularities. Actually we give a new method that works for both parities. We consider a polynomial $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a finite number of critical points, some of them possibly lying in the fibre $f^{-1}(0)$. We make the additional assumption that $f(0) > 0$. Taking $(x, \lambda) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda)$ as a coordinate system for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we define four polynomial mappings H, K, L_1 and L_2 in the following way : $H(x, \lambda) = (\lambda x + \nabla f, f)$, $K(x, \lambda) = (\lambda x + \nabla f, \lambda f)$, $L_1(x, \lambda) = (\nabla f, \lambda f - 1)$ and $L_2(x, \lambda) = (\nabla f, \lambda f^2 - 1)$. Here ∇f denotes the gradient vector of f . We prove, in our Theorem 5.10, that the zero sets of these applications are compact and that, if n is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(0)) &= \text{deg } H + \text{deg } \nabla f - \text{deg } L_2, \\ \chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) &= 1 - \text{deg } K - \text{deg } L_1, \end{aligned}$$

and if n is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(0)) &= \text{deg } K - \text{deg } L_1, \\ \chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) &= 1 - \text{deg } H - \text{deg } \nabla f + \text{deg } L_2. \end{aligned}$$

By $\text{deg } H$, which we call the *total degree* of H , we mean the topological degree of the map $\frac{H}{\|H\|} : S_R^n \rightarrow S^n$, where $S_R^n = \partial B_R^{n+1}$ and $H^{-1}(0) \not\subseteq B_R^{n+1}$.

These formulae are global polynomial versions of a result due to Khimshiasvili on the Euler characteristic of the real Milnor fibre. It states that, if $g : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ is an analytic function-germ with an isolated critical point at the origin, then

$$\chi(g^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\varepsilon^n) = 1 - \text{sign } (-\delta)^n \text{deg}_0 \nabla g,$$

for any regular value δ of g , $0 < |\delta| \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. Here $\text{deg}_0 \nabla g$ is the topological degree of $\frac{\nabla g}{\|\nabla g\|} : S_\varepsilon^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. A proof of this can be found in [1], [10], [14] or [21].

The proof of our main theorem is based on Morse theory for manifolds with corners. Putting $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$, we study the critical points of Morse perturbations of $\omega|_{f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n}$, $\omega|_{\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n}$ and $\omega|_{\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n}$, where δ is a regular value of f close to 0. These critical points are in bijection with non-degenerate zeros of \tilde{H}_δ and \tilde{K}_δ , two appropriate perturbations of H and K , and their Morse indices are related to the local degree of \tilde{H}_δ and \tilde{K}_δ at those zeros. This gives a link between $\chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n)$ and $\chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n)$ and the topological degrees of H and K . Then we relate $\chi(f^{-1}(0))$ (respectively $\chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\})$) to $\chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n)$ (respectively $\chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n)$).

In Section 2, we recall some facts about Morse theory for manifolds with corners. In Section 3, we give methods for the computation of the total degree of a polynomial mapping. These methods will be useful in the application of our theorems to concrete examples. Section 4 is devoted to some technical lemmas : we relate a Morse index to a local topological degree. Finally we prove our degree formulae in Section 5.

Some computations are given at the end of the paper. They have been done with a program written by Andrzej Lecki. The author is very grateful to him and Zbigniew Szafraniec for giving him this program.

This research has been supported by a Marie Curie Fellowship of The European Community programme *Improving Human Research Potential and the Socio-economic Knowledge Base* under contract number *HPMFCT-2000-00645*. It was done at the *Centre de Recerca Matemàtica* in Barcelona. The author wishes to thank this institution for its hospitality.

2. Morse theory for manifolds with corners. We generalize the notion of correct critical points and Morse correct functions, defined for manifolds with boundary in [13], to the case of manifolds with corners. Then we relate the Euler characteristic of a manifold with corners to the indices of correct critical points.

Let us start with some basic facts on manifolds with corners. Our reference is [5]. A manifold with corners M is defined by an atlas of charts modelled on open subsets of \mathbb{R}_+^n . We write ∂M for its boundary. We shall make the additional assumption that the boundary is partitioned into pieces $\partial_i M$, themselves manifolds with corners, such that in each chart, the intersections with the coordinate hyperplanes $x_j = 0$ correspond to distinct pieces $\partial_i M$ of the boundary. For any set I of suffices, we write $\partial_I M = \bigcap_{i \in I} \partial_i M$ and we make the convention that $\partial_\emptyset M = M \setminus \partial M$.

Any n -manifold M with corners can be embedded in an n -manifold M^+ without boundary so that the pieces $\partial_i M$ extend to submanifolds $\partial_i M^+$ of codimension 1 in M^+ . We shall assume that M^+ is provided with a Riemannian metric.

Let M be a manifold with corners and $\omega : M^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth map. We consider the points P that are critical points of $\omega|_{\partial_I M^+}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. A critical point P is *correct* (respectively *Morse correct*) if, taking $I(P) := \{i \mid P \in \partial_i M\}$, P is a critical (respectively Morse critical) point of $\omega|_{\partial_{I(P)} M^+}$, and is not a critical point of $\omega|_{\partial_J M^+}$ for any proper subset J of $I(P)$.

Note that a 0-dimensional corner point P is always a critical point because in this case $\partial_{I(P)} M^+ = \{P\}$, which is a 0-dimensional manifold.

DEFINITION 2.2. The maps ω with all critical points Morse correct are called *Morse correct*.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The set of Morse correct functions is dense and open in the space of all maps $M^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. This is clear from classical Morse theory, because there is a finite number of pieces $\partial_I M^+$. \square

The index $\lambda(P)$ of ω at a Morse correct point P is defined to be that of $\omega|_{\partial_{I(P)} M^+}$. If P is a correct critical point of ω , $i \in I(P)$, and J is formed from $I(P)$ by deleting i , then in a chart at P with $\partial_J M$ mapping to \mathbb{R}_+^p and $\partial_{I(P)} M$ to the subset $x_1 = 0$, the function ω is non-critical, but its restriction to $x_1 = 0$ is. Hence $\partial\omega/\partial x_1 \neq 0$.

DEFINITION 2.4. We say that ω is *inward at P* if, for each $i \in I(P)$, we have $\partial\omega/\partial x_1 > 0$.

REMARK 2.5. By our convention, if $I(P) = \emptyset$, then ω is inward at P .

THEOREM 2.6. *If M is compact and ω is Morse correct, then*

$$\chi(M) = \sum \{(-1)^{\lambda(P)} \mid P \text{ is an inward Morse critical point}\}.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of stratified Morse theory [11, 12]. A good summary of the results we use can be found in [3, Section 2].

The manifold with corners M is a compact Whitney stratified set of M^+ , with stratum the $\partial_I M$. The function $\omega : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is easily seen to be a Morse function in the sense of [11] and so

$$\chi(M) = \sum \{\alpha(\omega, P) \mid P \text{ correct critical point}\},$$

where

$$\alpha(\omega, P) = 1 - \chi(\omega^{-1}(\omega(P) - \delta) \cap B(P, \varepsilon)),$$

with $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. Here $B(P, \varepsilon)$ is the ball centered at P of radius ε in the Riemannian manifold M^+ . If P belongs to $\partial_\emptyset M$ then $\alpha(\omega, P)$ is exactly $(-1)^{\lambda(P)}$. If P belongs to $\partial_I M$, $I \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha(\omega, P) = (-1)^{\lambda(P)} \cdot \alpha_{nor}(\omega, P)$, where $\alpha_{nor}(\omega, P)$ is the normal index of ω at P . It is defined as follows. Choose a normal slice V at P ; that is, a closed submanifold of M^+ of dimension $n - \dim \partial_I M$, that intersects $\partial_I M$ in P orthogonally. We obtain

$$\alpha_{nor}(\omega, P) = 1 - \chi(\omega^{-1}(\omega(P) - \delta) \cap B(P, \varepsilon) \cap V).$$

Let us compute this normal index. We can assume that $\omega(P) = 0$. Also we can choose a local chart (x_1, \dots, x_n) centered at P such that $\partial_I M$ is given by $\{x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ and V is given by $\{x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$, $k < n$. Locally M is the set $\{x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_k \geq 0\}$. Furthermore, since P is a correct point, $\partial\omega/\partial x_j(P) \neq 0$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and, by an appropriate change of coordinates, the restriction of ω to V is just the linear form

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_j}(P)x_j.$$

It is then straightforward to see that $\alpha_{nor}(\omega, P) = 1$ if $\partial\omega/\partial x_j(P) > 0$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and $\alpha_{nor}(\omega, P) = 0$ otherwise. This proves the theorem. \square

3. Total degree of a polynomial mapping. We study the topological degree on a big sphere of a polynomial mapping. Let (x_1, \dots, x_N) be a coordinate system in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a polynomial mapping such that $F^{-1}(0)$ is compact. There is $R \gg 0$ such that $F^{-1}(0) \subsetneq B_R^N$. Recall that $\deg F$ stands for the topological degree of $\frac{F}{\|F\|} : S_R^{N-1} \rightarrow S^{N-1}$. We give two methods due to Szafranec for computing $\deg H$. The first one [18] enables us to reduce this computation to the computation of a local degree at the origin. Let $I : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ be the inversion defined by $I(x) = x/\|x\|^2$, let d_i denote the degree of the polynomial F_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and let

$$F'(x) = (\|x\|^{2d_1} \cdot F_1 \circ I(x), \dots, \|x\|^{2d_N} \cdot F_N \circ I(x)) \text{ for } x \neq 0.$$

Then F' can be extended to a polynomial map $\mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that 0 is isolated in $F'^{-1}(0)$. Let $r = 1/R$; the map

$$\begin{aligned} S_r^{N-1} &\rightarrow S_R^{N-1} \\ x &\mapsto I(x) \end{aligned}$$

is of degree +1. Clearly, the maps $F' : S_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \circ I : S_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ are homotopic, and so, if r is small and if $\text{deg}_0 F'$ is the degree of $\frac{F'}{\|F'\|}$ around S_r^{N-1} , then $\text{deg } F = \text{deg}_0 F'$.

LEMMA 3.1. $\text{deg } F = \text{deg}_0 F'$.

Using the Eisenbud-Levine-Khimshiashvili's formula [9, 14], the computation of $\text{deg } H$ reduces to the problem of calculating a signature of an appropriate bilinear symmetric form. Unfortunately the formula of the above lemma is difficult to implement because it involves polynomials with a large number of monomials. However, if we add the assumption that the polynomial factor algebra $A_F = \frac{\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_N]}{(F_1, \dots, F_N)}$ is finite dimensional as a vector space over \mathbb{R} , then we can use the following more effective method. Let $\phi : A_F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Kronecker symbol or global residue on A_F . A description of this residue can be found in [2, 7, 16, 19, 20]. It is a linear functional with which we can define the following bilinear symmetric form Φ :

$$\Phi : A_F \times A_F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi(f, g) = \phi(fg).$$

THEOREM 3.2. *The form Φ is non-degenerate and*

$$\text{deg } F = \text{signature } \Phi.$$

Proof. See [20, Theorem 1.5]. □

Now we assume that $F^{-1}(0)$ is a finite set, which is realized if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} A_F < +\infty$. Let q_1, \dots, q_t be the zeros of F and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, let $\text{deg}_{q_i} F$ be the degree of $\frac{F}{\|F\|}$ around a small sphere centered at q_i . Let $P : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial. We wish to compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \text{sign } P(q_i) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} F.$$

We write $(x, \lambda) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda)$ for a coordinate system in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and we define $G : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ by $G(x, \lambda) = (F, \lambda P - 1)$.

LEMMA 3.3. *The set $G^{-1}(0)$ is finite and*

$$\sum_i \text{sign } P(q_i) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} F = \text{deg } G.$$

Proof. A point (x, λ) belongs to $G^{-1}(0)$ if and only if $F(x) = 0$ and $P(x) \neq 0$. Hence

$$G^{-1}(0) = \left\{ \left(q_i, \frac{1}{P(q_i)} \right) \mid P(q_i) \neq 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\text{deg } G = \sum_{i|P(q_i) \neq 0} \text{deg}_{(q_i, \frac{1}{P(q_i)})} G.$$

Changing F if necessary, we can assume that q_i is a non-degenerate zero of F . It is then a simple determinant computation to see that $(q_i, \frac{1}{P(q_i)})$ is a non-degenerate zero of G

and that

$$\text{deg}_{(q_i, \frac{1}{P(q_i)})} G = \text{sign } P(q_i) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} F. \quad \square$$

4. An index computation. We characterize a Morse correct critical point of an analytic function defined on an analytic manifold with boundary. We relate its Morse index to a local topological degree.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an analytic function and let $p \in f^{-1}(0)$ be such that $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$. From the implicit function theorem, $f^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth $(n - 1)$ -manifold in the neighborhood of p . Let $\omega : (\mathbb{R}^n, p) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \omega(p))$ be an analytic function defined around p . Let $H : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be given by

$$H(x, \lambda) = (\lambda \nabla \omega(x) + \nabla f(x), f(x)).$$

We shall study the situation at the point p .

LEMMA 4.1. *The function $\omega|_{\{f \neq 0\}}$ ($*$ is either \leq or \geq) admits a correct critical point at p if and only if there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $H(p, \lambda) = 0$. Furthermore λ is uniquely determined.*

Proof. A point $p \in f^{-1}(0)$ is a critical point of $\omega|_{\{f \neq 0\}}$ if and only if there exists μ such that $\nabla \omega(p) + \mu \nabla f(p) = 0$. Moreover it is correct if and only if $\mu \neq 0$. The number λ sought is thus $1/\mu$. If there is $\lambda' \neq \lambda$ with $H(p, \lambda') = 0$ then $\nabla \omega(p) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that p is correct. \square

LEMMA 4.2. *The function $\omega|_{\{f \neq 0\}}$ admits a Morse correct critical point at p if and only if there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $H(p, \lambda) = 0$ and $JH(p, \lambda) \neq 0$, JH being the Jacobian determinant of H . Furthermore, if s is the Morse index of $\omega|_{f^{-1}(0)}$ at p then*

$$(-1)^s = \text{sign } \lambda^n \times \text{sign } JH(p, \lambda).$$

Proof. Let $\bar{H} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be defined by

$$\bar{H}(x, \lambda) = (\nabla \omega(x) + \lambda \nabla f(x), f(x)).$$

In [18], Szafraniec proves in Lemma 1.4 that $\omega|_{f^{-1}(0)}$ has a Morse critical point at p if and only if there is a unique μ such that $\bar{H}(p, \mu) = 0$ and $J\bar{H}(p, \mu) \neq 0$. In this case, $(-1)^{s+1} = \text{sign } J\bar{H}(p, \mu)$. Now

$$J\bar{H}(p, \mu) = \det(\bar{a}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{i,j} &= \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(p) + \mu \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(p) \quad \text{for } (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2, \\ \bar{a}_{i,n+1} &= \bar{a}_{n+1,i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \bar{a}_{n+1,n+1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$J\bar{H}(p, \mu) = \mu^{n-1} \times \det(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n+1},$$

where

$$a_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(p) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(p) \quad \text{for } (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2,$$

and $a_{i,j} = \bar{a}_{i,j}$ otherwise. Putting $\lambda = 1/\mu$ and using the fact that $-\lambda \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_i}(p) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we see that $JH(p, \lambda) = -\lambda^{n-2} J\bar{H}(p, \mu)$. □

5. Degree formulas. Recall that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial with isolated critical points and that $f(0) > 0$. Let $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$. The polynomials H, K, L_1 and L_2 are defined this way : $H(x, \lambda) = (\lambda x + \nabla f, f)$, $K(x, \lambda) = (\lambda x + \nabla f, \lambda f)$, $L_1(x, \lambda) = (\nabla f, \lambda f - 1)$ and $L_2(x, \lambda) = (\nabla f, \lambda f^2 - 1)$.

By Lemma 3.3, we already know that $L_1^{-1}(0)$ and $L_2^{-1}(0)$ are finite. We shall describe the set $H^{-1}(0)$ and $K^{-1}(0)$. We define $\Sigma_f := \{\nabla f = 0\}$, $\Sigma_0 := \Sigma_f \cap f^{-1}(0)$ and $M := f^{-1}(0) \setminus \Sigma_0$. It is clear that M is either empty or a smooth manifold of dimension $n - 1$. The polynomial function $\omega|_M$ has a finite number of critical values [15, Corollary 2.8] which implies that the set C of critical points of $\omega|_M$ is bounded.

LEMMA 5.1. *A point p belongs to C if and only if there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $H(p, \lambda) = 0$. Furthermore λ is uniquely determined.*

Proof. Since $f(0) > 0$, each critical point of $\omega|_M$ is a correct critical point. The lemma is a consequence of Lemma 4.1. □

LEMMA 5.2. *A point p belongs to Σ_0 if and only if $H(p, 0) = 0$.*

Proof. This is clear. □

Let $\Pi_x : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection on the n first components.

COROLLARY 5.3. *The set $\Pi_x(H^{-1}(0))$ is $C \sqcup \Sigma_0$.*

Proof. This follows from the two previous lemmas. □

LEMMA 5.4. *The set $H^{-1}(0)$ is compact.*

Proof. We know that $\Pi_x(H^{-1}(0))$ is bounded because C is and Σ_0 is finite. Moreover it is closed because it is the algebraic set defined by the vanishing of f and all the 2×2 minors of the jacobian matrix of the map (f, ω) . Hence it is compact. For all $p \in \Pi_x(H^{-1}(0))$, there exists a unique $\lambda(p)$ such that

$$\lambda(p) \cdot p + \nabla f(p) = 0.$$

Since $p \neq 0$, the map of $\Pi_x(H^{-1}(0))$ given by $p \mapsto \lambda(p)$ is continuous and so $H^{-1}(0) = \{(p, \lambda(p)) \mid p \in \Pi_x(H^{-1}(0))\}$ is compact. □

LEMMA 5.5. *A point p belongs to C if and only if there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $K(p, \lambda) = 0$. Furthermore λ is uniquely determined.*

LEMMA 5.6. *A point p belongs to Σ_f if and only if $K(p, 0) = 0$.*

COROLLARY 5.7. *The set $\Pi_x(K^{-1}(0))$ equals $C \sqcup \Sigma_f$.*

LEMMA 5.8. *The set $K^{-1}(0)$ is compact.*

Proof. It is the union of $H^{-1}(0)$ and $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in \Sigma_f\}$. □

The expressions $\deg H$ and $\deg K$ do make sense. We choose $R > 0$ such that $C \cup \Sigma_f \subset B_R^n$. This implies that $f^{-1}(0) \cap B_R^n$ (respectively $\{f * 0\} \cap B_R^n, * \in \{\leq, \geq\}$) is a deformation retract of $f^{-1}(0)$ (respectively $\{f * 0\}$). Let us write $\Sigma_f = \{q_1, \dots, q_t\}$ with $\Sigma_0 = \{q_1, \dots, q_r\} (r \leq t)$. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.9. *If δ is a small regular value of f , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n) &= \chi(f^{-1}(0)) - \text{sign}(-\delta)^n \sum_{i=1}^r \deg_{q_i} \nabla f, \\ \chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) & \\ &= \chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) + \text{sign}(-\delta)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^r \deg_{q_i} \nabla f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first item is proved in exactly the same way as Khimshiasvili’s formula mentioned in the introduction. We refer to [1, 10, 14, 21] for a proof.

In order to prove the second equation, for $\delta > 0$, we use the facts that

$$\chi(\{f \geq 0\} \cap B_R^n) = \chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) + \chi(\{0 \leq f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n),$$

and

$$\chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) = \chi(\{f \leq 0\} \cap B_R^n) + \chi(\{0 \leq f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(f^{-1}(0) \cap B_R^n),$$

and that $\{0 \leq f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n$ retracts to $f^{-1}(0) \cap B_R^n$. Applying this to $-f$ gives the result for $\delta < 0$. □

THEOREM 5.10. *If n is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(0)) &= \deg H + \deg \nabla f - \deg L_2, \\ \chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) &= 1 - \deg K - \deg L_1. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(0)) &= \deg K - \deg L_1, \\ \chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) &= 1 - \deg H - \deg \nabla f + \deg L_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us choose $R' > 0$ such that $H^{-1}(0) \subsetneq B_{R'}^{n+1}$ and $K^{-1}(0) \subsetneq B_{R'}^{n+1}$. Since $\Pi_x(K^{-1}(0)) \subset C \cup \Sigma_f$, we can choose $R' \geq R$. Let $\delta \neq 0$ be a small regular value of f . We construct two appropriate deformations H_δ and K_δ of H and K in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\delta(x, \lambda) &= (\lambda x + \nabla f(x), f(x) - \delta), \\ K_\delta(x, \lambda) &= (\lambda x + \nabla f(x), \lambda(f(x) - \delta)). \end{aligned}$$

We study first the topological degree of $\frac{K_\delta}{\|K_\delta\|}$ around $S_{R'}^n$. Let

$$m = \min\{\|K(x, \lambda)\| \mid (x, \lambda) \in S_{R'}^n\}.$$

On $S_{R'}^n$, $\|K - K_\delta\| = \lambda\delta$ and, if we take δ such that $|\delta R'| < \frac{m}{2}$, then $\|K_\delta\| > \frac{m}{2}$ on $S_{R'}^n$. This implies that this degree is well defined. We denote it by $\deg(K_\delta, R')$.

If there is a point $(p, \lambda) \in S_R^n$ such that $K(p, \lambda)$ and $K_\delta(p, \lambda)$ point in opposite directions, then $\lambda p + \nabla f(p) = 0$, for K and K_δ have the same n first components. Hence $\lambda f(p)$ and $\lambda(f(p) - \delta)$ have opposite signs. This can happen only if $|f(p)| < |\delta|$. But in this case $\|K(p, \lambda)\| < |\delta R'| < \frac{m}{2}$, a contradiction. We have proved that $\text{deg}(K_\delta, R') = \text{deg } K$. Similarly, $\text{deg}(H_\delta, R') = \text{deg } H$.

Let $(p, \lambda) \in H_\delta^{-1}(0) \cap B_R^{n+1}$. By Lemma 4.1, p is a critical point of $\omega_{|f^{-1}(\delta)}$ and $\|p\| \leq R'$. Since on $\{R \leq \|x\| \leq R'\}$, $\omega_{|f^{-1}(0)}$ does not admit critical points, $\omega_{|f^{-1}(\delta)}$ does not admit critical points on $\{R \leq \|x\| \leq R'\}$, for δ sufficiently small. Hence $\|p\| \leq R$. Conversely, if p is a critical point of $\omega_{|f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n}$, then there exists λ such that $H_\delta(p, \lambda) = 0$. Taking δ small enough, p is close to $C \cup \Sigma_0$ and so, by continuity, (p, λ) is close to $H^{-1}(0)$. Hence $(p, \lambda) \in B_R^{n+1}$. We have proved that $\Pi_x(H_\delta^{-1}(0) \cap B_R^{n+1})$ is exactly the set of critical points of $\omega_{|f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n}$ that we denote by C_δ . Similarly $\Pi_x(K_\delta^{-1}(0) \cap B_R^{n+1}) = C_\delta \sqcup \Sigma_f$.

Let us compute $\text{deg}(H, R')$. We choose a function $\tilde{\omega} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that uniformly approximates ω in the Whitney C^2 -topology and such that $\tilde{\omega}_{|f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n}$ is Morse correct. One notices that, since the gradient of ω is outward pointing along $f^{-1}(0) \cap S_R^{n-1}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{|f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n}$ is not inward at any critical point lying in $f^{-1}(\delta) \cap S_R^{n-1}$. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ be the set of critical points of $\tilde{\omega}_{|f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n}$ lying in $\{\|x\| < R\}$ and let $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ be the set of their respective indices. Since $f(0) > 0$, $\omega_{|f \geq \delta}$ and $\omega_{|f \leq \delta}$ are correct and so are $\tilde{\omega}_{|f \geq \delta}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{|f \leq \delta}$.

By Lemma 4.1, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there exists $\lambda_j \neq 0$ such that $\lambda_j \nabla \tilde{\omega}(p_j) + \nabla f(p_j) = 0$. By Lemma 4.2, each (p_j, λ_j) is a non-degenerate zero of \tilde{H}_δ , that is defined by

$$\tilde{H}_\delta(x, \lambda) = (\lambda \nabla \tilde{\omega}(x) + \nabla f(x), f - \delta),$$

and

$$(-1)^{s_j} = \text{sign } \lambda_j^n \times \text{sign } J\tilde{H}_\delta(p_j, \lambda_j).$$

Summing over all the points p_j and using the fact that \tilde{H}_δ is close to H_δ , we obtain

$$\text{deg}(H_\delta, R') = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign } \lambda_j^n \times (-1)^{s_j}.$$

We have to compute $\text{deg}(K_\delta, R')$. First we see that, putting

$$\tilde{K}_\delta(x, \lambda) = (\lambda \nabla \tilde{\omega}(x) + \nabla f(x), \lambda(f - \delta)),$$

the points (p_j, λ_j) are non-degenerate zeros of \tilde{K}_δ and

$$J\tilde{K}_\delta(p_j, \lambda_j) = \lambda_j J\tilde{H}_\delta(p_j, \lambda_j).$$

Hence

$$(-1)^{s_j} = \text{sign } \lambda_j^{n-1} \times \text{sign } J\tilde{K}_\delta(p_j, \lambda_j).$$

The points $(q_i, 0)$ are the other zeros of \tilde{K}_δ . Taking a Morse approximation of f around a point q_i , if necessary, which gives us an approximation of \tilde{K}_δ near $(q_i, 0)$, we prove

that

$$\text{deg}_{(q_i,0)} \tilde{K}_\delta = \text{sign}(f(q_i) - \delta) \times \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f.$$

Finally we get that

$$\text{deg}(K_\delta, R') = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign } \lambda_j^{n-1} \times (-1)^{s_j} + \sum_{i=1}^t \text{sign}(f(q_i) - \delta) \times \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f.$$

Now we relate these two degrees to Euler characteristics. By Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{s_j}, \\ \chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) &= 1 + \sum_{j|\lambda_j < 0} (-1)^{s_j}, \\ \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) &= \sum_{j|\lambda_j > 0} (-1)^{s_j}. \end{aligned}$$

The term 1 that appears in the second formula is the contribution of the point 0, which is a Morse critical point of $\omega_{\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n}$. Note also that, since $\nabla \omega$ is outward pointing along S_R^{n-1} , no inward critical point lies on this sphere. From the two latter formulae, we deduce that

$$\chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m \text{sign } \lambda_j \times (-1)^{s_j}.$$

Collecting all this information, we have, if n is even,

$$\chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n) = \text{deg } H, \tag{A}$$

$$\chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \sum_{i=1}^t \text{sign}(f(q_i) - \delta) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f = 1 - \text{deg } K. \tag{B}$$

If n is odd, then

$$\chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_R^n) + \sum_{i=1}^t \text{sign}(f(q_i) - \delta) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f = \text{deg } K, \tag{C}$$

$$\chi(\{f \geq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) - \chi(\{f \leq \delta\} \cap B_R^n) = 1 - \text{deg } H. \tag{D}$$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $\text{sign}(f(q_i) - \delta) = -\text{sign}(\delta)$ and for $i \in \{r+1, \dots, t\}$, we have $\text{sign}(f(q_i) - \delta) = \text{sign } f(q_i)$. Combining this with Lemma 5.9 yields, if n is even,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(0)) - \sum_{i=1}^r \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f &= \text{deg } H, \\ \chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) - \sum_{i=r+1}^t \text{sign}(f(q_i)) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f &= 1 - \text{deg } K. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd, then

$$\chi(f^{-1}(0)) + \sum_{i=r+1}^t \text{sign}(f(q_i)) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f = \text{deg } K,$$

$$\chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f = 1 - \text{deg } H.$$

Finally, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f = \text{deg } \nabla f - \text{deg } L_2$$

and

$$\sum_{i=r+1}^t \text{sign } f(q_i) \cdot \text{deg}_{q_i} \nabla f = \text{deg } L_1. \quad \square$$

EXAMPLES. (1) Let $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^2x_2^5 + x_1^4x_2^3 + 5x_2^3 - 5x_1^2x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_1^2$. The computer gives that $\dim \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2]/(f, f_{x_1}, f_{x_2}) = 13$ so that $f^{-1}(0)$ may admit singularities. Let us consider H and $K : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$H(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1x_3 + f_{x_1}, x_3(x_2 - 1) + f_{x_2}, f),$$

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1x_3 + f_{x_1}, x_3(x_2 - 1) + f_{x_2}, f_{x_3}).$$

Here we use the distance function $\omega(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2)$. Since $f(0, 1) = 1 > 0$, we can apply the previous theorems. Using methods of Section 3, we find $\text{deg } H = 5$, $\text{deg } K = 1$, $\text{deg } \nabla f = -4$, $\text{deg } L_1 = -1$ and $\text{deg } L_2 = 1$. By our theorem, we have

$$\chi(f^{-1}(0)) = 5 + (-4) - 1 = 0,$$

$$\chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) = 1 + (-1) + (-1) = -1.$$

(2) Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2x_2^3 + x_1x_2^4 - 2x_1^3 - 2x_1^2x_2 - x_2^3 - x_1x_2 + 2x_1x_3 + x_3^2 + 2x_1 + 1$. First $\dim \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f, f_{x_1}, f_{x_2}, f_{x_3}) = 6$, so that $f^{-1}(0)$ may have singularities. We find that $\text{deg } H = 3$, $\text{deg } K = 5$, $\text{deg } \nabla f = -2$ and $\text{deg } L_1 = \text{deg } L_2 = 0$. Hence

$$\chi(f^{-1}(0)) = 5 - 0 = 5,$$

$$\chi(\{f \geq 0\}) - \chi(\{f \leq 0\}) = 1 - 3 - (-2) = 0.$$

REMARK 5.11. Formulas given in Theorem 5.10 are still true with the weaker hypothesis that the set of critical points of f is compact and the proof is similar to the one we presented above. However, in that case, the maps H, K, L_1 and L_2 can not admit a finite number of zeros and so their total degrees are more difficult to compute.

REFERENCES

1. V. I. Arnold, Index of a singular point of a vector field, the Petrovski-Oleinik inequality, and mixed Hodge structures, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12** (1978), 1–14.
2. E. Becker, J. P. Cardinal, M. F. Roy and Z. Szafraniec, Multivariate Bezoutians, Kronecker symbol and Eisenbud & Levine formula, in *Algorithms in algebraic geometry and applications, Progress in Mathematics* **143** (Birkhauser, 1996), 79–104.
3. L. Brocker and M. Kuppe, Integral geometry of tame sets, *Geometriae Dedicata* **82** (2000), 285–323.
4. J. W. Bruce, Euler characteristics of real varieties, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), 547–552.
5. J. Cerf, Topologie de certains espaces de plongements, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **89** (1961), 227–380.
6. P. Dudzinski, A. Lecki, P. Nowak-Przygodzki and Z. Szafraniec, On the topological invariance of the Milnor number mod 2, *Topology* **32** (1993), 573–576.
7. N. Dutertre, An algebraic formula for the Euler characteristic of some semi-algebraic sets, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **139** (1999), 41–60.
8. N. Dutertre, On affine complete intersections with isolated singularities, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **146**, No. 1–2 (2001), 129–147.
9. D. Eisenbud and H. I. Levine, An algebraic formula for the degree of a C^∞ map-germ, *Ann. of Math.* **106** (1977), 19–44.
10. T. Fukui, Mapping degree formula for 2-parameter bifurcation of function-germs, *Topology* **32** (1993), 567–571.
11. M. Goresky and R. Mac Pherson, *Stratified Morse theory* (Springer-Verlag, 1988).
12. H. Hamm, On stratified Morse theory, *Topology* **38** (1999), 427–438.
13. H. Hamm and Tráng, Lê Dũng, Un théorème de Zariski du type de Lefschetz, *Ann. Sci. Ecol. Norm. Sup. (3)* **6** (1973), 317–355.
14. G. M. Khimshiashvili, On the local degree of a smooth map, *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR* **85** (1977), 309–311.
15. J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. Math. Stud. **61** (Princeton University Press, 1968).
16. G. Scheja and U. Storch, Über Spurfunktionen bei vollstandigen Durschnitten, *J. Reine Angew Math.* **278/279** (1975), 174–190.
17. Z. Szafraniec, On the Euler characteristic of analytic and algebraic sets, *Topology* **26** (1986), 411–414.
18. Z. Szafraniec, The Euler characteristic of algebraic complete intersections, *J. Reine Angew Math.* **397** (1989), 194–201.
19. Z. Szafraniec, A formula for the Euler characteristic of a real algebraic manifold, *Manuscripta Math.* **85** (1994), 345–360.
20. Z. Szafraniec, Topological degree and quadratic forms, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **141** (1999), 299–314.
21. C. T. C. Wall, Topological invariance of the Milnor number mod 2, *Topology* **22** (1983), 345–350.