

## A COMPARISON OF EIGENVALUES OF TWO STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEMS

BY  
YISONG YANG

ABSTRACT. We compare, under some assumptions on mass density, the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville problems satisfying homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann boundary condition.

**1. Introduction.** We consider in this note the following two eigenvalue problems satisfying the Dirichlet and the Neumann boundary condition respectively

$$(1) \quad \phi''(x) + \lambda p(x)\phi(x) = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad \phi(-1) = \phi(1) = 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \psi''(x) + \mu p(x)\psi(x) = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad \psi'(-1) = \psi'(1) = 0$$

where  $p(x) > 0$  is continuous over  $[-1, 1]$ . We have two countable sets of eigenvalues  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$  and  $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots$  with  $\lambda_n, \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$(3) \quad \mu_n < \lambda_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

The recent work of Bandle and Philippin [1] sharpens the inequality (3) which states that for the mass density  $p(x)$  satisfying  $p(-x) = p(x)$  and  $p(x)$  increasing in  $(-1, 0)$ , we have

$$\mu_n \leq \lambda_n - 2\lambda_1, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots.$$

The aim of the present note is to continue their work and study another aspect of the problem: we establish the comparison inequality  $\lambda_n \leq \mu_{n+2} - 2\mu_2$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is interesting to compare our condition on  $p(x)$  below with that in [1] stated above.

**2. Main Result.** In the following three preliminary lemmas we assume  $p(x) \in C^1[-1, 1]$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $n \geq 2$ . If  $(\psi_n, \mu_n)$  is the  $n$ -th eigenpair of the problem (2), then  $(v_{n-1}, \mu_n)$  is the  $(n-1)$ -st eigenpair of

$$(4) \quad \left(\frac{v'}{p}\right)' + \mu v = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad v(-1) = v(1) = 0$$

---

Received July 21, 1987, revised August 16, 1989.

AMS subject classification: 34B25.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1990.

where

$$(5) \quad v_{n-1}(x) = \int_{-1}^x p(s)\psi_n(s) ds.$$

PROOF. Substituting  $(\psi_n, \mu_n)$  into (2) and integrating, we get

$$(6) \quad \psi_n'(x) + \mu_n \int_{-1}^x p(s)\psi_n(s) ds = 0,$$

that is,

$$\left(\frac{v_{n-1}'}{p}\right)' + \mu_n v_{n-1} = 0.$$

The boundary condition of  $v_{n-1}$  follows obviously from Eq. (6).

It is an elementary fact that  $\{v_n\}$  forms an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(-1, 1)$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $(v_1, \mu_2)$  and  $(v_n, \mu_{n+1})$  be the first and the  $n$ -th eigenpairs of the problem (4). Then  $w_n = v_n/v_1$ ,  $\sigma_n = \mu_{n+1} - \mu_2$  is the  $n$ -th eigenpair of the singular boundary value problem

$$(7) \quad \left(\frac{v_1^2 w'}{p}\right)' + \sigma v_1^2 w = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -1^+} w'(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} w'(x) = 0.$$

PROOF. It is easily checked that  $w_n$  satisfies the equation in (7) over  $(-1, 1)$  with  $\sigma = \sigma_n$ .

For  $x \rightarrow 1^-$ , using L'Hôpital's rule, (4), and (5), we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} w'_n(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{v'_n v_1 - v_n v'_1}{v_1^2} = \frac{1}{2v_1'(1)^2} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (v'_n v_1 - v_n v'_1)'' = 0.$$

Similarly,  $w'_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \rightarrow -1^+$ .

Conversely, from the equality

$$\int_{-1}^1 f w_n v_1^2 dx = \int_{-1}^1 (f v_1) v_n dx, \quad f \in L^2((-1, 1), v_1^2 dx), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we can verify that  $\{w_n\}$  forms an orthogonal basis of  $L^2((-1, 1), v_1^2 dx)$ .

The observations given above lead us to the conclusion that  $\{(w_n, \sigma_n)\}$  is a complete set of eigenpairs of the singular boundary value problem (7).

LEMMA 3.  $u_n = v_1 w'_{n+1}/p$ ,  $\gamma_n = \mu_{n+2} - 2\mu_2$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is a solution of the singular eigenvalue problem

$$(8) \quad u'' - [2\left(\frac{v_1'}{v_1}\right)^2 - \frac{p'v_1'}{pv_1}]u + \gamma pu = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -1^+} u(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} u(x) = 0.$$

The verification of this lemma is straightforward.

Now we can state our main result of this note:

THEOREM 1. *If  $p(x)$  satisfies (i)  $p(-x) = p(x)$  and (ii)  $p(x)$  is increasing in  $(0, 1)$ , then*

$$(9) \quad \lambda_n \leq \mu_{n+2} - 2\mu_2, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

PROOF. First we assume  $p(x) \in C^1[-1, 1]$ . Since  $v_1$  satisfies the problem

$$(10) \quad \left(\frac{v'}{p}\right)' + \mu_2 v = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad v(-1) = v(1) = 0$$

where  $p(-x) = p(x)$  and the solution space of (10) is one-dimensional, we can conclude that  $v_1(-x) = v_1(x)$ . In particular  $v'_1(0) = 0$ . Consequently, from (10) follows:

$$(11) \quad \frac{v'_1(x)}{p(x)} = -\mu_2 \int_0^x v_1(s) ds.$$

As the first eigenfunction of the problem (4),  $v_1$  is of constant sign in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ ; so (11) gives us  $v'_1/v_1 < 0$  for  $x > 0$ . Under the hypothesis, that  $p(x)$  is increasing in  $(0, 1)$ , we have  $p'v'_1/v_1 \leq 0$  for  $x > 0$ . By symmetry, we obtain  $p'v'_1/v_1 \leq 0$  for  $x < 0$ . In particular,

$$(12) \quad 2\left(\frac{v'_1}{v_1}\right)^2 - \frac{p'v'_1}{pv_1} \geq 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1).$$

Because (8) is a singular boundary value problem, we cannot apply the classical monotonicity theorem (cf., e.g., [2, p. 174]) directly to the problems (1) and (8) and using (12) to conclude that

$$(13) \quad \gamma_n \geq \lambda_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and hence (9). But, still, the inequality (13) can be established by imitating the argument in the proof of the classical monotonicity theorem ([2, p. 174]).

In fact, it follows from the well-known oscillation theorem ([2, p. 174]) that, as the  $n$ -th eigenfunction of (4),  $v_n$  has exactly  $n - 1$  zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ . Hence so does  $w_n$ . Consequently,  $w'_n(x)$  has at least  $\max(n - 2, 0)$  zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ . This proves that  $u_n$  has at least  $n + 1$  zeros on  $[-1, 1]$ .

Suppose, otherwise,  $\gamma_n < \lambda_n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\phi_n$  be the  $n$ -th eigenfunction of the problem (1) and  $\alpha < \beta$  two consecutive zeros of  $u_n$ . We claim that there exists at least one zero of  $\phi_n$  in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Otherwise we can find two consecutive zeros  $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$  of  $\phi_n$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ . Since  $\phi_n$  is the first eigenfunction of (1) over  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ , we have, by virtue of (8) and the standard minimax principle for regular eigenvalue problems, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left( (u'_n)^2 + \left[ 2\left(\frac{v'_1}{v_1}\right)^2 - \frac{p'v'_1}{pv_1} \right] u_n^2 \right) dx \Big/ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} pu_n^2 dx \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \left\{ \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \left( (u')^2 + \left[ 2\left(\frac{v'_1}{v_1}\right)^2 - \frac{p'v'_1}{pv_1} \right] u^2 \right) dx \Big/ \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} pu^2 dx \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \left\{ \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} (u')^2 dx \Big/ \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} pu^2 dx \right\} \\ &= \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

This achieves a contradiction.

Now, since  $u_n$  has at least  $n + 1$  zeros on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $\phi_n$  has at least  $n$  zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ . This contradicts the assertion of the oscillation theorem ([2, p. 174]) that  $\phi_n$  has exactly  $n - 1$  zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ .

Therefore the inequality (13) is proved for  $p(x) \in C^1[-1, 1]$ .

If  $p(x) \in C^0[-1, 1]$ , we can approximate  $p$  in  $C^0[-1, 1]$  by a suitable sequence of functions  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  taken from  $C^1[-1, 1]$ . The continuous dependence of  $\lambda_n$  and  $\mu_n$  on  $p$  again yields the inequality (13) (cf. [1]).

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

**3. A More General Theorem.** We can also apply Theorem 1 to some other problems.

First observe that the theorem holds on any interval  $[a, b]$  provided we assume that  $p(x)$  is even about the point  $x = (a+b)/2$  and increasing over the interval  $((a+b)/2, b)$ .

Consider the problems

$$(14) \quad (p(x)\phi'(x))' + \lambda q(x)\phi(x) = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad \phi(-1) = \phi(1) = 0$$

and

$$(15) \quad (p(x)\psi'(x))' + \mu q(x)\psi(x) = 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \quad \psi'(-1) = \psi'(1) = 0$$

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $p(-x) = p(x)$ ,  $q(-x) = q(x)$  and  $p(x)q(x)$  is increasing in  $(0, 1)$ , then the inequality (9) still holds. Here we keep the assumption  $p, q > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Under the change of variables:

$$t = \int_{-1}^x \frac{ds}{p(s)}, \quad L = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{p(s)},$$

the problems (14) and (15) become

$$(16) \quad \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \lambda p(x(t))q(x(t))\phi = 0 \text{ in } (0, L), \quad \phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0$$

and

$$(17) \quad \frac{d^2\psi}{dt^2} + \mu p(x(t))q(x(t))\psi = 0 \text{ in } (0, L), \quad \psi'(0) = \psi'(L) = 0.$$

Now since  $p$  is even with respect to  $x = 0$ , so  $x = 0$  corresponds to  $t = L/2$ . Because  $(pq)(x(t))$  is even with respect to  $t = L/2$  and increasing in  $(L/2, L)$ , applying Theorem 1 to (16) and (17) we see immediately that  $\lambda_n, \mu_n$  satisfy (9).

COROLLARY 1. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2, we have  $\mu_3 > 2\mu_2$ .*

COROLLARY 2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2, we have the following lower bound estimate for the gap of the first two nonzero eigenvalues of the Neumann problem (15):*

$$\mu_3 - \mu_2 \geq \lambda_1 + \mu_2.$$

**Acknowledgements.** The author wishes to thank Professor George Knightly for helpful conversations and the referee for valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Bandle and G. Philippin, *An inequality for eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987) 34–36.
2. H. F. Weinberger, *A First Course in Partial Differential Equations*, Xerox College Pub., Lexington, Massachusetts, Toronto, 1965.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01003*

*Current address:*

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of New Mexico  
Albuquerque, NM 87131  
U. S. A.*