

## LOCAL ENDOMORPHISM NEAR-RINGS

by CARTER G. LYONS and GARY L. PETERSON

(Received 6th July 1987)

The purpose of this paper is to study the consequences of an endomorphism near-ring of a finite group being a local near-ring and the existence of such near-rings. As we shall see in Section 2, an endomorphism near-ring of a finite group being local gives us some information about both the structure of the group (Theorem 2.2) and the automorphisms of the group lying in the near-ring (Theorem 2.3). Existence of local endomorphism near-rings of finite groups is considered in Section 3 where we obtain as our main result that any  $p$ -group of automorphisms of a  $p$ -group containing the inner automorphisms always generates a local endomorphism near-ring. In particular, we get as a corollary that the endomorphism near-ring of a finite group  $G$  generated by the inner automorphisms of  $G$  is local if and only if  $G$  is a  $p$ -group. The third section concludes with a discussion of endomorphism near-rings of dihedral 2-groups and generalized quaternion groups.

### 1. Preliminaries

We shall follow the conventions of [7] with regard to notation and terminology, while our basic reference on local near-rings is [6] suitably modified to the aforementioned specifications. In particular, this means that a near-ring  $R$  is *local* if the set

$$L = \{r \in R \mid r \text{ does not have a right inverse}\}$$

is a right  $R$ -subgroup of  $R$ .

Throughout,  $G$  will denote a finite group written additively (but not necessarily abelian). The sets of inner automorphisms, automorphisms, and endomorphisms of  $G$  will be denoted  $\text{Inn}(G)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , and  $\text{End}(G)$ , respectively. If  $S$  is a semigroup of endomorphisms of  $G$ ,  $S$  generates the *endomorphism near-ring*  $R$  under pointwise addition and composition of functions which is a distributively generated (d.g.) near-ring. The endomorphism near-rings generated by  $\text{Inn}(G)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , and  $\text{End}(G)$  will be respectively denoted  $I(G)$ ,  $A(G)$ , and  $E(G)$ .

As in [7], we shall say that an endomorphism near-ring  $R$  generated by a semigroup of endomorphisms  $S$  is *tame* when  $\text{Inn}(G) \leq S$ . The reader should keep in mind that the notion of a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  being an  $R$ -submodule (or  $R$ -subgroup) coincides with the notion of  $H$  being an  $R$ -ideal when  $R$  is tame [7, Lemma 10.7]. Moreover, it is easy to see that this equivalence extends to quotients of  $G$  by  $R$ -submodules:

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $R$  is a tame endomorphism near-ring of  $G$ ,  $H$  is an  $R$ -ideal of  $G$ , and  $\bar{G} = G/H$ , then a subgroup  $\bar{K}$  of  $\bar{G}$  is an  $R$ -submodule if and only if  $\bar{K}$  is an  $R$ -ideal of  $\bar{G}$ .*

Finally, the standard radicals ( $J_2(R)$ ,  $J_1(R)$ , and  $J_0(R)$ ) are all the same when  $R$  is a tame endomorphism near-ring and we shall denote the radical in this setting by  $J(R)$ .

If  $H$  and  $K$  are subgroups of a group  $G$ , we will use  $[H, K]$  to denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the commutators  $[h, k]$ ,  $h \in H, k \in K$ . The proof of Lemma 10.17 of [7] can be easily modified to obtain:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $(R, S)$  be a d.g. near-ring and  $G$  be an  $(R, S)$ -group. If  $H$  and  $K$  are  $(R, S)$ -subgroups of  $G$ , then  $[H, K]$  is an  $(R, S)$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*

In particular, we have that commutators of  $R$ -ideals in  $G$  are  $R$ -ideals when  $R$  is a tame endomorphism near-ring of  $G$ .

From time to time we will make use of the following notions from group theory: An element  $\alpha$  in  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is said to *stabilize* a series

$$0 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq G_2 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$$

of subgroups of  $G$  if  $(g + G_i)\alpha = g + G_i$  for all  $g \in G_{i+1}$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . In this case,  $1 - \alpha$  annihilates the series and is nilpotent of degree  $n$  in any endomorphism near-ring containing  $1$  and  $\alpha$ . A subgroup  $A$  of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is called a *stability group* of  $G$  if there is a series of subgroups

$$0 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$$

stabilized by each element of  $A$ . Using  $\pi(G)$  to denote the set of primes dividing  $|G|$ , a basic and easily proved result about stability groups is the following (or see [8, Lemma 5] which is an even better result):

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $A$  is a stability group of  $G$ , then  $\pi(A) \leq \pi(G)$ .*

**2. Consequences of localness**

Let  $R$  be a tame endomorphism near-ring of a group  $G$  that is local. We begin this section by noting that  $L = J(R)$  [6, Theorem 2.10] and proceed to obtain some results about  $G$  and  $R/L$ . Let

$$0 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq G_2 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$$

be an  $R$ -principal series (that is, a maximal series of  $R$ -submodules) of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a tame endomorphism near-ring of  $G$  that is local and let  $\bar{g}$  be any nonzero element of  $G_i/G_{i-1}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ann}_R(\bar{g}) = \text{Ann}_R(G_i/G_{i-1}) = J(R)$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** Clearly we have

$$\text{Ann}_R(\bar{g}) \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(G_i/G_{i-1}) \supseteq J(R) = L$$

Since  $L$  is the unique maximal  $R$ -subgroup of  $R$  [6, Theorem 2.2],  $L \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(\bar{g})$  and the result follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $R$  is a tame endomorphism near-ring of  $G$  that is local, then:*

- (i)  $G_i/G_{i-1} \simeq R/L$  as  $R$ -modules for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- (ii)  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $G$  is a  $p$ -group.
- (iv)  $R/L$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p$ .
- (v) The series  $0 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$  is a central series.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\bar{g}$  be a nonzero element of  $G_i/G_{i-1}$ . As  $\bar{g}R = G_i/G_{i-1}$ ,  $G_i/G_{i-1} \simeq R/\text{Ann}_R(\bar{g}) = R/L$  and  $R$ -modules.

(ii) This follows because  $R/L$  is a near-field [6, Corollary 2.11] and because the additive group of a finite near-field is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group.

(iii) is now immediate.

(iv) Since  $R/L \leq \text{End}(G_i/G_{i-1})$ ,  $\text{End}(G_i/G_{i-1})$  is a ring, and  $R/L$  is a near-field,  $R/L$  must be a finite division ring which is a field and the characteristic is  $p$  by (i) and (ii).

(v) Since  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and  $G_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $[G_i/G_{i-1}, G/G_{i-1}] < G_i/G_{i-1}$ . Thus  $[G_i/G_{i-1}, G/G_{i-1}] = 0$  since  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  is a simple  $R$ -module and the result follows.

We next obtain some information about the group of automorphisms lying in a tame endomorphism near-ring that is local.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $R$  is a tame endomorphism near-ring of  $G$  that is local. Let  $A = \text{Aut}(G) \cap R$ ,  $(R/L)^* = R/L - \{0\}$ , and  $\delta: A \rightarrow (R/L)^*$  be the multiplicative homomorphism obtained by restricting the natural projection from  $R$  onto  $R/L$  to  $A$ .*

- (i)  $\ker \delta$  is the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $A$  where  $p$  is the prime dividing  $|G|$ .
- (ii)  $P$  has a complement  $K$  in  $A$  which is cyclic and  $|K|$  divides  $p^n - 1$  where  $p^n = |R/L|$ .

**Proof.** (i) First note that if  $\alpha \in A$  has order a power of  $p$ , then  $\alpha\delta = 1$  since  $|(R/L)^*| = p^n - 1$  is relatively prime to  $p$ . Conversely suppose  $\alpha \in \ker \delta$  and consider an  $R$ -principal series  $0 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$  of  $G$ . Since  $1 - \alpha \in L$  and  $L = \text{Ann}_R(G_i/G_{i-1})$ ,  $\alpha$  stabilizes this series and hence  $|\alpha|$  is a power of  $p$  by Lemma 1.3. The result now follows.

(ii) This follows from the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem [1, p. 221] and from the fact that a multiplicative subgroup of finite order in a field is cyclic.

### 3. Some local endomorphism near-rings

We begin this section with an elementary group theory result whose proof we include for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group,  $A$  a  $p$ -group of automorphisms of  $G$ , and  $H$  a minimal  $A$ -invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $A$  acts trivially on  $H$ .*

**Proof.** Let us momentarily switch to the usual group theory conventions of writing  $G$  as a multiplicative group and indicating the action of an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  by exponentiation (i.e.,  $g\alpha = g^\alpha$  for  $g \in G$ ). Let  $[H, A]$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the commutators  $[h, \alpha] = h^{-1}h^\alpha$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $[h, \alpha]^\beta = [h^\beta, \alpha^\beta]$  for  $\beta \in A$ , it follows that  $[H, A]$  is an  $A$ -invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Viewing  $[H, A]$  in the semidirect product  $GA$  which is also a  $p$ -group, we have  $[H, A] < H$  and hence  $[H, A] = 1$  since  $H$  is a minimal  $A$ -invariant subgroup.

We now come to the main result of this section. In the proof of this result we shall make use of the socle series of  $G$  for a tame endomorphism near-ring  $R$  on  $G$  which is obtained as follows: The socle of  $G$ ,  $\text{Soc}(G)$ , is the sum of the minimal  $R$ -subgroups of  $G$  and the socle series is defined by letting  $\text{Soc}_1(G) = \text{Soc}(G)$  and  $\text{Soc}_k(G)$  be the inverse image of  $\text{Soc}(G/\text{Soc}_{k-1}(G))$  in  $G$  for  $k > 1$ . By Theorem 10.37 of [7] we have that  $\text{Soc}_n(G) = G$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -group of automorphisms of a  $p$ -group  $G$  with  $\text{Inn}(G) \leq A$ . If  $R$  is the endomorphism near-ring of  $G$  generated by  $A$ , then  $R$  is local.*

**Proof.** Let

$$0 < \text{Soc}_1(G) < \text{Soc}_2(G) < \cdots < \text{Soc}_n(G) = G$$

be the socle series of  $G$ . We first show that  $A$  stabilizes this series. By induction on  $|G|$ , it suffices to show that  $A$  acts trivially on  $\text{Soc}(G)$  since  $R/\text{Ann}_R(G/\text{Soc}(G))$  will be an endomorphism near-ring of the same type on  $G/\text{Soc}(G)$ . But this needed trivial action on  $\text{Soc}(G)$  follows from the previous lemma since  $\text{Soc}(G)$  is the direct sum of the minimal  $R$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

We now have that  $1 - \alpha$  annihilates the socle series of  $G$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  and hence  $1 - \alpha \in J(R)$  by Lemma 2.5 of [4]. Also,  $p \cdot 1$  annihilates the socle series since the socle summands are elementary abelian  $p$ -groups [7, Theorem 10.30]. Thus it follows that  $R/J(R) \simeq Z_p$  and  $J(R)$  is a maximal right  $R$ -subgroup of  $R$ .

By Theorem 2.8 of [6], the proof will be complete if we can show that  $J(R)$  is the unique maximal  $R$ -subgroup of  $R$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal  $R$ -subgroup of  $R$ . If  $p^k$  is the exponent of  $G$ , then  $p^k r = 0$  for all  $r \in R$  and hence the additive group of  $R$ ,  $(R, +)$ , is a  $p$ -group. Let  $N$  denote the normal closure of  $(M, +)$  in  $(R, +)$ ; that is,  $N$  is the smallest normal subgroup of  $(R, +)$  containing  $M$  and  $N$  is generated by elements of the form  $-r + m + r$  where  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in M$ . We have that  $N < R$  since the normal closure of a proper subgroup of a  $p$ -group is proper (apply Theorem 4.3.2. of [2], for example). Also if  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $r \in R$ , and  $m \in M$ ,

$$(-r + m + r)\alpha = -r\alpha + m\alpha + r\alpha \in N$$

and it follows that  $N$  is an  $R$ -subgroup of  $R$ . Thus  $N = M$  and  $M$  is a right ideal of  $R$  [7, Corollary 9.22]. Hence we have that  $J(R) \leq M$  (Theorem 5.17 of [7]) and consequently  $J(R) = M$  completing the proof.

Using Theorems 2.2 and 3.2, we can now characterize those groups  $G$  for which  $I(G)$  is local. For if  $I(G)$  is local, then  $G$  is a  $p$ -group by Theorem 2.2. Conversely, if  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, then  $I(G)$  is local by Theorem 3.2. Thus we have:

**Corollary 3.3.**  $I(G)$  is local if and only if  $G$  is a  $p$ -group.

We conclude this section by noting that our results unify some of the work that has already been done on endomorphism near-rings. Specifically, when  $G$  is a dihedral 2-group or a generalized quaternion group we have an alternative approach for obtaining some of the results in [3] and [5].

If  $G$  is a dihedral group of order  $2^n$  ( $n > 2$ ),

$$G = \langle a, b \mid 2^{n-1}a = 2b = 0, -b + a + b = -a \rangle,$$

then  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is a 2-group (Lemma 2.2 of [3]) and so it follows that  $A(G)$  and  $I(G)$  are both local by Theorem 3.2. Moreover, we see from the proof of Theorem 3.2 that  $R/J(R) \simeq Z_2$  in both cases, thereby obtaining the result of Theorem 3.4 of [3] in this setting. We also remark that  $E(G)$  is not local since the projection from  $G$  onto the cyclic subgroup generated by  $b$  is an idempotent endomorphism of  $G$  and local near-rings have no nontrivial idempotents [6, Theorem 4.2].

Similar results hold for  $A(G)$  and  $I(G)$  if

$$G = \langle a, b \mid 2^{n-1}a = 0, 2^{n-2}a = 2b, -b + a + b = -a \rangle$$

is a generalized quaternion group of order  $2^n$  when  $n > 3$  since  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is a 2-group [5, Theorem 2]. Moreover these results extend to  $E(G)$  since  $A(G) = E(G)$  as shown in [5] (Theorem 5) or which can be seen by examining the endomorphisms of  $G$  as follows:  $\text{End}(G)$  has three nontrivial endomorphisms that are not automorphisms whose kernels are the normal subgroups of  $G$  generated by  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $a + b$  and whose images are the subgroup generated by  $2^{n-2}a$  which is the centre of  $G$ . (See the proof of Theorem 2 of [5]). If  $\beta$  is such an endomorphism, it is easily checked that the mapping  $\alpha$  defined by  $g\alpha = g(1 + \beta)$  is an automorphism of  $G$  and hence  $E(G) = A(G)$ .

Finally, we point out that  $E(G)$  and  $A(G)$  are not local if  $G$  is the quaternion group of order 8 (a case not covered in [5]) where we will still have  $E(G) = A(G)$ . This lack of localness follows because  $G$  has an automorphism  $\alpha$  of order 3 [9, p. 148] which acts trivially on  $\langle 2a \rangle$ . Hence, if  $E(G)$  were local,  $1 - \alpha \in J(R)$  by Lemma 2.1 which violates part (i) of Theorem 2.3. This example brings up the question of whether we might have a converse to Theorem 3.2; that is, if  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and  $A$  is a group of automorphisms of  $G$  with  $\text{Inn}(G) \leq A$  which generates a local near-ring  $R$ , is  $A$  a  $p$ -group? As of this writing we have been unable to resolve this question.

REFERENCES

1. D. GORENSTEIN, *Finite Groups* (Harper and Row, New York, 1968).
2. M. HALL, *The Theory of Groups* (Macmillan, New York, 1959).

3. C. G. LYONS and J. J. MALONE, Finite dihedral groups and d.g. near rings II, *Compositio Math.* **26** (1973), 249–259.
4. C. G. LYONS and J. D. P. MELDRUM, Characterizing series for faithful d.g. near-rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 221–227.
5. J. J. MALONE, Generalised quaternion groups and distributively generated near-rings, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **18** (1973), 235–238.
6. C. J. MAXSON, On local near-rings, *Math. Z.* **106** (1968), 197–205.
7. J. D. P. MELDRUM, *Near-Rings and Their Links with Groups* (Pitman, London, 1985).
8. P. SCHMID, Über die stabilitätsgruppen der untergruppenreihen einer endlichen gruppe, *Math. Z.* **123** (1971), 318–324.
9. H. J. ZASSENHAUS, *The Theory of Groups, second edition* (Chelsea, New York, 1958).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY  
HARRISONBURG, VA., 22807  
U.S.A.