

APPROXIMATION OF A FUNCTION AND ITS DERIVATIVES BY ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

BY
EL MOSTAPHA FRIH AND PAUL M. GAUTHIER

ABSTRACT. The paper gives a good approximation of a C^k function on \mathbf{R}^n and its derivatives by the restriction of an entire function on \mathbf{C}^n and its derivatives respectively.

In this paper we deal with approximation of a C^k function on \mathbf{R}^n and its derivatives by the restriction of an entire function and its derivatives respectively in a way which is better than uniform approximation. As a particular case we obtain, for $k = 0$, Carleman's theorem [3]. Similar results were given in the case $n = 1$ by Hoischen [1].

This work was inspired by the proof of Whitney's theorem by Narasimhan, (see [2]).

We shall use the following notations. $H(\mathbf{C}^n)$ will denote the space of entire functions. If

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n, |\alpha| \text{ denotes } \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \text{ and } D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

For $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, k a non negative integer and $f \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we set

$$\|f\|_k^s = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sup_{x \in S} |D^\alpha f(x)|.$$

Note that if $f, g \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we have

$$\|fg\|_k^s \leq \|f\|_k^s \|g\|_k^s.$$

THEOREM. For some $0 \leq k \leq \infty$, let f and ϵ be such that, $f \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\epsilon \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and ϵ is positive. Let (K_p) be a sequence of compact sets in \mathbf{R}^n with $K_0 = \phi$, $K_p \subset K_{p+1}^0$ and $\cup K_p = \mathbf{R}^n$. Let (n_p) be a sequence of non negative integers and set $k_p = \min(k, n_p)$. Then, there exists $g \in H(\mathbf{C}^n)$ such that

$$|D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha g(x)| < \epsilon(x), \text{ for } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K_p^0 \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq k_p,$$

Received by the editors July 30, 1987, and, in revised form, October 27, 1987.

Research supported by NSERC of Canada and FCAR of Québec.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 32A15, 41A30.

Canadian Mathematical Society 1987.

for every $p \geq 0$.

For the proof we shall use the following lemma which can be found in ([2], p. 31).

LEMMA. Let $f \in C_0^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 \leq k < \infty$. For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$ set,

$$G_\lambda(f)(z) = \pi^{-(n/2)\lambda^{(n/2)}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(t) \exp\left[-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - t_i)^2\right] dt.$$

Then we have

- (a) $G_\lambda(f) \in H(\mathbf{C}^n)$
- (b) $\|G_\lambda(f) - f\|_k^{\mathbf{R}^n} \rightarrow 0$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Set $A_p = \overline{K_{p+1}} \setminus \overline{K_p}$, for $p \geq 0$. There exists a sequence of positive real numbers (ϵ_p) such that $\epsilon_p < \epsilon(x)$ for $x \in A_p$ and $\epsilon_{p+1} \leq \epsilon_p/2$ for every $p \geq 0$. It suffices then to prove that there exists $g \in H(\mathbf{C}^n)$ so that:

$$\|f - g\|_{k_p}^{A_p} < \delta_p, \text{ for every } p \geq 0, \text{ where } \delta_p = \frac{\epsilon_p}{k_p!}.$$

We may suppose $k_{p+1} \geq k_p$. Then we have

$$(1) \quad \delta_{p+1} < \frac{1}{2} \delta_p.$$

Let $\varphi_p \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\varphi_p \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of K_{p-1} and $\varphi_p \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of A_p . Set

$$C_p = 1 + \|\varphi_p\|_{k_p}^{\mathbf{R}^n}.$$

By the lemma, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that if $g_0 = G_{\lambda_0}(\varphi_0 f)$ we have

$$\|g_0 - \varphi_0 f\|_{k_0}^{K_1} < \frac{\delta_0}{5C_1}.$$

By induction, we define numbers λ_i and functions g_i in the following way. Suppose we have defined λ_i and g_i for $i \leq p - 1$; then using the lemma, there is a function $l_p(\lambda_i, g_i)$, $i \leq p - 1$ such that if $\lambda_p > l_p(\lambda_i, g_i)$ and if we set $g_p = G_{\lambda_p}[\varphi_p(f - g_0 - \dots - g_{p-1})]$, then

$$(2) \quad \left\| g_p - \varphi_p \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g_i \right) \right\|_{k_p}^{K_{p+1}} < \frac{\delta_p}{5C_{p+1}}.$$

The function g_p depends on λ_p and g_i , $i \leq p - 1$; this implies that the function l_p depends only on λ_i , $i \leq p - 1$. Since $\varphi_p \equiv 0$ (respectively $\varphi_p \equiv 1$)

in a neighborhood of K_{p-1} (respectively in a neighborhood of A_p) we get from (2):

$$(3) \quad \|g_p\|_{k_p^{K_{p-1}}} < \frac{\delta_p}{5C_{p+1}},$$

$$(4) \quad \left\| f - \sum_{i=0}^p g_i \right\|_{k_p^{A_p}} < \frac{\delta_p}{5C_{p+1}}.$$

Replacing p by $p + 1$ in (2) and, using (1), (4) and the fact that $C_p \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{p+1}\|_{k_p^{A_p}} &\leq \left\| \varphi_{p+1} \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^p g_i \right) \right\|_{k_p^{A_p}} + \left\| g_{p+1} - \varphi_{p+1} \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^p g_i \right) \right\|_{k_p^{A_p}} \\ &< \|\varphi_{p+1}\|_{k_p^{\mathbf{R}^n}} \frac{\delta_p}{5C_{p+1}} + \frac{\delta_{p+1}}{5C_{p+2}} \\ &< C_{p+1} \frac{\delta_p}{5C_{p+1}} + \frac{\delta_{p+1}}{5C_{p+2}} < \frac{3\delta_p}{10}. \end{aligned}$$

With (3), this gives

$$(5) \quad \|g_{p+1}\|_{k_p^{K_{p+1}}} < \frac{2}{5} \delta_p.$$

We show now that the λ_p can be chosen so that the series $\sum_{i \geq 0} g_i(z)$ defines an entire function. Since $\cup K_p = \mathbf{R}^n$, there is a p_0 such that $0 \in K_{p_0}$. Let (B_{r_p}) be a sequence of balls with radius r_p centred at the origin such that $B_{r_p} \subset K_p$ for $p \geq p_0$ and $r_p \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Let $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $R \geq |z|$. Choose $p \geq p_0$ such that

$$1 - \frac{R^2}{r_p^2} - \frac{2R}{r_p} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $q > p$, $\text{supp } \varphi_q \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K_p \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus B_{r_p}$.

By definition

$$g_q(z) = \pi^{-n/2} \lambda^{n/2} \int_{\text{supp } \varphi_q} \varphi_q \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} g_i \right) e^{-\lambda(z-t)^2} dt,$$

where

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{and} \quad (z - t)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - t_i)^2.$$

From the inequality

$$|e^{-\lambda_q(z-t)^2}| \leq e^{-\lambda_q(|t|^2 - |z|^2 - 2|z||t|)}$$

and since $|t| \geq r_p > 0$ for $q > p$ we obtain

$$|g_q(z)| \leq \pi^{-n/2} \lambda_q^{n/2} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi_q} \left| \varphi_q \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} g_i \right) \right| e^{-\lambda_q r_p^2 (1 - (R^2/r_p^2) - (2R/r_p))} dt.$$

Thus for $q > p$ we have

$$|g_q(z)| \leq \pi^{-n/2} \lambda_q^{n/2} e^{-\lambda_q (r_p^2/2)} M_q,$$

where

$$M_q = \int_{\text{supp}\varphi_q} \left| \varphi_q \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} g_i \right) \right| dt.$$

Since M_q depends only on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{q-1}$, we can choose inductively the λ_q such that

$$\lambda_q^{n/2} e^{-\lambda_q/q} M_q < \frac{1}{q^2}$$

and this implies that

$$\sum \lambda_q^{n/2} e^{-\lambda_q^\sigma} M_q < \infty \text{ for any } \sigma > 0.$$

Thus the series $\sum_{i \geq 0} g_i(z)$ converges uniformly on compact sets of \mathbf{C}^n .

Let $g(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} g_i(z)$. Then using (1), (4), (5) and $C_p \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g\|_{k_p}^{A_p} &\leq \left\| f - \sum_{i=0}^p g_i \right\|_{k_p}^{A_p} + \sum_{i > p} \|g_i\|_{k_p}^{A_p} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_p}{5} + \sum_{i \geq p} \frac{2}{5} \delta_i \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_p}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \delta_p \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \\ &\leq \delta_p. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. *Let $f \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 \leq k < \infty$, $\epsilon \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and ϵ positive. Then there exists $g \in H(\mathbf{C}^n)$ satisfying*

$$|D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha g(x)| < \epsilon(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq k.$$

PROOF. Take $n_0 = k$ in the theorem.

REMARKS. (1) The corollary would, of course, fail for $k = +\infty$. The

counterexample given by Hoischen [1] in one variable works also in several variables. Take $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. There is a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $D^\alpha f(x_0) = (\alpha!)^2 + 1$. If there is $g \in H(C^n)$ satisfying $|D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha g(x)| < 1$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and all α , we get $|D^\alpha g(x_0)| > |D^\alpha f(x_0)| - 1 = (\alpha!)^2$ and then

$$\frac{|D^\alpha g(x_0)|}{\alpha!} > \alpha!.$$

This implies that g cannot be representable as an absolutely convergent power series in a neighbourhood of x_0 , and this is a contradiction.

(2) If f is real valued, in the theorem and the corollary, g can be chosen to take real values on \mathbf{R}^n .

REFERENCES

1. L. Hoischen, *Eine Verschärfung eines Approximationssatzes von Carleman*, Journal of Approximation Theory **9**, (1973), pp. 272-277.
2. R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on real and complex manifolds*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1968.
3. S. Scheinberg, *Uniform approximation by entire functions*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, **29**, (1976), pp. 16-19.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
ET DE STATISTIQUE ET CENTRE DE RECHERCHES MATHÉMATIQUES
UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL