

## SOME APPLICATIONS OF GROUP OBSTRUCTIONS

YEL-CHIANG WU

(Received 19 June 1980)

Communicated by D. E. Taylor

### Abstract

We show that the classical interpretation of  $H^3(G, A)$  is equivalent to Taylor's solutions of compound extensions of groups. It is also equivalent to the exactness to an eight term sequence. Only halves of the equivalences are fully shown in the paper but the other halves are clear.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.):* 20 J 05, 20 A 10.

In [10], an invariant proof of the obstruction theorems is given, (see Section 1 for statements of results). In this note, we will point out that if obstruction theory is viewed in this way, some old problems can be proved readily. The first problem we consider is Taylor's compound extension problem [7] which asks for conditions to complete the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & = & A & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 E & \longrightarrow & ? & \longrightarrow & Q \\
 \downarrow & \Sigma & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 N & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & Q
 \end{array}$$

so that rows and columns are exact. He showed that the problem can be reduced to the case where  $A$  is central in  $E$ . Taylor's original solution of this reduced problem was very lengthy. This is probably because he did not recognize that his problem is exactly the obstruction problem and had to modify the whole obstruction theory developed by Eilenberg-Mac Lane. We identify the two problems once we observe that the square  $\Sigma$  is a pullback.

Another equivalent form of the obstruction theorem can be stated as follows: Let  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence. The center  $A$  of  $N$  can be

endowed with an  $Q$ -module structure [5]. The obstruction theorem (actually part (a) of it (see Theorem 2a)) is equivalent to the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Der}(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(N_{ab}, A) \rightarrow H^2(Q, A) \\ \rightarrow H^2(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Sext}_G^1(N, A) \rightarrow H^3(Q, A) \rightarrow H^3(G, A)$$

where  $\text{Der}(Q, A)$  is the group of derivations,  $N_{ab}$  the abelianization of  $N$  and  $\text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$  the group of equivalence classes of some short exact sequences, (see Section 1). This sequence is not new [3, 4, 6, 8] but the available proofs are fairly lengthy.

Finally, we should point out that results considered in this note are clearly valid over many other algebraic categories, such as the category of Lie algebras over a field.

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a group. A  $G$ -group  $E$  is a group together with an action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ . Clearly  $G$  is a  $G$ -group with conjugation as an action and if  $\pi: G \rightarrow Q$  is a group homomorphism, then  $Q$  is a  $G$ -group and  $\pi$  is a  $G$ -homomorphism. If  $A$  is a  $Q$ -module, we recall that a special one-fold extension [10] of  $A$  by  $Q$  is an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad \xi: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1$$

of  $G$ -groups where the action of  $G$  on  $A$  is induced by  $\pi$ . Special one-fold extensions are also called abelian extensions [5].

A special two-fold extension is an exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad \xi: 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\phi} G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1$$

of  $G$ -groups and  $G$ -homomorphisms with the following properties:

- (i) The  $G$ -action on  $A$  is given by the  $Q$ -action on  $A$  via  $\pi$ ,
- (ii) The  $E$ -action on  $E$  by conjugation coincides with the  $E$ -action induced by  $\phi$ .

Special two-fold extensions are also called *crossed modules* (with prescribed ends). It is clear that in a special two-fold extension (1.2),  $A$  is central in  $E$ .

We define equivalence relations on special one-fold and two-fold extensions analogous to that on module extensions and denote the sets of equivalence classes by  $\text{Sext}^1(Q, A)$  and  $\text{Sext}^2(Q, A)$  respectively.

If we fix a free presentation  $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ , then every equivalence class in  $\text{Sext}^2(Q, A)$  has a canonical representative of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \xi_{Fr}: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

The equivalence class is zero if and only if the  $F$ -sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow R \rightarrow 1$  has a right  $F$ -splitting.

Since every equivalence class of special two-fold extensions comes from an abstract  $Q$ -kernel [10], we call the special two-fold extension  $\xi$  in (1.2) *extendable* if and only if there exists an exact sequence of  $H$ -groups,  $1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow H \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{\xi}: & 1 & \longrightarrow & E & \twoheadrightarrow & H & \twoheadrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ \xi: & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E & \twoheadrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

and the  $G$ -action on  $E$  comes from  $H$ . (Note that the kernel of  $H \rightarrow G$  is necessarily  $A$  and  $H$  defines a  $G$ -action on  $E$  because  $A$  is central in  $E$ .) If this is the case, we shall say that  $\bar{\xi}$  induces  $\xi$ . The following theorems are proved in [10].

**THEOREM 1.**  $H^3(A, A) \cong^{Nat} \text{Sext}^2(Q, A)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** a) *The special two-fold extension  $\xi$  is extendable if and only if  $[\xi] = 0$ .*

b) *If  $[\xi] = 0$ , then the set of equivalence classes  $\bar{\xi}$  inducing  $\xi$  is in one-one correspondence with  $H^2(Q, A)$ .*

(Theorem 2 is stated slightly different from that in [10] to suit our purpose but the proof is exactly the same. The same statement can also be found in [2].)

In this paper we also deal with short exact sequence of  $G$ -groups. If  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $A$  is an  $N$ -module, then  $\text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$  will denote the group of equivalence classes of extensions of  $A$  by  $N$

$$\xi_G: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} N \rightarrow 1$$

which are both an  $E$ -sequence as well as a  $G$ -sequence. Moreover, the action of  $N$  on  $E$  is given by  $\phi(e) \cdot e_1 = ee_1e^{-1}$ ,  $e, e_1 \in E$ .

### 2. Compound extensions

In [7], Taylor investigates conditions of filling the following diagram with exact rows and columns,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} A & = & A & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ E & \twoheadrightarrow & ? & \twoheadrightarrow & Q & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ N & \twoheadrightarrow & G & \twoheadrightarrow & Q & & \end{array}$$

He observed that problem can be reduced to a problem where  $A$  is central in  $E$ .

We will discuss this problem assuming  $A$  is central in  $E$ . We will say that (2.1) is *weakly extendable*<sup>†</sup> if there is a group  $H$  such that the diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & E & \twoheadrightarrow & H & \twoheadrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & \Sigma & \downarrow \theta & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \twoheadrightarrow & G & \twoheadrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative with exact rows. Note that the diagram  $\Sigma$  is necessarily a pullback diagram and the kernel of  $\theta$  is  $A$ . We also note that if the diagram (2.1) is weakly extendable, then we can define a  $G$ -action on  $E$  via  $\theta$ , that is  $\theta(h)e = heh^{-1}$ . This is a well-defined action because  $A$  is central in  $E$ . With such a  $G$ -action on  $E$ , we can easily see that the sequence

$$\xi_H: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

is a special two-fold extension. Therefore we may discuss Taylor’s problem of (2.1) with the additional assumption that  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  is a special extension. Thus we make the following definitions.

A special two-fold extension

$$(2.3) \quad \xi: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

is *extendable* if the associated compound extension problem (2.1) is weakly extendable *and* if the  $G$ -action on  $E$  is obtained from the extension as described in the paragraph following (2.2). The special two-fold extension (2.3) is *weakly extendable* if the associated compound extension (2.1) is weakly extendable. From Section 1, it is therefore clear that Taylor extension problem follows from the obstruction theorem (Theorem 2a).

The weak extension problem of (2.1), that is, we just ask when we can fill in the diagram without insisting on the special structure constraint on  $E$ , is more interesting. To solve the weak extension problem, we first have to investigate relations among various special extension structures on a given sequence

$$\xi: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

of groups. This is given in the next lemma.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence. Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence where  $A$  is a  $Q$ -module. Let  $\sigma, \tau: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$  be two actions of  $G$  on  $E$  so that*

$$\xi: 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\phi} G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1$$

---

<sup>†</sup>Weak extendability is really not a very good choice but in order not to cause confusion with the *extendability* of special extensions, we probably cannot call it “extendable,” see Definition (2.3).

is a special two-fold extension with either action. Then there is a homomorphism  $f: Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, A)$  so that any two of  $\sigma, \tau, f$  uniquely determine the third.

PROOF. Let  $g \in G, e \in E$ . Then since  $\phi$  is a  $G$ -map,

$$\sigma(g)(e) = \tau(g)(e) + f(g)(e), \quad f(g)(e) \in A.$$

Clearly since the  $G$ -module structure of  $A$  is fixed,  $\sigma(g)(a) = \tau(g)(a)$ . Thus  $f(g)(a) = 0$  and we may regard  $f: G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, A)$ . If  $g \in N$ , then  $g = \phi(e')$ . By definition of special extensions,  $e' \cdot e = \sigma(g)(e) = \tau(g)(e)$ . Hence  $f(g) = 0$  and  $f: Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, A)$ .

Recall that  $\text{Hom}(N, A)$  can be made into a  $Q$ -module with action given by  $(\pi(g) \cdot \alpha)(n) = g \cdot (\alpha(g^{-1} \cdot n))$  for  $g \in G, \alpha \in \text{Hom}(N, A)$  and  $n \in N$ . Also if we interpret  $H^2(N, A)$  to be the set of equivalence classes of central extensions of  $A$  by  $N$ , we have an obvious map  $u: \text{Sext}_G^1(N, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)$ .

THEOREM 5. Let  $[\xi] \in H^2(N, A)$ . Then there is a bijective map  $\Psi_\xi: H^1(Q, \text{Hom}(N, A)) \rightarrow u^{-1}([\xi])$ .

PROOF. Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$  with action  $\tau: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$  represent an element of  $u^{-1}([\xi])$ . If  $\sigma: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$  is another action, Lemma 4 says that  $\sigma$  is obtained from  $\tau$  by adding  $f$ . Let  $d_f: Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, A)$  be defined by

$$d_f(\pi(g))(n) = f(\pi(g))(g^{-1} \cdot n).$$

Simple calculation shows that  $d_f$  is a derivation. It is also clear that there is a bijective correspondence between such  $f$ 's and  $d_f$ 's. Thus we have a surjective homomorphism from  $\text{Der}(Q, \text{Hom}(N, A))$  to  $u^{-1}([\xi])$ . If the two actions  $\sigma, \tau$  of  $G$  on  $E$  give equivalent extensions in  $\text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$ , then there is a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\theta: E \rightarrow E$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \theta & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutative. Thus  $\theta(e) = e - \alpha(\phi(e))$  for some  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(N, A)$ . If  $\sigma(g)(e) = \tau(g)(e) + f(g)(\phi(e))$ , then  $f(g)(\phi(e)) = \alpha(g \cdot \phi(e)) - g \cdot \alpha(\phi(e))$ . Therefore  $d_f(g)(n) = \alpha(n) - (g \cdot \alpha)(n)$  or  $d_f$  is a principal derivation. This shows that we have a bijection map  $\Psi_\xi: H^1(Q, \text{Hom}(N, A)) \rightarrow u^{-1}([\xi])$ .

COROLLARY 5 (Ratcliffe [6]). The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(Q, \text{Hom}(N, A)) \xrightarrow{\Psi_0} \text{Sext}_G^1(N, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)$$

is exact.

**PROOF.** We only have to verify  $\Psi_0$  is a homomorphism. Suppose that  $\sigma, \tau: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$  are two actions on  $E = A \times N$  (as groups) which give two representatives of elements of  $u^{-1}(0)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\sigma: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1, \\ \xi_\tau: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4,  $\sigma(g)(a, n) = (g \cdot a + f_1(g)(n), g \cdot n)$  and  $\tau(g)(a, n) = (g \cdot a + f_2(g)(n), g \cdot n)$  for some  $f_1, f_2: Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, A)$ . The class  $[\xi_\sigma] + [\xi_\tau]$  is represented by the extension  $\xi_{\sigma+\tau}$  of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \times A & \twoheadrightarrow & E \times E & \longrightarrow & N \times N & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \beta \uparrow & & \Sigma & & \uparrow \Delta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \times A & \twoheadrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \nabla \downarrow & & \Sigma' & & \downarrow \gamma & & \parallel \\ \xi_{\sigma+\tau}: 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \twoheadrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map,  $\nabla$  the codiagonal map,  $E' = \{(a_1, a_2, n) | a_1, a_2 \in A\}$  with  $G$ -action  $g^*(a_1, a_2, n) = (a_1 + f_1(g)(n), a_2 + f_2(g)(n), n)$ ,  $\beta(a_1, a_2, n) = ((a_1, n), (a_2, n))$ ,  $\gamma(a_1, a_2, n) = (a_1 + a_2, n)$ ,  $\Sigma$  a pullback diagram and  $\Sigma'$  a ‘‘pushout’’ diagram. It is clear from this construction that the  $G$ -action on  $E$  in  $\xi_{\sigma+\tau}$  is given by  $f_1 + f_2$ . Hence  $\Psi_0$  is a homomorphism.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let*

$$\xi_\sigma: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 1$$

*be a special two-fold extension with a  $G$ -action  $\sigma$  on  $E$ . Then  $\xi_\sigma$  is weakly extendable if and only if there is a derivation  $d$  such that the action  $\tau: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$  given by  $\tau(g)(e) = \sigma(g)(e) + d(g)(g \cdot \sigma(e))$  makes  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  a representative of the zero element in  $\text{Sext}^2(Q, A)$ .*

*If it is extendable, then the equivalence classes  $1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  in the solutions are in one-one correspondence with  $H^2(Q, A)$ .*

**3. An exact sequence**

Let  $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{j} Q \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence of groups. Then  $N_{ab} = N/[N, N]$  is a  $Q$ -module. Let  $A$  be a  $Q$ -module.

**THEOREM 7.** *The following sequence is exact,*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Der}(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(N_{ab}, A) \rightarrow H^2(Q, A) \\ \xrightarrow{j^*} H^2(G, A) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Sext}_G^1(N, A) \xrightarrow{c} H^3(Q, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^3(G, A) \end{aligned}$$

The sequence is natural in the sequence  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ . Exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Der}(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(N_{ab}, A) \rightarrow H^2(Q, A) \rightarrow H^2(G, A)$$

was proved by Hochschild and Serre (see [5]). A conceptual proof was first given by Barr and Rinehart [1]. Since our approach has no cocycles, we state this theorem as in [1]. The exactness of the remaining terms were proved by Rinehart [8], Huesbchmann [3], Loday [4], and Ratcliffe [6].

**PROOF.** We only prove the exactness of the last five terms. The naturality becomes clear once we describe the maps.

We will regard  $H^2(G, A) = \text{Sext}^1(G, A)$ ,  $H^3(G, A) = \text{Sext}^2(G, A)$ , and so on. Then the maps  $i^*$ ,  $j^*$  are just pulling back along  $i$  and  $j$ . The connecting homomorphism  $c$  is the splicing map.

Exactness at  $H^2(G, A)$ . Let  $\xi_G: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_G \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  represent an element in  $H^2(G, A)$ . Suppose  $[i^*(\xi_G)] = 0$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \twoheadrightarrow & E_G & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \tilde{i} \uparrow & & \uparrow i \\ i^*(\xi_G): & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \twoheadrightarrow & E_N & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} N \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

that is, there is a splitting homomorphism  $s_N: N \rightarrow E_N$  such that  $\pi_N s_N = 1_N$ . Then  $\tilde{i}s_N(N)$  is normal in  $E_G$ . Let  $E_Q = \text{Cok } \tilde{i}s_N$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & N & \twoheadrightarrow & E_G & \twoheadrightarrow & E_Q \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \Sigma & \downarrow \\ 1 & \rightarrow & N & \twoheadrightarrow & G & \twoheadrightarrow & Q \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Then the square  $\Sigma$  is a pullback diagram since  $E_G \rightarrow E$  and  $G \rightarrow Q$  have the same kernels. Thus  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_Q \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  is an exact sequence. Indeed  $\xi_Q: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_Q \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  represents an element in  $H^2(G, A)$  and  $j^*(\xi_Q) = \xi_G$ . This shows  $\text{Im } j^* \supseteq \text{Ker } i^*$ . It is trivial to see that  $i^*j^* = 0$ ; hence  $\text{Im } j^* = \text{Ker } i^*$ .

Exactness at  $\text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$ . Let  $[\xi_N] \in \text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$ ,

$$\xi_N: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$$

Then  $c[\xi_N] = [c\xi_N]$ ,

$$c(\xi_N): 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

is zero if and only if there is  $E_G$  such that

$$(i) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & A & = & A & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & E_N & \twoheadrightarrow & E_G & \twoheadrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & \Sigma & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \twoheadrightarrow & G & \twoheadrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative and (ii) the  $G$ -action on  $E_N$  (hence  $A$ ) comes from  $E_G$ . Condition (i) says that  $\Sigma$  is a pullback. This coupled with (ii) implies that  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1 = i^*(0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_G \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1)$ . This proves the exactness at  $\text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$ .

Exactness at  $H^3(Q, A)$ . Let  $[\xi_N] \in \text{Sext}_G^1(N, A)$ .

$$\xi_N: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} c\xi_N: & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E_N & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \tilde{j} \uparrow & & \Sigma & & \uparrow j \\ j^*(c\xi_N): & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E_N & \longrightarrow & N \times_s B & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{j}(n, g) = g$ . Since

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E_N & \longrightarrow & N \times_s G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & E_N & \longrightarrow & E_N \times_s G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutes,  $[j^*(c\xi_N)] = 0$  by Theorem 2.

Conversely, let  $1 \rightarrow R_G \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  be a free presentation of  $G$ . Then  $1 \rightarrow R_Q \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  is a free presentation of  $Q$  for some  $R_Q$ . Let  $[\xi_F]$  be an element of  $H^3(Q, A)$ ,

$$\xi_F: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_Q \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

Construct the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \xi_F: & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E_Q & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & \parallel & & \uparrow & \searrow & \parallel & & \uparrow j & & \\ & & & & & & R_Q & & & & & \\ \xi_G: & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E_G & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & & & & \uparrow & \searrow & & & & \\ & & & & & & & R_G & & & & \end{array}$$

where  $E_G \rightarrow F = E_G \rightarrow E_Q \rightarrow F$  is a pullback diagram. It is very easy to see that  $[\xi_G] = [j^*\xi_F]$ . Suppose  $[\xi_G] = 0$ . This means that there is a  $F$ -splitting map  $R_G \rightarrow E_G$  such that  $R_G \rightarrow E_G \rightarrow R_G = 1_{R_G}$ . We also note that since

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & R_Q & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & \Sigma & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutes,  $\Sigma$  is necessarily a pullback diagram. Thus

$$\text{Ker}(R_Q \rightarrow N) = \text{Ker}(F \rightarrow G) = R_G.$$

Thus  $R_G$  is normal in  $R_Q$ . It follows from this that  $\text{Im}(R_G \rightarrow E_G \rightarrow E_Q)$  is normal in  $E_Q$ . Let  $E_N$  be the  $\text{Cok}(R_G \rightarrow E_Q)$ . We have a commutative diagram with exact rows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & R_G & \twoheadrightarrow & E_Q & \twoheadrightarrow & E_N \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \Sigma' & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & R_G & \twoheadrightarrow & R_Q & \twoheadrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Again, since  $\Sigma'$  is a pullback,  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$  is exact. It is easy to check that  $[0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1] = [\xi_F]$ . This completes the proof.

### References

- [1] M. Barr and G. S. Rinehart, 'Cohomology as the derived functors of derivations', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1966), 416–426.
- [2] M. Gerstenhaber, 'On the deformation of rings and algebras,' II, *Ann. of Math.* **84** (1966), 1–19.
- [3] J. Huebschmann, 'Extensions de groupes et paires croisées,' *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **285** (1977), 993–995.
- [4] J.-L. Loday, 'Cohomologie et groupe de Steinberg relatifs,' *J. Algebra* **54** (1978), 178–202.
- [5] S. Mac Lane *Homology* (Springer-Verlag, 1963).
- [6] J. Ratcliffe, 'Crossed modules,' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **257** (1980), 73–89.
- [7] R. Taylor, 'Compound group extensions I,' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1953), 106–135.
- [8] G. S. Rinehart, 'Satellites and cohomology,' *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 295–329.
- [9] J. H. C. Whitehead, 'Combinatorial homotopy II,' *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 433–496.
- [10] Y.-C. Wu, ' $H^3(G, A)$  and obstructions of group extensions,' *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **12** (1978), 93–100, Erratum, **20** (1981), 103–105.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Oakland University  
Rochester, Michigan 48063  
U.S.A.