

# Contents Volume 14:3 September 1991

## Plomin, R. & Bergeman, C. S. The nature of nurture: Genetic influence on “environmental” measures

373

### Open Peer Commentary

Baumrind, D. To nurture nature	386	McGue, M., Bouchard, T. J., Jr., Lykken, D. T. & Finkel, D. On genes, environment, and experience	400
Bookstein, F. L. Heritability of what?	387	Rowe, D. C. Three shocks to socialization research	401
Boomsma, D. I. & Molenaar, P. C. M. Implications for behavior genetics research: No shared environment left?	389	Rutter, M. Origins of nurture: It is not just effects on measures and it is not just effects of nature	402
Bradley, R. H. & Caldwell, B. M. Like images refracted: A view from the interactionist perspective	389	Scarr, S. The construction of family reality	403
Bronfenbrenner, U. The nurture of nature	390	Schönemann, P. H. & Schönemann, R. D. We wondered where the errors went	404
Caspi, A. Cleaning up the environment	391	Schulsinger, F. Environment – A dubious concept?	406
Crusio, W. E. Genetic effects on “environmental” measures: Consequences for behavior-genetic analysis	393	Simonton, D. K. Genes and genius from Galton to Freud	406
Duyme, M. & Capron, C. On the misuse of certain concepts derived from genetic analysis	393	Socha, R. Problems with the “environment as phenotype” hypothesis	407
Goodman, R. & Stevenson, J. Parental criticism and warmth toward unrecognized monozygotic twins	394	Tellegen, A. Modeling and measuring environment	408
Graham, P. Genetic explanations of environment explain little	395	Thelen, E. Improvisations on the behavioral-genetics theme	409
Hay, D. A. “Significant and substantial” or minor and unreliable genetic influences on measures of the environment?	396	Turkheimer, E. & Gottesman, I. I. Is $H^2 = 0$ a null hypothesis anymore?	410
Hewitt, J. K. There is indeed no substitute for multivariate genetic and environmental analyses	397	Wachs, T. D. Nature and nurture: A shaky alliance	411
Hirsch, J. Obfuscation of interaction	397	Waldman, I. D. & Weinberg, R. A. The need for collaboration between behavior geneticists and environmentally oriented investigators	412
Johnson, R. C. Genes and environment: A complicated affair	398	Willerman, L. Overinterpreting model fitting effects	413
Kendler, K. S. A psychiatric perspective on the “nature of nurture”	398		
Lytton, H. Different parental practices – Different sources of influence	399	<b>Authors' Response</b>	
		Plomin, R. & Bergeman, C. S. Nature and nurture	414

## Shallice, T. Précis of *From neuropsychology to mental structure*

429

### Open Peer Commentary

Allen, C. Toward a functionalist theory of consciousness	438	Frith, C. Mental structure in the psychoses: The only hope for a neuropsychology of schizophrenia	447
Andrews, D. B. Extending neuropsychology	439	Goshen-Gottstein, Y. The possible futility of neuropsychology	448
Baars, B. J. Consciousness and modularity	440	Grodzinsky, Y. Much ado about the wrong thing	449
Bridgeman, B. Two kinds of models, many kinds of souls: Shallice on neuropsychology	440	Hunt, E. On the relationship between neuropsychology and cognitive psychology	450
Bruder, G. A. How can cognitive neuropsychology be of value in understanding central processing?	441	Jerison, H. J. Evolution of the flowchart	451
Butter, C. M. & Laeng, B. Neuropsychology – Exclusive or inclusive?	442	McCloskey, M. & Caramazza, A. On crude data and impoverished theory	453
Caplan, D. Potential pitfalls in neuropsychological studies: The case of short-term memory	443	Smith, M. E. Making up the brain's mind	454
Caramazza, A. & McCloskey, M. The poverty of methodology	444	Umiltà, C. More on modularity	455
Cowan, N. Neuropsychology and mental structure: Where do we go from here?	445	Wilson, J. T. L. Does cognitive neuropsychology have a future?	456
Flanagan, O. The modularity of consciousness	446	<b>Author's Response</b>	
		Shallice, T. How neuropsychology helps us understand normal cognitive function	457

**Anderson, J. R. Is human cognition adaptive?** 471

**Open Peer Commentary**

<b>Agarwal, G. C.</b> Human cognition is an adaptive process	485	<b>Hastie, R. &amp; Hammond, K. R.</b> Rational analysis and the Lens model	498
<b>Baron, J.</b> Some thinking is irrational	486	<b>Holyoak, K. J.</b> Probing the “Achilles’ heel” of rational analysis	498
<b>Becker, C. M.</b> The nonoptimality of Anderson’s memory fits	487	<b>Massaro, D. W. &amp; Friedman, D.</b> Adaptive rationality and identifiability of psychological processes	499
<b>Campbell, R. L. &amp; Bickhard, M. H.</b> If human cognition is adaptive, can human knowledge consist of encodings?	488	<b>Rachlin, H.</b> The cognitive laboratory, the library and the Skinner box	501
<b>Chandrasekaran, B.</b> Mechanistic and rationalistic explanations are complementary	489	<b>Schwarz, W.</b> Rational analysis will not throw off the yoke of the precision-importance trade-off function	501
<b>Corter, J. E.</b> Normative theories of categorization	491	<b>Shafir, E.</b> On the nonapplicability of a rational analysis to human cognition	502
<b>de Sousa, R.</b> Rational analysis: Too rational for comfort?	492	<b>Shultz, T. R.</b> The rationality of causal inference	503
<b>Dickinson, B. W.</b> Adaptivity and rational analysis	492	<b>Small, S. L.</b> But how does the brain think? (or wasn’t that the question?)	504
<b>Evans, J. St. B. T.</b> Adaptive cognition: The question is how	493	<b>Smokler, H.</b> A Bayesian theory of thought	505
<b>Fantino, E. &amp; Stolarz-Fantino, S.</b> Rational analysis and illogical inference	494	<b>Snow, P.</b> Rationality and irrationality: Still fighting words	505
<b>Geissler, H.-G.</b> Beyond Helmholtz, or why not include inner determinants from the beginning?	494	<b>Tsotsos, J. K.</b> Computational resources <i>do</i> constrain behavior	506
<b>Gigerenzer, G.</b> Does the environment have the same structure as Bayes’ theorem?	495	<b>Zétényi, T.</b> Human and nonhuman systems are adaptive in a different sense	507
<b>Godfrey-Smith, P.</b> Optimality and psychological explanation	496		
<b>Gregson, R. A. M.</b> Bayes in the context of suboptimality	497	<b>Author’s Response</b>	
		<b>Anderson, J. R.</b> More on rational analysis	508

**Continuing Commentary**

**On Buss, D. M. (1989) Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures. BBS 12:1–49.** 519

<b>Chisholm, J. S.</b> Whose reproductive value?	519	<b>Author’s Response</b>	
		<b>Buss, D. M.</b> Mate selection for good parenting skills	520

**On Deregowski, J. B. (1989) Real space and represented space: Cross-cultural perspectives. BBS 12:51–119.** 522

<b>Pontius, A. A.</b> Cross-evolutionary spatial representation in stone-age ecology	522	<b>Author’s Response</b>	
		<b>Deregowski, J. B.</b> “Stone-age” data: Wider implications and greater difficulties	523

**On Rushton, J. P. (1989) Genetic similarity, human altruism, and group selection. BBS 12:503–559.** 525

<b>Jaffe, K.</b> Genetic similarity, human altruism and group selection: A study of the open peer commentaries	525	<b>Editorial Commentary</b>	526
		<b>Author’s Response</b>	
		<b>Rushton, J. P.</b> Ethnic nepotism in science?	526

**On Falk, D. (1990) Brain evolution in *Homo*: The “radiator” theory. BBS 13:333–381.** 527

<b>Fialkowski, K. R.</b> Uniqueness of human intelligence may be underrated in current estimates	527	<b>Author’s Response</b>	
<b>Pickford, M.</b> Radiators and big brains in walkie-talkie primates	528	<b>Falk, D.</b> More on the radiator	529