They outline in an articulate and informative way some of the disadvantages of surveys of the MORI. We could add a few more disadvantages to their list. However, I can reassure them that in my contact with the Royal College of Psychiatrists, I have not detected any hint of a suggestion from the College that its members believe that reliance on such surveys is "the appropriate way to go about research into categorisations of illness". Jadhav & Littlewood are mistaken if they really believe that the campaign is mounted "on the basis of this method".

It might be helpful if I outline some of the facts that are fundamental to the campaign.

- (a) Many persons in the community suffering from serious depressive illness do not at present seek help from their general practitioners (possibly 50% of those affected).
- (b) Many patients with serious depressive illnesses consulting their GPs are not diagnosed as suffering from depression at the initial interview.
- (c) Depressive illness is a treatable disorder.
- (d) Much suffering would be saved if we could improve on the situation outlined in points (a) and (b).

I do not think that Jadhav & Littlewood can dispute these facts. These are among those on which the Defeat Depression campaign is mounted. Indeed, at the press briefings to launch the various campaign initiatives, we were questioned about not having mounted the campaign earlier.

In the course of the campaign we hope to correct one impression, revealed in the MORI survey, that the vast majority of a representative sample of the population thought that anti-depressants were addictive (a belief that would understandably contribute to poor compliance with treatment). In addition we hope to make

doctors more aware of the benefits of nonpharmaceutical interventions such as cognitive therapy in depression. By emphasising the extent to which depression may be treated we hope that we will encourage patients to receive help, and enable relatives and friends to perceive the importance of getting appropriate treatment. This should help to overcome the current stigma attached to having a mental illness and seeking help.

If Jadhav & Littlewood wish to know more details of the campaign they should consult the consensus statement (Paykel & Priest, 1992) which gives a balanced view on the subject, and is supported by scientific references.

I am sure that readers of the Psychiatric Bulletin will be pleased to know that the campaign is progressing well. The educational video package produced for trainers in general practice (on the recognition and management of depression) has sold out. We have distributed more than two and a half million copies of the depression leaflet to members of the public. We have received a vast amount of coverage in the national and local media. Sympathetic and informative articles have appeared in medical journals. We had also sold out of the first print run of the Gaskell publication Down with Gloom within two months of publication.

I should like to use this opportunity to express my appreciation of all those who have laboured hard to make the campaign rewarding so far.

Reference

PAYKEL, E.S. & PRIEST, R.G. (1992) Recognition and management of depression in general practice: consensus statement. British Medical Journal, 305, 1198-1202.

R.G. Priest, Professor of Psychiatry, St Mary's Hospital Medical School, Praed Street, London W2 1NY

Corrigendum

In the article 'Mental Health Services in Cyprus' by Marios Pierides (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, July 1994, **18**, 425–427) the captions for Figs. 2 and 3 were transposed during printing.

574 Priest