

A NOTE ON *L*-GROUPS

by H. H. TEH

The subject of this note is the study of conditions under which an *l*-group is simply ordered. We start with two definitions: A *po*-group is called *positively related* if every pair of elements  $a, b > 0$  has a lower bound  $c > 0$ . Evidently a *po*-group is positively related if and only if it is *negatively related* in the sense that every pair of negative elements  $a, b$  has a negative upper bound. A *po*-group is called a *weak l-group* if every pair of elements  $a, b$  has a maximal lower bound  $c$  in the sense that  $a, b \geq c$  and  $d > c$  imply that  $d$  is not a lower bound of  $a, b$ . Evidently every weak *l*-group is directed and every *l*-group is a weak *l*-group.

**Theorem.** *A weak l-group G is simply ordered if it is positively related.*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b > 0$  and  $c$  a maximal lower bound of  $a, b$ . Then  $a - c \geq 0, b - c \geq 0$ . We assert that either  $a - c = 0$  or  $b - c = 0$ . For  $a - c, b - c > 0$  implies the existence of an element  $d > 0$  such that  $a - c, b - c \geq d$ , i.e.  $a, b \geq d + c > c$ , which contradicts the definition of  $c$ . Therefore we have either  $a - c = 0$ , whence  $b \geq a$  or  $b - c = 0$ , whence  $a \geq b$ . Therefore every two positive elements of  $G$  are comparable, and, since  $G$  is directed, it is simply ordered. This proves the theorem.

**Corollary 1.** *An l-group is simply ordered if it is positively related.*

**Corollary 2.** *An l-group is simply ordered if  $a, b > 0$  implies  $a \cap b \neq 0$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *An l-group is simply ordered if  $a \cap b = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ ; that is, if every positive element is a weak unit.*

**Corollary 4.** *An l-group is simply ordered if  $a, b > 0$  implies  $a + b > a \cup b$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from the well-known equality  $a \cup b = a - (a \cap b) + b$ .

**Corollary 5.** *An l-group is simply ordered if it has a positive element  $e > 0$  such that for each  $a > 0$  there exists some integer  $n$  such that  $na \geq e$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $a, b > 0$ , let  $na, mb \geq e$ . Evidently  $na \cap mb \geq e > 0$ , which implies that  $a \cap b > 0$ , since it is well known that  $a \cap b = 0$  implies  $pa \cap qb = 0$  for all  $p, q = 1, 2, \dots$

**Corollary 6.** *Every strongly Archimedean l-group is simply ordered.*

(By strongly Archimedean we mean that for every two elements  $a, b > 0$  there exists some integer  $n$  such that  $na \geq b$ .)

To end this note we give an example to show that there exists a positively

related *po*-group which is not simply ordered. Let  $G = \{(a, b, c) \mid a, b, c \text{ integers}\}$ , then  $G$  forms a group under component-wise addition. Define a partial order in  $G$  by writing  $(a, b, c) \geq (0, 0, 0)$  if and only if either  $a > 0, b \geq 0$  or  $a \geq 0, b > 0$  or  $a = 0, b = 0, c \geq 0$ . It is then easily verified that  $G$  is a positively related *po*-group which is not simply ordered.

## REFERENCE

- (1) G. BIRKHOFF, *Lattice Theory*, Revised edn. (New York, 1960).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST