

DIVISION THEOREMS FOR INVERSE AND PSEUDO-INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

F. PASTIJN

(Received 29 August 1980)

Communicated by T. E. Hall

Abstract

We show that every inverse semigroup is an idempotent separating homomorphic image of a convex inverse subsemigroup of a P -semigroup $P(G, L, L)$, where G acts transitively on L . This division theorem for inverse semigroups can be applied to obtain a division theorem for pseudo-inverse semigroups.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer Math. Soc.):* 20 M 10.

We follow the terminology and the notation of Howie (1976). If T is a semigroup endowed with a partial order $<$, then we shall say that a subsemigroup S of T is a convex subsemigroup of T if S constitutes a convex subset of $(T, <)$. In particular, an inverse subsemigroup S of an inverse semigroup T is said to be a convex subsemigroup of T , if S constitutes a convex subset with respect to the natural partial order on T .

Let X be a semilattice, G a group which acts on the left on X by order automorphisms, and Y a subsemilattice of X . Let

$$P(G, X, Y) = \{(\alpha, a) \in Y \times G \mid \alpha \wedge a\beta, a^{-1}(\alpha \wedge \beta) \in Y \text{ for all } \beta \in Y\},$$

and define a multiplication on $P(G, X, Y)$ by

$$(\alpha, a)(\beta, b) = (\alpha \wedge a\beta, ab).$$

Then $P(G, X, Y)$ is an E -unitary inverse semigroup (McAlister (1974a, b)). A semigroup $P(G, X, Y)$ which is obtained in this way is called a P -semigroup. It follows easily from Theorem 2.6 of McAlister (1974b) that every E -unitary inverse semigroup can be represented by a P -semigroup.

Let $P(G', X', Y')$ be a P -semigroup. If G is a subgroup of G' , X a subsemilattice of X' which is left invariant under the action of G , and Y a subsemilattice of $X \cap Y'$, then we may consider $P(G, X, Y)$ as an inverse subsemigroup of $P(G', X', Y')$. One easily checks that $P(G, X, Y)$ is a convex inverse subsemigroup of $P(G', X', Y')$ if and only if Y is a convex subsemilattice of Y' .

Every inverse semigroup is an idempotent separating homomorphic image of a P -semigroup $P(G, X, Y)$ which in its turn can be embedded in the P -semigroup $P(G, X, X)$ which is a semi-direct product of the group G and the semilattice X (McAlister (1974a, b), O'Carroll (1976)). We shall establish a division theorem like the one considered here, where the embedding of Y in X and the action of G on X satisfy some nice conditions. We first have the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be an E -unitary inverse semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $S \cong P(G, X, Y)$, where Y is a convex subsemilattice of the semilattice X , and for every $g \in G$, there exists $(\gamma, g) \in P(G, X, Y)$.

(ii) $S \cong P(G, L, Y)$, where Y is an ideal of the semilattice L .

(iii) S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup $P(G, L, Y)$ of $P(G', L', L')$, where G' acts transitively on L' , where Y is an ideal of an open interval $L =]o, \epsilon[$ of L' , and where G is a subgroup of G' consisting of elements that fix both o and ϵ .

PROOF. (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious, and it suffices to show that (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Therefore let $S \cong P(G, L, Y)$, where G, X and Y are as in (i). Let $\alpha, \beta \in Y$ and $k \in G$. Let (γ, k) be an element of $P(G, X, Y)$. Then $(\alpha, 1)(\gamma, k) = (\alpha \wedge \gamma, k) \in P(G, X, Y)$, and so $\alpha \wedge \gamma \wedge k\delta \in Y$ for every $\delta \in Y$. Therefore

$$\alpha \geq \alpha \wedge k\beta \geq \alpha \wedge \gamma \wedge k\beta \in Y$$

implies that $\alpha \wedge k\beta \in Y$ since Y is a convex subsemilattice of X . If α, β are any elements of Y and g, h any elements of G , then $h\alpha$ and $g\beta$ belong to GY , and by the foregoing we have

$$g\alpha \wedge h\beta = g(\alpha \wedge g^{-1}h\beta) \in gY \subseteq GY.$$

We conclude that $L = GY$ is a subsemilattice of X which contains Y as an ideal, and it is easy to see that $P(G, X, Y) = P(G, L, Y)$. We established (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let us adjoin a zero o and an identity ϵ to L , and let $L \cup \{o, \epsilon\} = L^{o,\epsilon}$. The action of G on L can be extended in a natural way to an action of G on $L^{o,\epsilon}$: every element of G fixes o and ϵ . Then $P(G, X, Y) = P(G, L, Y)$ is a convex inverse subsemigroup of $P(G, L^{o,\epsilon}, L^{o,\epsilon})$. The mapping

$$\theta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } L^{o,\epsilon}, \quad g \rightarrow \theta_g,$$

where for every $g \in G$

$$\theta_g: L^{o,\varepsilon} \rightarrow L^{o,\varepsilon}, \quad \alpha \rightarrow g\alpha,$$

is a homomorphism of G into $\text{Aut } L^{o,\varepsilon}$. From Theorem 4 of Pastijn (1980) it follows that there exists a semilattice L' which contains $L^{o,\varepsilon}$ as an interval and which has a transitive automorphism group, and an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut } L^{o,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \text{Aut } L', \quad \rho \rightarrow \tau_{L'},$$

of $\text{Aut } L^{o,\varepsilon}$ into $\text{Aut } L'$, such that $\tau = \tau_{L'}|L^{o,\varepsilon}$ for every $\tau \in \text{Aut } L^{o,\varepsilon}$. Let $G' = G \star \text{Aut } L'$ be the free product of G and $\text{Aut } L'$. The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} G \cup \text{Aut } L' &\rightarrow \text{Aut } L', \quad g \rightarrow (\theta_g)_{L'} & g \in G, \\ \kappa &\rightarrow \kappa, & \kappa \in \text{Aut } L', \end{aligned}$$

can be extended in a unique way to a homomorphism of $G' = G \star \text{Aut } L'$ onto $\text{Aut } L'$, and by this we obtain an action of G' on L' by order automorphisms. The group G' acts in a transitive way on L' since $\text{Aut } L'$ does. Also G is a subgroup of G' , and every element of G fixes o and ε . Further, for every $g \in G$, the restriction to L of the action of g on L' coincides with the action of g on L as defined originally. Therefore (iii) holds,

REMARK 1. Not every E -unitary inverse semigroup satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1. We refer to McAlister (1978) for further details concerning the E -unitary inverse semigroups that satisfy the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1. We retain from Theorem 2.6 of McAlister (1978) that S is an F -inverse semigroup if and only if $S \cong P(G, X, Y) = P(G', L, Y)$ is as in Theorem 1, with the additional condition that Y has an identity. Also, the free inverse semigroup FI_X on the set X satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 (O'Carroll (1974)).

REMARK 2. The semigroup $P(G', L', L')$ which is mentioned in Theorem 1(iii) has high symmetry since G' acts in a transitive way on L' . Evidently $P(G, L', L')$ is bisimple. The best known example of such a semigroup is $P(Z, Z, Z)$, where Z stands for both the additive group of integers and the chain of integers. With the notation of Theorem 1, we have shown that every E -unitary inverse semigroup which can be represented by $P(G, L, L)$, can be isomorphically embedded as a convex subsemigroup in a P -semigroup $P(G', L', L')$, where G' acts transitively on L' : in fact $P(G, L, L)$ is the disjoint union of the open intervals $]o, g), (\varepsilon, g], g \in G$. Also the P -semigroup $P(G, X, Y) = P(G, L, Y)$ is embedded as a convex inverse subsemigroup in $P(G', L', L')$. We further remark that the convex inverse subsemigroup of $P(G', L', L')$ which is the disjoint union of the half open intervals $]o, g), (\varepsilon, g], g \in G$, is an E -unitary factorizable

inverse semigroup (in the sense of Chen and Hsieh (1974)), and every E -unitary factorizable inverse semigroup can be so obtained.

THEOREM 2. *Every inverse semigroup S divides an inverse semigroup $P(G', L', L')$ where G' acts in a transitive way on L' , in such a way that S is an idempotent separating homomorphic image of a convex inverse subsemigroup $P(G, L, Y)$ of $P(G', L', L')$ where Y is an ideal of the open interval $]o, \epsilon[= L$ of L' , and where G is a subgroup of G' which consists of elements that fix both o and ϵ .*

PROOF. Every inverse semigroup S is an idempotent separating homomorphic image of a P -semigroup $P(G, L, Y)$ where the semilattice Y is an ideal of the semilattice L (Reilly and Munn (1976) and Theorem 4.3 of McAlister (1978)). The proof now follows from Theorem 1.

A pseudo-inverse semigroup S is a regular semigroup where for every $e = e^2 \in S$, eSe is an inverse semigroup. Let $P(G, X, Y)$ be a P -semigroup, and let I, Λ be sets. Let $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ be a $\Lambda \times I$ -matrix, where for every $(i, \lambda) \in I \times \Lambda$, $p_{\lambda i}$ is an element of G whose action on X induces an automorphism of Y . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N} &= \mathfrak{N}(P(G, X, L); P; I, \Lambda) \\ &= \{(\alpha, a)_{i\lambda} \mid (\alpha, a) \in P(G, X, L), i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \end{aligned}$$

and define a multiplication on \mathfrak{N} by

$$(\alpha, a)_{i\lambda}(\beta, b)_{j\mu} = (\alpha \wedge ap_{\lambda j}\beta, ap_{\lambda j}b)_{i\mu}.$$

Then \mathfrak{N} becomes a pseudo-inverse semigroup which is a rectangular band $I \times \Lambda$ of E -unitary inverse semigroups which are all isomorphic to $P(G, X, Y)$. On \mathfrak{N} define a partial order \leq by

$$(\alpha, a)_{i\lambda} \leq (\beta, b)_{j\mu} \text{ if and only if } i = j, \lambda = \mu, a = b \text{ and } \alpha < \beta.$$

It is easy to see that \leq is compatible with the multiplication on \mathfrak{N} (Pastijn (preprint a)).

THEOREM 3. *Every pseudo-inverse semigroup S divides a pseudo-inverse semigroup $\mathfrak{N}(P(G', L', L'); P; I, \Lambda)$ where G' acts in a transitive way on L' , in such a way that S is a homomorphic image of a convex pseudo-inverse subsemigroup S of $\mathfrak{N}(P(G', L', L'); P; I, \Lambda)$ by a homomorphism that induces a congruence on S whose congruence classes containing idempotents constitute completely simple semigroups.*

PROOF. Let S be a pseudo-inverse semigroup. Then S divides a pseudo-inverse semigroup $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X, Y); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$ in such a way that S is a homomorphic

image of a pseudo-inverse subsemigroup \bar{S} of $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X, Y); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$ by a homomorphism φ that induces a congruence on \bar{S} whose classes containing idempotents constitute completely simple semigroups, and \bar{S} can be chosen to be a \leq -ideal of $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X, Y); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$ (Pastijn (preprint b)). Let us adjoin an identity ω to the semilattice X . We put $X^\omega = X \cup \{\omega\}$ and $Y^\omega = Y \cup \{\omega\}$. We can extend the action of \bar{G} on X to an action of \bar{G} on X^ω : every element of \bar{G} fixes ω . Obviously $P(\bar{G}, X, Y)$ is an ideal of $P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega)$, and $P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega) \setminus P(\bar{G}, X, Y)$ is the group of units of $P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega)$. This group of units consists of the elements (ω, \bar{g}) , $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$, where \bar{g} induces an automorphism on Y . Thus, if $\bar{p}_{\lambda i}$ is any entry of the $\Lambda \times I$ -matrix \bar{P} , then $(\omega, \bar{p}_{\lambda i})$ belongs to the group of units of $P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega)$. Whence $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$ is an ideal extension of $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X, Y); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$ (by a completely simple semigroup with zero), and so $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$ contains \bar{S} as a pseudo-inverse subsemigroup and as a \leq -ideal. By Reilly and Munn (1976) and Theorem 4.3 of McAlister (1978), there exists a P -semigroup $P(G, L, Y^\omega)$, where Y^ω is a principal ideal of L , and an idempotent separating homomorphism ψ of $P(G, L, Y^\omega)$ onto $P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega)$. For any $(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda \times I$, let $p_{\lambda i}$ be an element of G such that $(\omega, p_{\lambda i})\psi = (\omega, \bar{p}_{\lambda i})$. Let $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ be the $\Lambda \times I$ -matrix with entries $p_{\lambda i}$, $(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda \times I$. Since $(\omega, p_{\lambda i})$ belongs to the group of units of $P(G, L, Y^\omega)$, it follows that $p_{\lambda i}$ induces an automorphism on Y^ω which coincides with the automorphism which is induced on Y^ω by $\bar{p}_{\lambda i}$. Therefore it makes sense to consider the semigroup $\mathfrak{N}(P(G, L, Y^\omega); P; I, \Lambda)$, and one readily checks that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: \mathfrak{N}(P(G, L, Y^\omega); P; I, \Lambda) &\rightarrow (P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda), \\ (\alpha, a)_{i\lambda} &\rightarrow ((\alpha, a)\psi)_{i\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

is an idempotent separating homomorphism onto $\mathfrak{N}(P(\bar{G}, X^\omega, Y^\omega); \bar{P}; I, \Lambda)$. We denote the pre-image $\bar{S}\theta^{-1}$ of \bar{S} under this homomorphism by \underline{S} . Since θ is idempotent separating, $\theta|_{\underline{S}}$ is an idempotent separating homomorphism of the pseudo-inverse subsemigroup \underline{S} of $\mathfrak{N}(P(G, L, Y^\omega); P; I, \Lambda)$ onto \bar{S} . Further, \underline{S} is a \leq -ideal of $\mathfrak{N}(P(G, L, Y^\omega); P; I, \Lambda)$. The composition $(\theta|_{\underline{S}})\varphi$ is a homomorphism of \underline{S} onto S which induces a congruence on \underline{S} whose classes containing idempotents form completely simple semigroups. The P -semigroup $P(G, L, Y^\omega)$ can be embedded as a convex inverse subsemigroup in a P -semigroup $P(G', L', L')$ where G' acts transitively on L' in the way described by Theorem 1. It follows that $\mathfrak{N}(P(G, L, Y^\omega); P; I, \Lambda)$ is embedded as a convex pseudo-inverse subsemigroup in $\mathfrak{N}(P(G', L', L'); P; I, \Lambda)$. Consequently \underline{S} is embedded as a convex pseudo-inverse subsemigroup in $\mathfrak{N}(P(G', L', L'); P; I, \Lambda)$.

We illustrate the proof of Theorem 3 by the following diagram.

