
INDEX

- abortion. *See* bioethics
- academic appraisals, 79–83
- academic freedom
- a brief intellectual history, 16–19
 - conditions of, 92–94
 - constructions and contestations, 24, 159–163, 177–178
 - historical perspective, 3
 - key legal and policy statements, 20–23
 - meaning of, 1, 3–4
 - qualitative and quantitative measures, 8
 - relationship with conceptions of knowledge, 163–166
 - as under threat, 1–2
 - transnational theory of, 13–14, 159
 - universalised position, 39
- academics' responsibility, 24–26, 106, 161
- activism, and knowledge production, 46–48
- affect, 34–38
- American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 17–18, 20–23, 160
- American studies programmes, 53–55, 60–62
- anonymity, 7–8
- appraisals, 79–83
- arts subjects, 68–72
- audit culture, 79–83
- behavioural science, 57–58
- bias, use of systemic reviews, 45–46
- See also* Western bias
- bioethics, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
- Bourdieu, P. 135, 154
- Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement
- conditions of academic freedom, 92–94
 - global awareness, 2–3
 - national citizenship, 31–32
 - power, knowledge and morality, 26–29
- branch campuses, 92
- British Canon, 145–148
- See also* Western bias
- Butler, Judith, 31–32, 91–94, 160–161
- the 'Canon', 62, 145–148, 165–166
- See also* Western bias
- capital, 41–42, 43, 154
- Centre for American Studies and Research (CASAR), 53–55, 60–62, 78–79
- Centre for Research on Race in Education (CREE), 84
- the civic university, 29, 64–65, 66, 88, 162
- civility, 34–38, 148–150, 163
- colonialism, 18–19
- 'colonising the mind', 48–49
- confidentiality, 7–8
- constructivist grounded theory, 6–7
- controversial science, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
- the corporate university, 69–70
- COVID-19 pandemic, 2
- critical university studies (CUS), 65, 166–169
- 'death of knowledge', 165–166
- death threats, 37–38
- decolonisation discourses, 72–74
- decolonisation of knowledge, 48–51, 164
- delegitimation of knowledge, 156–157, 176
- democracy and academic freedom, 56
- democratisation of knowledge, 13, 140–142
- development
- real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 - social change, 50–51
 - temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
- 'dignity safe', 29–32
- complementarity of freedom and diversity, 16, 160–161
 - psychologisation of society, 32–33
- disability rights, 118–120
- disciplinarity, 26–29
- 'disciplinary decadence', 58–59
- See also* interdisciplinary approach
- disciplinary quality, 145–148
- diversity
- mangerialisation of, 83
 - 'oversensitivity', 16
 - university initiatives, 144–145, 174
 - See also* freedom vs. diversity/inclusion
- dominance, knowledge production, 49
- Douglas, Mary, 48–49
- education, value of, 42–46
- emotion
- and civility, 34–38
 - public performance of academic debate, 36–37
 - as a social and political practice, 82–83

- employability, in the knowledge economy, 42–46, 68–72, 175
- employment
- job security of academics, 81, 162
 - liberal arts and the 'knowledge economy', 68–72
 - precarity as an academic, 38
 - restriction of academic freedom, 81, 175
 - skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
- enrollment rates, university, 10, 74–79, 167–168
- entrepreneurship, 42–46, 151–152
- epistemic violence, 137
- ethics committees, at universities, 95–98, 169–170
- Eurocentrism, 129–130
- euthanasia, 118–120, 132–133
- See also* bioethics
- exceptionalism, 87–89
- expertise, 24–26, 140–142, 161
- extramural speech, 17–18, 25, 106
- extremism, 125–129, 172–173
- forbidden knowledge, 12–13, 112–113
- agentic boundary work, 129–133
 - conceptualising rationales of, 113–129
 - controversial science, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
 - gatekeeper mechanisms, 171–177
 - publishing and dissemination, 129–133, 173
 - taboo topics, 116–118
- Foucault, M., 135
- free speech, 161
- contested understandings, 24–26
 - definition and distinction from academic freedom, 3–4
- free speech champions, 2–3
- 'freedom to'/'freedom from', 31
- freedom vs. diversity/inclusion, 1, 8–9
- complementarity of, 16, 160–161
 - contextualisation and preconditions, 29–32
 - irreconcilability debate, 16
- funding
- legitimate knowledge, 153–155
 - research, 44–45, 64–85
 - 'strong research', 135–145
- gender
- forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
 - intimidation and threats against female academic, 37–38
 - race and, 30–31
 - real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 - sexual harassment, 102–106
 - vulnerable academics, 81
- gender dysphoria, 120–121, 146
- geographical positionality, 51–56, 60–62
- global neoliberalism, 11–12
- Global North
- development research, 44–45
 - gender and sexuality, 129–130
 - international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177
 - missions of universities, 31–32
 - understandings of academic freedom, 31–32, 177
 - See also* Western bias
- Global South
- development research, 44–45
 - drive for internationalisation, 11, 108
 - funding and international partnerships, 153–155
 - gender and sexuality, 130
 - impact agendas, 175–176
 - international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177
 - marketisation of higher education, 11
 - missions of universities, 31–32
- global university rankings, 155–158, 176–177
- governance of universities
- contextualisation of constraints, 91
 - exceptionalism and context, 87–89
 - funding, 64–85
 - management and audit culture, 79–83
 - procedural irregularities, 98–100, 170
 - role of universities, 168–169
 - unions, 85–87
- grievance studies, 34–36, 68
- habitus, 154
- Hanafi, S., 153–154, 157
- harassment, 102–106, 170
- hate speech, 25, 133–134, 150
- hegemony of knowledge, 49, 178
- hierarchy of rights, 30–31
- higher education. *See* universities
- historical perspective on academic freedom, 3, 16–19
- human rights, 20, 159–160
- humanities subjects, 68–72
- Humboldtian model of higher education, 17–18, 29–32, 41–42
- identity politics, 24
- ignorance studies, 113–115
- inclusive knowledge, 29–32
- indigenous knowledge, 142–143
- individualisation of society, 32–34, 162
- infanticide 118–120
- See also* bioethics
- infantilisation of students, 32–33, 162
- insecurity, job, as an academic, 37–38, 81, 162
- interdisciplinary approach, 5, 56–62
- international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177

- internationalisation of universities, 4–5, 10, 74–79, 108, 153–155
- interpretivist paradigm, 7
- intersectionality, 170–178
- intimidation, 37–38
- Israel/Palestine debate, 52–55, 120–121, 172
- job security, as an academic, 37–38, 81, 162
- Journal of Controversial Ideas*, 129–133
- justice, epistemic violence, 137
- justified true belief, 136–137
- knowledge
- constructions of, 136–137, 163
 - contested understandings, 26–29, 40–48, 161–162
 - inclusive, 29–32
 - relationship with conceptions of academic freedom, 163–166
- knowledge economy, 42–46, 68–72, 175
- knowledge production, 9–10
- ‘death of knowledge’, 165–166
 - decolonisation, 48–51, 164
 - democratisation, 13, 140–142
 - Humboldtian model, 41–42
 - implications for academic freedom, 62–63
 - inter/disciplinarity, 56–62
 - internal and external restrictions, 91–92
 - positionality, 46–48, 164–165
 - quality, 174–175
 - role of universities, 4–5, 10, 64–66
 - temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
 - ‘truth’ and ‘public good’, 66–68
- See also forbidden knowledge; legitimate knowledge
- lay expertise, 142
- Lebanon
- compliance with US regulations, 108–110
 - methodological approach to research in, 5–8
 - Palestine/Israel debate, 52–55
 - universities in, 10–11, 19–20
- legal context of academic freedom
- mobilities, 110
 - national, 106–108
 - policy statements, 20–23
 - transnational, 108–110
- legal status, working in UAE, 37–38
- legitimacy, 136–137, 161–162
- legitimate knowledge, 13, 135
- the ‘Canon’, 62, 145–148, 165–166
 - disciplinary quality, 145–148
 - discourses of civility, 148–150
 - funding and international partnerships, 153–155
 - global legitimating systems, 155–158
 - meaning of, 138
 - positionality, 138–143, 174
 - skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
 - social context, 173–174
 - university diversity initiatives, 144–145
- LGBT
- forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
 - publishing difficulties, 130–132
- liberal arts, 68–72
- libertarian perspective, 1, 8–9
- madrasas, 16–17
- management of universities, 79–83
- marketisation of higher education, 11, 100–102, 163–164, 169–171, 176–177
- masculinity, and sexism, 54
- McCarthyism, 17–18
- medicalisation of society, 32–33
- mission of the university, 66–72, 166–167
- mobilities
- legal regulation, 110
 - state and international levels, 170–178
 - transnational education, 78
- moral virtue, 26–29, 161–162, 163
- Murray, Charles, 126–127
- Muslim Brotherhood, 128
- Nandy, A. 49, 51
- nation-state formation, 72–74
- national citizenship, 31–32
- national educational systems, history of, 18–19
- national identity, 128–129
- national legislation, freedom of speech, 20–23, 106–108
- neoliberalism, 11–12
- diversity initiatives, 144–158
 - as a framing discourse, 94–95, 169–170
 - marketisation of higher education, 11, 100–102, 163–164, 169–171, 176–177
 - skills and employment, 42–46, 175
- objective truth, 68
- offshore campuses, 92
- online teaching, 2
- organisational culture, 79–83
- ‘oversensitivity’, 16, 32–33, 150
- Oxbridge, 17–18, 29–32, 160
- Palestine/Israel debate, 52–55, 120–121, 172
- participation rates, university, 10, 74–79, 167–168
- patriarchy
- decolonisation discourses, 72–74
 - race and gender, 30–31
- policy statements, academic freedom, 20–23
- political economy of knowledge production, 175–176

- positionality
 as academics, 38
 decolonisation of knowledge, 48–51
 forbidden knowledge, 120–121
 geographical, 51–56, 60–62
 knowledge production, 46–48, 164–165
 legitimate knowledge, 138–143, 174
 race, 139–140, 164–165
 temporal, 51–56
- power, 26–29, 34–38, 161–162
- precarity, 38
- privilege, and responsibility, 26, 28
- pro life/pro choice, 118–120
 See also bioethics
- psycholinguistics of society, 32–34, 162
- public good
 contested understandings, 25–26
 role of universities, 66–68
- public knowledge, 46–48
- public performance of academic debate, 36–37
- publishing forbidden knowledge, 129–133, 173
- qualitative measures, academic freedom, 8
- qualitative research, 147
- quality, knowledge production, 44–45, 174–175
- quantitative measures, academic freedom, 8
- quantitative research, 147
- race
 decolonisation discourses, 72–74
 ethnic minority academics, 140
 forbidden knowledge, 125–129, 172–173
 funding of research, 84
 gender and, 30–31
 positionality, 139–140, 164–165
 real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 transracialism, 117
 white researchers, 51, 139–140
- rape threats, 37–38
- rationality
 civility, 34–38
 knowledge production, 46–48
- real-world applicability of research, 44–45
- religion, 125–129, 172–173
- remote learning, 2
- research
 fear of the mis/application of research findings, 113–115
 funding, 44–45, 64–85
 methodological approach, 5–8
 role of universities, 168–169
 skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
 ‘strong research’, 135–145
- Research Evaluation Framework (REF), 152, 170–178
- research funding councils, 92
- responsibility, 24–26, 106, 161
- retirement, taking early retirement, 82
- right to life, 118–120
 See also bioethics
- safe spaces, 32–33
- Said, Edward, 140
- Salaita, Stephen, 149
- scholars. *See* academics’ responsibility
- sciences, technology, engineering, maths and medicine (STEMM), 144–145
- Scientific Women’s Academic Network (SWAN), 144–158
- security, 125–129, 172–173
- self-censorship
 ethnic minority academics, 140
 forbidden knowledge, 123–125
 hostile environments, 102–106, 170
 literature on academic freedom, 24
 students, 100–102, 170
 vulnerabilities, 170
- seniority of academics, 81, 162
- sexism, and masculinity, 54
- sexual harassment, 102–106, 170
- sexuality
 forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
 and transracialism, 117
- Singer, Peter, 129–133
- skills and employment, 42–46, 175
- ‘snowflakes’, 32–33, 150
- social change, 50–51
- social justice, 34–36
- social media, 82
- social science subjects, 68–72
- state-imposed constraints on research, 92
- ‘strong research’, 135–145
- students
 infantilisation of, 32–33, 162
 self-censorship, 100–102, 170
 as university customers, 100–102, 170
- systemic reviews (SRs), 45–46
- taboo topics, 116–118
 See also forbidden knowledge
- temporal positionality, 51–56
- tenure, job security of academics, 81, 162
- therapeutic turn in higher education, 32–33
- transnational production of knowledge
 legitimate knowledge, 155–158
 methodological approach, 5–8
 role of the university, 166–169
 shifting conceptions of academic freedom, 159–163
- transnational theory of academic freedom, 13–14, 159
- transracialism, 117
- transsexual, 120–121

truth

- contested understandings, 25
- Humboldtian model, 41–42
- interdisciplinary approach, 56–62
- as justified true belief, 136–137
- legitimate knowledge, 138
- positionality, 161–162
- role of universities, 66–68

UAE

- forbidden knowledge, 12–13
- legal status of academics in, 37–38
- methodological approach to research in, 5–8
- mobility restrictions, 170–178
- transition to knowledge-based economy, 42–46, 64–66
- universities in, 10–11, 19–20

UK

- conception of academic freedom, 160
- methodological approach to research in, 5–8
- national legislation, 106–108
- Research Evaluation Framework (REF), 152, 170–178
- systemic reviews, 45–46
- temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
- universities in, 17–18

uncertainty, knowledge production, 41–42

unions, at universities, 85–87

universities

- a brief intellectual history, 16–19
- the civic university, 29, 64–65, 66, 88, 162
- contextualisation and preconditions, 29–32
- diversity initiatives, 144–145, 174
- global university rankings, 155–158
- internationalisation of, 4–5, 10, 74–79, 153–155
- participation rates, 10, 74–79, 167–168
- public sphere for debate, 11

universities, role of

- contextualising academic freedom, 166–169
- (de-)constructing the nation, 72–74
- exceptionalism and context, 87–89
- governance and funding, 79–87
- knowledge production, 4–5, 10, 65
- liberal arts and the ‘knowledge economy’, 68–72
- mission of the university, 66–72, 166–167
- transnational production of knowledge, 166–169
- ‘truth’ and ‘public good’, 66–68

University and College Union (UCU), 22–23

university enrolment rates, 167–168

university ethics committees, 95–98, 169–170

university procedures, 12

US

- American studies programmes, 60–62
- conception of academic freedom, 160
- global compliance with US regulations, 108–110
- literature on academic freedom, 24
- methodological approach to research in, 5–8
- temporal and geographical positionality, 56

value of education, 42–46

‘virtues’, discourse, 36, 163

vulnerable academics, 81, 162

Western bias

- the ‘Canon’, 62, 145–148, 165–166
- disciplinary quality, 145–148
- geographical positionality, 51–56
- international division of knowledge labour, 156–157
- knowledge production, 62
- publishing forbidden knowledge, 129–130
- See also* Global North

‘woke’, 36, 150

women’s history, 57

