

## A NOTE ON ASYMPTOTIC INFERENCE FOR AN ASYMMETRIC ISING MODEL AROUND A TORUS

WEI QIAN,\* *University of Glasgow*

### Abstract

Limiting distribution results are obtained for the sufficient statistics of an asymmetric Ising model on a torus. Applications are discussed.

### 1. Introduction

Consider a rectangular lattice with  $M \times N$  pixels. Let  $X = (x_{ij})$  denote the vector variable with each  $x_{ij} \in \{-1, +1\}$ . We assume a periodic boundary condition which is equivalent to wrapping the lattice around a torus. The distribution function for the periodic boundary asymmetric Ising model, which involves two parameters, is

$$(1.1) \quad P(X \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{C(\alpha, \beta)} \exp \left\{ \alpha \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N x_{ij} x_{i,j+1} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N x_{ij} x_{i+1,j} \right\}$$

where  $x_{i,N+1} = x_{i1}$  and  $x_{M+1,j} = x_{1j}$ , and  $C(\alpha, \beta)$  is the normalizing factor known as the partition function. Define  $Q = (Q_1, Q_2)' = (\sum \sum x_{ij} x_{i,j+1}, \sum \sum x_{ij} x_{i+1,j})'$ . For convenience, we only consider the region  $R_+^2 = \{0 \leq \alpha, \beta < \infty\}$ . The results can also be extended to other areas by symmetric extension.

### 2. The limiting results of $Q$

The moment generating function of  $Q$  is  $C(\alpha + t_1, \beta + t_2)/C(\alpha, \beta)$ . The asymptotic properties of  $Q$  depend upon those of  $C(\alpha, \beta)$ . There is a function,  $B(\alpha, \beta)$ , which is an approximation to  $(MN)^{-1} \log C(\alpha, \beta)$  and defined by the following Riemann integral. Detailed proof of the validity of the approximation is not given here, but the approach is similar to that of Pickard (1976):

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = (4\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\alpha, \beta, \omega) d\omega,$$

where

$$\psi(\alpha, \beta, \omega) = \log \{ \cosh 2\alpha \cosh 2\beta - \sinh 2\alpha \cos \omega + [(\cosh 2\alpha \cosh 2\beta - \sinh 2\alpha \cos \omega)^2 - (\sinh 2\beta)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}.$$

It is not difficult to show that there is a line, called the critical line, and defined by

$$L = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \sinh 2\alpha \sinh 2\beta = 1\}$$

such that, although the first-order partial derivatives of  $B$  are continuous on  $R_+^2$ , the high-order partial derivatives are not defined on  $L$ . By using Kaufmann's (1949) exact representation for  $C(\alpha, \beta)$ , Pickard (1976) obtained the limiting distribution properties of  $Q_1 + Q_2$ , but only for the symmetric case  $\alpha = \beta$ . Following almost the same method and notations as those of Pickard (1976) to analyse  $C(\alpha, \beta)$ , and then using the moment generating functions of  $(MN)^{-1}Q$  and  $(MN)^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(MN)^{-1}Q - \nabla B(\alpha, \beta)]$ , we can prove the following theorem.

Received 31 August 1989; revision received 15 May 1990.

\* Postal address: Department of Statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland, UK.

*Theorem.* Suppose  $(\alpha, \beta)' \notin L$ . Then as  $M, N \rightarrow \infty$ , and provided  $N^{\theta_1} \leq M \leq N^\theta$  for any fixed  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta$  with  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta < \infty$ ,

1.  $(MN)^{-1}Q \xrightarrow{Pr} \nabla B(\alpha, \beta)$
2.  $(MN)^{\frac{1}{2}}[(MN)^{-1}Q - \nabla B(\alpha, \beta)] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \nabla^2 B(\alpha, \beta))$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the first-order derivative vector, and  $\nabla^2$  the second-order derivative matrix.

For the case of free boundary condition (no interaction between  $x_{i1}$  and  $x_{iN}$ , and between  $x_{1j}$  and  $x_{mj}$ ), it is almost impossible to analyse the partition function exactly in order to obtain rate of convergence of  $(MN)^{-1}EQ$  to  $\nabla B(\alpha, \beta)$ . Pickard (1977) showed only that

$$(MN)^{-\frac{1}{2}}[Q - EQ] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \nabla^2 B(\alpha, \beta)).$$

### 3. Applications

Since the number of possible terms of  $X$  is  $2^{MN}$ , it is not feasible to carry out an exact calculation for  $C(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\nabla C(\alpha, \beta)$ , except when  $M$  and  $N$  are small. The maximization of the log-likelihood is therefore infeasible. Monte Carlo methods are not a completely satisfactory approach, since the author believes the computational burden of simulating the field to be very heavy. Note that  $MNB(\alpha, \beta)$  is an approximation to  $\log C(\alpha, \beta)$ , an obvious alternative way of estimating parameters is to maximize an asymptotic likelihood, namely,  $AL(Q | \alpha, \beta) = \alpha Q_1 + \beta Q_2 - MNB(\alpha, \beta)$ , or to solve the following corresponding asymptotic normal equation:

$$(MN)^{-1}Q = \nabla B(\alpha, \beta).$$

Suppose  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)' \notin L$  are the true values of the parameters and denote by  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})'$  the solution of the above equation. Standard methods therefore show that as  $M, N \rightarrow \infty$ , and provided  $N^{\theta_1} \leq M \leq N^\theta$  with  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta < \infty$ ,

$$(MN)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} - \alpha_0 \\ \hat{\beta} - \beta_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, (\nabla^2 B(\alpha, \beta))^{-1}).$$

This demonstrates consistency of  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})'$ . Another application of the results is in testing the null hypothesis:

$$H_0: \alpha = \beta$$

against the general alternative hypothesis of 'not  $H_0$ '. We can naturally attack this test problem by an asymptotic likelihood-ratio approach. Define the test statistic

$$\Lambda(Q) = \sup_{\alpha, \beta} AL(Q | \alpha, \beta) - \sup_{\alpha} AL(Q | \alpha, \alpha).$$

The upper  $\delta$ -point  $q_\delta$  of the distribution can then be defined by

$$\max_{(\alpha, \alpha)' \notin L} \lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [\Lambda(Q) \geq q_\delta | \alpha, \alpha] = \delta.$$

It can be shown that, when the null hypothesis holds,  $\Lambda(Q)$  follows, asymptotically, a  $\chi^2(1)$  distribution, while, when the alternative hypothesis holds,  $\Lambda(Q)$  has, in probability, the same order as  $MN$ . For the free boundary condition case, the asymptotic normality of maximum asymptotic likelihood estimators and the properties of above test statistic have not been established.

### **Acknowledgement**

The author is grateful to Professor D. M. Titterton for his advice and support. He also thanks the University of Glasgow and the ORS Committee for financial support.

### **References**

- KAUFMANN, B. (1949) Crystal statistics II, partition function evaluated by spinor analysis. *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 1232–1243.
- PICKARD, D. K. (1976) Asymptotic inference for an Ising lattice. *J. Appl. Prob.* **13**, 486–497.
- PICKARD, D. K. (1977) Asymptotic inference for an Ising lattice II. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **9**, 476–501.