

TOTALLY REAL PSEUDO-UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF A QUATERNION SPACE FORM

by HUAFEI SUN†

(Received 12 June, 1996)

1. Introduction. Let $M(c)$ denote a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form of quaternion sectional curvature c , and let $P(H)$ denote the $4n$ -dimensional quaternion projective space of constant quaternion sectional curvature 4. Let N be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $M(c)$. We call N a totally real submanifold of $M(c)$ if each tangent 2-plane of N is mapped into a totally real plane in $M(c)$. B. Y. Chen and C. S. Houh proved in [1].

THEOREM A. *Let M be an n -dimensional compact totally real minimal submanifold of the quaternion projective space $P(H)$. If*

$$S \leq \frac{3n(n+1)}{(6n-1)},$$

then N is totally geodesic. Here S is the square of the length of the second fundamental form of N .

Let h be the second fundamental form of the immersion, and ξ the mean curvature vector. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the scalar product of $M(c)$. If there exists a function λ on N such that

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \lambda \langle X, Y \rangle \quad (*)$$

for any tangent vector X, Y on N , then N is called a *pseudo-umbilical submanifold* of $M(c)$. It is clear that $\lambda \geq 0$. If the mean curvature vector $\xi = 0$ identically, then N is called a minimal submanifold of $M(c)$. Every minimal submanifold of $M(c)$ is itself a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of $M(c)$. In this paper, we consider the case when N is pseudo-umbilical and extend Theorem A. Our main results are

THEOREM 1. *Let N be an n -dimensional compact totally real pseudo-umbilical submanifold of $M(c)$. Then*

$$\int_N \{6S^2 - [(n+1)c + 16nH^2]S + 4n^2H^2c + 10n^2H^4\} dN \geq 0,$$

where H and dN denote the mean curvature of N and the volume element of N respectively.

THEOREM 2. *Let N be an n -dimensional compact totally real submanifold of $M(c)$. If*

$$6S^2 - ((n+1)c + 16nH^2)S + 4n^2H^2c + 10n^2H^4 - 4nH\Delta H \leq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

then the second fundamental form of N is parallel. In particular, if the equality of (1.1) holds, then either N is totally geodesic or N is flat.

When $H \equiv 0$, i.e. N is minimal, from Theorem 1 we may get (cf. [4]).

† I would like to thank Prof. K. Ogiue for his advice and encouragement and to thank also the referee for valuable suggestions.

COROLLARY. Let N be an n -dimensional compact totally real minimal submanifold of $P(H)$. If

$$S \leq \frac{2}{3}(n + 1),$$

then N is totally geodesic or $S = \frac{2}{3}(n + 1)$.

2. Local formulas. We use the same notation and terminologies as in [1] unless otherwise stated. Let $M(c)$ denote a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form of quaternion sectional curvature c , and let N be an n -dimensional totally real submanifold of $M(c)$. We choose a local field of orthonormal frames,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1, \dots, e_n, \quad e_{I(1)} = Ie_1, \dots, e_{I(n)} = Ie_n, \\ e_{J(1)} = Je_1, \dots, e_{J(n)} = Je_n, \quad e_{K(1)} = Ke_1, \dots, e_{K(n)} = Ke_n, \end{aligned}$$

in such a way that when restricted to N , e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to N . Here I, J, K are the almost Hermitan structures and satisfy

$$IJ = -JI = K, JK = -KJ = I, KI = -IK = J, I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -1.$$

We shall use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$\begin{aligned} A, B, \dots = 1, \dots, n, I(1), \dots, I(n), J(1), \dots, J(n), K(1), \dots, K(n), \\ \alpha, \beta, \dots = I(1), \dots, I(n), J(1), \dots, J(n), K(1), \dots, K(n), \\ i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, n, \quad \phi = I, J, K. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\omega_A\}$ be the dual frame field. Then the structure equations of $M(c)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_A &= -\sum_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0, \\ d\omega_{AB} &= -\sum_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{CD} K_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D, \\ K_{ABCD} &= \frac{c}{4} (\delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD} \delta_{BC} + I_{AC} I_{BD} - I_{AD} I_{BC} + 2I_{AB} I_{CD} + J_{AC} J_{BD} \\ &\quad - J_{AD} J_{BC} + 2J_{AB} J_{CD} + K_{AC} K_{BD} - K_{AD} K_{BC} + 2K_{AB} K_{CD}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Restricting these forms to N , we get the following structure equations of the immersion:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\alpha &= 0, \quad \omega_{\alpha i} = \sum_j h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_j, \quad h_{ij}^\alpha = h_{ji}^\alpha, \quad h_{jk}^{\phi(i)} = h_{ik}^{\phi(j)} = h_{ij}^{\phi(k)}, \\ d\omega_{ij} &= -\sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l, \\ R_{ijkl} &= K_{ijkl} + \sum_\alpha (h_{ik}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha - h_{il}^\alpha h_{jk}^\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_{\alpha\beta} &= -\sum_\gamma \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} R_{\alpha\beta ij} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j, \\ R_{\alpha\beta ij} &= K_{\alpha\beta ij} + \sum_k (h_{ik}^\alpha h_{kj}^\beta - h_{ik}^\beta h_{kj}^\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We call $h = \sum_{ij\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_i \omega_j e_\alpha$ the second fundamental form of the immersed manifold N . We denote by $S = \sum_{ij\alpha} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2$ the square of the length of h . $\xi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_\alpha \text{tr } H_\alpha e_\alpha$ and $H = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_\alpha (\text{tr } H_\alpha)^2}$ denote the mean curvature vector and the mean curvature of N , respectively. Here tr is the trace of the matrix $H_\alpha = (h_{ij}^\alpha)$. Now, let $e_{k(n)}$ be parallel to ξ . Then we have

$$\text{tr } H_{k(n)} = nH, \quad \text{tr } H_\alpha = 0, \alpha \neq k(n). \tag{2.4}$$

We define h_{ijk}^α and h_{ijkl}^α by

$$\sum_k h_{ijk}^\alpha \omega_k = dh_{ij}^\alpha - \sum_l h_{il}^\alpha \omega_l - \sum_l h_{lj}^\alpha \omega_l + \sum_\beta h_{ij}^\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\sum_l h_{ijkl}^\alpha \omega_l = dh_{ijk}^\alpha - \sum_l h_{ijk}^\alpha \omega_l - \sum_l h_{ilk}^\alpha \omega_l - \sum_l h_{ijl}^\alpha \omega_l + \sum_\beta h_{ijk}^\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta},$$

respectively. Where

$$h_{ijk}^\alpha = h_{ikj}^\alpha$$

and

$$h_{ijkl}^\alpha - h_{jikl}^\alpha = \sum_m h_{im}^\alpha R_{mjkl} + \sum_m h_{mj}^\alpha R_{mikl} - \sum_\beta h_{ij}^\beta R_{\alpha\beta kl}.$$

The Laplacian h_{ij}^α of the second fundamental form h_{ij}^α is defined by $\Delta h_{ij}^\alpha = \sum_k h_{ijkk}^\alpha$. By a direct calculation we have (cf. [1, 2, 3])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta S &= \sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{ij\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha \Delta h_{ij}^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{ijk\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha + \sum_{ijk\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{lk}^\alpha R_{lijk} + \sum_{ijk\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkjk} + \sum_{ijk\alpha\beta} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta R_{\beta\alpha jk}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

3. Proofs of Theorems. From (*) and (2.4) we get $\sum_\alpha \text{tr } H_\alpha h_{ij}^\alpha = n\lambda \delta_{ij}$, $H^2 = \lambda$ and

$$h_{ij}^{k(n)} = H\delta_{ij}. \tag{3.1}$$

Using (3.1) we have

$$\sum_{ijk\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha = nH\Delta H. \tag{3.2}$$

Using (2.1)–(2.4) and (3.1), we derive (cf. [1, 2, 3])

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{ijkl\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lijk} + \sum_{ijkl\alpha} h_{ji}^\alpha h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkjk} + \sum_{ijk\alpha\beta} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta R_{\beta\alpha jk} \\ &= \frac{c}{4}(n+1)S - n^2H^2c + \sum_{ijkl\alpha\beta} h_{kk}^\alpha h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ji}^\beta h_{li}^\beta + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\text{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 \\ &= \frac{c}{4}(n+1)S - n^2H^2c + nH^2S + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\text{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Substituting (3.2) and (3.3) into (2.6), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta S = \sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + nH\Delta H + \frac{c}{4}(n+1)S - n^2H^2c + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\text{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2. \tag{3.4}$$

In order to prove our Theorems, we need the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 1 [4]. *Let $H_i, i \geq 2$ be symmetric $n \times n$ -matrices, $S_i = \text{tr} H_i^2, S = \sum_i S_i$. Then*

$$\sum_{ij} \text{tr}(H_i H_j - H_j H_i)^2 - \sum_{ij} (\text{tr} H_i H_j)^2 \geq -\frac{3}{2}S^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if all $H_i = 0$ or there exist two of H_i different from zero. Moreover, if $H_1 \neq 0, H_2 \neq 0, H_i = 0, i \neq 1, 2$, then $S_1 = S_2$ and there exists an orthogonal $(n \times n)$ -matrix T such that

$$TH_1 T = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad TH_2 T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ a & 0 & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \sqrt{\frac{S_1}{2}}$.

LEMMA 2.

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\text{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 \geq \frac{3}{2}S^2 + 3nH^2S - \frac{5}{2}n^2H^4.$$

In fact, using (2.4), (3.1) and noting that α runs up to $3n > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\text{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 &= \sum_{\alpha\beta \neq k(n)} \text{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha\beta \neq k(n)} (\text{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 - (\text{tr} H_{k(n)}^2)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{tr} (H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\operatorname{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 &\geq -\frac{3}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq k(n)} \operatorname{tr} H_\alpha^2 \right)^2 - (\operatorname{tr} H_{k(n)}^2)^2 \\ &= -\frac{3}{2} (S - \operatorname{tr} H_{k(n)}^2)^2 - (\operatorname{tr} H_{k(n)}^2)^2 = -\frac{3}{2} (S - nH^2)^2 - n^2 H^4 = -\frac{3}{2} S^2 + 3nH^2 S - \frac{5}{2} n^2 H^4. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) we have

$$\sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 \geq \sum_{ik} (h_{ik}^{k(n)})^2 = n \sum_i (\nabla_i H)^2 = n |\nabla H|^2. \tag{3.6}$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta H^2 = H \Delta H + |\nabla H|^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2, (2.6) and (2.7) by (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta S &= \sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{ij\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha \Delta h_{ij}^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + nH \Delta H + \frac{c}{4} (n+1)S + nH^2 S - n^2 H^2 c \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{tr} (H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\operatorname{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + nH \Delta H + \frac{c}{4} (n+1)S + 4nH^2 S - n^2 H^2 c - \frac{3}{2} S^2 - \frac{5}{2} n^2 H^4 \\ &\geq n |\nabla H|^2 + nH \Delta H + \frac{c}{4} (n+1)S + 4nH^2 S - n^2 H^2 c - \frac{3}{2} S^2 - \frac{5}{2} n^2 H^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} n \Delta H^2 + \frac{c}{4} (n+1)S + 4nH^2 S - \frac{3}{2} S^2 - n^2 H^2 c - \frac{5}{2} n^2 H^4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since N is compact, we obtain from (3.8)

$$\int_N \{6S^2 - [(n+1)c + 16nH^2]S + 4n^2 H^2 c + 10n^2 H^4\} dN \geq 0.$$

From the first inequality of (3.8) we know that if N is compact and

$$6S^2 - [(n+1)c + 16nH^2]S + 4n^2 H^2 c + 10n^2 H^4 - 4nH \Delta H \leq 0, \tag{3.9}$$

then $\sum_{ijk\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 = 0$, that is, the second fundamental form h_{ij}^α is parallel. In particular, when the equality of (3.9) holds, we see from (3.8) that the equality

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta \neq k(n)} \operatorname{tr} (H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 - \sum_{\alpha\beta \neq k(n)} (\operatorname{tr} H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 = -\frac{3}{2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq k(n)} \operatorname{tr} H_\alpha^2 \right)^2$$

holds. Thus, by Lemma 1 we see that (i) $H_\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha \neq k(n)$) or (ii) there exist two non-zero H_α . In the case (i), we get $S = nH^2$. Hence noting $H = \text{constant}$ and substituting it into the equality of (3.9), we obtain

$$(3n - 1)cnH^2 = 0.$$

This implies $H = 0$, so that N is totally geodesic or $c = 0$ so that N is flat. Now, we will prove that the case (ii) can not occur. Otherwise, using the same method as in [3], we may see $n = 2$. Thus we may assume

$$H_{I(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{I(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{K(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_\alpha = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Here $a \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq I(1), I(2), K(2)$.

Let the codimension of N be $p (=3n)$. Put

$$S_\alpha = \sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2,$$

$$p\sigma_1 = \sum_\alpha S_\alpha = S,$$

$$p(p - 1)\sigma_2 = 2 \sum_{\alpha < \beta} S_\alpha S_\beta.$$

It can be easily seen (cf. [3])

$$p^2(p - 1)(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} (S_\alpha - S_\beta)^2. \quad (3.11)$$

By a direct calculation using (3.10), we get

$$p^2(p - 1)\sigma_1^2 = (p - 1)(4a^2 + 2H^2)^2, \quad (3.12)$$

$$p^2(p - 1)\sigma_2 = p(8a^4 + 16a^2H^2), \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha < \beta} (S_\alpha - S_\beta)^2 = 8(a^2 - H^2)^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Substituting (3.12)–(3.14) into (3.11), we obtain

$$(p - 1)(4a^2 + 2H^2)^2 - p(8a^4 + 16a^2H^2) = 8(a^2 - H^2)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.15) we get

$$(p - 3)(2a^4 + H^4) = 0,$$

namely

$$(3n - 3)(2a^4 + H^4) = 0,$$

implying $n = 1$, because $2a^4 + H^4 \neq 0$. This is a contradiction, since $n = 2$.

REFERENCES

1. B. Y. Chen and C. S. Houh, Totally real submanifolds of a quaternion projective space, *Ann. di Math. Pura Appl.* **120** (1979), 185–199.
2. B. Y. Chen, Some results of Chern-do Carmo-Kobayashi type and the length of second fundamental form, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20** (1971), 1175–1185.
3. S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, in *Functional Analysis and Related Field* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970) p. 60–75.
4. A. M. Li and J. M. Li, An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Arch. Math.* **58** (1992), 582–594.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
HACHIOJI, TOKYO, 192-03
JAPAN