

An embedding theorem for fields

J.W.S. Cassels

It is shown that every finitely generated field K of characteristic 0 may be embedded in infinitely many p -adic fields in such a way that the images of any given finite set C of non-zero elements of K are p -adic units. The result is suggested by Lech's proof of his generalization of Mahler's theorem on recurrent sequences. It also provides a simple proof of Selberg's theorem about torsion-free normal subgroups of matrix groups.

THEOREM I. *Let K be a finitely generated extension of the rational field \mathbb{Q} and let C be a finite set of non-zero elements of K . Then there exist infinitely many primes p such that there is an embedding*

$$\alpha : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

of K into the p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p for which

$$|\alpha c| = 1 \quad (\text{all } c \in C).$$

Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the p -adic valuation.

This theorem does not appear to have been stated explicitly before. The paper of Lech [3] contains implicitly a weaker form in which \mathbb{Q}_p is replaced by some algebraic extension of a p -adic field.

Lech uses his result to generalize Mahler's theorem [4] about the values taken by recurrent sequences to any field of characteristic 0. Indeed Mahler's proof works in a p -adic field and so the generalization is an immediate consequence of the original theorem and the embedding.

Received 21 November 1975.

Another application is:

THEOREM II (Selberg [6]; see also Borel [1]). *Let G be a finitely generated group of matrices in a field k of characteristic 0. Then G contains a normal torsion-free subgroup of finite index.*

Proof. We can take for C the set of non-zero elements of A, A^{-1} , where A runs through a set of generators of G , and for K the subfield of k generated by C . We can also suppose that $p \neq 2$. If α is as given by Theorem I, the elements of the matrices in αG are all in the p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p . The subgroup of αG consisting of the matrices of the type $I + pB$, where B has elements in \mathbb{Z}_p , is clearly normal and is torsion-free. [For we have to show that $(I+pB)^n \neq I$ whenever $B \neq 0$ and it is enough to show this when n is a prime. But then $(I+pB)^n = I + npB + \dots + p^n B^n$ and the largest element of npB is p -adically greater than the elements of the subsequent terms. The condition $p \neq 2$ is needed when $n = p$.]

The proof given below of Theorem I follows Lech's argument quite closely. There is an additional twist, but that is also familiar from other contexts. We require three simple lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \quad (1 \leq j \leq J) \\ \neq 0$$

be a finite set of non-zero polynomials in the indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n with rational integral coefficients. Then there are rational integers a_1, \dots, a_n such that

$$f_j(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq J).$$

Proof. If $n = 1$ pick a_1 distinct from the finitely many roots of the f_j . If $n > 1$ use induction to pick a_2, \dots, a_n so that $f_j(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ and then pick a_1 .

LEMMA 2. *Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial in the single indeterminate x with rational integral coefficients. Then there*

are infinitely many primes p for which there is a solution $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the congruence

$$g(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} .$$

Proof. Let β be a root of $g(\beta) = 0$. Then it is enough to show that there are infinitely many first-degree primes in $Q(\beta)$; and this follows from elementary analytic number-theory. (See, for example, Borevich and Shafarevich [2], Chapter V, §3.1.)

LEMMA 3. Q_p has infinite transcendence degree over Q .

Proof. For Q_p is uncountable but the algebraic closure of any extension of Q of finite transcendence degree is countable.

Proof of Theorem I. We note first that, by taking a larger set for C if necessary, we may suppose that $c^{-1} \in C$ whenever $c \in C$. It will thus be enough to find primes p and embeddings α for which

$$(1) \quad |\alpha c| \leq 1 \quad (\text{all } c \in C) .$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_m ($m \geq 0$) be a transcendence base of K over Q . Then x_1, \dots, x_m are independent transcendentals and

$$K = Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

for some $y \in K$ which is algebraic over $Q(x_1, \dots, x_m)$. We can thus put each $c \in C$ into the shape .

$$c = U_c(y, x_1, \dots, x_m) / V_c(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

where

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U_c(Y, X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[Y, X_1, \dots, X_m] , \\ \text{and} \\ V_c(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m] \\ \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Here \mathbb{Z} denotes the rational integers and Y, X_1, \dots, X_m are indeterminates.

We can select an irreducible equation $G(Y) = 0$ for y over

$Q(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ of the shape

$$G(Y) = H(Y, x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

where

$$H(Y, X_1, \dots, X_m) \in Z[Y, X_1, \dots, X_m] .$$

If H is of degree s in Y we denote the coefficient of Y^s by $H_0(X_1, \dots, X_m)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(X_1, \dots, X_m) &\in Z[X_1, \dots, X_m] \\ &\neq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

The discriminant of $G(Y)$ is of the shape $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X_1, \dots, X_m) &\in Z[X_1, \dots, X_m] \\ &\neq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 we can pick $a_1, \dots, a_m \in Z$ such that

$$(3) \quad \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0 ,$$

$$(4) \quad H_0(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0 ,$$

$$(5) \quad V_c(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0 \quad (\text{all } c \in C) .$$

By (4) and Lemma 2 there are infinitely many primes $p \neq 2$ for which there is a solution $b \in Z$ of the congruence

$$(6) \quad H(b, a_1, \dots, a_m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} .$$

On excluding finitely many of these primes we may also suppose by (3), (4), (5), that

$$(7) \quad \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} ,$$

$$(8) \quad H_0(a_1, \dots, a_m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} ,$$

$$(9) \quad V_c(a_1, \dots, a_m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (\text{all } c \in C) .$$

By Lemma 3 we can select m independent transcendentals $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ in Q_p . On replacing the θ_j by $p^t \theta_j$ with large positive integral t

if necessary, we may suppose that

$$|\theta_j| < 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq m) .$$

Then

$$\xi_j = \alpha_j + \theta_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m)$$

is a set of independent transcendentals in \mathbb{Q}_p with

$$(10) \quad |\xi_j - \alpha_j| < 1 .$$

Now by (6), (7), (10) and Hensel's Lemma there is an $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $|\eta - b| < 1$ and

$$H(\eta, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = 0 .$$

Thus

$$x_j \rightarrow \xi_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m) ,$$

$$y \rightarrow \eta$$

defines an isomorphism α of $K = \mathbb{Q}(y, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with $\mathbb{Q}(\eta, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Further,

$$|U_c(\eta, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| \leq 1 , \quad |V_c(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| \leq 1$$

by (2) and since $|\xi_j| \leq 1$, $|\eta| \leq 1$; and indeed

$$|V_c(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| = 1$$

by (9) and (10). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha c| &= |U_c(\eta, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| / |V_c(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| \\ &\leq 1 . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Armand Borel, "Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces", *Topology* 2 (1963), 111-122.
- [2] Z.I. Borevich and I.R. Shafarevich, *Number theory* (translated by Newcomb Greenleaf for Scripta Technica. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 20. Academic Press, New York and London, 1966).
- [3] Christer Lech, "A note on recurring series", *Ark. Mat.* 2 (1954), 417-421.
- [4] Kurt Mahler, "Eine arithmetische Eigenschaft der Taylor-Koeffizienten rationaler Funktionen", *Proc. K. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam* 38 (1935), 50-60.
- [5] K. Mahler, "On the Taylor coefficients of rational functions", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 52 (1956), 39-48.
- [6] Atle Selberg, "On discontinuous groups in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces", *Contributions to function theory*, 147-164 (International Colloquium on Function Theory, Bombay, 1960. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1960).

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,
University of Cambridge,
Cambridge,
UK.