

On a class of finite soluble groups

G. Karpilovsky

Let the group T be the direct product of groups S_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) where for a given group A_i , S_i is the direct product of n_i factors $A_i \times A_i \times \dots \times A_i$. Let B be a group that has a faithful permutation representation Γ_i of degree n_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$). Consider G , the split extension of T by B defined by letting B act on T as follows.

Each S_i is normal in G . If $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_i}) \in S_i$ and $b \in B$

then $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_i})^b = (a_{\alpha_1}, a_{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_{\alpha_{n_i}})$ where

$\Gamma_i(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_{n_i} \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n_i \end{pmatrix}$. It is proved that if T is an M -group

and all subgroups of B are M -groups, then G is an M -group. This is a generalisation of a result of Gary M. Seitz, *Math. Z.* 110 (1969), 101-122, who proved the particular case where $r = 1$ and Γ_1 is the regular representation of B .

A finite group G is an M -group if each irreducible complex character of G is induced from a linear character of a subgroup of G . Some of the difficulties involved in studying M -groups are indicated by a result of Dade which states that any finite soluble group can be embedded

Received 6 December 1974.

in an M -group [3]. Seitz sharpened Dade's result by proving that a finite soluble group can be embedded in an M -group with the same derived length. This statement is a corollary of the following result of Seitz [4]:

Let A be an M -group and suppose B is a group all of whose subgroups are M -groups. Then $A \text{ wr } B$, the wreath product of A with B is an M -group.

The aim of this paper is to generalise this result of Seitz.

We shall use the following notation:

$\text{aut } G$ is the group of automorphisms of the group G ;

$\ker \chi$ is the kernel of the character χ ;

an \tilde{M} -group is a group all of whose subgroups are M -groups;

if H is a subgroup of the group G and χ a character of H

then χ^G is the character of G induced from χ ;

$N_G(\chi)$ is the stabilizer of χ in G ;

$\psi \downarrow H$ is the restriction of a character ψ of a group G to its subgroup H ;

$$a^b = b^{-1}ab .$$

LEMMA 1 [2]. *Let $H \triangleleft G$, χ an irreducible character of H which has an extension $\hat{\chi}$ to $T = N_G(\chi)$. Then $\chi^G = \sum_{\omega} \omega(1)(\omega\hat{\chi})^G$ where the sum runs over the irreducible characters of T/H . Each character $(\omega\hat{\chi})^G$ is irreducible and $(\omega_1\hat{\chi})^G = (\omega_2\hat{\chi})^G$ implies $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.*

LEMMA 2 [1]. *Suppose $H \triangleleft G$. If H is abelian and complemented in G , then each irreducible character of H extends to its stabilizer.*

LEMMA 3. *Let $G = A \cdot B$ ($A \triangleleft G$, $A \cap B = 1$). Then each linear character of A extends to its stabilizer.*

Proof. Let $T = N_G(\chi)$ be the stabilizer of the linear character χ of A . Then $T = A \cdot B_0$ ($B_0 = B \cap T$). If $\ker \chi = K$ ($K \triangleleft T$) then $T/K = (A/K) \cdot (B_0K/K)$ (semidirect product) and A/K is cyclic. Now use

Lemma 2.

It is clear that not every extension of an M -group by an \tilde{M} -group is an M -group. We formulate one sufficient condition for such an extension to be an M -group.

THEOREM 1. *Let $G = A \cdot B$ ($A \triangleleft G, A \cap B = 1$) where A is an M -group and B is an \tilde{M} -group. If for each irreducible character $\chi = \phi^A$ of the group A where ϕ is a linear character of a group $H \subseteq A$, $N_G(\chi) \cap B \subseteq N_G(\phi)$, then G is an M -group.*

Proof. Let $N_G(\chi) = T$ and $B_0 = T \cap B$; then $T = A \cdot B_0$. In view of $B_0 \subseteq N_G(\phi)$ the stabilizer of the character ϕ in the group $S = HB_0$ ($H \triangleleft S, H \cap B_0 = 1$) is S . Thus the linear character ϕ of the group H can be extended to a linear character $\hat{\phi}$ of the group S (see Lemma 3). Now $\hat{\phi}^T \uparrow A = (\hat{\phi} \uparrow HB_0 \cap A)^A = (\hat{\phi} \uparrow H)^A = \phi^A = \chi$. (We have used the subgroup theorem [1] and the fact that $(HB_0)A = T$.) Thus the character χ has an extension to the irreducible monomial character $\hat{\phi}^T$ of the group $T = N_G(\chi)$. Now using Lemma 1 we have $\chi^G = \sum_{\omega} \omega(1) (\omega \hat{\phi}^T)^G$. Since B is an \tilde{M} -group, the group $T/A \cong B_0 \subseteq B$ is an M -group, and therefore if ω is any irreducible character of T/A then $\omega = \psi^T$ where ψ is a linear character of the group R ($A \subseteq R \subseteq T$). Further

$$(\omega \hat{\phi}^T)^G = (\psi^T \cdot \hat{\phi}^T)^G = [(\psi(\hat{\phi} \uparrow R))^T]^G = [\psi(\hat{\phi} \uparrow R)]^G.$$

But $\hat{\phi}^T \uparrow R = (\hat{\phi} \uparrow HB_0 \cap R)^R$ and hence

$$(\omega \hat{\phi}^T)^G = [\psi(\hat{\phi} \uparrow HB_0 \cap R)]^G = [(\psi \hat{\phi} \uparrow HB_0 \cap R)]^G = [(\psi \hat{\phi} \uparrow HB_0 \cap R)]^G,$$

which proves the theorem.

Now let $T = A \times A \times \dots \times A$ (n factors) and assume that a group B admits a faithful representation Γ by permutations of degree n . Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ ($a_i \in A$) be any element of T and b any element

of B with $\Gamma(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$(1) \quad \phi_b(a) = \left(a_{\alpha_1}, a_{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_{\alpha_n} \right)$$

is an automorphism of the group T and the mapping $\psi : B \rightarrow \text{aut } T$ where, for each $b \in B$, $\psi(b) = \phi_b \in \text{aut } T$, is a homomorphism of the group B into the group $\text{aut } T$. We shall call the automorphism ϕ_b , defined by (1), the automorphism corresponding to Γ .

Consider the group $G = T \cdot B$ ($T \triangleleft G, T \cap B = 1$) where $a^b = \phi_b(a)$ ($a \in T, b \in B$). Then this group is isomorphic to the wreath product of the group T with the permutation group $\Gamma(B)$. When Γ is the regular representation of the group B we have the standard wreath product $T \text{ wr } B$. The following theorem considers a more general type of group.

THEOREM 2. *Let $T = S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_r$ where $S_i = A_i \times A_i \times \dots \times A_i$ (n_i factors; $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) and let B be a group which admits a faithful representation Γ_i by permutations of degree n_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Let G be the split extension of T by B , where each S_i is invariant under B , and the action of $b \in B$ on S_i is given by the automorphism determined by $\Gamma_i(b)$ according to the rule given in (1). Then if T is an M -group and B is an \tilde{M} -group then G is an M -group.*

Proof. First of all consider the case when $r = 1$. Then $G = TB$ ($T \triangleleft G, T \cap B = 1$), $T = A \times A \times \dots \times A$ (n factors). If $b \in B$ is any element of B and $\Gamma(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$(2) \quad a^b = \left(a_{\alpha_1}, a_{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_{\alpha_n} \right)$$

where $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is any element of T ($a_i \in A$). Let $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2 \dots \chi_n$ be any irreducible character of T . Thus $\chi(a) = \chi_1(a_1) \chi_2(a_2) \dots \chi_n(a_n)$ and χ_i is an irreducible character of A

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) . Now since A is an M -group, $\chi_i = \psi_i^A$, where ψ_i is a linear character of some $H_i \subseteq A$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) . Now

$\psi = \psi_1 \psi_2 \dots \psi_n$ is a linear character of the group

$H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_n \subseteq T$ and $\chi = \psi^T$. In view of (2),

$\chi(a^b) = \chi_1(a_{\alpha_1}) \chi_2(a_{\alpha_2}) \dots \chi_n(a_{\alpha_n})$, and $\chi(a^b) = \chi(a)$ implies $\chi_i = \chi_{\alpha_i}$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) . Thus we can assume that $H_i = H_{\alpha_i}$ and $\psi_i = \psi_{\alpha_i}$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) . Now $N_G(\chi) = TB_0$ ($B_0 = N_G(\chi) \cap T$) , and for each

$h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \in H$ ($h_i \in H_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) , $b \in B_0$, we have

$h^b = (h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_n})$, where $b \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}$ and so $b^{-1}hb \subseteq H$.

Moreover, if $\psi(h) = \psi_1(h_1) \psi_2(h_2) \dots \psi_n(h_n)$ then

$\psi(h^b) = \psi_1(h_{\alpha_1}) \dots \psi_n(h_{\alpha_n}) = \psi_{\alpha_1}(h_{\alpha_1}) \dots \psi_{\alpha_n}(h_{\alpha_n}) = \psi$. Hence

$$(3) \quad N_G(\chi) \cap B \subseteq N(\psi) \cap B .$$

This proves Theorem 2 for the case $r = 1$ (by applying Theorem 1) . Now

consider the general case. Let $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2 \dots \chi_r$ be any irreducible character of T , where χ_i is an irreducible character of S_i

($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) . Further, for some linear character ψ_i of the

group $H_i \subseteq S_i$, $\chi_i = \psi_i^{S_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) . As above

$\psi = \psi_1 \psi_2 \dots \psi_r$ is a linear character of $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_r$ and

$\chi = \psi^T$. For each group $G_i = S_i B$ ($S_i \triangleleft G_i; S_i \cap B = 1, i = 1, \dots, r$) ,

we use the formula (3) to obtain $N_{G_i}(\chi_i) \cap B \subseteq N_{G_i}(\psi_i) \cap B$. Hence

$$(4) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^r [N_{G_i}(\chi_i) \cap B] \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^r [N_{G_i}(\psi_i) \cap B] .$$

Since $B_i \triangleleft G$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) ,

$$N_G(\chi) \cap B = \bigcap_{i=1}^s [N_{G_i}(\chi_i) \cap B] = \bigcap_{i=1}^s [N_{G_i}(\chi_i) \cap B].$$

Now using the formula (4) we have

$$N_G(\chi) \cap B \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^r [N_{G_i}(\psi_i) \cap B] \subseteq N_G(\psi) \cap B.$$

In view of Theorem 1 this completes the proof.

REMARK. The result of Seitz [4] is a particular case of Theorem 2 for $r = 1$, and Γ_1 the regular representation of the group B .

References

- [1] Charles W. Curtis, Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras* (Pure and Applied Mathematics, 11. Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, London, 1962).
- [2] P.X. Gallagher, "Group characters and normal Hall subgroups", *Nagoya Math. J.* 21 (1962), 223-230.
- [3] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I* (Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 134. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967).
- [4] Gary M. Seitz, "M-groups and the supersolvable residual", *Math. Z.* 110 (1969), 101-122.
- [5] David L. Winter and Paul F. Murphy, "Groups all of whose subgroups are M-groups", *Math. Z.* 124 (1972), 73-78.

School of Mathematics,
University of New South Wales,
Kensington,
New South Wales.