

## A FUNCTION WHICH TRANSFORMS CERTAIN GRAPHS INTO STRAIGHT LINES FOR SIMULTANEOUS SOLUTION

BY  
LAURENCE P. MAHER, JR,

A function  $M$  is defined which maps the plane onto a square region in such a way that the planar graphs  $\ln$ ,  $\exp$ ,  $X$ ,  $-X$ ,  $1/X$ , and all compositions formed from them are transformed into straight lines. One can then solve for their intersections. It also provides a natural definition for the repeated composition of  $\ln$  with itself  $t$  times, where  $t$  can be a non-integer.

An *infinite exponential* ( $\inf \exp$ ) means a sequence of the form  $a_1, a_1^{a_2}, a_1^{a_2^{a_3}}, \dots$ , and is sometimes [see 1, p. 150] denoted by  $E(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ . A *base  $e$  inf exp* is one in which each  $a_n$  is  $e$  or  $e^{-1}$ . Since  $E(e, e, e, \dots) \rightarrow \infty$  we know  $E(e^{-1}, e, e, e, \dots) \rightarrow 0$ . This allows any base  $e$  inf exp of the form  $E(a_1, \dots, a_n, e^{-1}, e, e, e, \dots)$  to be replaced by a *base  $e$  finite exponential*  $E(a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)$ . In the finite case it makes no difference whether  $a_n$  is  $e$  or  $e^{-1}$ ; so every base  $e$  fin exp  $E(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n, 0)$  has two base  $e$  inf exp representations  $E(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, e, e^{-1}, e, e, e, \dots)$  and  $E(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, e^{-1}, e^{-1}, e, e, e, \dots)$  which differ only in the  $n$ th element.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $x > 0$  and  $x$  is not a base  $e$  fin exp, then  $x$  has exactly one base  $e$  inf exp representation.*

We define  $N(x) = e^{-x}$ ,  $E^0(x) = x$ ,  $E^1(x) = \exp(x)$ ,  $E^2(x) = \exp \circ \exp(x)$ ,  $E^3(x) = \exp \circ \exp \circ \exp(x), \dots$ , and shorten  $N \circ E^n$  to  $NE^n$ .

**Proof.** If  $0 < x < 1$  there is an  $x_1$  between 0 and 1 and a nonnegative integer  $n_1$  (also denoted by  $n1$  or  $n, 1$ ) such that  $x = NE^{n_1}(x_1)$ . Recursion gives a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , each between 0 and 1, and a sequence of nonnegative integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  such that

$$x = NE^{n_1}(x_1) = NE^{n_1} \circ NE^{n_2}(x_2) = NE^{n_1} \circ NE^{n_2} \circ NE^{n_3}(x_3) = \dots$$

---

Subject Classifications: Primary; 40A99 sequences, series, summability—convergence and divergence of infinite limiting processes—miscellaneous. Secondary; 41A30 Approximations and expansions—approximations by other special function classes.

Key words and phrases: Infinite exponential representation, Base  $e$  infinite exponential, Repeated-composition function, The  $m$  function, The  $M$  function, Planar graphs' intersection points, Ordered commutative group of  $\ln'$  graphs.

Received by the editors July 25, 1975 and, in revised form, December 1, 1977 and January 3, 1979.

Now  $x$  is interior to each of the intervals  $[0, 1]$ ,  $[NE^{n1}(1), NE^{n1}(0)]$ ,  $[NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2}(0), NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2}(1)]$ ,  $[NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2} \circ NE^{n3}(1), NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2} \circ NE^{n3}(0)]$ , ... because  $0 < x_i < 1$ , and the composition function  $NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2} \circ \dots \circ NE^{ni}$  is increasing/decreasing if the number of iterations of  $N$  is even/odd. Moreover, this sequence of intervals is nested because of the fact that  $[NE^n(1), NE^n(0)] \subseteq [0, 1]$  for each  $n$ , and the just-mentioned increasing/decreasing property.

These interval lengths  $\rightarrow 0$ . As proof we show it for the even-numbered intervals. If  $i$  is even, the  $i$ th interval has length  $|(NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2} \circ \dots \circ NE^{ni}(1) - NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2} \circ \dots \circ NE^{ni}(0)) / [1 - 0]|$  which, by the mean value theorem, is  $|[NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2} \circ \dots \circ NE^{ni}]'(\xi_i)|$  for some  $\xi_i$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Grouping the composition by two's and using the chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} &|[NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2}]'(NE^{n3} \circ \dots \circ NE^{ni}(\xi_i)) \\ &\quad \cdot [NE^{n3} \circ NE^{n4}]'(NE^{n5} \circ \dots \circ NE^{ni}(\xi_i)) \cdot \dots \\ &\quad \cdot [NE^{n,i-1} \circ NE^{ni}]'(\xi_i)| \\ &= |[NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2}]'(\xi_2)| \cdot |[NE^{n3} \circ NE^{n4}]'(\xi_4)| \cdot \dots \cdot |[NE^{n,i-1} \circ NE^{ni}]'(\xi_i)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_2, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_i$  are all  $> 0$ . This product is  $\leq (4/e^2)^{i/2}$  because the  $i/2$  factors can each be shown to be  $\leq 4/e^2$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |[NE^n]'(\xi) &= |[-NE^n \cdot E^n \cdot E^{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot E^1](\xi)| \\ &= |(E^n \cdot E^n / E^{n+1}) \cdot (E^{n-1} \cdot E^{n-1} / E^n) \cdot \dots \cdot (E^1 \cdot E^1 / E^2) \cdot 1 / E^1|(\xi) \\ &= [X^2/E^1](E^n(\xi)) \cdot [X^2/E^1](E^{n-1}(\xi)) \cdot \dots \cdot [X^2/E^1](E(\xi)) \cdot N(\xi) \\ &\leq (4/e^2) \cdot (4/e^2) \cdot \dots \cdot (4/e^2) \cdot 1 = (4/e^2)^n \end{aligned}$$

because  $4/e^2$  is the maximum  $x^2/e^x$  when  $x \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |[NE^{n,k-1} \circ NE^{n,k}]'(\xi_k)| &= |[NE^{n,k-1}]'(NE^{n,k}(\xi_k))| \cdot |[NE^{n,k}]'(\xi_k)| \\ &\leq (4/e^2)^{n,k-1} \cdot (4/e^2)^{n,k} \leq 4/e^2 \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $n_{k-1} + n_k > 0$ .

In case  $n_{k-1} + n_k = 0$ , then  $|[NE^0 \circ NE^0]'(\xi_k)| = |[N \circ N]'(\xi_k)| \leq 1/e < 4/e^2$ , because  $1/e$  is the maximum of  $[N \circ N]'$  when  $x \geq 0$ . Since each of the  $i/2$  factors is  $\leq 4/e^2$ , the  $i$ th interval has length  $\leq (4/e^2)^{i/2}$ , and this approaches 0 as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since the nested intervals close down on  $x$ , their end points  $0, 1, NE^{n1}(0), NE^{n1}(1), NE^{n1} \circ NE^{n2}(0), \dots$  form a subsequence of a unique base  $e$  inf exp converging to  $x$ .

If  $x > 1$  then  $x = E^n(x^*)$  for an  $x^*$  in  $(0, 1)$  and  $x = E^n$  (inf exp for  $x^*$ ).

**THEOREM 2.** Every base  $e$  inf exp converges except  $E(e, e, e, \dots)$ .

**Proof.** If  $E(a_1, a_2, \dots)$  is of the form  $E(a_1, \dots, a_n, e^{-1}, e, e, e, \dots)$ , the limit is  $E(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0)$ . If it is not of this form, certain terms of  $E(a_1, a_2, \dots)$  are

end points in a unique sequence of nested intervals closing down on one number, as was seen above.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $m$  denote the function such that, for each real number  $x$  and base  $e$  inf exp representation  $E(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  for  $e^x$ ,

$$m(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ 2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln(a_i) \right].$$

For example, if  $e^x$  is  $E(e, e, e^{-1}, e, e^{-1}, e, e^{-1}, \dots)$  then  $m(x) = 1 + 2^{-1} - 2^{-2} - 2^{-3} + 2^{-4} + 2^{-5} - 2^{-6} - \dots$ , or  $6/5$ . If  $x$  is a fin exp,  $e^x$  has two representations,  $E(a_0, \dots, a_k, e, e^{-1}, e, e, \dots)$  and  $E(a_0, \dots, a_k, e^{-1}, e^{-1}, e, e, \dots)$ , but this causes no ambiguity because  $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} [2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln(a_i)]$  is zero in both cases.

**THEOREM 3.**  $m$  has domain  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , range  $(-2, 2)$ , and is increasing and continuous.

**Proof.** That  $m$  has domain  $(-\infty, \infty)$  is clear from Theorem 1 and Def. 1.  $m$  has range  $(-2, 2)$  because this is the set of all sums of series of the form  $S_0 + S_1/2 + S_2/4 + S_3/8 + \dots$  where each  $S_i$  is  $\pm 1$  and not all  $S_i$  are alike. Each such series determines an  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  (let  $\prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i = S_j$ ) for a base  $e$  inf exp which, by Theorem 2, converges.  $\pm 2$  is not in  $m$ 's range because  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  would be  $e^{\pm 1}, e, e, e, \dots$  and  $x$  would be the divergent  $\pm E(e, e, e, \dots)$ .

Now we show that  $m$  is increasing. Suppose  $a < b$  and  $e^a = E(a_0, a_1, \dots)$  and  $e^b = E(b_0, b_1, \dots)$ . Then  $a_i \neq b_i$  for some  $i$ , otherwise  $E(a_0, a_1, \dots)$  would have two limits:  $e^a$  and  $e^b$ . Let  $k$  denote the lowest such  $i$ . Now  $E(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, t)$  is a function of  $t$  which is increasing/decreasing if the number of iterations of  $e^{-1}$  is even/odd. Let  $p$  denote this number of iterations. When  $p$  is even or zero we have  $a_k = e^{-1}, b_k = e$ , and  $E(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, e^{-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots) = e^a \leq E(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1) \leq e^b = E(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, e, b_{k+1}, \dots)$ , because the alternative is that  $a_k = e, b_k = e^{-1}, e^a \geq e^b$ , and  $a \not< b$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m(a) &= \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( 2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i \right) \right] - 2^{-k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left( 2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i \right) \\ &< \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( 2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i \right) \right] + 2^{-k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left( 2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln b_i \right) = m(b). \end{aligned}$$

Equality is impossible because we could not have the equations  $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i) = 2^{-k}$  and  $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln b_i) = -2^{-k}$  both true; it would mean that  $(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots) = (e^{-1}, e, e, \dots)$  and  $(b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots) = (e^{-1}, e, e, \dots)$  are both true and  $e^a = e^b$ . If  $p$  is odd then  $a_k = e$  and  $b_k = e^{-1}$ , and  $m(a) < m(b)$  can be shown by modifying the above argument to suit the odd case.  $m$  is continuous because it increases and maps  $R \times R$  onto  $(-2, 2)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $x \in \{\text{fin exp}\}$  then  $m'(x) = \infty$ ; this set is dense in  $R$ .*

**Proof.** If  $x$  is a fin exp, there is a function  $E(a_1, \dots, a_k, t)$  such that  $x = E(a_1, \dots, a_k, 0)$ . Denote this function by  $A_k(t)$ . For  $m'(x)$  to exist it is necessary that

$$\begin{aligned} m'(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [m(A_k \circ NE^n(0)) - m(A_k(0))] / [A_k \circ NE^n(0) - A_k(0)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pm 2^{-k-n} / [A_k \circ NE^n(0) - A_k(0)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\pm e^{(-k-n)\ln 2} / NE^n(0)] \cdot [NE^n(0) - 0] / [A_k \circ NE^n(0) - A_k(0)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pm e^{-k} \cdot \exp(E^n(0) - n \cdot \ln 2) \cdot 1/A'_k(0) = \pm e^{-k} \exp(\infty) / A'_k(0) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly,  $\{\text{fin exp}\}$  is dense in  $R$  because every  $x \in R$  is the limit of a sequence of fin exps: its inf exp representation.

**THEOREM 5.**

- (i)  $m(-x) = -m(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $m(1/x) = -m(x) \pm 2$  if  $x$  is positive/negative,
- (iii)  $m(E^n(x)) = 2^{-n}m(x) + 2 - 2^{-n+1}$ , and
- (iv)  $m(\ln^n(x)) = 2^n m(x) + 2 - 2^{n+1}$ .

**Proof.** (i) If  $e^x = E(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  then  $e^{-x} = E(a_0^{-1}, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  and  $m(-x)$  is obtained by reversing all signs in the series for  $m(x)$ .

(ii)  $e^{1/x} = E(a_0, a_1^{-1}, a_2, \dots)$ . Adding the equations

$$m(x) = \ln a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i]$$

and

$$m(1/x) = \ln a_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i]$$

gives  $m(x) + m(1/x) = 2 \cdot \ln a_0$ . But  $\ln a_0 = \pm 1$  if  $x > 0/x < 0$ .

(iii)  $\exp(E^n(x)) = E(e, e, \dots, e, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} m(E^n(x)) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ 2^{-j-n} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i \right], \\ &= [1 - 2^{-n}] / [1 - \frac{1}{2}] + 2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ 2^{-j} \prod_{i=0}^j \ln a_i \right] = 2 - 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n}m(x). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) We let  $E^n(x) = y$  and  $x = \ln^n y$  and substitute into (iii).

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $M$  denote the function such that  $M(x, y) = (m(x), m(y))$  for each ordered real-number pair  $(x, y)$ .

Then  $M$  is a 1-1 mapping of  $R \times R$  onto  $(-2, 2) \times (-2, 2)$ . For each planar graph  $G$  let  $G_M$  denote its  $M$  image in  $(-2, 2) \times (-2, 2)$ . Then  $M(x, G(x))$  is both  $(m(x), G_M(m(x)))$  and  $(m(x), m(G(x)))$ ; so  $G_M(m(x)) = m(G(x))$ . The

planar graph  $\ln^n$  has an  $M$  image which is that part of the line  $Y = 2^n X + 2 - 2^{n+1}$  lying in  $(-2, 2) \times (-2, 2)$ , because  $M(w, \ln^n w) = (m(w), 2^n m(w) + 2 - 2^{n+1})$  by Theorem 5-iv. Similarly,  $X$ ,  $-X$ ,  $1/X$ , and  $E^n$  have  $M$  images on the lines  $Y = X$ ,  $Y = -X$ ,  $Y = -X \pm 2$  if  $x > 0/x < 0$ , and  $Y = 2^{-n} X + 2 - 2^{-n+1}$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_p$  is any finite sequence of functions selected, with repetition allowed, from the set  $\{X, -X, 1/X, E^1, \ln, E^2, \ln^2, E^3, \ln^3, \dots\}$ , then the composition function  $G_1 \circ G_2 \circ \dots \circ G_p$ , if it exists, has an  $M$  image which is either a straight line or a finite set of linear intervals in the space  $(-2, 2) \times (-2, 2)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $F$  and  $G$  are any two planar graphs whose  $M$  images are straight lines, say  $a_f X + b_f$  and  $a_g X + b_g$ , and if  $F \circ G$  exists, then

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, F \circ G(x)) &= (m(x), m(F \circ G(x))) = (m(x), F_M(m(G(x)))) \\ &= (m(x), F_M \circ G_M(m(x))) = (m(x), [a_f X + b_f] \circ [a_g X + b_g](m(x))) \\ &= (m(x), a_f a_g m(x) + a_f b_g + b_f). \end{aligned}$$

So  $[F \circ G]_M$  lies on the line  $a_f a_g X + a_f b_g + b_f$ . By induction, any finite multiple-composition  $F \circ G \circ H \circ \dots$  of members of  $\{X, -X, 1/X, E^1, \ln, E^2, \ln^2, \dots\}$  has an  $M$  image which is linear, or linear intervals.

Using  $\ln^{-n}$  and  $\ln^0$  for  $E^n$  and  $X$ , Theorem 5 suggests a generalization of  $\ln^n$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** *If  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\ln^t$  mean the planar graph such that  $m(\ln^t(x)) = 2^t m(x) + 2 - 2^{t+1}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m(x) > 2 - 2^{-t+2}$ .*

Then  $\ln^t$  means the graph whose  $M$  image is that subinterval of the straight line with slope  $2^t$  and  $y$ -intercept  $2 - 2^{t+1}$  which lies within the space  $(-2, 2) \times (-2, 2)$ .

**EXAMPLE.** Evaluating  $\ln^{3/2}(e)$ ,  $m(\ln^{3/2}(e)) = 2^{3/2} m(e) + 2 - 2^{1+3/2} = (\sqrt{8})(\frac{2}{3}) + 2 - \sqrt{32} = 0.5858$ . Therefore  $\ln^{3/2}(e) = m^{-1}(0.5858)$ .

Tables of the  $m$  function are available from the author.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $t$  and  $u$  are real numbers then  $\ln^t \circ \ln^u = \ln^{t+u}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\ln^t \circ \ln^u(x)$  exists, then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\ln^t \circ \ln^u(x)) &= [\ln^t]_M(m(\ln^u(x))) = [\ln^t]_M \circ [\ln^u]_M(m(x)) \\ &= [2^t X + 2 - 2^{t+1}] \circ [2^u X + 2 - 2^{u+1}](m(x)) \\ &= 2^{t+u} m(x) + 2 - 2^{t+u+1} = m(\ln^{t+u}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. D. F. Barrow, *Infinite exponentials*, Amer. Math. Monthly **43** (1936), 150–160.
2. M. B. Prestrud, *Hierarchic algebra*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1961), 270.

3. Donald L. Shell, *On the convergence of infinite exponentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 678–681.
4. W. J. Thron, *Convergence of infinite exponentials with complex elements*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1040–1043.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY  
DENTON, TEXAS 76203