

CORRIGENDUM

Catching the ‘Deliberative Wave’? How (Disaffected) Citizens Assess Deliberative Citizen Forums – CORRIGENDUM

Saskia Goldberg  and André Bächtiger

(First published online 13 May 2022)

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123422000059>, Published by Cambridge University Press, 25 March 2022.

Keywords: mini-publics; perceived legitimacy; conjoint experiment; design; democratic innovations; corrigendum

The authors apologise for a reference being omitted from this published article.

The citation to the reference should have appeared in the second paragraph on page 2, thus the sentence:

Our experiment improves on the existing studies with a similar methodological design (Christensen 2020) in two major ways.¹

should be replaced with:

Our experiment improves on the existing studies with a similar methodological design (Christensen 2020; Goldberg 2021) in two major ways.¹

And the reference is as follows:

Goldberg S (2021) Just Advisory and Maximally Representative: A Conjoint Experiment on Non-Participants’ Legitimacy Perceptions of Deliberative Forums. *Journal of Deliberative Democracy* 17(1): 56–75

Reference

Goldberg S and Bächtiger A (2022) Catching the ‘Deliberative Wave’? How (Disaffected) Citizens Assess Deliberative Citizen Forums. *British Journal of Political Science*. Published by Cambridge University Press, 25 March 2022. doi:10.1017/S0007123422000059.

Cite this article: Goldberg S, Bächtiger A (2023). Catching the ‘Deliberative Wave’? How (Disaffected) Citizens Assess Deliberative Citizen Forums – CORRIGENDUM. *British Journal of Political Science* 53, 248–248. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123422000205>

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.