

CORRESPONDENCE.

ELEVATION AND SUBSIDENCE.

SIR,—I have just seen the December Number of the GEOLOGICAL MAGAZINE containing a short article called "The Pleistocene Geology of the Firth of Tay and the Elevation and Subsidence Question." The writer remarks on the depression of the land to a depth of at least 500 feet during the earlier part of the Glacial Period, and adds that, as the marine stratified deposits rest "upon the ground moraine of the ice-sheet," whatever this may mean, it is conclusively shown that when the sea sands were deposited, "the ice was very greatly reduced, had retired from the coast-line, and possibly disappeared altogether." He further thinks it evident that this submergence was relatively short, and that a greater, which deposited an extensive raised plain, occurred "when the glaciers were in their final retreat," and deduces from these facts that "at any rate the Glacial and Post-Glacial history of Scotland gives no countenance to the theory," *i.e.* that additions of weight produce subsidence. Original observations of fact are valuable, and any inferences fairly deducible from such have a right to be tacked on, if the observer pleases; but in this case there do not appear to be any new facts quoted, and certainly none that justify any approximation to the sweeping assertion just cited. The continued depression of the land by the accumulation of ice would naturally lead to encroachments of the sea, which would melt the ice and deposit on the top of the "ground moraine," if any existed, stratified sand, or mud. The Firth of Tay would, in fact, become a fiord. Why this replacement of ice by sea-water on an area should lead to the belief that the thickness of ice on adjacent and more elevated areas had diminished, I cannot think. Ice even in Greenland seldom reaches the sea-shore, except at the heads of fiords, while an accumulating ice-cap, such as the Vatna-jökul, which is 3000 miles in extent, might be exerting considerable influence in the direction of depression without coming near the sea. Not long since an equally valuable criticism was advanced, namely, that because elevation had commenced before the disappearance of the ice-sheet, it could not have been caused by it, as if an ice-cap of a thousand feet thickness or so would not get very sensibly lighter before it disappeared.

J. STARKIE GARDNER.

ON *PALÆOCYCLUS FLETCHERI*, EDW. H.

SIR.—In the Quarterly Journ. Geol. Soc. for February, 1884, Prof. Duncan demurs as to the identity of *Palæocyclus Fletcheri* with *Pholidophyllum tubulatum*, Schlotheim (= *Phol. (Cyathophyllum?) Lovéni*, E. H.), chiefly on the ground that Prof. Duncan has never seen in English specimens a trace of the peculiar scaly coating, which covers the epitheca of *Pholidophyllum*. I have, amongst other specimens of this coral from Dudley, sent through the kindness of Mr. John Gray, of Hagley, two of the low depressed variety