

## STRONGLY OSCILLATORY AND NONOSCILLATORY SUBSPACES OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

J. MICHAEL DOLAN AND GENE A. KLAASEN

Consider the  $n$ th order linear equation

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^n p_k y^{(n-k)} = 0 \quad \text{where } p_k \in C[a, \infty), n \geq 2$$

and particularly the third order equation

$$(2) \quad y''' + \sum_{k=1}^3 p_k y^{(3-k)} = 0 \quad \text{where } p_k \in C[a, \infty).$$

A nontrivial solution of  $(1)_n$  is said to be oscillatory or nonoscillatory depending on whether it has infinitely many or finitely many zeros on  $[a, \infty)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  denote respectively the set of all solutions, oscillatory solutions, non-oscillatory solutions of  $(1)_n$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional linear space. A subspace  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  is said to be nonoscillatory or strongly oscillatory respectively if every nontrivial solution of  $\mathcal{T}$  is nonoscillatory or oscillatory. If  $\mathcal{T}$  contains both oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions then  $\mathcal{T}$  is said to be weakly oscillatory. In case  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}$  satisfies any of the above mentioned properties of  $\mathcal{T}$  we sometimes attribute the same title to the equation directly.

The oscillatory behavior of equation  $(1)_n$  is the subject of a vast quantity of literature. Good bibliographies on this subject can be found in Barrett [1] and Swanson [10]. Qualitatively, the question of oscillation is simple for  $n = 2$ , because Sturm's Theorem implies  $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{O} = \emptyset$ . For  $n \geq 3$  however such a simple qualitative result is not true. The literature, for  $n \geq 3$ , abounds with results which indicate conditions when one or both of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  are not empty. Also many results indicate the number of linearly independent solutions contained in  $\mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{O}$ ; see Jones [5; 6], Kondratév [7], Hanan [4], Lazer [8] and Utz [11; 12] for results of this type. Our first theorem shows that for all  $n \geq 2$  either  $\mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{O}$  contains  $n$  linearly independent solutions.

It should be noted that linear combinations of oscillatory or nonoscillatory solutions need not be oscillatory or nonoscillatory respectively. Dolan [2], Kondratév [7], Hanan [4] and Lazer [8] have determined conditions for which there are two-dimensional non-oscillatory or strongly oscillatory subspaces of  $\mathcal{S}$  for  $n = 3$  and Dolan [2] considered the problem of decomposing  $\mathcal{S}$  into the direct sum of such subspaces. Our second theorem shows that such a decomposition always exists for  $n = 3$  and we leave open the question for

---

Received July 10, 1973 and in revised form, January 2, 1974.

higher dimensions. These results depend heavily on the cone structure of  $\mathcal{N}$  and consequently the results include interesting facts about convex cones in three space with appropriate open questions about convex cones in  $n$  space for  $n > 3$ .

In the article by Dolan and Klaasen [3] numerous examples are given of third order equations for which  $\mathcal{N}$  contains three linear independent solutions or  $\mathcal{O}$  contains three linearly independent solutions. The class I and II of Hanan [4] are specific classes of these examples. The following theorem indicates that this property happens for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Either  $\mathcal{O}$  contains  $n$  linearly independent solutions or  $\mathcal{N}$  contains  $n$  linearly independent solutions.*

*Proof.* Let us suppose  $\mathcal{O}$  contains exactly  $p$  linearly independent solutions where  $1 \leq p < n$ . Then we can write a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$  of the form  $\{y_1, \dots, y_p, z_{p+1}, \dots, z_n\}$  where  $y_i \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and  $z_i \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $p + 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consequently,  $y_i + z_n \in \mathcal{N}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$  for if on the contrary there is an  $i$  such that  $y_i + z_n \in \mathcal{O}$  then  $\{y_1, \dots, y_p, y_i + z_n\}$  is a set of  $p + 1$  linearly independent solutions in  $\mathcal{O}$  which violates the definition of  $p$ . Hence

$$\{y_1 + z_n, \dots, y_p + z_n, z_{p+1}, z_{p+2}, \dots, z_n\}$$

is a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

In order to prove our second theorem we introduce some notations and a lemma.

The set  $\mathcal{N}$  of nonoscillatory solutions of (1) can be decomposed into two disjoint sets  $\mathcal{N}^+$  and  $\mathcal{N}^-$  which denote respectively the eventually positive and eventually negative nonoscillatory solutions of (1). If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$  where 0 is the zero solution of (1).

The concepts of convex set theory which are used in this paper are developed in Valentine [13].

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$  for equation (1), then  $\mathcal{N}_0^+$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0^-$  are convex cones.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{N}_0^+ = -\mathcal{N}_0^-$  it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{N}_0^+$  is a convex cone. If  $y, z \in \mathcal{N}_0^+$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  then  $\alpha y, (1 - \alpha)z \in \mathcal{N}_0^+$  and hence  $\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z \in \mathcal{N}_0^+$ . Also if  $\alpha \geq 0, \alpha y \in \mathcal{N}_0^+$ .

Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional space it can be isomorphically identified with  $E_n$ . For example if  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$  over the reals  $R$  then the mapping  $h$  of  $E_n$  onto  $\mathcal{S}$  defined by  $h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i$  is an algebraic isomorphism of  $E_n$  onto  $\mathcal{S}$ . It follows that convex sets are mapped to convex sets and cones to cones. Hence any theorem about convex cones in  $n$ -space implies results about  $\mathcal{N}_0^+$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0^-$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq E_3$ .  $C(T)$  denotes the complement of  $T$  in  $E_3$ ,  $\Delta T$  denotes  $T \cup -T$  where  $-T = \{-t | t \in T\}$ .

The following theorem about convex cones in 3-space does not seem to appear in the vast literature on convex set theory.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $K$  is a convex cone in  $E_3$ , then there is a 2-dimensional subspace  $H$  of  $E_3$  such that  $N \subset \Delta K$  or  $H \subset C(\Delta K)_0$ .*

*Proof.*  $\bar{K}$  is a closed convex cone with vertex at 0. If  $\bar{K} = E_3$  then since  $K$  is convex it is easy to see that  $K$  contains a 2-dimensional subspace. Suppose  $\bar{K} \neq E_3$ . Then  $\bar{K}$  is the intersection of the closed half spaces containing it and determined by the supporting planes of  $\bar{K}$  [9, p. 71, Exercise 24]. We will consider three alternate cases. First suppose  $\bar{K}$  is contained in the intersection of three half spaces determined by three planes which intersect only at 0. In this case there is a plane in  $C(\Delta K)_0$ . Secondly suppose the intersection of the family of all supporting planes of  $\bar{K}$  is a line  $l$ . If the line  $l$  is not in  $\Delta K$  then one of these supporting planes is in  $C(\Delta K)_0$ . If a point  $x \neq 0$  of the line  $l$  is in  $K$  then the entire line  $l$  is in  $\Delta K$  and the plane determined by  $l$  and a point  $y$  of  $K$  but not of  $l$  is a 2-dimensional subspace contained in  $\Delta K$ . Finally suppose  $\bar{K}$  is a half space. Then either the plane  $\pi$  supporting  $\bar{K}$  is contained in  $C(\Delta K)_0$  or it contains a line  $l$  of  $\Delta K$ . If  $\pi$  contains a line  $l$  of  $\Delta K$  then by the denseness of  $K$  in the half space and the convexity of  $K$  it follows that the plane determined by  $l$  and  $y \in K$  such that  $y \notin \pi$  is in  $\Delta K$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *The solution space  $\mathcal{S}$  of equation (2) possesses a 2-dimensional subspace which is either strongly oscillatory or nonoscillatory.*

The validity of Theorem 3 is a direct consequence of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The solution space  $\mathcal{S}$  of equation (2) possesses a decomposition  $\mathcal{S} = H_1 \oplus H_2$  such that  $H_1$  is strongly oscillatory and  $H_2$  is nonoscillatory. One of  $H_1$  may be degenerate.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonoscillatory or strongly oscillatory then  $H_1 = \{0\}$  and  $H_2 = \mathcal{S}$  or  $H_1 = \mathcal{S}$  and  $H_2 = \{0\}$  respectively. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is weakly oscillatory, let  $H$  be the 2-dimensional subspace determined by Theorem 2. Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is weakly oscillatory there is a solution  $y \in \mathcal{S} \cap C(H)$  which is oscillatory if  $H$  is nonoscillatory and which is nonoscillatory if  $H$  is strongly oscillatory. Hence with  $[y] \equiv \{\alpha y | \alpha \in R\}$ , it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{S} = H \oplus [y]$  in accordance with the conclusions of this corollary.

The following example points the direction of generalizations of the previous two theorems to  $n$ -space. The solution set,  $\mathcal{S}$ , of the equation

$$y^{(iv)} - 4y''' + 6y'' - 4y' = 0$$

has  $\{1, e^{2x}, e^x \sin x, e^x \cos x\}$  as a basis and  $\mathcal{S} = H \oplus K$  where  $H = \{\alpha +$

$\beta e^{2x}|\alpha, \beta \in R\}$  and  $K = \{\alpha e^x \sin x + \beta e^x \cos x|\alpha, \beta \in R\}$ . Notice that  $H \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0$  and  $K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_0$ . It is easy to argue from vector space theory that if  $\mathcal{S} = H_1 \oplus K_1$  where  $H_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0$  and  $K_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_0$  then  $H_1$  and  $K_1$  must have the same dimension as  $H$  and  $K$  respectively. Hence  $\mathcal{S}$  contains no three dimensional strongly oscillatory or nonoscillatory subspace. In fact one can argue that if the  $p_k$  are constants in equation (1) then the corresponding solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  always possesses a decomposition as a direct sum of a nonoscillatory and strongly oscillatory subspace. Of course one of these may be degenerate.

The following two conjectures accentuate the ideas obtained from this example. The first deals with  $n$ th order linear equations and the second is the analogue conjecture for convex cones in  $n$ -space.

*Conjecture 1.* The solution space,  $\mathcal{S}$ , of (1) possesses a decomposition  $\mathcal{S} = H_1 \oplus H_2$  such that  $H_1$  is strongly oscillatory and  $H_2$  is nonoscillatory. One of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  may be degenerate.

*Conjecture 2.* If  $K$  is a convex cone in  $E_n$ , then there are subspaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $E_n$  such that  $H_1 \subseteq \Delta K$ ,  $H_2 \subset C(\Delta K)_0$  and  $E_n = H_1 \oplus H_2$ . One of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  may be degenerate.

Another avenue of interest exposed by Corollary 1 is the possibility of decomposing the operator determined by equation (1) into two operators which in some sense relate to the subspace  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of Corollary 1.

F. Neuman, in a paper submitted to the Journal of Differential Equations, states a theorem equivalent to Theorem 3 of this paper. The approach is quite different and appears not to use the theory of convex cones.

REFERENCES

1. J. H. Barrett, *Oscillation theory of ordinary linear differential equations*, Advances in Math. 3 (1969), 415-509.
2. J. M. Dolan, *On the relationships between the oscillatory behavior of a linear third-order differential equation and its adjoint*, J. Differential Equations 7 (1970), 367-388.
3. J. M. Dolan and G. Klaasen, *Dominance of  $n$ th order linear equations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. (to appear).
4. M. Hanan, *Oscillation criteria for third-order linear differential equations*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 919-944.
5. G. Jones, *A property of  $y''' + p(x)y' + 1/2 p'(x)y = 0$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 420-422.
6. ——— *Oscillation properties of third order differential equations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. (to appear).
7. V. Kondrat'ev, *On the oscillation of solutions of linear differential equations of third and fourth order* (in Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 8 (1959), 259-282.
8. A. Lazer, *The behavior of solutions of the differential equation  $y''' + p(x)y = 0$* , Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 435-466.
9. H. H. Shaefer, *Topological vector spaces* (Macmillan Co., New York, 1966).
10. C. Swanson, *Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations* (Academic Press, New York, London, 1968).

11. W. Utz, *The nonoscillation of a solution of a third order equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **1** (1970), 535–537.
12. ——— *Oscillating solutions of third order differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 273–276.
13. F. Valentine, *Convex sets* (McGraw-Hill Co., New York, 1964).

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Operated by Union Carbide Corporation for the  
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission,  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee;  
University of Tennessee,  
Knoxville, Tennessee*