# L<sup>p</sup>-APPROXIMATION OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON A CLASS OF CONVEX DOMAINS

#### LY KIM HA

(Received 24 November 2017; accepted 16 January 2018; first published online 23 April 2018)

#### **Abstract**

Let  $\Omega$  be a member of a certain class of convex ellipsoids of finite/infinite type in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . In this paper, we prove that every holomorphic function in  $L^p(\Omega)$  can be approximated by holomorphic functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm, for  $1 \le p < \infty$ . For the case  $p = \infty$ , the continuity up to the boundary is additionally required. The proof is based on  $L^p$  bounds in the additive Cousin problem.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 32E30; secondary 32T25, 32W05, 41A63, 41A65.

Keywords and phrases:  $L^p$  approximation, holomorphic functions, Henkin solutions, the additive Cousin problem, finite/infinite type.

### 1. Introduction and main theorem

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a bounded domain, with smooth boundary  $b\Omega$ . The smoothness means that  $\Omega$  admits a smooth, global defining function  $\rho$  on a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  in the sense that  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho(z) < 0\}$  and  $\nabla \rho \neq 0$  on  $b\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho(z) = 0\}$ , and  $\nabla \rho \perp b\Omega$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to study the  $L^p$  global approximation question: Can every holomorphic function in  $L^p(\Omega)$  be approximated by holomorphic functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm, for  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ?

This problem is simple and classical when  $\Omega$  is a domain in the complex plane (see, for example, [13] or [5]). In higher dimensions, it is a difficult problem because the boundary behaviour of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  is more complicated than in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Lieb [11] and Kerzman [9] independently obtained the first significant results by applying the  $L^p$ -estimates for the Henkin solution of the  $\bar{\partial}$  equation to give a positive answer to the problem on strongly pseudoconvex domains. Their method provides a connection between the approximation problem and the additive Cousin problem in several complex variables (see [6]). Via this argument, Cole and Range [3] extended the results on A-measure in Henkin [7] to relatively compact, strongly pseudoconvex subdomains of complex manifolds.

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant no. 101.02-2017.06.

<sup>© 2018</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

We would like to extend the result of Kerzman and Lieb to more general domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Unfortunately, the Henkin solutions are not available on weakly pseudoconvex domains (even of finite type) as shown in [10]. Therefore, we consider a more restricted class of convex domains on which we can establish the Henkin solutions.

Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth, bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , with defining function  $\rho$  such that for any  $p \in b\Omega$ , there exist a neighbourhood  $U_p = B(p, \delta)$  of p, a function  $F_p$  and coordinates  $z_p = (z_{p,1}, z_{p,2})$  with the origin at p and such that

$$\Omega \cap U_p = \{ z_p = (z_{p,1}, z_{p,2}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho(z_p) = F_p(|z_{p,1}|^2) + r_p(z_p) < 0 \}$$
 (1.1)

or

$$\Omega \cap U_p = \{ z_p = (z_{p,1}, z_{p,2}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho(z_p) = F(x_{p,1}^2) + r_p(z_p) < 0 \}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $z_{p,j}=x_{p,j}+iy_{p,j}$ , with  $x_{p,j},y_{p,j}\in\mathbb{R},\ j=1,2,$  and  $i=\sqrt{-1}.$  We also assume that the functions  $F_p:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  and  $r_p:\mathbb{C}^2\to\mathbb{R}$  satisfy:

- (i)  $F_p(0) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $F'_p(t), F''_p(t), F'''_p(t)$  and  $(F_p(t)/t)'$  are nonnegative on  $(0, \delta)$ ;
- (iii)  $r_p(0) = 0$  and  $\partial r_p/\partial z_{p,2} \neq 0$ ;
- (iv)  $r_p$  is convex.

The class of such domains includes the following two well-known examples.

Example 1.1. If  $F_P(t^2) = t^{2m}$  at the point  $P \in b\Omega$ , then  $\Omega \cap U_P$  is convex of finite type 2m at P. In particular, when m = 1,  $\Omega$  is strictly convex or, equivalently, strongly pseudoconvex at P.

EXAMPLE 1.2. If  $F_P(t^2) = 2 \exp(-1/t^{\alpha})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  or  $F_P(t^2) = 2 \exp(-1/t|\ln t|^{\alpha})$  for  $\alpha > 2$  at the point  $P \in b\Omega$ , then  $\Omega \cap U_P$  is of infinite type at P.

Let  $H^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be the weak-star closure of the algebra of functions that are continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$  and holomorphic in  $\Omega$ . The following is our main result.

THEOREM 1.3 (Global  $L^p$  approximation theorem). Assume either of the following conditions hold:

(i)  $\Omega$  is defined by (1.1) and there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^\delta |\ln F_P(t^2)| \, dt < \infty \quad \text{for all } P \in b\Omega;$$

(ii)  $\Omega$  is defined by (1.2) and there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^\delta |\ln(t) \ln F_P(t^2)| \, dt < \infty \quad \text{for all } P \in b\Omega.$$

Then, each holomorphic function  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  can be approximated in  $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm by holomorphic functions  $\{f^{\tau}\}_{\tau \in (0,\tau_0)}$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  (as  $\tau \to 0^+$ ), for some small  $\tau_0$ , and for  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

Moreover, if the holomorphic function f only belongs to  $H^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ , we also obtain a family of holomorphic functions  $\{f^{\tau}\}_{\tau \in (0,\tau_0)}$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  so that:

- (a)  $||f^{\tau}||_{H^{\infty}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{H^{\infty}(\Omega)}$  for all  $\tau \in (0, \tau_0)$ ;
- (b)  $f^{\tau} \to f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm as  $\tau \to 0^+$ , for all  $1 \le p < \infty$ ;
- (c)  $f^{\tau} \to f$  uniformly on  $\bar{\Omega}$  as  $\tau \to 0^+$ .

Here and in what follows, the notations  $\lesssim$  and  $\gtrsim$  denote inequalities up to a positive constant and  $\approx$  means the combination of  $\lesssim$  and  $\gtrsim$ .

In [4], the authors provide an example to show that the approximation theorem does not hold in general on smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domains. In 1978, Bedford and Fornaess [1] established the theorem on weakly pseudoconvex domains with real analytic boundary in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . More generally, Beatrous and Range [2] obtained the result on weakly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  under the additional condition that the closure of the domain is holomorphically convex.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we solve the additive Cousin problem on  $\Omega$ . Section 3 is devoted to proving the global  $L^p$  approximation theorem.

## 2. The solution of the additive Cousin problem

**THEOREM** 2.1. Assume the conditions on  $\Omega$  in Theorem 1.3 hold. Let  $V_j = U_j \cap \Omega$ , where  $\{U_j\}_{j=0,1,\dots,N}$  is an open covering of  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Then we can find a finite positive constant C such that the following property holds.

*If the holomorphic functions*  $g_{ij}$  *on*  $V_i \cap V_j$  *satisfy* 

$$g_{ij} = -g_{ji}, g_{ij} + g_{jk} + g_{ki} = 0,$$
 (2.1)

for all i, j, k = 0, 1, ..., N, then there are holomorphic functions  $g_j$  on  $V_j$ , for j = 0, 1, ..., N, such that

$$\begin{split} g_j - g_i &= g_{ij} \quad on \ V_i \cap V_j, \\ \|g_j\|_{L^p(V_i)} &\lesssim M_p(\{g_{ij}\}) \quad for \ 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \end{split}$$

where  $M_p(\{g_{ij}\}) = \max\{||g_{ij}||_{L^p(V_i \cap V_j)} : i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N\}.$ 

**PROOF.** The proof comprises two steps. The first is to construct functions  $v_j \in C^{\infty}(V_j)$ , j = 0, 1, ..., N, which satisfy  $v_j - v_i = g_{ij}$  on  $V_i \cap V_j$ , for all i, j = 0, 1, ... The second is to change these nonholomorphic functions into holomorphic functions by using the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 [8, Theorem 1.2]. If there exists  $\delta > 0$  and either of the conditions (i) or (ii) in Theorem 1.3 hold, then for any  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (0,1)-form  $\phi$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , the Henkin kernel solution u on  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\bar{\partial}u = \phi$  and

$$||u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim ||\phi||_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Step 1. On  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we choose a partition of unity  $\{\chi_j\}_{j=0,1,\dots,N}$ , where the  $\chi_j$  are smooth functions with compact support in  $U_j$  for  $j=0,1,\dots,N$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^N \chi_j = 1$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Set

$$v_j = \sum_{\nu=0}^N \chi_{\nu} g_{\nu j}.$$

From the local finiteness of  $\{V_j\}$ , the functions  $v_j$ , j = 0, 1, ..., N, are smooth on  $V_j$  and, by the Minkowski inequality,

$$||v_j||_{L^p(V_i)} \le M_p(\{g_{ij}\}). \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover,

$$v_j - v_i = \sum_{\nu=0}^N \chi_{\nu} g_{\nu j} - \sum_{\nu=0}^N \chi_{\nu} g_{\nu i} = \sum_{\nu=0}^N \chi_{\nu} (g_{\nu j} - g_{\nu i}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^N \chi_{\nu} g_{ij} = g_{ij},$$

where we have used (2.1) to replace  $g_{vj} - g_{vi}$  by  $g_{ij}$ . Note that the functions  $v_j$ , j = 0, 1, ..., N, are not holomorphic. However, since  $\bar{\partial}g_{ij} = 0$  on  $V_i \cap V_j$ , then

$$\bar{\partial}v_i = \bar{\partial}v_j$$
 on  $V_i \cap V_j$  for all  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . (2.3)

Step 2. The above identity (2.3) implies that there is a smooth, globally well-defined (0, 1)-form  $\phi$  on  $\Omega$ , which is locally equal to  $\bar{\partial}v_j$  on  $V_j$ , for j = 0, 1, ..., N.

Since  $\bar{\partial}v_i = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} (\bar{\partial}\chi_{\nu})g_{\nu i}$ , it follows that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^p_{0,1}(\Omega)} \le \sum_{j=0}^N \|\bar{\partial}v_j\|_{L^p(V_j)} \lesssim M_p(\{g_{ij}\}).$$

Since  $\bar{\partial}\phi = 0$ , by Theorem 2.2, there is a function u satisfying  $\bar{\partial}u = \phi$  on  $\Omega$  and

$$||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||\phi||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \lesssim M_{p}(\{g_{ij}\}),$$
 (2.4)

for  $1 \le p \le \infty$ . Now, on each  $V_j$ , for j = 0, 1, ..., N, we define

$$g_i = v_i - u$$

so  $\bar{\partial}g_j = \bar{\partial}v_j - \bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}v_j - \phi = 0$  on  $V_j$ . Thus, each function  $g_j$  is holomorphic in  $V_j$ , for j = 0, 1, ..., N. Moreover,

$$g_j - g_i = (v_j - u) - (v_i - u) = v_j - v_i = g_{ij}$$
 on  $V_i \cap V_j$ .

Finally, (2.2) and (2.4) imply

$$||g_j||_{L^p(V_j)} \lesssim M_p(\{g_{ij}\})$$
 for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

This completes the proof.

# 3. Proof of the global $L^p$ approximation theorem

For convenience, we recall a preparation lemma which was proved in [3, 9] and [12] on arbitrary smooth domains.

Let  $\{U_j, j = 1, ..., N\}$  be an open covering of  $b\Omega$  by neighbourhoods  $U_j$  of boundary points  $P_j \in b\Omega$  such that there is a constant  $\tau_0 > 0$  for which

$$z + \tau \mu_i \in \Omega$$
 for all  $z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap U_i$  and  $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ .

Here  $\mu_j$  is the unit inner normal to  $b\Omega$  at  $P_j$ . We choose  $\chi_j \in C_0^{\infty}(U_j), \chi_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , so that  $\sum_{j=0}^N \chi_j = 1$  on a neighbourhood  $\widetilde{\Omega}$  of  $\overline{\Omega}$ . For  $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ , we choose  $\eta(\tau) > 0$  (in fact,  $\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \eta(\tau) = 0$ ) such that

$$\Omega_{\eta(\tau)} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho(z) < \eta(\tau) \} \subset \widetilde{\Omega} \cap \Big( \bigcup_{i=0}^N U_j^{\tau} \Big),$$

where  $U_0^{\tau} = \Omega$  and  $U_j^{\tau} = \{w - \tau \mu_j : w \in U_j \cap \Omega\} \cap U_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . Moreover, when  $\tau_0$  is sufficiently small,  $\{U_j^{\tau} : j = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$  is a covering of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , the  $L^p$  estimates for the Henkin solutions to the  $\bar{\partial}$  equations on  $\Omega_{\eta(\tau)}$  are independent of  $\tau$ , and

$$\operatorname{supp} \chi_j \cap \bar{\Omega}_{\eta(\tau)} \subset U_j^\tau \quad \text{for all } 0 < \tau < \tau_0 \quad \text{ and } \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that  $1 \le p \le \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . For  $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ , define  $f_0^{\tau} = f$  and

$$f_i^{\tau}(z) = f(z + \tau \mu_i)$$
 for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

Then the following statements hold:

- (a)  $f_j^{\tau}$  is holomorphic on  $U_j^{\tau}$  and  $L^p(U_j^{\tau})$ -integrable for j = 0, 1, ..., N;
- (b)  $\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} f_j^{\tau} = f \text{ pointwise on } \Omega \cap U_j;$
- (c)  $\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \|f_j^{\tau} f\|_{L^p(U_j \cap \Omega)} = 0$  if either  $1 \le p < \infty$ , or  $p = \infty$  and  $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ .
- (d) Define  $g_{ij}^{\tau} = f_j^{\tau} f_i^{\tau}$  on  $U_i^{\tau} \cap U_j^{\tau}$  and

$$M_p^{\tau}(\{g_{ij}^{\tau}\}) = \max\{\|g_{ij}^{\tau}\|_{L^p(U_i^{\tau} \cap U_i^{\tau})} : i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} M_p^{\tau}(\{g_{ij}^{\tau}\}) = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \le p < \infty, \text{ or if } p = \infty \text{ and } f \in C(\bar{\Omega}),$$

and

$$M^\tau_\infty(\{g_{ij}^\tau\}) \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad \text{if } f \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The main idea is to apply the construction of the additive Cousin problem. Set

$$V_j^\tau = U_j^\tau \cap \Omega_{\eta(\tau)} \quad \text{for } 0 < \tau < \tau_0.$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 to the holomorphic functions  $g_{ij}^{\tau}$  on  $V_i^{\tau} \cap V_j^{\tau}$ , we obtain holomorphic functions  $g_i^{\tau}$  on  $V_i^{\tau}$  for j = 0, 1, ..., N, which satisfy

$$g_i^{\tau} - g_i^{\tau} = g_{ij}^{\tau} \quad \text{on } V_i^{\tau} \cap V_i^{\tau} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$||g_{j}^{\tau}||_{L^{p}(V_{i}^{\tau})} \lesssim M_{p}^{\tau}(\{g_{ij}^{\tau}\}).$$
 (3.2)

The constant C implied in (3.2) is independent of  $\tau$  since the  $L^p$  estimates of the Henkin solution and the partition of unity  $\{\chi_j\}$  are independent of  $\tau$ . By the definition of the  $f_j$  in Lemma 3.1 and (3.1),

$$f_j^{\tau} - g_j^{\tau} = f_i^{\tau} - g_i^{\tau}$$
 on  $V_i^{\tau} \cap V_j^{\tau}$ .

Therefore, we can find a globally well-defined function  $f^{\tau}$  which is holomorphic on  $\Omega_{\eta(\tau)}$  such that

$$f^{\tau} = f_i^{\tau} - g_i^{\tau} \quad \text{on } V_i^{\tau} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$||f - f^{\tau}||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||f - f_{j}^{\tau}||_{L^{p}(U_{j} \cap \Omega)} + (N+1)CM_{p}^{\tau}(\{g_{ij}^{\tau}\}).$$

Combining this estimate with Lemma 3.1,

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} ||f - f^{\tau}||_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0$$

if either  $1 \le p < \infty$ , or  $p = \infty$  and f extends continuously to  $\Omega$ .

Finally, if  $f \in H^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ , then (3.3), (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 also imply

$$||f^{\tau}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$
 uniformly in  $\tau \in (0, \tau_0)$ .

Since  $H^{\infty}$  is a subset of  $L^p(\Omega)$ -functions which are holomorphic on  $\Omega$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$ , the limit

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} ||f - f^{\tau}||_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0$$

also holds for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ . This completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] E. Bedford and J. E. Fornaess, 'A construction of peak functions on weakly pseudoconvex domains', *Ann. of Math.* (2) **107** (1978), 555–568.
- [2] F. Beatrous and R. M. Range, 'On holomorphic approximation in weakly pseudoconvex domains', Pacific J. Math. 89(2) (1980), 249–255.
- [3] B. Cole and R. M. Range, 'A-measures on complex manifolds and some applications', *J. Funct. Anal.* **11** (1972), 394–400.
- [4] K. Diederich and J. E. Fornaess, 'Pseudoconvex domains: an example with nontrivial Nebenhülle', Math. Ann. 225 (1977), 275–292.
- [5] A. M. Davie, T. W. Gamelin and J. Garnett, 'Distance estimates and pointwise bounded density', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 175 (1973), 37–68.

- [6] H. Grauert and I. Lieb, 'Das Ramirezsche Integral und die Lösung der Gleichung  $\bar{\delta}f = \alpha$  im Bereich der beschränkten Formen', in: *Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Rice University, 1969*, Rice University Studies, 56 (1970), 29–50.
- [7] G. M. Henkin, 'Integral representations of functions holomorphic in strictly-pseudoconvex domains and some applications', *Math. USSR Sbornik*. 7(4) (1969), 597–616.
- [8] L. K. Ha, T. V. Khanh and A. Raich, 'L<sup>p</sup>-estimates for the ∂̄-equation on a class of infinite type domains', Int. J. Math. 25 (2014), Article ID 1450106, 15 pages.
- [9] N. Kerzman, 'Hölder and  $L^p$  estimates for solutions of  $\bar{\partial}u = f$  in strongly pseudoconvex domains', *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **24** (1971), 301–379.
- [10] J. J. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, 'A pseudo-convex domain not admitting a holomorphic support function', *Math. Ann.* 201 (1973), 265–268.
- [11] I. Lieb, 'Ein approximationssatz auf streng pseudokonvexen Gebieten', Math. Ann. 184 (1969), 56–60.
- [12] R. M. Range, Holomorphic Functions and Integral Representations in Several Complex Variables (Springer, Berlin–New York, 1986).
- [13] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966).

LY KIM HA, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Science, Vietnam National University, HoChiMinh City (VNU-HCM), 227 Nguyen Van Cu street, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam e-mail: lkha@hcmus.edu.vn