

Best approximation and intersections of balls in Banach spaces

David T. Yost

Let E be a Banach space, M a closed subspace of E with the 3-ball property. It is known that M is proximal in E , and that its metric projection admits a continuous selection. This means that there is a continuous (generally non-linear) map $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\|x - \pi(x)\| = d(x, M)$ for all x in E . Here it is shown that the same conclusion holds under a much weaker hypothesis on M , which we call the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property. We also establish that if M has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in E , then there is a continuous Hahn-Banach extension map from M^* to E^* .

Introduction

Let M be a closed subspace of a Banach space E . This paper clarifies the relationship between approximative properties of M , and intersection properties of balls pertaining to M . Recall that M is said to be an L -summand (respectively, an M -summand) of E if there is a linear projection Q from E onto M such that $\|x\| = \|Qx\| + \|x - Qx\|$ (respectively, $\|x\| = \max\{\|Qx\|, \|x - Qx\|\}$) for all $x \in E$. If M^0 , the polar of M , is an L -summand of E^* , then M is said to be an M -ideal in E . We say that M has the n -ball property in E if given n closed balls $B(a_i, r_i)$ such that $M \cap B(a_i, r_i)$ is non-empty for each i , and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B(a_i, r_i)$ has non-empty interior, then $M \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B(a_i, r_i)$ is

Received 27 March 1979.

non-empty. These notions were introduced by Alfsen and Effros [1], who showed that an M -ideal has the n -ball property for every n and, conversely, that any subspace with the 3-ball property is already an M -ideal.

Let $H(\cdot)$ denote the family of all closed, bounded, convex, and non-empty subsets of a given Banach space. The metric projection $P = P_M : E \rightarrow H(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is the set-valued map defined by $P(a) = M \cap B(a, d(a, M))$. Thus $P(a)$ is the set of points in M which are nearest to a . M is said to be proximal in E if $P(a) \neq \emptyset$, for all $a \in E$. Then a proximity map $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is any (not necessarily continuous) selection for P . Note that $P(a+x) = P(a) + x$ whenever $x \in M$. We say that a selection π is quasi-additive if $\pi(a+x) = \pi(a) + x$ whenever $x \in M$.

Alfsen and Effros [1, Corollary 5.6] and Ando [2, Theorem 2.1] independently showed that every M -ideal is proximal. Holmes, Scranton, and Ward [6, Theorem 2.2] improved this by showing that the metric projection onto an M -ideal admits a continuous, homogeneous selection.

We will say that M has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in E if the conditions $a_1 \in M$, $M \cap B(a_2, r_2) \neq \emptyset$, and $\|a_1 - a_2\| < r_1 + r_2$ imply that $M \cap B(a_1, r_1) \cap B(a_2, r_2) \neq \emptyset$. After translating and scaling it is evident that this is equivalent to requiring $M \cap B(0, 1) \cap B(a, r) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $M \cap B(a, r) \neq \emptyset$ and $\|a\| < r + 1$. Our main result is that every subspace with the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property is proximal, and that its metric projection admits a continuous, homogeneous, quasi-additive selection. In Section 2 we give examples of closed subspaces of Banach spaces which possess the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property. Not all of these subspaces are M -ideals, so our result has wider applicability than that of [6]. We also show that if M has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in E , then there is a continuous, homogeneous map $\psi : M^* \rightarrow E^*$ such that each $\psi(f)$ is a norm preserving extension of f . Under additional hypotheses, we are able to establish the Lipschitz continuity and linearity of certain proximity maps and Hahn-Banach extension maps.

Except when specific mention is made to the contrary, scalars may be real or complex. By $C(X, E)$ we denote the Banach space of continuous

functions from the compact, Hausdorff space X into the Banach space E . If S is a sequence space, then $S(E)$ will denote the Banach space of all sequences (x_n) from E such that the sequence $(\|x_n\|)$ is in S .

$B(E, F)$ is the space of bounded, linear operators from E to F , and $K(E, F)$ is the subspace of compact operators. We use d_H for the Hausdorff metric on $H(E)$,

$$d_H(A, B) = \sup\{\{d(x, A) : x \in B\} \cup \{d(x, B) : x \in A\}\}.$$

By Michael's Selection Theorem we mean [11, Theorem 3.2"].

1. Existence of continuous selections

We establish the results stated in the abstract.

LEMMA 1.1. *Suppose M has the $\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in E . Then*

- (i) *M is proximal in E ,*
- (ii) *for all $a, b \in E$ we have $d_H(a-P(a), b-P(b)) \leq 3d(a-b, M)$.*

The constant 3 is, in general, best possible.

Proof. (i) Let $a \in E$, $\delta = d(a, M)$. We inductively construct a sequence $(x_n) \subset M$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq 2^{-n}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|x_n - a\| \leq \delta + 2^{-n}.$$

Obviously a suitable x_1 exists. Suppose x_n is given, and satisfies

(2). Then we have $x_n \in M$, $M \cap B(a, \delta + 2^{-n-1}) \neq \emptyset$ and

$\|x_n - a\| < \delta + 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n}$. Since M has the $\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property,

$M \cap B(x_n, 2^{-n}) \cap B(a, \delta + 2^{-n-1}) \neq \emptyset$. Any point x_{n+1} in this intersection will satisfy (1) and (2).

The induction completed, (1) implies that (x_n) is Cauchy, and hence converges to some $x \in M$. Then (2) yields $\|x - a\| = \delta$. Thus $P(a) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) Let $a, b \in E$ with $d(a-b, M) < \epsilon$. It suffices to show that, given $x \in P(a)$, we can find $y \in P(b)$ with $\|(a-x)-(b-y)\| < 3\epsilon$. If $b \in M$, then $P(b) = \{b\}$ and we must take $y = b$. Then $\|a-x-b+y\| = \|a-x\| = d(a, M) = d(a-b, M) < \epsilon$ as required. If $b \notin M$, then $\delta = d(b, M) > 0$. Choose $z \in M$ with $\|a-b+z\| < \epsilon$. Then $z+x \in M$, $M \cap B(b, \delta) \neq \emptyset$ by (i), and

$$\|z+x-b\| \leq \|a-b+z\| + \|x-a\| < \epsilon + d(a, M) < 2\epsilon + \delta.$$

Since M has the $1/2$ -ball property, we can find

$$y \in M \cap B(b, \delta) \cap B(z+x, 2\epsilon).$$

Clearly $y \in P(b)$. Finally

$$\|a-x-b+y\| \leq \|y-(x+z)\| + \|a-b+z\| < 2\epsilon + \epsilon.$$

To show that this estimate is sharp, consider the real Banach space $E = \mathcal{L}_\infty(3)$ (that is, $E = \mathbf{R}^3$, with the sup norm), with M the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $(1, 1, 0)$. It is elementary to check that M has the $1/2$ -ball property in E . Let $a = (0, 0, 3)$, $b = (1, -1, 2)$, and $x = (-3, -3, 0)$. Then

$$P(b) = \{(\lambda, \lambda, 0) : -1 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$$

and so $d(a-x, b-P(b)) = 3$. Now $x \in P(a)$, so $d_H(a-P(a), b-P(b)) \geq 3$.

But $d(a-b, M) \leq \|a-b\| = 1$. //

We remark that if M has the 2-ball property in E , then the estimate of Lemma 1.1 can be sharpened to $d_H(a-P(a), b-P(b)) \leq d(a-b, M)$. The preceding example then shows that the $1/2$ -ball property is strictly weaker than the 2-ball property.

THEOREM 1.2. *If M has the $1/2$ -ball property in E , then*

- (i) *there is a continuous, homogeneous map $\psi : E/M \rightarrow E$ satisfying $\psi(a+M) \in a+M$ and $\|\psi(a+M)\| = \|a+M\|$ for all $a \in E$,*
- (ii) *there is a continuous, homogeneous, quasi-additive proximity map $\pi : E \rightarrow M$,*
- (iii) *there is a continuous, homogeneous Hahn-Banach extension map $\psi : M^* \rightarrow E^*$.*

Proof. (i) Define $\eta : E/M \rightarrow H(E)$ by $\eta(a+M) = a - P_M(a)$. Since M is proximal, η is well-defined. By Lemma 1.1, η is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric on $H(E)$, and is therefore lower semicontinuous. Michael's selection theorem ensures the existence of ψ , a continuous selection for η . An argument of Kadison [see 11, p. 376] shows that ψ can be chosen homogeneous. Clearly ψ has the stated properties.

(ii) Let ψ be given by (i), and define π by $\pi(a) = a - \psi(a+M)$. Then π is continuous, homogeneous, quasi-additive, and satisfies $\pi(a) \in P(a)$ for all $a \in E$.

(iii) We claim that M^0 has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in E^* . So let $M^0 \cap B(f, r) \neq \emptyset$, $\|f\| \leq r + 1$. To show that $M^0 \cap B(0, 1) \cap B(f, r) \neq \emptyset$ it suffices, by [7, Theorem 1.2], to show that $|f(a_2)| \leq \|a_1\| + r\|a_2\|$ whenever $a_1 + a_2 \in M$. If $\|a_2\| \leq \|a_1\|$ then

$$|f(a_2)| \leq (r+1)\|a_2\| \leq \|a_1\| + r\|a_2\|.$$

So assume $\|a_2\| > \|a_1\|$ and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $a_1 + a_2 \in M \cap B(a_2, \|a_1\| + \epsilon)$, the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property gives us some

$$a \in M \cap B(0, \|a_2\| - \|a_1\|) \cap B(a_2, \|a_1\| + \epsilon).$$

Now $\|f|_M\| = d(f, M^0) < r$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |f(a_2)| &= |f(a) - f(a - a_2)| \leq r\|a\| + (r+1)\|a - a_2\| \\ &\leq r(\|a_2\| - \|a_1\|) + (r+1)(\|a_1\| + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ establishes the claim.

From (i) we obtain a continuous, homogeneous map $\psi : E^*/M^0 \rightarrow E^*$ satisfying $\psi(f+M^0) \in f+M^0$ and $\|\psi(f+M^0)\| = d(f, M^0) = \|f|_M\|$ for all $f \in E^*$. Identifying E^*/M^0 with M^* completes the proof. //

If $P_M(a)$ is a singleton for each $a \in E$, then M is said to be a Chebyshev subspace of E . In this case the proximity map is unique and is usually referred to as the metric projection. Let us say that M is a

semi- L -summand in E [7, Section 5] if M is Chebyshev in E and the metric projection $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ satisfies $\|x\| = \|\pi(x)\| + \|x-\pi(x)\|$ for all $x \in E$. It is routine to check that every semi- L -summand (*a fortiori*, every L -summand) has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let M be a semi- L -summand in E . Then*

- (i) *the metric projection $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a contraction,*
- (ii) *there is a linear Hahn-Banach extension map $\psi : M^* \rightarrow E^*$ and a linear proximity map $P : E^* \rightarrow M^0$,*
- (iii) *M^{00} is the range of a norm one projection on E^{**} .*

Proof. (i) Fix $a, b \in E$ and assume without loss of generality that $\|\pi(a)-a\| \leq \|\pi(b)-b\|$. Since M is Chebyshev, π must be quasi-additive. Thus $\pi(\pi(a)-b) = \pi(a) - \pi(b)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(a)-\pi(b)\| &= \|\pi(a)-b\| - \|\pi(b)-b\| \\ &\leq \|\pi(a)-a\| + \|a-b\| - \|\pi(b)-b\| \\ &\leq \|a-b\|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We have just shown the existence of a Lipschitz continuous retraction of E onto M with Lipschitz constant 1. The existence of ψ follows from [9, Theorem 3 (a)]. If $Pf = f - \psi(f|M)$ then P is linear and $\|f-Pf\| = \|f|M\| = d(f, M^0)$ for all $f \in E^*$.

(iii) Define $Q : E^{**} \rightarrow M^{00}$ by $QF = F \circ (I-P)$. //

Lima [7, Section 6] calls M a semi- M -ideal in E if M^0 is a semi- L -summand in E^* , and shows this is equivalent to M having what he calls the 2-ball property. The reader is warned that the definition of the 2-ball property used in [7] is, formally at least, weaker than that which we employ.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let M be a semi- M -ideal in E .*

- (i) *The Hahn-Banach extension map $\psi : M^* \rightarrow E^*$ is uniquely determined and satisfies $\|\psi(f)-\psi(g)\| \leq 2\|f-g\|$ for all $f, g \in E^*$. The Lipschitz constant 2 can not, in general, be decreased.*
- (ii) *M^0 is the range of a norm one projection on E^* .*

Proof. (i) Again we identify E^*/M^0 and M^* . If $\pi : E^* \rightarrow M^0$ is the (unique) metric projection, then $\psi : E^*/M^0 \rightarrow E^*$ satisfies $\psi(f+M^0) = f - \pi(f)$. Fix $f+M^0, g+M^0 \in E^*/M^0$. Adding a suitable element of M^0 , we may assume that $\pi(f-g) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(f+M^0) - \psi(g+M^0)\| &= \|f-g-\pi(f)+\pi(g)\| \leq 2\|f-g\| \\ &= 2d(f-g, M^0) = 2\|(f+M^0) - (g+M^0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

To show that the estimate is sharp, let E be the real Banach space $\ell_1(3)$ and take $M = \{(x, y, z) : x+y+z = 0\}$. Then $E^* = \ell_\infty(3)$ and $M^0 = \mathbf{R}1$.

It is easy to verify that M^0 is a semi- L -summand. In E^*/M^0 , let $f = (0, 2, 2) + \mathbf{R}1$ and $g = (-2, 0, -2) + \mathbf{R}1$. Then $\|f-g\| = 1$. Routine checking gives $\pi(0, 2, 2) = (1, 1, 1)$ and $\pi(-2, 0, -2) = (-1, -1, -1)$. Thus $\psi(f) = (-1, 1, 1)$, $\psi(g) = (-1, 1, -1)$ and so $\|\psi(f) - \psi(g)\| = 2$.

(ii) By Theorem 1.3 (iii) there is a norm one projection $Q : E^{***} \rightarrow M^{000}$. Let $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ denote the canonical embedding of E^* into E^{***} . Since $\hat{f} \in M^{000}$ whenever $f \in M^0$, the required projection is given by $f \mapsto Q(\hat{f})|_E$. //

2. Examples

We give examples, mostly in spaces of operators and spaces of continuous functions, of subspaces which have the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property but are not M -ideals. For some of these examples, previous authors ([3, Corollary 3.19] and [12, 7.5.6]) have used *ad hoc* methods to establish the existence of continuous proximity maps, or simply to establish proximality. The existence of continuous Hahn-Banach extension maps seems to have gone unnoticed. Checking that these subspaces have the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property provides a uniform, and often easier, method of establishing such results. We also give some new example of M -ideals. Lastly we consider the relationship between the n -ball property and algebraic structure in subspaces of Banach algebras.

Let us say that a real Banach space E is a (real) Lindenstrauss space if every collection of pairwise intersecting closed balls in E ,

whose centres form a compact set, has non-empty intersection.

Lindenstrauss [8, p. 62] showed that a real Banach E has this property if and only if $E^* = L_1(\mu)$ for some measure μ .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let E be a real Lindenstrauss space, X and Y compact Hausdorff spaces, and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous surjection. Let $\psi^* : C(Y, E) \rightarrow C(X, E)$ denote the natural isometric embedding, $\psi^*f = f \circ \psi$. Then $M = \psi^*C(Y, E)$ has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in $C(X, E)$.*

Proof. Suppose we are given $f \in C(X, E)$ and $r > 0$ with $M \cap B(f, r) \neq \emptyset$ and $\|f\| \leq r+1$. Define $\eta : Y \rightarrow H(E)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(y) &= B(0, 1) \cap \bigcap_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)} B(f(x), r) \\ &= B(0, 1) \cap \{a \in E : f(\psi^{-1}(y)) \subset B(a, r)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly each $\eta(y)$ is closed and convex. We must check that $\eta(y)$ is non-empty. Let $\psi^*g \in M \cap B(f, r)$. If $x_1, x_2 \in \psi^{-1}(y)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| &\leq \|f(x_1) - g(y)\| + \|g(y) - f(x_2)\| \\ &\leq 2\|f - \psi^*g\| \leq 2r, \end{aligned}$$

and so $B(f(x_1), r)$ meets $B(f(x_2), r)$. Since $\|f\| \leq r+1$, $B(0, 1)$ must meet each $B(f(x), r)$. Thus the family of balls defining $\eta(y)$ intersect pairwise. Since the collection of centres $\{0\} \cup f(\psi^{-1}(y))$ is compact, we have $\eta(y) \neq \emptyset$. We claim that η is lower semicontinuous.

So let $G \subset E$ be open. Let $y_0 \in \{y : \eta(y) \text{ meets } G\}$ be given, and choose $a \in \eta(y_0) \cap G$. Then $\|a\| \leq 1$, $f(\psi^{-1}(y_0)) \subset B(a, r)$ and $B(a, \epsilon) \subset G$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. It follows from the compactness of X that the map $y \mapsto \psi^{-1}(y)$ is upper semicontinuous. Hence

$N = \{y : f(\psi^{-1}(y)) \subset \text{int } B(a, r+\epsilon)\}$ is an open set containing y_0 . If $y \in N$, then $B(a, \epsilon)$ meets $B(f(x), r)$ for all $x \in \psi^{-1}(y)$. Clearly $B(a, \epsilon)$ meets $B(0, 1)$. Since E is a real Lindenstrauss space, we deduce that $\eta(y)$ meets $B(a, \epsilon)$, whenever $y \in N$. Thus $N \subset \{y : \eta(y) \text{ meets } G\}$. It follows that $\{y : \eta(y) \text{ meets } G\}$ is open, and this proves η is lower semicontinuous.

By Michael's selection theorem, there is a continuous function $h : Y \rightarrow E$ satisfying $h(y) \in \eta(y)$ for all y . It is routine to verify that $\psi^*h \in M \cap B(0, 1) \cap B(f, r)$. //

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let X, Y, ψ, E be as in Theorem 2.1. Fix $y_0 \in Y$ and let $M = \{\psi^*f : f \in C(Y, E) \text{ and } f(y_0) = 0\}$. Then M has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in $C(X, E)$.*

Proof. Let f, r, η be as in the previous proof. If $\psi^*g \in M \cap B(f, r)$, then $\|f(x) - (\psi^*g)(x)\| \leq r$ whenever $x \in \psi^{-1}(y_0)$. Thus $0 \in \eta(y_0)$. If we define $\eta_0 : Y \rightarrow H(E)$ by $\eta_0(y) = \eta(y)$ for $y \neq y_0$, and $\eta_0(y_0) = \{0\}$, then η_0 will be lower semicontinuous. The existence of a continuous selection for η_0 shows that $M \cap B(0, 1) \cap B(f, r) \neq \emptyset$. //

COROLLARY 2.3. *Any closed subalgebra of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property.*

Proof. This follows from the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem and Theorem 2.1 (for subalgebras containing the constant functions) or Corollary 2.2 (for subalgebras not containing the constants). //

It follows from [7, Theorem 7.6] that any closed subspace of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ with the 2-ball property must be an ideal. Thus the examples given by the preceding results will not, in general, be M -ideals.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let E be any Banach space, X a compact Hausdorff space, Y a closed subset of X , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M = \{f \in C(X, E) : f|_Y = 0\}$ has the n -ball property in $C(X, E)$.*

Proof. Suppose that we have $M \cap B(f_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i \leq n$, and $\text{int} \bigcap_{i=1}^n B(f_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$. Define $\psi : X \rightarrow H(E)$ by $\psi(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n B(f_i(x), r_i)$. Clearly each $\psi(x)$ is closed, convex, and has non-empty interior. Hence $\psi(x) = \overline{\text{int} \psi(x)}$ for all $x \in X$. Now let G be any open subset of E , and let $x_0 \in \{x : \psi(x) \text{ meets } G\}$. Then $\overline{\text{int} \psi(x_0)}$ meets G , so we can find $a \in \text{int} \psi(x_0) \cap G$. Then $\|a - f_i(x_0)\| < r_i$ for each i . By continuity, x_0 has a neighbourhood N such that $x \in N \Rightarrow \|a - f_i(x)\| < r_i$,

for all i . Then $a \in \psi(x)$ whenever $x \in N$, so $N \subset \{x : \psi(x) \text{ meets } G\}$. This proves that ψ is lower semicontinuous.

Fix $x \in Y$. If $g_i \in M \cap B(f_i, r_i)$; then

$$\|f_i(x)\| = \|f_i(x) - g_i(x)\| \leq \|f_i - g_i\| \leq r_i.$$

This proves that $0 \in \psi(x)$.

Now define $\eta : X \rightarrow H(E)$ by $\eta(x) = \psi(x)$ for $x \notin Y$, and $\eta(x) = \{0\}$ for $x \in Y$. Since Y is closed, it is easily shown that η is lower semicontinuous. Let $f \in C(X, E)$ be a continuous selection for η .

Then $f \in M \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B(f_i, r_i)$. //

We note that Corollary 2.3 fails in spaces of complex-valued functions.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *A closed *-subalgebra A in $C(X, \mathbb{C})$ has the $1/2$ -ball property if and only if it is an ideal.*

Proof. That ideals have the $1/2$ -ball property is immediate from Proposition 2.4, with $E = \mathbb{C}$. Suppose now that A is not an ideal. We assume that A does not contain the constant functions. (If $1 \in A$, the result follows from a simplification of the following argument.) By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, there is a compact Hausdorff space Y , a continuous surjection $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ and a point $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$A = \{\psi^*f : f \in C(Y, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } f(y_0) = 0\}$. If the restriction of ψ to

$X \setminus \psi^{-1}(y_0)$ is injective, it can readily be shown that A is an ideal.

Thus we may find distinct $x_0, x_1 \in X$ such that $\psi(x_0) = \psi(x_1) \neq y_0$. Let $y_1 = \psi(x_1)$, and construct continuous functions $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $b : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

satisfying $-1 \leq a \leq 1$, $0 \leq b \leq 1$, $a(x_n) = (-1)^n$ and $b(y_n) = n$

($n = 0, 1$). Then $\|a - i\psi^*b\| \leq \sqrt{2} < 1 + 1/2$, $i\psi^*b \in A$, and

$A \cap B(a, 1) \neq \emptyset$. However $A \cap B(a, 1) \cap B(i\psi^*b, 1/2) = \emptyset$, which shows that

A does not have the $1/2$ -ball property. For suppose $\psi^*f \in A \cap B(a, 1)$.

Then, for $n = 0, 1$, $|f(y_1) \pm 1| = |(\psi^*f)(x_n) - a(x_n)| \leq \|\psi^*f - a\| \leq 1$. Hence

$f(y_1) = 0$. But then $\|\psi^*f - i\psi^*b\| \geq |f(y_1) - ib(y_1)| = 1 > 1/2$. //

By Proposition 2.4, $c_0(E)$ is an M -ideal in $\mathcal{L}_\infty(E)$ if E is finite dimensional. It is useful to know that this is true for arbitrary E .

LEMMA 2.6. *For any Banach space E , $c_0(E)$ is an M -ideal in $\mathcal{L}_\infty(E)$.*

Proof. If $x = (x(n)) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(E)$ and $c_0(E) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\limsup \|x(n)\| \leq r. \text{ Suppose } \bigcap_{i=1}^3 B(x_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } c_0(E) \cap B(x_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$$

for each i . Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 B(x_i, r_i + \epsilon)$ contains a sequence with only finitely many non-zero terms and so meets $c_0(E)$. Although formally weaker than the 3-ball property, the property just established does characterize M -ideals [7, Theorem 6.9]. //

COROLLARY 2.7. *For any Banach space E , $K(E, c_0)$ is an M -ideal in $B(E, c_0)$.*

Proof. This follows from the natural identifications $K(E, c_0) = c_0(E^*)$ and $B(E, c_0) = \{(f_n) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(E^*) : f_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ weak}^*\}$. //

PROPOSITION 2.8. *$K(\mathcal{L}_1)$ has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in $B(\mathcal{L}_1)$.*

Proof. Recall that for any operator matrix $a = (a_{ij}) \in B(\mathcal{L}_1)$ we have $\|a\| = \sup_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_{ij}|$ and $a \in K(\mathcal{L}_1) \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{i=n}^\infty |a_{ij}| = 0$. Fix $a \in B(\mathcal{L}_1)$ with $\|a\| \leq r+1$, and $K(\mathcal{L}_1) \cap B(a, r) \neq \emptyset$. We assume that $\|a\| > r$, otherwise $0 \in K(\mathcal{L}_1) \cap B(0, 1) \cap B(a, r)$.

Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_{ij}| \leq r$, put $x_{ij} = 0$ for all i . Otherwise choose $n = n(j)$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ so that $\lambda |a_{nj}| + \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty |a_{ij}| = r$. Putting $x_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $i < n$, $x_{nj} = (1-\lambda)a_{nj}$ and $x_{ij} = 0$ for $i > n$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_{ij}| = \sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_{ij}| - r$.

It follows that $x \in B(\mathcal{L}_1)$ with $\|x\| \leq \|a\| - r \leq 1$. For each j , either $x_{ij} = 0$ for all i , or $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij} - x_{ij}| = r$. Hence $\|a - x\| \leq r$.

We must show $x \in K(\mathcal{L}_1)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $K(\mathcal{L}_1)$ meets $B(a, r)$, there is a finite rank operator in $B(a, r + \epsilon)$. Thus, for some N ,

$\sup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < r + \epsilon$. Fix j . If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| \leq r$, or if $N > n(j)$,

then $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |x_{ij}| = 0$. If $N \leq n(j)$ then $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |x_{ij}| = \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| - r < \epsilon$.

Thus $\sup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |x_{ij}| < \epsilon$, as desired. //

If E and F are separable sequence spaces (that is, c_0 or \mathcal{L}_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$), what is the largest value of n such that $K(E, F)$ has the n -ball property in $B(E, F)$? Hennefeld [5] showed that $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ is an M -ideal in $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ if $1 < p < \infty$. Minor modifications to his argument yield that $K(\mathcal{L}_p, \mathcal{L}_q)$ is an M -ideal in $B(\mathcal{L}_p, \mathcal{L}_q)$ if $1 < p < q < \infty$. By [13, Theorem 6.2] $K(\mathcal{L}_1)$ fails the 2-ball property in $B(\mathcal{L}_1)$. We show that $K(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p)$ fails the $\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property in $B(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p)$ if $1 < p < \infty$. Since $K(E, F) = B(E, F)$ in all the remaining cases [10, Proposition 2.c.3], this completely answers the question.

For any matrix $a = (a_{ij}) \in B(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p)$ we have

$$\|a\| = \sup_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|^p \right\}^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad a \in K(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p) \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|^p = 0.$$

Choose λ so that $1 < \lambda^p < 2^p - 1$ and put $a_{1j} = \lambda$ for all j , $a_{jj} = 1$ for $j \neq 1$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ for all other (i, j) . It is easy to verify

that $\|a\| < 2$ and that $K(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p) \cap B(a, 1) \neq \emptyset$. However

$K(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p) \cap B(0, 1) \cap B(a, 1) = \emptyset$. To see this, let

$x \in K(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_p) \cap B(a, 1)$. Then $x_{jj} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$|\lambda - x_{1j}|^p + |1 - x_{jj}|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij} - x_{ij}|^p \leq 1 \text{ for all } j .$$

Thus $x_{1j} \rightarrow \lambda$, so $\|x\| \geq \lambda > 1$.

We finish by considering subspaces with the n -ball property in Banach algebras. It is known [13, Theorem 5.3] that the M -ideals in a C^* algebra are precisely the closed two-sided ideals. We give a short proof of this fact. For elementary C^* algebra theory, we refer the reader to [4, Chapter 5].

LEMMA 2.9. *Let J be an M -summand in a unital C^* algebra A . Then J is an ideal in A .*

Proof. Let $Q = I - P$, where P is the M -projection onto J . We first note that if $f \in A^*$ is positive, then so are P^*f and Q^*f . For

$$\begin{aligned} |(P^*f)(1)| + |(Q^*f)(1)| &\leq \|P^*f\| + \|Q^*f\| = \|f\| \\ &= f(1) = (P^*f)(1) + (Q^*f)(1) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(P^*f)(1) = \|P^*f\|$ and $(Q^*f)(1) = \|Q^*f\|$.

Now let $p = P(1)$. If $f \in A^*$ is positive, then $f(p) = (P^*f)(1) \geq 0$. Hence p is positive. We show that $ap^{\frac{1}{2}} \in J$ for all $a \in A$.

Let $f \in A^*$ be positive. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(Q(ap^{\frac{1}{2}}))|^2 &= |(Q^*f)(ap^{\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \leq (Q^*f)(aa^*)(Q^*f)(p^{\frac{1}{2}}p^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

since $(Q^*f)(p) = f(Qp) = 0$. Thus $Q(ap^{\frac{1}{2}})$ lies in the kernel of every positive functional on A . It follows that $Q(ap^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$, so $ap^{\frac{1}{2}} \in J$.

Thus $ap \in J = P(A)$ for all $a \in A$. Similarly $a(1-p) \in Q(A)$ for all a . It follows that $Pa = ap$ for all a , so $J = P(A) = Ap$ is a left ideal. A similar argument shows that J is a right ideal. //

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let A be a C^* algebra, J a closed subspace of A . Then J is an M -ideal if and only if J is an ideal.*

Proof (ONLY IF). If J^0 is an L -summand in A^* , then J^{00} is an

M -summand in the unital C^* algebra A^{**} . By Lemma 2.9, J^{00} is an ideal in A^{**} . Hence $J = J^{00} \cap A$ is an ideal in A .

(IF) If J is an ideal in A , then J^{00} is a weak* closed ideal in the W^* algebra A^{**} . Thus $J^{00} = A^{**}p$ for some central projection p . Straightforward calculations show that $A^{**} = J^{00} \oplus A^{**}(1-p)$, and that the two subspaces are weak* closed complementary M -summands. Taking polars, we deduce that J^0 is an L -summand in A^* . //

It is natural to ask to what extent the previous result can be generalized to Banach algebras. Smith and Ward [13, Theorem 3.8] showed that in a commutative, unital Banach algebra, every M -ideal is an ideal. By showing that $K(\mathbb{Z}_1)$ fails the 2-ball property in $B(\mathbb{Z}_1)$, they gave a non-commutative counterexample to the converse problem. Commutative examples are easily obtained by giving a suitable Banach space the zero product, then adjoining an identity. We give a less trivial counterexample.

Let A be the disc algebra [4, p. 6] and take $J = \{f \in A : f(0) = 0\}$. Clearly J is an ideal in A . Using the maximum modulus principle, it is easily shown that $P_J(f) = \{f-f(0)\}$, for all $f \in A$. Consideration of the balls $B(0, 2)$ and $B(f, 1)$, where $f(z) = z^2 + 2z - 1$, shows that J fails the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property.

In fact, the disc algebra even contains a non-proximinal ideal. This time, take $J = \{f \in A : f(0) = f(1) = 0\}$. Obviously J is an ideal in A . Let $f(z) = 1 - z$. For any $g \in J$ we have, by the maximum modulus principle, $\|f-g\| > |f(0)-g(0)| = 1$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, and let $g(z) = z(z-1)/(1+\epsilon-z)$. Then $g \in J$ and $\|f-g\| = (1+\epsilon)/(1+(\epsilon/2))$. Thus $d(f, J) = 1$, but $P(f) = J \cap B(f, 1)$ is empty.

Smith and Ward [13, Theorem 3.6] also showed that every M -ideal in a unital Banach algebra is a subalgebra. This is not so for subspaces with the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball property, even in commutative Banach algebras. Let \mathbb{T} denote the circle group, and let $S = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : 0 < \arg z < \pi\}$. With convolution as multiplication, $L_1(\mathbb{T})$ is a commutative Banach algebra. Now $M = \{f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}) : f|_S = 0\}$ is an L -summand, and so has the $1\frac{1}{2}$ -ball

property in $L_1(\Pi)$. If $a \in M$ is defined by $a(S) = \{0\}$ and $a(\Pi \setminus S) = \{1\}$ then $a^2 \notin M$. Thus M is not a subalgebra. Although $L_1(\Pi)$ is not a unital Banach algebra, a unital example is easily obtained via the adjunction of an identity.

References

- [1] Erik M. Alfsen and Edward G. Effros, "Structure in real Banach spaces. Part I", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **96** (1972), 98-128.
- [2] T. Ando, "Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings", *Pacific J. Math.* **44** (1973), 393-410.
- [3] Jörg Blatter, *Grothendieck spaces in approximation theory* (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 120. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1972).
- [4] F.F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 80. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973).
- [5] Julien Hannefeld, "A decomposition for $B(X)^*$ and unique Hahn-Banach extensions", *Pacific J. Math.* **46** (1973), 197-199.
- [6] Richard Holmes, Bruce Scranton, and Joseph Ward, "Approximation from the space of compact operators and other M -ideals", *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 259-269.
- [7] Ásvald Lima, "Intersection properties of balls and subspaces in Banach spaces", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **227** (1977), 1-62.
- [8] Joram Lindenstrauss, *Extension of compact operators* (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 48. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1964).
- [9] Joram Lindenstrauss, "On nonlinear projections in Banach spaces", *Michigan Math. J.* **11** (1964), 263-287.
- [10] Joram Lindenstrauss, Lior Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I. Sequence spaces* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977).

- [11] Ernest Michael, "Continuous selections. I", *Ann. of Math.* (2) 63 (1956), 361-382.
- [12] Zbigniew Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions* (Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk Monografie Matematyczne, 55. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1971).
- [13] R.R. Smith and J.D. Ward, "M-ideal structure in Banach algebras", *J. Funct. Anal.* 27 (1978), 337-349.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh,
Scotland.