

Editorial

The role of neuropsychiatry in the care of children and adults with cerebral palsy

Aaron J. Hauptman and Elizabeth Barkoudah

Neuropsychiatric symptoms are commonly reported in cerebral palsy. These symptoms interact in complex ways with the core motoric features of cerebral palsy, and require specialised care. We argue for increased awareness of these symptoms by clinicians, and the need for greater integration of neuropsychiatric specialists into the core teams involved in multidisciplinary care for individuals with cerebral palsy and their families.

Keywords

Cerebral palsy; neuropsychiatry; psychiatry; neurodevelopmental disability; multidisciplinary care.



Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dr Aaron J. Hauptman (pictured) completed his General Psychiatry training at the University of Texas at Austin, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry fellowship at New York University and a Behavioural Neurology/Neuropsychiatry fellowship at Brigham and Women's Hospital. He is Associate Director of Neuropsychiatry at the Kennedy Krieger Institute and faculty at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine. Dr Elizabeth Barkoudah completed her general paediatrics residency at the University of Tennessee and Neurodevelopmental Disabilities training at Boston Children's Hospital. She is the Neurodevelopmental Disabilities residency program director, director of the HMS Children with Disabilities medical student clerkship, and co-director of the Cerebral Palsy and Spasticity Center at Boston Children's Hospital.

'The neuropsychiatrist is ... ideally suited to evaluate and treat patients who have abnormalities in perception, cognition, emotion, and/or behavior due to a known psychiatric or neurologic disorder; due to the simultaneous presence of, or interaction between, psychiatric and neurologic disorders (or their treatments), and associated psychosocial elements; or due to an unknown underlying brain condition.'

Neuropsychiatrists are ideally situated to be part of multidisciplinary care teams treating children and adults with cerebral palsy, as well as other acquired and developmental brain conditions. Although accessing routine mental healthcare for individuals with cerebral palsy already poses a significant challenge, we wish to emphasise that the neuropsychiatrist is uniquely well-situated to play a role in team-based care, given the challenges of overlapping neurological and psychiatric symptoms, complex polypharmacy and psychosocial circumstances facing these individuals and their families.

Neuropsychiatrists specialise in relationships between brain injury and symptoms within the domains of cognition, emotion and behaviour. Clinicians in this field are trained either through independent residencies in psychiatry and neurology, or as part of an integrated fellowship following a single residency in either field. Some clinicians also have paediatric subspecialty training.

Neuropsychiatrists often work in multidisciplinary teams in the coordinated care of individuals with complex neurological and psychiatric conditions by assessing if a given symptom is the result, for example, of an underlying neurological insult, a primary psychiatric condition, iatrogenic factors or some other cause. They then assist with the provision of psychoeducation; collaborate with colleagues

in other core specialties such as neurology, physiatry, gastroenterology, orthopaedics and neurosurgery; and help manage psychiatric and neurological medications. This can help maximise treatment benefits and minimise risks.

Juxtaposed with other medical and mental health providers, neuropsychiatrists focus on neuroanatomical correlates of behavioural symptoms in brain-based disorders, as well as having training in a range of psychotherapies and pharmacological management, and play a role in translating between different specialties that may focus more specifically on features of either mental or physical illnesses. As such, this places them in a unique position in the care of individuals with cerebral palsy.

Cerebral palsy is a heterogeneous condition that involves permanent motor dysfunction owing to a nonprogressive neuro-developmental or acquired injury during the pre-, peri- or early postnatal period.² Causes can be multifactorial, including neurogenetic causes, injury to the developing brain (i.e. perinatal stroke, hypoxia, infection) and postnatal brain injury, among others, with prematurity being the most common association. Although the definitions commonly used do not include other neurological, behavioural and emotional sequelae, and comorbidities, they are often acknowledged in definitions as symptoms commonly accompanying the core motoric features.^{3–5}

Mental health concerns are widely acknowledged across studies within populations of individuals with cerebral palsy, and are thought to affect 50% of individuals or more, by some reports; however, data are limited, utilise heterogeneous measures and offer limited insight into the relationship between mental health outcomes, motoric functional levels and neurocognitive symptoms of cerebral palsy.⁶ Beyond mental health diagnoses considered as comorbidities, the complex interrelationship between neurological and psychiatric components of cerebral palsy are infrequently discussed, but can often be lynchpins in the management of an individual's symptoms. At the same time, it is sometime easier to overlook this interrelationship, or assume that any symptom experienced by individuals with cerebral palsy are caused by their cerebral palsy. This link is not necessarily related to the severity of the motor disability, but may be tied to factors such as pain, stress levels, coping skills or social supports.

Adults with cerebral palsy have markedly elevated rates of polypharmacy and hyper-polypharmacy compared with adults without cerebral palsy, and children with severe neurological impairment who have more high-distress symptoms have an associated higher risk of polypharmacy. Given the increased risk of side-effects, the potential for medications being used for one purpose (e.g. focal epilepsy) resulting in side-effects within a different domain (e.g. irritability) and the frequent challenges in identifying the causal relation between these, there is a role for clinicians specialising in these interrelationships and for close coordination between psychiatric and neurological providers.

An example of the challenging relationship between a motor phenomenon common in cerebral palsy and psychiatric symptoms occurs in the case of spasticity, the velocity-dependent muscular tone increase with involuntary contraction of muscles seen in individuals with upper motor neuron injuries. Spasticity occurs in about three-quarters of individuals with cerebral palsy.9 Although it remains underresearched, we have seen clinical instances where the bidirectional relationship between these motor symptoms and a patient's psychiatric symptoms was at the core of their suffering. For instance, we have co-managed patients where management of comorbid psychiatric symptoms, such as depression, anxiety and insomnia, improved spasticity; similarly, we have seen cases where successful management of spasticity (e.g. with intrathecal baclofen) has alleviated psychiatric symptoms. There are hints in the literature to suggest this bidirectional relationship, such as findings that interventions targeting spasticity (e.g. by using botulinum-A toxin injections) have been shown to improve sleep quality in children with cerebral palsy. 10 There is evidence from other upper motor conditions, too, such as findings that show worsening of perception of spasticity with stress and anxiety in multiple sclerosis and stroke. 11 As a result of these complex interrelationships, good psychiatric management affects the status of core symptoms of cerebral

Many other complex neuropsychiatric comorbidities can be seen in cerebral palsy. For example, many individuals may carry additional diagnoses, such as mood disorders, autism spectrum disorder and epilepsy. 12 Each of these may require their own complex treatments. Taking epilepsy as a common example, a frequent sideeffect of some anti-epileptic agents is agitation and irritability. 13 The question may arise as to why an individual with cerebral palsy is experiencing agitation and irritability. This could be caused by anti-epileptic medication side-effects, but could also be a result of mood or anxiety disorders, pain, sedation, undertreatment of seizures or psychosocial stress. Although the neurologist will manage these core neurological conditions and a general psychiatrist, social worker or psychologist would be comfortable with diagnosis and management of most psychiatric comorbidities, the neurologically informed exploration of these behavioural concerns falls ideally in the realm of neuropsychiatry. Helping to arrive at a treatment regimen maximising efficacy of the fewest possible medications (say, by increasing an anti-epileptic agent to cover partially treated, nocturnal seizures, thus improving daytime fatigue and irritability) is clearly in the patient's best interest.

Finally, we would like to highlight an example of the impact of neuropsychiatric psychoeducation. We recall an individual with severe aggressive behaviours in the setting of perinatal intraventricular haemorrhage with ventriculoperitoneal shunt and right-sided encephalomalacia with resultant left-sided spastic hemiplegia. The patient's aggression often stemmed from deficits in social pragmatics and impulsivity, with limited ability to self-regulate when upset or anxious. The patient's aggression could be destructive and dangerous, and the family reported feeling unsafe at home. They felt at a loss as to why, as their child became a teenager, baseline disinhibition had developed into such overt aggression. Before neuropsychiatric consultation, the family had a strong

understanding of the motor and cognitive effects of cerebral palsy, as explained by their clinical team in the first few years of their child's life. However, they did not feel that they had an understanding of the neurobehavioural effects of their child's injury. The family described that appreciating the specifics of their child's brain injury, data about neuropsychiatric comorbidities in cerebral palsy and possible neuroanatomical correlations between involved brain regions and psychiatric symptoms was particularly helpful. This opened doors to specific behavioural and pharmacological interventions, resulting in dramatic improvements.

One challenge is that there is a dearth of neuropsychiatrists. There are currently 473 diplomates in the subspecialty of behavioural neurology and neuropsychiatry listed on the website of the United Council for Neurologic Subspecialties, the board that provides the subspecialty's accreditation.¹⁴ This is a small number, given the need for clinicians trained at this interface. Furthermore, there are an even smaller number of clinicians doing such work in the paediatric realm. Numerous reasons likely exist for this limited number of clinicians. Training is longer than in general psychiatry or neurology, lasting up to 6 or more years; clinics and hospitals often may not provide the additional scaffolding, administrative or financial supports often necessary for such complex care; siloing of hospital systems often results in challenges with creating multidisciplinary clinics (already affected by limited provider numbers in other fields essential to cerebral palsy care); and, anecdotally, high rates of burnout exist in complex care specialties.

We would like to see a model where a core multidisciplinary team, including a neuropsychiatrist, shares the care of an individual. This would mean either serial appointments with core team members such as a neurologist with specialisation in developmental disabilities, a physiatrist, a neuropsychologist, a neuropsychiatrist and other providers; or perhaps even appointments with providers of these different disciplines present together at the same time, to maximise communication. This is juxtaposed with the model where neuropsychiatry is consulted externally, often later in treatment and only in more extreme cases. If the neuropsychiatrist were involved in the diagnostic and initial planning phases, and not just treatment-refractory ones, a broader understanding of the individual's needs, and perhaps more rapid resolution of neurobehavioural concerns, could be reached.

Aaron J. Hauptman (5), Department of Psychiatry, Kennedy Krieger Institute, Maryland, USA; and Department of Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Maryland, USA; Elizabeth Barkoudah, Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence: Aaron J. Hauptman. Email: hauptman@kennedykrieger.org

First received 30 Nov 2021, final revision 14 Mar 2022, accepted 11 Apr 2022

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Author contributions

Both authors contributed to the formulation of the questions and writing of this article. There are no research or study design contributions.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- 1 Silbersweig D. The value and therapeutic power of the neuropsychiatric diagnostic evaluation. In Neuropsychiatry and Behavioral Neurology: Principles and Practice (eds D Silbersweig, LT Safar, KR Daffner): 169–170. McGraw Hill, 2020.
- 2 Pham R, Mol BW, Gecz J, MacLennan AH, MacLennan SC, Corbett MA, et al. Definition and diagnosis of cerebral palsy in genetic studies: a systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2020; 62(9): 1024–30.
- 3 Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, Goldstein M, Bax M, Damiano D, et al. A report: the definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. Dev Med Child Neurol Suppl 2007: 109: 8–14.
- 4 Bax M, Goldstein M, Rosenbaum P, Leviton A, Paneth N, Dan B, et al. Proposed definition and classification of cerebral palsy, April 2005. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2005: 47(8): 571–6.
- 5 Severino M, Geraldo AF, Utz N, Tortora D, Pogledic I, Klonowski W, et al. Definitions and classification of malformations of cortical development: practical guidelines. *Brain* 2020; **143**(10): 2874–94.
- 6 Downs J, Blackmore AM, Epstein A, Skoss R, Langdon K, Jacoby P, et al. The prevalence of mental health disorders and symptoms in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2018; 60(1): 30–8.
- 7 Lindsay S. Child and youth experiences and perspectives of cerebral palsy: a qualitative systematic review. Child Care Health Dev 2016; 42(2): 153–75.

- 8 Feinstein JA, Feudtner C, Blackmer AB, Valuck RJ, Fairclough DL, Holstein J, et al. Parent-reported symptoms and medications used among children with severe neurological impairment. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020; **3**(12): e2029082.
- 9 Whitney DG, Schmidt M, Peterson MD, Haapala H. Polypharmacy among privately insured adults with cerebral palsy: a retrospective cohort study. J Manag Care Spec Pharm 2020; 26(9): 1153–61.
- 10 Binay Safer V, Ozbudak Demir S, Ozkan E, Demircioglu Guneri F. Effects of botulinum toxin serotype A on sleep problems in children with cerebral palsy and on mothers sleep quality and depression. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 2016; 21(4): 331–7
- 11 Cheung J, Rancourt A, Di Poce S, Levine A, Hoang J, Ismail F, et al. Patient-identified factors that influence spasticity in people with stroke and multiple sclerosis receiving botulinum toxin injection treatments. *Physiother Can* 2015; 67(2): 157–66.
- 12 Hollung SJ, Bakken IJ, Vik T, Lydersen S, Wiik R, Aaberg KM, et al. Comorbidities in cerebral palsy: a patient registry study. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2020; 62(1): 97–103.
- 13 Chen B, Choi H, Hirsch LJ, Katz A, Legge A, Buchsbaum R, et al. Psychiatric and behavioral side effects of antiepileptic drugs in adults with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2017; **76**: 24–31.
- 14 United Council for Neurologic Subspecialties (UCNS). UCNS Diplomate Directory. UCNS, 2022 (https://ucns.org/Online/Diplomate_Directory/Online/Diplomate_Directory.aspx?hkey=f8f00552-f924-4ef6-a9bb-6023b1cd341b).



