

LIFTING PROJECTIVES

JAN R. STROOKER

In memory of TADASI NAKAYAMA

1. Introduction and statement of result

Let R be a ring with radical \mathfrak{N} (all rings have a unit element, all modules are unital). Often, one wishes to lift modules modulo \mathfrak{N} , that is, to a given, say, left R/\mathfrak{N} -module U find a left R -module E with the property that $E/\mathfrak{N}E \simeq U$. This is of course not always possible. Here I prove, roughly, that if a finitely generated projective U can be lifted at all, it can be lifted to a projective. Or rather, if U can be lifted to an E satisfying a certain mild condition, then E is projective (Lemma).

It is convenient to introduce the notion of “cover”. In any category, an epimorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a cover if any morphism $g : X \rightarrow A$ such that fg is an epimorphism, must needs be an epimorphism. Sloppily, we also say that A is a cover of B . In the category of R -modules, Nakayama’s Lemma asserts that f is a cover if A is finitely generated and $\ker f \subset \mathfrak{N}A$. Repeated application of this simple remark will prove the result, which I dedicate to the memory of T. Nakayama.

LEMMA. *Let R be a left noetherian ring, \mathfrak{A} a two-sided ideal contained in its radical. Let U be a finitely generated projective R/\mathfrak{A} -module. Suppose the left R -module E is an R -cover of U and that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{A}, E) = 0$. Then E , uniquely determined up to isomorphism, is finitely generated projective. Moreover, $E/\mathfrak{A}E \simeq U$.*

This fact is useful in the theory of homological dimension. For commutative rings, it is easily derived from the “critère de platitude” [4, Ch. III, Th. 1, p. 98], bearing in mind that finitely presented flat modules are projective. Even here, however, the approach using covers is more direct. A variant of the lemma was proved in [8, Lemma 1.13, p. 6] with a different application in view. Since theses are seldom produced in order to be read, it seems worth

Received October 11, 1965.

while making the result more widely available.

2. Proof

First we show that taking a cover of a projective U amounts to lifting U .

LEMMA 0. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a two-sided ideal in the ring R and U a finitely generated left R/\mathfrak{A} -module. If E is an R -cover of U , then E is finitely generated. If, in addition, U is R/\mathfrak{A} -projective, then $E/\mathfrak{A}E \simeq U$.*

Proof. For any R -module X , write $\bar{X} = X/\mathfrak{A}X$ and t_x for the residue class map $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$, and for any R -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ write $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ for the corresponding $R/\mathfrak{A} = \bar{R}$ -map.

With this notation fixed, let \bar{f} be an \bar{R} -epimorphism from a finitely generated free \bar{R} -module \bar{L} onto U . Raise to a free R -module L on the same number of generators. If $s : E \rightarrow X$ is our R -cover, let $f : L \rightarrow E$ be such that $sf = \bar{f}t_L$. The latter map being surjective, the cover property implies that f is too, which proves E is finitely generated.

To show that the surjection $\bar{s} : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{U} = U$ is injective, we need our assumption that U is \bar{R} -projective and hence may be identified with a direct summand of \bar{E} . Consider the submodule $F = t_E^{-1}(U)$ of E and observe that $\bar{s}t_F(F) = s(F) = U$. Since s is a cover, $F = E$ and $\bar{E} \simeq U$.

Proof of Lemma. From the above, we know that E is finitely generated and that $E/\mathfrak{A}E = \bar{E} \simeq U$. Let f be an epimorphism of a finitely generated free module L (projective would do as well) onto E and put $\ker f = g : D \rightarrow L$. Since $\text{Tor}_1^R(\bar{R}, E) = 0$ the bottom row in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{g} & L & \xrightarrow{f} & E \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow t_D & & \downarrow t_L & & \downarrow t_E \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{D} & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & \bar{L} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{E} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is also exact. Since \bar{E} is \bar{R} -projective, this row splits and we have a map $\bar{h} : \bar{L} \rightarrow \bar{D}$ such that $\bar{h}\bar{g} = 1_{\bar{E}}$. Use the projectivity of L to find a map $h : L \rightarrow D$ such that $t_D h = \bar{h}t_L$.

We wish to prove that hg is an automorphism of D , so that the top row splits too, making E a direct summand of L and hence projective. Our commutative diagram shows that $t_D hg = \bar{h}t_L g = \bar{h}\bar{g}t_D = t_D$. The ring R being

noetherian, D is finitely generated, so t_D is a cover and hg surjective. Then hg is an epimorphism of the noetherian module D onto itself, therefore it is an automorphism [3, Lemma 3, p. 23]. Thus E is a projective cover of \bar{E} , and as such uniquely determined up to isomorphism [2, Lemma 2.3, p. 472]. This finishes the proof.

3. Applications

The following device answers a question of Kaplansky, who uses it in homological dimension theory [7].

COROLLARY 1. *Let R be a left noetherian ring, E a finitely generated left R -module. Let x be an element both in the centre and in the radical of R . Assume that x is a non-zero divisor on E and that E/xE is projective over the residue class ring R/xR . Then E is projective.*

Proof. The residue class map $E \rightarrow E/xE$ is a cover, and the injectivity of $x : E \rightarrow E$ is easily seen to imply $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/xR, E) = 0$, so that the Lemma applies.

Let us define, as I believe one should in the non-commutative case, a semilocal ring as a ring which modulo its radical becomes an Artin ring. The Lemma then yields a generalization of a fact which is standard fare for commutative noetherian local rings [4, Ch. II, Cor. 2, p. 107] and is also known for semi-primary rings [1, Prop. 7, p. 71] and semi-perfect rings [5, Th. 11, p. 333].

COROLLARY 2. *Let R be a left noetherian semilocal ring with radical \mathfrak{N} . Then for a finitely generated left module E the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. E is projective.
2. E is flat.
3. $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{N}, E) = 0$.

Proof. 1. implies 2. implies 3. is true for any ring and any module. 3. implies 1. follows from the Lemma since every module, in particular $E/\mathfrak{N}E$, is projective over the semisimple Artin ring R/\mathfrak{N} .

This enables one to prove various results on global dimension, replacing the residue class field of the local ring by R/\mathfrak{N} . It suffices to adapt the arguments in [6, Ch. 0, 17.2], cf. also [1]. As an example, I mention

PROPOSITION. *Let R be a noetherian semilocal ring with radical \mathfrak{N} . For $\text{gl dim } R$ to be $\leq n$, it is necessary that $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{N}, R/\mathfrak{N}) = 0$ for $i > n$ and sufficient that $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/\mathfrak{N}, R/\mathfrak{N}) = 0$.*

4. Denoetherizing

One may try to relax the assumption in the Lemma that R be noetherian by imposing conditions on E , \mathfrak{A} and/or R . Various combinations seem reasonable. I only treat one which generalizes the previous result.

LEMMA'. *In the Lemma, we can drop the noetherianness of R if we decree that*

1. R is the direct limit of a directed system of left noetherian rings R_i (certainly true for all commutative rings);
2. E is finitely presented.

Proof. Let I be our directed set and assume every R_i is a subring of $R = \varinjlim R_i$; if not, we could replace each R_i by its canonical image in R which remains noetherian. We proceed as before, remarking that condition 2. guarantees that D is finitely generated. Again we find a map $h : L \rightarrow D$ with the property that hg is an epimorphism of D onto itself and we wish to prove that hg is an automorphism.

Let $s : D \rightarrow D$ be a surjection and suppose $s(x) = 0$ for some $x \in D$. Choose a set of generators of D over R , say d_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. Pick n elements $c_k \in D$ such that $s(c_k) = d_k$. Now x , the c_k and the images $s(d_k)$ can all be expressed as linear combinations of the generators d_k with coefficients from R . Since only finitely many of these appear, there is an i in the directed set I such that R_i contains them all. Let D_i be the module generated by the d_k over R_i as a subset of D . Our construction achieves that s maps D_i onto D_i . Therefore the restriction $s_i : D_i \rightarrow D_i$ is a surjection of a noetherian R_i -module, hence injective. But $x \in D_i$, so $s_i(x) = s(x) = 0$. This means $x = 0$ and we are through.

A discussion of the applications in section 3. using the modified Lemma' is left to the gentle reader.

Remark added in proof. In the tome recently out, Grothendieck obtains that a surjection $S : D \rightarrow D$ is injective if D is finitely presented [9, Ch. IV, Prop. 8.9.3, p. 35]. Curiously enough, our naive approach proves more. I suspect that the technique developed in this note has a bearing on certain questions discussed in that treatise, e.g. [9, 11.3.10.2 and 11.3.12, pp. 138-140]. Compare [8, Lemma 1.13, p. 6].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, On the dimension of modules and algebras III, Nagoya Math. J. **9** (1955), 67-77.
- [2] H. Bass, Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466-488.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Eléments de mathématique, Algèbre commutative, Chap. 8, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, Eléments de mathématique, Algèbre commutative, Chap. 1-4, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [5] S. Eilenberg, Homological dimension and syzygies, Ann. of Math. (2) **64** (1956), 328-336.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV 1, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., no. 20, Bures-sur-Yvette, 1964.
- [7] I. Kaplansky, The theory of homological dimension, C.I.M.E. conference on "Some aspects of ring theory", Varenna, August 1965 (to appear).
- [8] J. R. Strooker, Faithfully projective modules and clean algebras, Doctoral thesis, University of Utrecht, April 1965.
- [9] A. Grothendieck, Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV 3, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. no. 28, Bures-sur-Yvette, 1966.

University of Utrecht