Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **110** (2024), 115–120 doi:10.1017/S0004972723001429

ON THE CHARACTERISATION OF ALTERNATING GROUPS BY CODEGREES

MALLORY DOLORFINO[®], LUKE MARTIN[®], ZACHARY SLONIM[®], YUXUAN SUN[®] and YONG YANG[®]

(Received 16 October 2023; accepted 18 November 2023; first published online 26 January 2024)

Abstract

Let G be a finite group and Irr(G) the set of all irreducible complex characters of G. Define the codegree of $\chi \in Irr(G)$ as $cod(\chi) := |G : ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$ and let $cod(G) := \{cod(\chi) \mid \chi \in Irr(G)\}$ be the codegree set of G. Let A_n be an alternating group of degree $n \ge 5$. We show that A_n is determined up to isomorphism by $cod(A_n)$.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 20D06; secondary 20C15.

Keywords and phrases: codegree, alternating group.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and Irr(G) the set of all irreducible complex characters of G. For any $\chi \in Irr(G)$, define the codegree of χ as $cod(\chi) := |G : ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$ and the codegree set of G as $cod(G) := \{cod(\chi) \mid \chi \in Irr(G)\}$. We refer the reader to the authors' previous paper [8] for the current literature on codegrees.

The following conjecture appears in the *Kourovka Notebook of Unsolved Problems in Group Theory* [12, Question 20.79].

CODEGREE VERSION OF HUPPERT'S CONJECTURE. Let H be a finite nonabelian simple group and G a finite group such that cod(H) = cod(G). Then $G \cong H$.

In [8], the authors verified the conjecture for all sporadic simple groups. In this paper, we provide a general proof verifying this conjecture for all alternating groups of degree greater than or equal to 5.

THEOREM 1.1. Let A_n be an alternating group of degree $n \ge 5$ and G a finite group. If $cod(G) = cod(A_n)$, then $G \cong A_n$.



This research was conducted under NSF-REU grant DMS-1757233, DMS-2150205 and NSA grant H98230-21-1-0333, H98230-22-1-0022 by Dolorfino, Martin, Slonim and Sun during the Summer of 2022 under the supervision of Yang. Yang was also partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#918096).

[©] The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

Throughout the paper, we follow the notation used in Isaacs' book [10] and the ATLAS of Finite Groups [6].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, we note that the cases n = 5, 6 and 7 have already been proven in [1, 2], so in the following, we always assume that n > 7. Now, let G be a minimal counterexample and N be a maximal normal subgroup of G. So $cod(G) = cod(A_n)$ and G/N is simple. By [8, Lemma 2.5], $cod(G/N) \subseteq cod(A_n)$. Then, by [9, Theorem B], $G/N \cong A_n$ so $N \ne 1$ since $G \not\cong A_n$.

Step 1: N is a minimal normal subgroup of G.

Suppose L is a nontrivial normal subgroup of G with L < N. Then by [8, Lemma 2.6], $cod(G/N) \subseteq cod(G/L) \subseteq cod(G)$. However, $cod(G/N) = cod(A_n) = cod(G)$, so equality must be attained in each inclusion. Thus, $cod(G/L) = cod(A_n)$ which implies that $G/L \cong A_n$ since G is a minimal counterexample. This is a contradiction since we also have $G/N \cong A_n$, but L < N.

Step 2: N is the only nontrivial, proper normal subgroup of G.

Otherwise, we assume M is another proper nontrivial normal subgroup of G. If N is included in M, then M = N or M = G since G/N is simple, which is a contradiction. Then $N \cap M = 1$ and $G = N \times M$. Since M is also a maximal normal subgroup of G, we have $N \cong M \cong A_n$. Choose $\psi_1 \in Irr(N)$ and $\psi_2 \in Irr(M)$ such that $cod(\psi_1) = cod(\psi_2) = max(cod(A_n))$. Set $\chi = \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2 \in Irr(G)$. Then $cod(\chi) = (max(cod(A_n)))^2 \notin cod(G)$, which is a contradiction.

Step 3: χ is faithful, for each nontrivial $\chi \in Irr(G|N) := Irr(G) - Irr(G/N)$. From the proof of [8, Lemma 2.5],

$$\operatorname{Irr}(G/N) = \{\hat{\chi}(gN) = \chi(g) \mid \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \text{ and } N \leq \ker(\chi)\}.$$

By the definition of Irr(G|N), it follows that if $\chi \in Irr(G|N)$, then $N \not\leq \ker(\chi)$. Thus, since N is the unique nontrivial, proper, normal subgroup of G, $\ker(\chi) = G$ or $\ker(\chi) = 1$. Therefore, $\ker(\chi) = 1$ for all nontrivial $\chi \in Irr(G|N)$.

Step 4: N is an elementary abelian group.

Suppose that N is not abelian. Since N is a minimal normal subgroup, by [7, Theorem 4.3A(iii)], $N = S^n$, where S is a nonabelian simple group and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By [14, Lemma 4.2] and [11, Theorem 4.3.34], there is a nontrivial character $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ which extends to some $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Now, $\ker(\psi) = 1$ by Step 3, so $\operatorname{cod}(\psi) = |G|/\psi(1) = |G/N| \cdot |N|/\chi(1)$. However, by assumption, $\operatorname{cod}(G) = \operatorname{cod}(A_n) = \operatorname{cod}(G/N)$. Thus, $\operatorname{cod}(\psi) \in \operatorname{cod}(G) = \operatorname{cod}(G/N)$, so $\operatorname{cod}(\psi) = |G/N|/\phi(1)$ for some $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G/N)$. Hence, |G/N| is divisible by $\operatorname{cod}(\psi)$ which contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{cod}(\psi) = |G/N| \cdot |N|/\chi(1)$, as $\chi(1) \neq |N|$. Thus, N must be abelian.

Now to show that N is elementary abelian, let a prime p divide |N|. Then N has a p-Sylow subgroup K, and K is the unique p-Sylow subgroup of N since N is abelian,

so K is characteristic in N. Thus, K is a normal subgroup of G, so K = N as N is minimal. Thus, $|N| = p^n$. Now, take the subgroup $N^p = \{n^p \mid n \in N\}$ of N, which is proper by Cauchy's theorem. Since N^p is characteristic in N, it must be normal in G, so N^p is trivial by the uniqueness of N. Thus, every element of N has order p and N is elementary abelian.

Step 5: $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = N$.

First note that since N is normal, $\mathbf{C}_G(N) \leq G$. Additionally, since N is abelian by Step 4, $N \leq \mathbf{C}_G(N)$. By the maximality of N, we must have $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = N$ or $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = G$. If $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = N$, we are done.

If not, then $C_G(N) = G$, so N must be in the centre of G. Then since N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G by Step 2, |N| must be prime. If not, there always exists a proper nontrivial subgroup K of N, and K is normal since it is contained in $\mathbf{Z}(G)$, contradicting the minimality of N. Hence, we have $N \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ which implies that $\mathbf{Z}(G) \cong N$. This is because N is a maximal normal subgroup of G so if not, we would have $\mathbf{Z}(G) = G$, implying G is abelian which is a contradiction. Thus, N is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of G/N by [10, Corollary 11.20].

Now, we note that it is well known that for n > 7, the Schur multiplier of A_n is \mathbb{Z}_2 , so $G \cong 2.A_n$ [17]. From [3, Theorem 4.3], $2.A_n$ always has a faithful irreducible character χ of degree $2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}$. Recall that by Step 2, there is only one nontrivial proper normal subgroup of $G \cong 2.A_n$. In particular, $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the only nontrivial proper normal subgroup of G. Thus, $|\ker(\chi)| = 1$ or 2. Then $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) = |2.A_n : \ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$. If $|\ker(\chi)| = 1$, then $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) = n!/2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}$, and if $|\ker(\chi)| = 2$, then $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) = (n!/2)/2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} = n!/2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. In either case, for any prime $p \neq 2$, $|\operatorname{cod}(\chi)|_p = |n!|_p = |A_n|_p$. However, $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) \in \operatorname{cod}(A_n)$ since $\operatorname{cod}(G) = \operatorname{cod}(A_n)$. Therefore, there is a character degree of A_n which is a power of 2.

However, from [13], for n > 7, A_n only has a character degree equal to a power of 2 when $n = 2^d + 1$ for some positive integer d. In this case, $2^d = n - 1 \in \operatorname{cd}(A_n)$ so we need $|A_n|/n - 1 = |2.A_n|/2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}$ or $|2.A_n|/2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{n-1} = \frac{2}{2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} = \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor - 1}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}}$$

so $n-1=2^{\lfloor (n-2)/2\rfloor-1}$ or $2^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor-1}$. However, the only integer solution to either of these equations occurs when n=9 and $9-1=8=2^3=2^{\lfloor 9/2\rfloor-1}$. In this case, we check the ATLAS [6] to find that the codegree sets of A_9 and $2.A_9$ do not have the same order. This is a contradiction, so $\mathbf{C}_G(N)=N$.

Step 6. Let λ be a nontrivial character in Irr(N) and $\vartheta \in Irr(I_G(\lambda)|\lambda)$, the set of irreducible constituents of $\lambda^{I_G(\lambda)}$, where $I_G(\lambda)$ is the inertia group of λ in G. Then $|I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1) \in cod(G)$. Also, $\vartheta(1)$ divides $|I_G(\lambda)/N|$ and |N| divides |G/N|. Lastly, $I_G(\lambda) < G$, that is, λ is not G-invariant.

Let λ be a nontrivial character in Irr(N) and $\vartheta \in Irr(I_G(\lambda)|\lambda)$. Let χ be an irreducible constituent of ϑ^G . By [10, Corollary 5.4], $\chi \in Irr(G)$, and by [10, Definition 5.1], we have $\chi(1) = (|G|/|I_G(\lambda)|) \cdot \vartheta(1)$. Moreover, $ker(\chi) = 1$ by Step 2, and thus

 $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) = |G|/\chi(1) = |I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1)$, so $|I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1) \in \operatorname{cod}(G)$. Now, since N is abelian, $\lambda(1) = 1$, so we have $\vartheta(1) = \vartheta(1)/\lambda(1)$ which divides $|I_G(\lambda)|/|N|$, so |N| divides $|I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{cod}(G) = \operatorname{cod}(G/N)$, and all elements in $\operatorname{cod}(G/N)$ divide |G/N|, so |N| divides |G/N|.

Next, we want to show $I_G(\lambda)$ is a proper subgroup of G. To reach a contradiction, assume $I_G(\lambda) = G$. Then $\ker(\lambda) \leq G$. From Step 2, $\ker(\lambda) = 1$, and from Step 4, N is a cyclic group of prime order. Thus, by the Normaliser–Centraliser theorem, $G/N = \mathbf{N}_G(N)/\mathbf{C}_G(N) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ so G/N is abelian, which is a contradiction.

Step 7: Final contradiction.

From Step 4, N is an elementary abelian group of order p^m for some prime p and integer $m \ge 1$. By the Normaliser–Centraliser theorem, $A_n \cong G/N = \mathbf{N}_G(N)/\mathbf{C}_G(N) \le \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ and m > 1. Note that in general, $\mathrm{Aut}(N) \cong \mathrm{GL}(m,p)$. By Step 6, |N| divides |G/N|, so $|N| = p^m$ divides $|A_n|$ and $G/N \cong A_n \le \mathrm{GL}(m,p)$. We prove by contradiction that this cannot occur.

First, we claim that if p^m divides $|A_n|$ and $A_n \le (GL(m, p))$, then p must equal 2. To show this, we note that for p > 2, by [4], if p^m divides $|A_n|$, then m < n/2. However, by [16, Theorem 1.1], if n > 6, the minimal faithful degree of a modular representation of A_n over a field of characteristic p is at least n - 2. Since embedding A_n as a subgroup of GL(m, p) is equivalent to giving a faithful representation of degree m over a field of characteristic p, we have $m \ge n - 2$. This is a contradiction since n/2 > n - 2 implies n < 4. Therefore, p = 2.

Now, let p = 2. As above, from [4], we obtain $|n!|_2 \le 2^{n-1}$. Thus, if 2^m divides $|A_n|$, then $2^m \le |A_n|_2 \le 2^{n-2}$ so $m \le n-2$. We will deal first with n > 8 and then treat the case n = 8 later. For n > 8, [15, Theorem 1.1] shows that the minimal faithful degree of a modular representation of A_n over a field of characteristic 2 is at least n - 2. Therefore, we must have $m \ge n - 2$, so we have equality, m = n - 2.

Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$, $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr}(I_G(\lambda)|\lambda)$ and $T := I_G(\lambda)$. Then $1 < |G:T| < |N| = 2^{n-2}$ for |G:T| is the number of all conjugates of λ . By Step 5, $|T|/\vartheta(1) \in \operatorname{cod}(G)$ and moreover |N| divides $|T|/\vartheta(1)$. Since $|N| = |N|_2 = |A_n|_2$ and $\operatorname{cod}(G) = \operatorname{cod}(A_n)$, it follows that $||T|/\vartheta(1)|_2 = |N|$. Thus, $||T/N|/\vartheta(1)|_2 = 1$ so the 2-parts of |T/N| and $\vartheta(1)$ are equal. Thus, for every $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T|\lambda)$, we have $|\vartheta(1)|_2 = |T/N|_2$. However, $|T/N| = \sum_{\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T|\lambda)} \vartheta(1)^2$. Hence, if $|\vartheta(1)|_2 = 2^k \ge 2$ for every $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T|\lambda)$, we would have $|T/N|_2 = 2^{2k}$, which contradicts the fact that $|\vartheta(1)|_2 = |T/N|_2$. Therefore, $|T/N|_2 = 1$. Thus, since $|G/N|_2 \ge |N| = 2^{n-2}$, we have $|G:T|_2 = |G/N:T/N|_2 \ge 2^{n-2}$, so $|G:T| \ge 2^{n-2} = |N|$, which is a contradiction.

Now we turn to the case n = 8. We have p = 2 and m = 4, 5 or 6. In this case, $A_8 \cong GL(4, 2)$ and 2^6 divides $|A_8|$. We look at each possibility for m in turn. If m = 6, then $|N|_2 = |A_8|_2$. For this case, the same argument as above holds since 6 = 8 - 2, and we reach a contradiction.

Second, let m = 5. As above, $|G:T| < |N| = 2^5$ and $|T|/\vartheta(1) \in \operatorname{cod}(G)$ such that 2^5 divides $|T|/\vartheta(1)$. Further, $||T/N|/\vartheta(1)|_2 \le 2$ so $|T/N|_2 \le 4$ and $|G/N:T/N|_2 \ge 16$. Thus, 16 divides |G/N:T/N| and |G/N:T/N| < 32. However, we may check the index

of all subgroups of $G/N \cong A_8$ using [6] and find that none of them satisfy these two properties.

Third, let m = 4. Then $G/N \cong A_8 \cong GL(4,2)$ and $N = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ so G is an extension of GL(4,2) by N. We may computationally calculate the codegree set for any such group using MAGMA [5]. There are only four such nonisomorphic extensions and we find that none of them have the same codegree set as A_8 . (The MAGMA code is available at https://github.com/zachslonim/Characterizing-Alternating-Groups-by-Their-Codegrees.) In every case, $|N| = p^m$ produces a contradiction, so N = 1 and $G \cong A_n$.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of NSF and NSA, and also thank Texas State University for providing a great working environment and support. The authors would also like to thank Professor Richard Stanley for his help.

References

- [1] N. Ahanjideh, 'Nondivisibility among irreducible character co-degrees', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **105** (2022), 68–74.
- [2] A. Bahri, Z. Akhlaghi and B. Khosravi, 'An analogue of Huppert's conjecture for character codegrees', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 104(2) (2021), 278–286.
- [3] C. Bessenrodt and J. B. Olsson, 'Prime power degree representations of the double covers of the symmetric and alternating groups', J. London Math. Soc. 66(2) (2002), 313–324.
- [4] C. Bessenrodt, H. P. Tong-Viet and J. Zhang, 'Huppert's conjecture for alternating groups', J. Algebra 470 (2017), 353–378.
- [5] J. C. W. Bosma and C. Playoust, 'The Magma algebra system I: the user language', J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [6] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [7] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 163 (Springer, New York, 1996).
- [8] M. Dolorfino, L. Martin, Z. Slonim, Y. Sun and Y. Yang, 'On the characterisation of sporadic simple groups by codegrees', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear. Published online (27 March 2023); doi:10.1017/S0004972723000187.
- [9] N. N. Hung and A. Moreto, 'The codegree isomorphism problem for finite simple groups', Preprint, 2023, arXiv:2301.00446.
- [10] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [11] G. James and A. Kerber, The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981).
- [12] E. I. Khukrho and V. D. Mazurov, *Unsolved Problems in Group Theory*, The Kourovka Notebook, 20 (Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 2022).
- [13] G. Malle and A. E. Zalesskii, 'Prime power degree representations of quasi-simple groups', Arch. Math. (Basel) 77 (2001), 461–468.
- [14] A. Moretó, 'Complex group algebra of finite groups: Brauer's problem 1', Adv. Math. 208 (2007), 236–248.
- [15] A. Wagner, 'The faithful linear representations of least degree of S_n and A_n over a field of characteristic 2', Math. Z. 151 (1976), 127–138.
- [16] A. Wagner, 'The faithful linear representations of least degree of S_n and A_n over a field of odd characteristic', *Math. Z.* **154** (1977), 104–113.
- [17] R. A. Wilson, *The Finite Simple Groups* (Springer, London, 2009).

MALLORY DOLORFINO, Department of Mathematics, Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA e-mail: mallory.dolorfino19@kzoo.edu

LUKE MARTIN, Department of Mathematics, Gonzaga University, Spokane, Washington, USA e-mail: lwmartin2019@gmail.com

ZACHARY SLONIM, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA e-mail: zachslonim@berkeley.edu

YUXUAN SUN, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, USA e-mail: ysun1@haverford.edu

YONG YANG, Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas, USA e-mail: yang@txstate.edu